EFFECTS OF POND FERTILIZATION ON THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY OF SELECTED EARTHEN FISHPONDS IN IFE NORTH LOCAL GOVERNMENTAREA, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

ADEDEJI, A.A.* and ADENIYI, I.F.

Department of Zoology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: bbkadedeji@yahoo.com; bbkadedeji@oauife.edu.ng

Abstract

The effect of fertilization on the physico-chemical water quality of six selected earthen fishponds in Ife North Local Government Area of Osun State was investigated for a period of two years sampling the ponds every other month. The fishponds were grouped with regard to fertilization practice and water flowage regime into three sets comprising two nonflow-through ponds that received organic and inorganic fertilizers (FNF); two flow-through ponds that received the same organic and inorganic fertilizers (FF) and two unfertilized flow-through ponds. The investigated water quality parameters include water temperature, pH, transparency, dissolved oxygen, major ions, some plant nutrients and heavy metals using standard titrimetric and instrumental methods of analysis. The mean values of these parameters were not statistically different (p > 0.05) for the three sets of fishponds with the exception of sodium, Alkalinity, HCO, , Conductivity and TDS which were each characterized with significant mean differences (p = 0.05). In general, the fertilized ponds were characterized by higher mean values in 24 of the 29 investigated water quality parameters than the non-fertilized ponds. Also the mean values of 22 out of the 29 investigated parameters were generally higher in the flow-through ponds than in the non-flowthrough ponds. Increase in the parameters due to water flowage was statistically significant (p > 0.05) for sodium, alkalinity, bicarbonate, conductivity and TDS while the effect of fertilization was higher for the dissolved oxygen parameters, nutrients (NO₂, PO₄), major ions and apparent colour. Thus, the fertilized ponds were more saline (based on conductivity and TDS), better buffered and richer in nutrient than the unfertilized ponds. These effects were enhanced by flowage hence the fertilized flow-through ponds were characterised by the most suitable water quality for fish culture in the study-area while the fertilized non flow-through ponds was least suitable. The study revealed that to achieve the desired effect, pond fertilization must be accompanied by adequate water flowage especially in shallow fishponds.

Keywords: water quality, fertilization, flow regime, fishpond, Nigeria.

Accepted: April 9, 2013.

Introduction

Pond fertilization provides essential nutrient components such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium compounds required for rapid phytoplankton development and hence increased primary and post-primary production in fishponds without the risk of dietary diseases to fish (Ita, 1980). The main purpose of pond fertilization is to increase the available natural food (phytoplankton and zooplankton) for fry or larval fish, or for fish species that are efficient filter feeders (Brunson *et al* 1999). However, pond fertilization may play a major role in determining water quality and could be detrimental to fish survival. For instance excessive fertilization rates result in deterioration of water quality manifested as low dissolved oxygen content, high un-ionized ammonia, high pH levels, and high biological oxygen demand, all



© *The Zoologist, 11*:13-20 (2013), ISSN 1596 972X. Zoological Society of Nigeria.



which reduce fish survival and yield (Ngbede, 1996 and Ludwig, 2002).

To prevent the deterioration of the pond environment by the accumulation of large amounts of metabolites being continuously excreted into the pond, and unconsumed feeds which often add to the bottom load, pond water should ideally be continuously freshened by a flow-through system (Baluyut, 1989). In view of this, a flow-through system of water management that allows the simultaneous entry and exit of water into and out of the pond is essential in any high-density culture system.

The proper management of fertilizer application and water flowage is a sustainable way of minimizing the detrimental effect of pond fertilization and ensuring good water quality for increased fish production (Ajao, 1999; Ludwig, 2002). This was the motivation for this study, which aimed at determining the effect of pond fertilization and water flowage on the physico-chemical water quality of selected fishponds (of a commercial farm) in a sub-urban community in Osun State, Nigeria. The study also assessed whether the water quality parameters involved were within desirable limits for fish culture or not.

Area of study

The fish ponds investigated belong to a commercial fishing company, Niger Feeds and Agricultural Operations Limited (NIFAGOL), located in Yakoyo-Origbo, in Ife North Local Government Area (LGA) of Osun State. The LGA comprise mainly rural and semi-urban communities which lies roughly between Latitudes 07° 25′-07° 40′ N and Longitudes 004° 25′-004° 30′ E, on a general elevation range of 250-265 m above mean sea level. River Shasha (one of the major waterbodies in the south-west) drains the LGA nd other waterbodies including swamps, springs, streams and minor rivers.

The fish farm studied (NIFAGOL) comprised 18 ponds of varying sizes (ranging from 432m² to 6,383m²), each rectangular in outline and are generally shallow. Water supply into the ponds is from a reservoir (surface area of 2 hectares) located within the farm. The aquaculture practice in the farm was semi-intensive with some of the ponds receiving organic fertilizers in the form of chicken droppings and cow dung while others had inorganic fertilizers (NPK) application as deemed fit by the pond management. The stocked fish in all the ponds were fed twice daily at the rate of 3% of the fish body weight using the supplemental feed (pelleted feed). The locally pelleted feed made from

mixture of maize, soybeans, fishmeal, millet, palm kernel cake, groundnut cake and palm kernel oil, and brewer's waste. The water retention period for the fertilized ponds was six months while the unfertilized ponds were flowing-through and left undrained. In all the culture ponds, fish stock density was 3 fish/m². In the majority of the ponds, *Clarias gariepinus* are being in monoculture and in combination with *Oreochromis niloticus* in polyculture in the flow-through ponds.

Materials and method

Of the eighteen ponds in NIFAGOL fish farm, only ten ponds were operational during the present study. Water samples for water quality determinations were collected from six of these ten ponds every other month over a period of two years from February 2006 to February 2008. For the purpose of this study, the ponds were grouped into three sets with regard to fertilization (fertilizer treatment) and water flowage based on the existing culture practices. The first sets of two ponds, fertilized non-flow-through ponds (FNF) received organic and inorganic fertilizers and were non-flowthrough ponds. The second sets of two ponds, fertilized flow-through ponds (FF) received organic and inorganic fertilizers as FNF but were flow-through ponds. The third sets of two ponds, not fertilized flow-through (NFF) received no fertilizer but were flow-through ponds.

Water temperature measured in situ with a mercury-in-glass bulb thermometer while pH was determined colorimetrically on the field using a Lovibond pH comparator. Water transparency was also measured in situ using a graduated secchi disc. The samples for the determination of dissolved oxygen (DO) collected in a 250/125 ml capacity glass reagent bottles, fixed in the field using Winkler's reagents and brought to the laboratory for further processing. Water samples (61 samples) for the determination of other chemical parameters collected in 2Litre polyethylene jerry cans. The samples were analysed for apparent colour and turbidity by colorimetric method (Mackereth et al 1978). The analysis of the water samples were in accordance with standard methods of Golterman et al. (1978), Mackereth et al (1978) and APHA et al (1992) as applicable. The chemical parameters analysed include major ions (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻), salinity parameters (Conductivity, Total Dissolved Solid), plant nutrient and oxygen parameters (Organic matter, nitrate, PO_4^{3-}), and heavy metals (Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni). Data obtained subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (SPSS, 2008).

Results

Most of the investigated water quality parameters occurred within wide range of values. However their mean and median values were very close with the former slightly higher than the latter suggesting slight positive skewness of the data set (Table 1). Based on their mean values, the investigated water quality parameters of the fishponds occurred over the following range of mass concentrations:

- i. $< 0.1 \text{mgL}^{-1} = \text{Mn} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cu} > \text{Ni}$ (heavy metals).
- ii. $0.1-1.0 \text{ mgL}^{-1} = \text{PO}_4 > \text{NO}_3$ (nutrients).
- 1.1-10.0 mgL⁻¹ = Mg > K > Organic Matter> Organic Carbon>DO.
- iv. 10.1-100.0 mgL⁻¹ = Alkalinity> Turbidity (NTU)> Cl > Ca >Na >SO₄> Acidity.
- v. > 100mgL⁻¹= Apparent color (Pt.Co), HCO₃⁻, Conductivity (μScm⁻¹), Total dissolved solids, DO saturation (%).

The annual mean values for most of the parameters were not statistically different (p > 0.05) among the three sets of fishponds (Table 2) except Na⁺, Alkalinity, HCO₃⁻, Conductivity and TDS which were each characterized with significant mean differences (p < 0.05) among the three pond types. These five parameters and most of the other parameters all occurred in the mass ranking order of FF > NFF > FNF for the three pond types. In general the fertilized ponds (FF and FNF) were characterized by higher mean values in 24 of the 29 investigated water quality parameters than the non-fertilized ponds (NFF). For these 24 parameters, the fertilized ponds (FF and FNF) were on the average about 1.17 times or 17% (range = 1.01-1.44 times) higher than the unfertilized ponds (NFF). On the other hand, only four parameters (transparency, pH, manganese and lead) were higher in the non-fertilized ponds than in the fertilized ponds, on the average about 1.25 times (range = 1.004 - 1.754) higher.

The effect of water flowage on the ponds was also observed in 22 out of the 29 investigated parameters. These parameters were generally higher in the fertilized flow-through ponds (FF) than in the fertilized non-flowthrough ponds (FNF), (Table 2). They include oxygen parameters (DO, BOD₅, DO % sat), all major ions, two of the heavy metals (Pb and Cu) and transparency.

On the average, the major ions $(Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Na^{+}, K^{+}, Cl^{-}, SO_{4}^{2-}, HCO_{3}^{-})$ were about 1.32 times or 32% (range = 1.010-1.497 times) higher in the flow-through ponds (FF) than in the non-flow-through ponds (FNF). While the mean values of apparent colour, turbidity,

Organic matter, organic carbon, phosphate, manganese and nickel were slightly higher (p > 0.05) in the nonflow- through ponds (FNF) than in the flow-through ponds (FF), (Table 2). On the average, these parameters were 1.20 times higher in the non-flow- through ponds (FNF) than in the flow-through ponds (FF).

The seasonal pattern of variations in the three pond types were similar for water temperature, sodium, chloride, pH, conductivity, total dissolved solids, manganese and lead which were all higher during the dry season than in the rainy season (Table 3). On the contrary, depth, calcium, alkalinity, bicarbonate, acidity, BOD, and copper were higher in the rainy season than in the dry season. Significant seasonal differences (p < 0.05) occurred among the three pond types for water temperature, sodium and manganese during the dry season and for alkalinity, bicarbonate, acidity, BOD, depth and copper during the rainy season. The other parameters (apparent colour, turbidity, magnesium, nitrate, dissolved oxygen, organic matter, organic carbon, nickel) were not governed by any definite seasonal pattern (Table 3), as they varied differently among the different pond types over the two seasons involved.

The Jaccard index of association (based on seasonal mean value of the parameters) between the two fertilized ponds (FF and FNF) showed perfect association (JI = 1.000) while the relationship between the two flow-through ponds (FF and NFF) which although very high was less than 1.000 (JI = 0.966).

Similarly, the correlation coefficient value of 0.991 between the two fertilized-ponds (FNF and FF) was slightly higher than the value of 0.983 between the two flow-through ponds (FF and NFF). The effect of fertilization was also reflected on the seasonal mean values of turbidity, phosphate, organic matter and manganese which were significantly different ($p \le 0.05$) between the fertilized-ponds and non-fertilized ponds. The effect of water flowage was also reflected in the seasonal mean values some of the parameters (apparent colour, transparency, magnesium, sulphate, nitrate and copper), but the differences between the flow-through ponds and non-flow-through ponds were not statistically significant ($p \ge 0.05$).

Discussion

Most of the investigated water quality parameters remained within the desirable range for optimal aquacultural productivity except turbidity (1.5-174.2 NTU) and phosphate (0.07-1.82 mg/l) in all the ponds throughout the period of study (Table 4; Boyd, 1998). The result has revealed that fertilization could cause slight increase in all the essential nutrients (nitrate,

	Descriptive Statistics											
Parameter	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error of Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Skewness	Kurtosis			
Air Temperature												
(°C)	31.20	3.0	0.399	31.00	27.00	37.00	10.00	0.235	-1.348			
Water Temperature(°C)												
-	31.00	1.4	0.188	31.00	28.00	33.00	5.00	-0.413	-0.864			
Apparent Colour (Pt.Co)	598.20	423.4	55.600	470.40	66.50	1739.80	1673.30	1.104	0.608			
Turbidity (NTU)	58.00	43.6	5.727	44.00	1.50	1739.80	172.70	1.150	0.543			
Transparency (m)	0.26	0.1	0.018	0.27	0.02	0.61	0.59	0.248	-0.554			
Depth (m)	0.54	0.2	0.024	0.53	0.20	1.05	0.85	0.342	-0.155			
Calcium (mg/L)	16.90	4.7	0.620	16.40	8.30	29.00	20.70	0.171	-0.378			
Magnesium mg/L)	2.00	1.9	0.252	1.80	0.10	7.70	7.60	1.217	0.990			
Sodium (mg/L)	13.20	3.8	0.202	12.70	7.00	24.90	17.90	0.713	0.652			
Potassium (mg/L)	8.94	2.4	0.310	8.80	4.80	15.20	10.40	0.576	0.21			
Chloride (mg/L)	29.90	20.6	2.711	25.50	6.80	118.10	111.30	1.903	5.40			
Sulphate (mg/L)	14.98	6.6	0.873	13.20	8.40	49.80	41.40	3.021	12.92			
Phosphate (mg/L)	0.88	0.5	0.059	0.90	0.07	1.82	1.75	-0.174	-0.90			
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.83	0.1	0.017	0.82	0.68	1.49	0.81	2.467	9.85			
Alkalinity (mg/L	0.05	0.1	0.017	0.02	0.00	1.17	0.01	2.107	2.002			
CaCO ₃)	108.40	36.3	4.773	102.00	42.00	214.00	172.00	1.419	2.307			
$HCO_{3}^{-}(mg/L)$	132.10	44.4	5.825	124.00	51.00	261.00	210.00	1.419	2.304			
Acidity (mg/L												
CaCO ₃)	12.80	12.4	1.626	10.00	0.00	70.00	70.00	2.533	7.800			
рН	7.55	0.5	0.067	7.39	6.07	8.41	2.34	-0.013	-0.195			
Conductivity												
(µscm ⁻¹)	226.10	51.7	6.789	227.50	120.00	338.00	218.00	0.070	-0.803			
TDS (mg/L)	120.10	17.4	2.290	121.50	84.00	157.00	73.00	0.006	-0.859			
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	6.23	2.4	0.312	6.40	2.00	15.20	13.20	1.079	2.93			
DO Saturation (%)	83.80	32.2	4.229	83.20	26.20	204.90	178.70	1.075	2.84			
BOD ₅ (mg/L)	4.44	2.6	0.347	4.00	0.40	11.50	11.10	0.655	0.04			
Organic Matter		2.0	0.547	4.00	0.40	11.50	11.10	0.055	0.04			
(mg/L)	8.58	4.9	0.645	8.30	1.70	25.20	23.50	1.495	2.91			
Organic Carbon												
(mg/L)	5.05	2.8	0.372	4.90	1.00	14.60	13.60	1.450	2.844			
Nickel (µg/L)	0.28	1.00	0.000	0.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	2.852	7.16			
Manganese (µg/L)	37.00	36.00	0.005	22.00	8.00	134.00	134.00	1.850	2.032			
Lead (µg/L)	32.00	47.00	0.006	0.00	0.00	170.00	170.00	1.469	1.257			
Copper (µg/L)	4.00	4.00	0.001	3.00	0.00	15.00	15.00	1.171	0.44			

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the water quality parameters of earthen fish ponds in NIFAGOL Farm, Osun State, Nigeria, 2006-2008.

Table 2: Mean values of physico-chemical parameters of the investigated fish ponds in NIFAGOL Farm, Osun State, Nigeria, 2006-2008.

			I	Pond			ANO	VA
Parameter	1	FNF]	FF	Ν	Fraha		
	Range	Mean±S.D.	Range	Mean±S.D.	Range	Mean±S.D.	· F value	Р
Air Temperature (°C)	27.0-35.5	$30.4 \pm 3.1a$	27.0-37.0	31.5±3.1a	27.0-36.0	31.4±2.9a	0.848	0.434
Water Temperature(°C)	29.0-33.0	$30.9 \pm 1.4a$	28.0 - 33.0	31.1 ± 1.6a	28.0-33.0	$31.1 \pm 1.4a$	0.461	0.63
Apparent Color (Pt.Co)	66.5-1595.6	664.5±541.3a	181.9-1710.9	645.7±372.6a	153.0-1739.8	528.1±424.1a	0.080	0.92
Turbidity (NTU)	1.50-165.2	$61.0 \pm 52.1a$	13.7-159.1	$58.4 \pm 35.7a$	16.68-174.2	57.6±49.2a	0.078	0.92
Transparency (m)	0.05-0.36	$0.21 \pm 0.12a$	0.02-0.51	$0.25 \pm 0.13a$	0.08-0.61	$0.29 \pm 0.14a$	0.025	0.97
Depth (m)	0.21-0.59	$0.50 \pm 0.14a$	0.20-0.85	$0.65 \pm 0.19b$	0.23-1.05	$0.39 \pm 0.11c$	0.825	0.44
Calcium (mg/L)	8.3-22.9	$14.2 \pm 4.8a$	9.0-24.7	$17.4 \pm 4.5a$	9.8-29.0	$17.2 \pm 4.5a$	0.753	0.47
Magnesium (mg/L)	0.10-7.10	$1.43 \pm 2.07a$	0.10-5.60	$2.14 \pm 1.62a$	0.10-7.70	$1.91 \pm 1.98a$	0.451	0.64
Sodium (mg/L)	7.0-15.2	$9.8 \pm 2.8a$	8.6-24.9	$14.4 \pm 4.2b$	8.5-20.7	$13.5 \pm 2.9b$	4.173*	0.02
Potassium (mg/L)	6.10-12.90	$8.93 \pm 2.16a$	4.80-14.8	$9.02 \pm 2.28a$	4.80-15.20	$8.82 \pm 2.68a$	0.380	0.68
Chloride (mg/L)	6.8-44.2	$24.7 \pm 12.2a$	6.8-118.1	$35.0 \pm 26.6a$	6.8-73.1	$27.7 \pm 15.8a$	1.266	0.29
Sulphate (mg/L)	11.90-17.70	14.16±1.86a	9.10-49.80	$16.72 \pm 8.68a$	8.40-31.70	$13.34 \pm 5.34a$	1.540	0.22
Phosphate (mg/L)	0.16-1.45	0.93 ± 0.42 a	0.11-1.42	$0.92 \pm 0.37a$	0.07-1.82	$0.77 \pm 0.53a$	0.388	0.68
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.75-0.89	$0.82 \pm 0.05a$	0.68-1.49	$0.87 \pm 0.17a$	0.68-1.15	$0.80 \pm 0.11a$	1.562	0.22
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO ₃)	42.0-142.0	$87.8 \pm 30.7a$	82.0-214.0	$120.5 \pm 40.7b$	68.0-190.0	105.9±30.4ab	3.455*	0.03
HCO ₃ (mg/L)	51.0-173.0	$103.8 \pm 41.8a$	100.0-261.0	146.9±49.6b	83.0-232.0	129.1±37.1ab	3.760*	0.03
Acidity (mg/L CaCO ₃)	6.0-70.0	$18.9 \pm 19.7a$	2.0-40.0	$12.2 \pm 10.1b$	0.0-32.0	9.1±6.6ab	0.348	0.70
pН	6.07-8.10	7.14±0.53a	6.90-8.41	$7.61\pm0.47b$	7.10-8.39	$7.64 \pm 0.43b$	1.396	0.25
Conductivity (µscm ¹)	120.0-310.0	195.0±55.2a	159.0-338.0	$246.2 \pm 51.8b$	134.0-292.2	222.4±41.2ab	3.783*	0.03
TDS (mg/L)	84.0-148.0	109.4±18.6a	97.0-157.0	$126.8 \pm 17.4b$	89.0-142.0	119.1±14.0ab	3.793*	0.02
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	2.00-15.20	$6.24 \pm 3.96a$	2.80-11.20	$6.80 \pm 1.62a$	2.40-10.40	$5.65 \pm 2.06a$	1.163	0.32
DO Saturation (%)	26.2-204.9	$84.1 \pm 53.6a$	36.10-148.70	$91.3 \pm 22.3a$	32.1-139.2	$76.3 \pm 27.7a$	1.200	0.31
$BOD_5 (mg/L)$	1.90-11.50	$4.59 \pm 3.43a$	0.40-9.60	$5.15 \pm 2.26a$	0.40-10.20	$3.57 \pm 2.53a$	1.421	0.25
Organic Matter (mg/L)	3.30-25.20	8.94±6.41a	1.70-22.50	$8.63 \pm 4.35a$	2.40-23.60	8.54±4.91a	0.091	0.98
Organic Carbon (mg/L)	1.90-14.60	$5.18 \pm 3.71a$	1.00-13.00	$5.19 \pm 2.47a$	1.40-13.70	$4.96 \pm 2.86a$	0.082	0.92
Nickel (µg/L)	0.00-3.00	$0.60 \pm 1.00a$	0.00-3.00	$0.30 \pm 0.70a$	0.00-3.00	$0.20 \pm 0.70a$	1.239	0.29
Manganese (µg/L)	13.4-16.0	$53.0 \pm 47.0a$	12.0-119.0	$32.0 \pm 29.0a$	8.0-122.0	$34.0 \pm 35.0a$	0.856	0.43
Lead (µg/L)	0.0-60.0	$10.0 \pm 20.0a$	0.0-140.0	$34.0 \pm 46.0a$	0.0-170.0	$42.0 \pm 55.0a$	0.535	0.58
Copper (µg/L)	0.0-12.0	$4.0 \pm 4.0a$	0.0-14.0	$5.0 \pm 4.0a$	0.0-15.0	$4.0 \pm 4.0a$	0.419	0.66

NB: Values in a row followed by different letters are significantly different (p = 0.05). * = Significant. FNF – Fertilized non-flow-through pond. FF – Fertilized flow-through pond. NFF – Not fertilized flow-through pond.

	Pond											
Parameter	FNF			FF			NFF			- ANOVA		
	DS	RS	DS / RS	DS	RS	DS/ RS	DS	RS	DS / RS	F-value	Р	
Air Temperature (°C)	32.9 ± 2.3	28.3 ± 1.9	1.163	33.9 ± 2.8	29.7 ± 1.9	1.141	33.8 ± 2.6	29.5 ± 1.3	1.146	50.104***	0.000	
Water Temperature (°C)	31.6 ± 0.6	30.3 ± 1.6	1.043	31.7 ± 1.5	30.7 ± 1.5	1.033	31.8 ± 1.1	30.4 ± 1.3	1.046	9.011**	0.004	
Apparent Colour (Pt.Co)	851.2±539.5	508.9±537.8	1.673	631.9±282.7	655.7±435.9	0.964	499.2±300.1	552.1±517.9	0.904	0.521	0.474	
Turbidity (NTU)	86.9 ± 53.3	39.4 ± 43.8	2.206	60.3 ± 28.8	56.9 ± 40.9	1.060	51.8 ± 39.5	62.4 ± 57.4	0.830	1.096	0.300	
Transparency (m)	0.13 ± 0.07	0.27 ± 0.11	0.481	0.21 ± 0.08	0.29 ± 0.16	0.724	0.29 ± 0.13	0.29 ± 0.15	1.000	3.532	0.066	
Depth (m)	0.38 ± 0.14	0.41 ± 0.09	0.927	0.43 ± 0.12	0.56 ± 0.15	0.768	0.60 ± 0.19	0.68 ± 0.18	0.882	4.421**	0.041	
Calcium (mg/L)	13.8 ± 5.3	14.6 ± 4.8	0.945	15.6 ± 4.4	18.8 ± 4.3	0.830	15.6 ± 3.11	18.7 ± 5.1	0.834	3.644	0.062	
Magnesium (mg/L)	0.78 ± 1.19	1.98 ± 2.59	0.394	1.77 ± 1.56	2.41 ± 1.67	0.734	2.22 ± 1.86	1.67 ± 2.12	1.329	0.626	0.433	
Sodium (mg/L)	11.3 ± 3.6	8.5 ± 1.2	1.329	15.6 ± 3.5	13.5 ± 4.6	1.156	14.2 ± 3.7	12.9 ± 2.0	1.101	4.390**	0.041	
Potassium (mg/L)	9.40 ± 2.03	8.53 ± 2.37	1.102	9.38 ± 2.05	8.78 ± 2.48	1.068	9.23 ± 3.46	8.48 ±1.90	1.088	1.209	0.277	
Chloride (mg/L)	28.5 ± 15.1	21.6 ± 9.5	1.319	40.6 ± 32.9	30.9 ± 21.6	1.314	32.3 ± 21.0	23.8 ± 8.9	1.357	1.972	0.166	
Sulphate (mg/L)	15.28 ±1.78	13.21 ± 1.41	1.157	15.12 ± 4.70	17.85 ± 10.71	0.847	11.47 ± 2.82	14.90 ±6.48	0.770	0.455	0.503	
Phosphate (mg/L)	1.14 ± 0.24	0.76 ± 0.48	1.500	0.98 ±0.42	0.87 ± 0.35	1.126	0.73 ± 0.57	0.80 ± 0.51	0.913	1.237	0.271	
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.85 ± 0.04	0.80 ± 0.11	1.063	0.83 ± 0.09	0.90 ± 0.20	0.922	0.78 ± 0.09	0.81 ± 0.13	0.963	0.221	0.640	
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO ₃)	82.4 ± 27.8	92.3 ± 34.8	0.893	103.5 ± 10.4	132.7 ± 49.7	0.780	92.3 ± 14.2	117.3 ± 35.9	0.787	5.468**	0.023	
HCO ⁻ ₃ (mg/L)	93.2 ± 43.0	112.6 ± 42.5	0.828	126.2 ± 12.7	161.8 ± 60.6	0.780	112.4 ± 17.3	143.1 ± 43.9	0.785	6.361**	0.015	
Acidity (mg/L CaCO ₃)	11.2 ± 3.3	25.3 ± 25.7	0.443	12.0 ± 7.1	12.4 ± 12.1	0.968	6.6 ±3.7	11.2 ± 7.9	0.589	3.910*	0.054	
рН	7.33 ± 0.28	6.99 ± 0.67	1.049	7.67 ± 0.43	7.58 ± 0.52	1.012	7.33 ± 0.28	6.99 ± 0.67	1.049	2.168	0.147	
Conductivity (µscm ⁻¹)	214.0 ± 79.9	179.2 ± 18.1	1.194	252.0 ± 46.5	242.1 ± 56.7	1.041	226.5 ± 44.9	218.9 ± 39.5	1.035	1.453	0.234	
TDS (mg/L)	115.6 ± 26.9	104.2 ± 6.24	1.109	129.1 ± 15.6	125.1 ± 18.9	1.032	121.0 ± 15.29	117.4 ± 13.3	1.031	1.692	0.199	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	6.60 ± 4.86	5.93 ± 3.50	1.113	6.56 ± 0.89	6.97 ± 2.01	0.941	5.68 ± 1.37	5.63 ± 2.56	1.009	0.012	0.912	
DO Saturation (%)	89.4 ± 65.3	79.7 ± 47.7	1.122	88.1 ± 13.8	93.6 ± 27.1	0.941	77.5 ± 18.9	75.2 ± 34.2	1.031	0.037	0.848	
BOD ₅ (mg/L)	3.88 ± 3.65	5.18 ± 3.46	0.749	3.91 ± 1.99	6.05 ± 2.05	0.646	2.68 ± 1.97	4.32 ± 2.77	0.620	5.677**	0.021	
Organic Matter (mg/L)	9.66 ± 3.82	8.33 ± 8.34	1.160	8.94 ± 2.45	8.41 ± 5.40	1.063	8.07 ± 3.63	8.33 ± 8.34	0.969	0.056	0.814	
Organic Carbon (mg/L)	5.60 ± 2.22	4.83 ± 4.83	1.159	5.18 ± 1.42	5.20 ± 3.06	0.996	4.68 ± 2.11	5.18 ± 3.44	0.903	0.014	0.905	
Nickel (µg/L)	0.4 ±1.0	0.6 ± 1.0	0.667	0.3 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 1.0	1.500	0.4 ± 1.0	-	-	0.073	0.788	
Manganese (µg/L)	84.0 ± 55.0	26.0 ± 11.0	3.231	45.0 ± 41.0	23.0 ± 10.0	1.957	43.0 ± 42.0	27.0 ± 30.0	1.593	11.693***	0.001	
Lead (µg/L)	12.0 ± 27.0	8.0 ± 13.0	1.500	43.0 ± 39.0	27.0 ± 50.0	1.593	51.0 ± 48.0	35.0 ± 65.0	1.457	0.800	0.375	
Copper (µg/L)	0.6 ± 0.5	7.0 ± 4.0	0.086	2.0 ± 2.0	6.0 ± 5.0	0.333	1.0 ± 2.0	6.0 ± 5.0	0.167	28.520***	0.000	

Table 3: Seasonal mean values of the physico-chemical water parameters of investigated fish ponds in NIFAGOL Farm,Osun State, Nigeria, 2006-2008.

 $\label{eq:significant} \begin{array}{ll} ** = \mbox{Significant}. & ** = \mbox{Very highly significant}. & \mbox{FNF} - \mbox{Fertilized non-flow-through pond}. \\ FF - \mbox{Fertilized flow-through pond}. & \mbox{DS} - \mbox{Dry season}. \\ RS - \mbox{Rainy season}. \end{array}$

		Pond		Desirable limits
Parameter	FNF	FF	NFF	(Boyd, 1998)
	Mean \pm S.D.	Mean \pm S.D.	Mean \pm S.D.	-
Water Temperature(°C)	30.9 ± 1.4	31.1 ± 1.6	31.1 ± 1.4	25-32
Apparent Color (Pt.Co)	664.5 ± 541.3	645.7 ± 372.6	528.1 ± 424.1	<100
Turbidity (NTU)	$61.0 \pm 52.1*$	58.4 ± 35.7	57.6 ± 49.2	30-60
Transparency (m)	0.21 ± 0.12	0.25 ± 0.13	0.29 ± 0.14	25-30
Calcium (mg/L)	$14.2 \pm 4.8a$	17.4 ± 4.5	17.2 ± 4.5	5-50
Magnesium (mg/L)	1.43 ± 2.07	2.14 ± 1.62	1.91 ± 1.98	5-100
Sodium (mg/L)	9.8 ± 2.8	14.4 ± 4.2	13.5 ± 2.9	2-100
Potassium (mg/L)	8.93 ± 2.16	9.02 ± 2.28	8.82 ± 2.68	1-10
Chloride (mg/L)	24.7 ± 12.2	35.0 ± 26.6	27.7 ± 15.8	31-50
Sulphate (mg/L)	14.16 ± 1.86	16.72 ± 8.68	13.34 ± 5.34	5-100
Phosphate (mg/L)	$0.93 \pm 0.42*$	$0.92 \pm 0.37*$	$0.77 \pm 0.53*$	0.005-0.2
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.82 ± 0.05	0.87 ± 0.17	0.80 ± 0.11	0.1-3
Alkalinity (mg/L CaCO ₃)	87.8 ± 30.7	120.5 ± 40.7	105.9 ± 30.4	50-200
$HCO_{3}(mg/L)$	103.8 ± 41.8	146.9 ± 49.6	129.1 ± 37.1	50-300
рН	7.14 ± 0.53	7.61 ± 0.47	7.64 ± 0.43	6.5-9.5
Conductivity (µscm ⁻¹)	195.0 ± 55.2	246.2 ± 51.8	222.4 ± 41.2	100-250
TDS (mg/L)	109.4 ± 18.6	126.8 ± 17.4	119.1 ± 14.0	>100
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	6.24 ± 3.96	6.80 ± 1.62	5.65 ± 2.06	5-15
$BOD_5 (mg/L)$	4.59 ± 3.43	5.15 ± 2.26	3.57 ± 2.53	< 10
Nickel (µg/L)	0.60 ± 1.00	0.30 ± 0.70	0.20 ± 0.70	20
Manganese (µg/L)	53.0 ± 47.0	32.0 ± 29.0	34.0 ± 35.0	50-200
Lead (µg/L)	10.0 ± 20.0	34.0 ± 46.0	42.0 ± 55.0	50
Copper (µg/L)	4.0 ± 4.0	5.0 ± 4.0	$4.0\ \pm 4.0$	5-10

Table 4: Mean values of physico-chemical water parameters of the investigated fish ponds in NIFAGOL Farm,

 Osun State, Nigeria, 2006-2008, in comparison with desirable limits.

*= Above desirable limit.

FNF-Fertilized non-flow-through pond.

FF-Fertilized flow-through pond.

NFF-Not fertilized flow-through pond.

phosphate and potassium) required for primary productivity while flowage could cause increase in the salinity parameters (conductivity, alkalinity and total dissolved solid). The fertilized ponds were more saline (based on conductivity and TDS), better buffered and richer in nutrients than the unfertilized ponds.

High mean turbidity as observed might be as a result of colloidal clay particles and the suspended organic particles due to fish movement in the ponds as well as the abundance of phytoplankton (Boyd, 1979). This was further reflected in the extent of light penetration which was generally below 1 m for all the ponds and over the two seasons of the annual cycle. While the high level of phosphate concentration in the fishponds could be as a result of pond management practices employed such as fertilization with inorganic fertilizers (Adedeji, 2011). These, however according to Ludwig (2002) could favour increased ammonia concentration which is hazardous to the organism inhabiting the ponds.

The high level of $BOD_5(0.40 \text{ mgL}^{-1}\text{-}11.50 \text{ mgL}^{-1})$ as reported in the present study is in accordance to the

resultant effect of organic fertilization of ponds (Ngbede, 1996; Ludwig, 2002). While the BOD₅ of the non-fertilized ponds which was equally high (0.40 mgL⁻¹-10.20 mgL⁻¹) as observed might probably be due to uneaten feeds which are being decomposed (Baluyut, 1989). The BOD₅ of the fertilized flow-through ponds (0.40)mgL⁻¹ -9.60 mgL⁻¹) was however much more within the desirable limit than that of fertilized non flow-through pond (1.90 mgL⁻¹-11.50 mgL⁻¹). This could be as result of effect of water flowage leading to continuous refreshening of the water in the pond.Conductivity values were generally less than 400 µscm⁻¹, hence the ponds can be classified among African most dilute water (conductivity less than 600 mhos cm⁻¹); this class of water is known to be poor in ionic concentration (Talling and Talling, 1965 cited in Kemdirim, 2005). Moreover, these ponds can be classified mesotrophic according to Olsen's (1950) trophic classification of water (cited in Garg et al 2010), hence will support diverse species of organisms (Dumont, 1999).

The significant variation based on water flowage as

observed for sodium, alkalinity, bicarbonate, conductivity and TDS could be as a result environmental modifications (alterations in water chemical composition) due to inlet and outlet flows of water in the flow-through ponds (Pereira *et al* 2004). Effect of fertilization was however observed for the oxygen parameters, nutrients, major ions and apparent colour which are known to increase on account of fertilizer application (Abdel-Tawwab *et al* 2005). Based on these observations, it could be inferred that the fertilized flow-through ponds were characterised by water quality most suitable for fish culture in the study area.

Acknowledgement

This is to acknowledge the management and staff of Niger Feeds and Agricultural Operations Limited (NIFAGOL), Yakoyo, Osun State, for the opportunity given to carry out the research on their farm. We equally express our indebtness to national body of the Academic Staff Union of the Universities (ASUU) in Nigeria as well as Obafemi Awolowo University Research Grant Unit for the research grants received which helped greatly in the laboratory analysis of the samples.

References

- Abdel-Tawwab, M., Eid A. M., Abdelghany A. E., El-Marakby H.I. 2005. The assessment of water quality and primary productivity in earthen fishponds stocked with stripped mullet (Mugilcephalus) and subjected to different feeding regimes. *Turk. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 5:* 1-10.
- Adedeji, A.A. 2011. The water quality, zooplankton and macrobenthic invertebrate faunae in relation to aquacultural practice and management of fishponds in Ife-North Area, Osun State, Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife. 284pp.
- Ajao, E.A. 1999. Sustainable management of waterbodies for small-scale fisheries resources-research and development. In: Eyo, A. A. (ed.). *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of the FISON, New Bussa 3rd-8th November,* 1996. Published by Fisheries Society of Nigeria, pp. 30-35.
- APHA, AWWA, WEF 1992. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste-waters. 18th Edition. American Public Health Association, Washington D.C. 1134pp.
- Baluyut, E.A. 1989. Aquaculture System and Practices: A selected Review. ADCP/REP89/48, United Nations Development Programme, Rome.

- Boyd, C.E. 1979. *Water quality in warm-water fishponds*. Auburn University, Agricultural Experimental Station, Auburn University, Alabama 359pp.
- Boyd, C.E. 1998. Water quality for pond aquaculture. Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University. *Alabama Research and Development Series No. 43:* 37pp.
- Brunson, M.W., Stone, N. and Hargreaves, J. 1999. *Fertilization of fish ponds*. SRAC Publication No. 471.
- Dumont, H.J. 1999. The species' richness of reservoir plankton and the effect of reservoirs on plankton dispersal (with particular emphasis on rotifers and cladocerans).
 In: Tundisi, J.G. and Straskraba, M. (Eds.), *Theoretical Reservoir Ecology and its Applications*. IIE, Backhuys Publishers, Brazilian Academy of Science, pp. 477-491.
- Garg, R. K., Rao, R. J., Uchchariya, D., Shukla, G. and Saksena, D.N. 2010. Seasonal variations in water quality and major threats to Ramsagar Reservoir, India. *Afri. J. Env. Sci. Tec.* 4(2): 061-076.
- Golterman, H.L., Clymo, R.S. and Ohnstad, M.A.N. 1978. Methods for physical and chemical analysis of freshwater. IBP Handbook, No 8. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- Ita, E.O. 1980. A review of recent advances in warm water aquaculture research and a proposed experimental design for maximizing fish production in Nigerian fish ponds. Kainji Lake Research Institute Technical Report Series No 5, 48pp.
- Kemdirim, E.C. 2005. Studies on the hydrochemistry of Kangimi Reservoir, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Afr. J. Ecol. 43: 7-13.
- Ludwig, G.M. 2002. The effect of increasing organic and inorganic fertilizer on water quality, primary production, zooplankton, and sunshine Bass, *Morone chrysops XM.* saxatilis, fingerling production. J.Appl. Aqua. 12(2): 1-29.
- Mackereth, F.J.H., Heron, J. and Talling, J.F. 1978. Water Analysis: Some revised methods for Limnologist. *Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication, No. 36, 2nd Edition.*
- Ngbede, V.O. 1996. Environmental impact assessment of agrochemicals for fish production. A review paper. In: A.A. Eyo. (Ed.). *Proceeding of the 13th Annual Conference of the FISON, New Bussa 3rd-8th November 1996.* Published by Fisheries Society of Nigeria, 1999, pp. 84-91.
- Pereira, R.H.G., Espindola, E.L.G., Eler, M.N. 2004. Limnological variables and their correlation with water flow in fishponds. *Acta Limnol. Bras.* 16(3): 263-271.
- SPSS, 2008. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Base 16 for Windows. SPSS Inc., Chicago.



Adedeji, A.A. and Adeniyi, I.F. © *The Zoologist, 11:*13-20 (2013), ISSN 1596 972X. Zoological Society of Nigeria.