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Abstract

Background: Ankle joint and foot injuries are among the most common injuries seen at the accident and emergency (A&E) department of any hospital. Radiographs are ordered in over 95% of cases yet the prevalence of fractures is in the range of 15-20%. The Ottawa ankle rules have been designed to reduce the need for radiographs in these patients and associated healthcare costs. This study aimed to validate the Ottawa ankle rules within our local setting and assess the impact of introduction of the rules.

Methods: This was a cross sectional study at the Aga Khan University Hospital A&E department and the orthopedic outpatient clinics. Consenting patients with ankle trauma were examined based on the criteria set out in the Ottawa rules and subsequently sent for radiographs to confirm the presence or absence of a fracture.

Results: The study recruited 175 patients over a six month period. There were 27 fractures with an incidence of 15%. The decision rule had a sensitivity of 96.3% and specificity of 57.4%. The negative predictive value was 98.8%. Application of these rules showed a potential of reducing the requested radiographs by 46%.

Conclusion: The results have shown that implementation of the rules will result in significant savings in cost, time and unnecessary radiation exposure.
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Introduction

The ankle joint complex is a mortise and tenon joint comprising the tibia and fibula proximally and the talus distally (1). The medial and lateral malleoli form the medial and lateral articular surfaces of the mortise respectively. The primary medial stabilizer is the deltoid ligament while lateral malleolus is supported by the anterior and posterior talofibular ligaments and the calcaneofibular ligament. The mortise of the ankle joint is completed by the distal tibiofibular syndesmosis (2).

Injuries to the ankle joint and mid-foot are common. It is estimated that about six million ankle radiographs are done each year in the United States (3). Despite all these radiographs being done, studies have shown that only 15% of patients sustain any fractures of the ankle or mid-foot after injury (4-6). This exposes the need for more efficient utilization of resources while maintaining effectiveness of care. The development of clinical practice guidelines to assist in clinical decision making (7, 8) has grown from this need.
for inhabitants of the city of Nairobi. The hospital has a 24 hour accident and emergency department (A&E), several specialist inpatient wards, outpatient clinics and a family medicine centre. There is also a well-equipped and fully staffed radiology department. There is no defined protocol for managing patients with ankle and foot injuries within the hospital. Each joint is inspected, palpated and moved for assessment of injuries as per the physician’s understanding of ankle and foot injuries. Majority of the patients would routinely get X-ray examinations to rule out fractures and then referred for follow-up in the orthopedic outpatient clinic. A few patients present at the clinic for their initial evaluation. The study population included all patients over 18 years with ankle and foot injuries seen at the A&E and the orthopedic outpatient clinics.

Excluded were all patients with injuries over seven days old, pregnancy, altered mental status at consultation, revisits, those with x rays before consultation, patients with other distracting major injuries and those with gross ankle deformity. The sample size calculated was 172 with the following set variables: fracture prevalence of 20%, target OAR sensitivity of 90%, precision of 10%, power set at 80% and P < 0.05.

The physicians underwent two training sessions coordinated by authors MMK and PO. Here, the concept of the Ottawa ankle rules was explained and techniques of examining the ankle and foot in these patients demonstrated.

Once an injured patient reported to the A&E, informed consent was sought and each patient was then assigned a study number filled in the questionnaire. The trained physicians administered the questionnaire asking specific questions as per the OAR specifications (Appendix 1). The physician then examined the patient by checking for specific points of tenderness and ability to bear weight as shown. The physician, after filling the questionnaire, indicated the possibility whether the patient has a fracture or not. The patient was then sent for a radiograph of the ankle and foot region to confirm the findings of the clinicians.

All radiographs were interpreted by qualified radiologists who were blinded to the contents of the data collection questionnaire and the patient clinical features. The radiological findings were then compared with the clinical diagnosis and the information fed into a database. After every thirty patients interviewed, five questionnaires were sampled randomly by taking every sixth questionnaire. They were inspected to ensure that the physicians adhered to the protocol as described.

Use of the Ottawa ankle rules in the study population resulted in positive identification of fractures in 26 patients. This translated to a sensitivity of 96.3% (CI 79.1-99.8%). Additionally, the rules were shown to have a specificity of 57.4% (CI 49.0-65.4%) (Table 2).
Table 2: Outcome of injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray Results</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAR Clinical Impression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Fracture</td>
<td>85 (57.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>63 (42.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. (%)</td>
<td>148 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the above figures, the study also revealed a negative predictive value of 98.8% (CI 92.7-99.9%) and a positive predictive value of 29.2% (CI 20.2-39.9%) (Table 3).

In comparing the performance of individual Ottawa ankle rule criteria, the ability to bear weight on examination was found to have a significantly high specificity at 98.3% (CI 93.4-99.7%) in ruling out a fracture (Table 3).

Discussion

This cross sectional study found that in the 175 patients who were examined using the Ottawa ankle rules, the incidence of fractures was 15.4% in keeping with other emergency departments as reported in literature (9, 13-16). The sensitivity of the rules was high at 96.3% with a lower specificity of 57.4%. The results confirm that the rules are applicable with implementation of the rules identifying all but one of the patients with fractures before performing the x-ray. Some previous studies that assessed the Ottawa ankle rules had found lower sensitivities; however these have been criticized as not implementing the original rules including a pictorial representation when collecting patient information (12,17). In this study the rule were used as originally described by Stiell and has reproduced findings similar to other such studies (10,11,18).

From the study findings, the rules have a high negative predictive value of 98.8% and a positive predictive value of 29.2%. Before introduction of the Ottawa ankle rules, over 95% of patients presenting with injuries had a radiograph performed. By introducing the rules there is a potential for reducing the number of radiograph by 46%. This rate is much higher than in most studies (10,13,16,19-21). A possible explanation for our higher rate might be our patient profile. AKUH is a private tertiary hospital where most patients are insured. Cost considerations may not deter request for radiograph. Further, some of the previous studies used much larger samples than the current study.

During the analysis of data, the study compared the individual components of the Ottawa ankle rules with the final results. The analysis revealed that the ability of the patient to bear weight and ambulate for four steps during examination had a high specificity of 98.3% (P<0.05). This single factor was able to rule out fractures in all but two of the cases. However the sensitivity was low at 44.6%. This finding replicates what has been found before in America and in Asia with specificities for weight bearing and four step ambulation ranging between 90-95% (12,21). At AKUH a single radiograph costs 2000 shillings ($23.50). This study has shown that with implementation of the Ottawa ankle rules there is a potential of reducing the number of x rays by 46% and the rules in this study were truly negative in 85 out of 175 patients involved.

Based on these findings the implementation of the rule would result in a total saving of 170 000 shillings ($2 000) from this population alone.

This study only reviewed patients presenting to the accident and emergency department and the orthopedic outpatient clinic. This leaves out patients who are referred for radiographs for similar injuries by private physicians and Aga Khan satellite clinics. An analysis of the total radiographs done including other patients from the radiology department revealed there are a total of 210 radiographs done for isolated ankle injuries over a 6 month period reported as normal. This would mean a potential saving of 420 000 shillings ($4 900) over six months or 840 000 shillings ($9 800) per annum. Projected over many hospital and clinics in Kenya, reducing ankle radiographs by 40% could save tens of millions of healthcare shillings per year. Such similar cost reductions were observed in America and Canada (21,22).

The uptake of the Ottawa ankle rules within the study period showed that if implemented the rules would...
significantly reduce costs and time. Uptake of the rules has been challenged by some authors (3). However from this study, it was shown that with effective training physicians were able to fully implement the rule. This study was sufficiently powered to show that implementation of the Ottawa ankle rules will result in significant savings in cost, time and avoid unnecessary radiation exposure in patients with ankle injuries. This is especially beneficial in the resource limited setting environment in Kenya.

Conclusion
The Ottawa ankle rules have been validated within an urban teaching hospital in Kenya and introduction of the rules into daily practice will reduce costs, time and unnecessary radiation exposure to patients. The rules should be introduced as part of the management protocol in patients presenting with ankle injuries in the hospital.

Appendix: Ottawa Ankle Rules

Rules for an Ankle Radiograph
Pain around the ankle plus one or more of the following:
- a. Age 55 or greater
- b. Inability to bear weight both immediately and in the emergency department and walk for four steps
- c. Bone tenderness at the tip or distal 6cm of either medial or lateral malleolus

Rules for a Foot Radiograph
Pain around the foot region plus one of the following
- a. Bone tenderness at the navicular or cuboid
- b. Bone tenderness at the base of the fifth metatarsal
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