

African Crop Science Journal by African Crop Science Society is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Uganda License. Based on a work at [www.ajol.info/](http://www.ajol.info/) and [www.bioline.org.br/cs](http://www.bioline.org.br/cs)  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/acsj.v24i1.12S>



## ECONOMICS OF HERBICIDE WEED MANAGEMENT IN WHEAT IN ETHIOPIA

H. SARETA, WOGAYEHU WORKU and BEDADA BEGNA  
Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, P. O. Box 2003, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia  
**Corresponding author:** [hussiensareta@yahoo.com](mailto:hussiensareta@yahoo.com)

### ABSTRACT

Effective use of herbicides for the control of annual grass and broadleaf weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was not a reality in Ethiopia, until in recent years. This study aimed at evaluating different post-emergence herbicides against annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in wheat for selection and incorporation into an integrated weed management (IWM) system. The study was conducted at Kulumsa Agricultural Research Centre main station, Bekoji and Lole farm fields. Treatments included herbicides, namely, Mesosulfuron methyl+Idosulfuron methyl sodium (liquid) 1 lit ha<sup>-1</sup> a.i. Pyroxulam (liquid) 0.5 l ha<sup>-1</sup> a.i. hand weeding twice (30-35 and 55-60 days after emergence (DAE)); and a weedy check. Among the annual grass weeds, *Snowdenia polystachya*, *Avena fatua*, *Bromus pectinatus*, *Phalaris paradoxa* and *Setaria pumila*; and most broad leaf weeds like *Polygonum nepalense*, *Guizotia scabra*, *Galinsoga parviflora* and *Gallium spurium* were controlled with herbicide efficacy ranging from 75 to 100%. Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxulam and hand weeded twice plots out-yielded the weedy check by 63, 58 and 53%, respectively. Maximum wheat grain yield (5,184 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), biomass (12,808 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), thousand kernel weight (48.55) and hectoliter weight (74.2) were obtained due to the application of Mesosulfuron methyl+Idosulfuron methyl sodium. In addition, the herbicide had a yield advantage over Pyroxulam, two hand weedings and the weedy check by 12, 21 and 63%, respectively. Application of Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium (US\$1,596.31 ha<sup>-1</sup>) had the highest net field benefit compared to Pyroxulam (US\$1,379.21 ha<sup>-1</sup>), two hand weeding (US\$1,126.7 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and weedy check (US\$574.1 ha<sup>-1</sup>) by 13.6, 29 and 64%, respectively. Moreover, the herbicide was also economically profitable to farmers, providing a marginal rate of return (MRR) of 1,737%. Sensitivity analysis (MRR) also remained the most profitable even when the price of herbicide increased by 20%. Hence, Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium at a rate of 1 lit ha<sup>-1</sup> is the best herbicide for the effective control of annual grasses and broad leaf weeds in wheat and can be used as one of the component in Integrated Weed Management Program (IWM) in wheat fields.

*Key Words:* Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Mesosulfuron methyl, *Triticum aestivum*

### RÉSUMÉ

L'utilisation efficace d'herbicides pour le contrôle d'herbe annuelle et de mauvaises herbes broadleaf dans le blé (*Triticum aestivum* L.) n'était pas une réalité en éthiopie, jusqu'à au cours des dernières années. cette étude visait du fait d'évaluer de différents herbicides de post-émersion contre les herbes annuelles et les mauvaises herbes broadleaf dans le blé pour la sélection et l'incorporation dans une administration de mauvaise herbe intégrée (IWM) le système. l'étude a été accomplie au centre de recherche agricole kulumsa la station principale, Bekoji et les champs de ferme Lole. les traitements ont inclus des herbicides, à savoir, le méthyle de mesosulfuron le sodium de méthyle d'idosulfuron 1 (liquide) allumé ha<sup>-1</sup> a.i. pyroxulam 0.5 l (liquides) ha<sup>-1</sup> main d'a.i. désherbant deux fois (30-35 et 55-60 jours après l'émersion (dae)); et un chèque malingre. Parmi les mauvaises herbes d'herbe annuelles, *Snowdenia polystachya*, *Avena fatua*, *Bromus pectinatus*, *Phalaris paradoxa* et *Setaria pumila*; et les plus larges mauvaises herbes de feuille comme *Polygonum nepalense*, *Guizotia scabra*, *Galinsoga parviflora* et le *Gallium spurium* ont été contrôlées avec l'effet d'herbicide aux limites de 75 à 100 %. Le méthyle de Mesosulfuron que le sodium de méthyle d'Idosulfuron, Pyroxulam et la main désherbée comptent deux fois dehors - a produit

le chèque malingre par 63, 58 et 53 %, respectivement. La production de grain de blé maximum (5,184 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), la biomasse (12,808 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), un mille de poids cardinal (48.55) et de poids d'hectolitre (74.2) a été obtenue en raison de l'application de méthyle Mesosulfron le sodium de méthyle d'Idosulfuron, Pyroxulam et la main désherbée conspirent deux fois dehors - a produit le chèque malingre par 63, 58 et 53 %, respectivement. La production de grain de blé maximum (5,184 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), la biomasse (12,808 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), un mille de poids cardinal (48.55) et de poids d'hectolitre (74.2) a été obtenue en raison de l'application de méthyle Mesosulfron le sodium de méthyle d'Idosulfuron. En plus, l'herbicide avait un avantage de production sur Pyroxulam, deux main weedings et le chèque malingre par 12, 21 et 63 %, respectivement. L'application de méthyle Mesosulfron le sodium de méthyle d'Idosulfuron (US\$1,596.31 ha<sup>-1</sup>) avait le plus haut avantage net de terrain comparé à Pyroxulam (US\$1,379.21 ha<sup>-1</sup>), deux main désherbante (US\$1,126.7 ha<sup>-1</sup>) et chèque malingre (US\$574.1 ha<sup>-1</sup>) par 13.6, 29 et 64 %, respectivement. e plus, l'herbicide était aussi économiquement profitable aux fermiers, en fournissant un taux marginal de retour (MRR) de 1,737 %. L'analyse de sensibilité (aMRR) est aussi restée le plus profitable même lorsque le prix d'herbicide a augmenté de 20 %. Dorénavant, le méthyle de Mesosulfron le sodium de méthyle d'Idosulfuron à un taux de 1 allumé ha 1 est l'herbicide thebest pour le contrôle efficace d'herbes annuelles et de larges mauvaises herbes de feuille dans le blé et peut être utilisé comme une de la composante dans le Programme d'Administration de Mauvaise herbe Intégré (IWM) dans les champs de blé.

**Mots Clés:** le sodium de méthyle d'Idosulfuron, le méthyle de Mesosulfron, *Triticum aestivum*

## INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia is the largest producer of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in sub-Saharan Africa. The current total area suited to wheat production in the country is estimated at over 1.6 million ha, with an average grain yield of 2.1 tonnes per hectare (CSA, 2012). Durum and bread wheat are the two major wheat varieties produced in the country, whose proportion in 1991 were about 60 and 40%, respectively (Eshetu and Zerihun, 2003). Durum and emmer wheat are indigenous to Ethiopia and have been cultivated since the prehistoric period in the highlands.

Weed interference is one of the most important, but less understood factors, contributing to lowering the yields of wheat (Hassan and Marwat, 2001). Weeds reduce yields of the crop, deteriorate the quality of farm produce, and trim down the market value of wheat. An estimated yield loss of about 10% in the less developed countries and 25% in the least developed countries is caused by weeds (Akobundu, 1987).

In Ethiopia, a yield loss of above 36.3% was recorded in wheat in uncontrolled plots (Rezene, 2005). Similarly, in a study of *Avena abyssinica*, *Lolium temulentum* L., *Snowdenia polystachya* and *Phalaris paradoxa* L. with bread wheat, yield losses of 48-86% were recorded by the maximum weed density of 320 weed seedlings per m<sup>2</sup> (Taye *et al.*, 1996).

In Durum wheat, *Convolvulus arvensis* and *Cyperus* spp. pose significant yield losses. Besides, considerable yield losses of up to 60% have been recorded in irrigated wheat, due to *Sorghum arundnaceae*, *Cyperus esculentus*, *Cyperus rotundus*, *Portulaca oleraceae*, *Corchorus olitorius* and *Sorghum arundinaceae* (Kassahun *et al.*, 1998).

*Bromus pectinatus* and *Snowdenia polystachya* are weed species that recently became prominent in the affected cropping systems in Ethiopia due to a weed population shift, attributed primarily to continuous cereal cropping and frequent use of selective herbicides against previously common grass weeds, such as *Avena fatua* (Tanner and Giref, 1991; Amanuel *et al.*, 1992; Rezene and Yohannes, 2003). This study was designed to evaluate different herbicides for the control of annual grasses and broadleaf weeds in wheat and to incorporate the best herbicide in an integrated weed management programme.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Kulumsa Agricultural Research Centre main station, Bekoji and Lole (Ego) farmers field during the main cropping season of 2011/12 and 2012/13. Kulumsa is situated in the main wheat belt of Ethiopia at an altitude of 2200 m.a.s.l, located in the north periphery of Asella town. It lies at 8°01'21" N

and 39°09'21.11"E and receives mean rainfall of 832 mm. The mean minimum and maximum temperature is 10 and 23 °C, respectively.

Bekoji is found at 7°32'23.37"N and 39°15'22.21"E, with an altitude of 2780 m.a.s.l and receives average rainfall of 1066 mm; and the mean minimum and maximum temperatures of 9.6 and 24 °C, respectively. Dominant soils in these areas are Luvisol and Nitosol, respectively.

Treatments included post-emergence herbicides, namely, Pyroxulam (liquid) 0.5 lit ha<sup>-1</sup> a.i., and Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium (liquid) 1 lit ha<sup>-1</sup> a.i.; two hand weedings, and a weedy check as the control. Herbicides were applied at 30-35 days after emergence (DAE); and hand weeding was done 30-35 and 55-60 DAE. The required quantity of the herbicide was calculated and measured out into a manual knapsack sprayer, and filled with water to a volume of 200 lit for each herbicide treatment. All the necessary agronomic practices were applied equally for all treatments.

Dendea bread wheat variety was used for the trials at different locations, at a seeding rate of 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, by row planting; and 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Di Ammonium Phosphate (DAP) and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Urea fertilisers were applied at the time of sowing for all the treatments, in plots of 5 m by 4 m. The study was laid out in randomised complete block design (RCBD), in three replications.

Parameters measured included plant height, number of tillers, spike length, weed count before, two and four weeks after herbicide application, general weed control visual assessment using a scoring scale of 1-5 scale; 1= Complete eradication; 2 = effective destruction; 3 = proper reduction in growth and population; 4 = reduced growth and population; and 5 = healthy wheat plots. After harvesting, dry weed biomass, crop biomass, grain yield, thousand kernel weight (TKW), and Hector liter weight (HLW) were measured by taking their weights and counting the seed by a seed counter machine.

All data were subjected to statistical analysis using Proc GLM procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc, 1994). Comparisons among treatments, with significant differences, were based on LSD test at P<0.05. Linear correlation was used to determine the association between grain yield and yield components, using Minitab Software.

Economic data were collected to compare the economic advantage of each herbicide in different treatments. These included variable input costs and costs for the herbicides and labour during the execution of the experiment. Costs of herbicides were obtained from pesticide companies and local distributing agencies.

Based on the data obtained from both locations, economic analysis was computed using partial budget analyses, Marginal Rate of Return (MRR) and sensitivity analysis even when herbicide cost was increased by 20% (CIMMIT, 1988). The following formulae were used to compute partial budget and marginal rate of return (MRR) analysis, respectively.

Net field benefits (NBs) = Gross field benefits (GB) - Total Variable costs (TVC) and

$$\text{MRR} = \text{DNI/DIC}$$

Where: MRR = the marginal rate of return;  
DNI = difference in net income compared with control; and  
DIC = difference in input cost compared with control.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Efficacy of herbicides.** All the treatments except untreated weedy check, were effective in controlling the target annual grass weeds like *Snowdenia polystachya*, *Avena fatua*, *Bromus pectinatus*, *Phalaris paradoxa*, *Setaria pumila*; and broad leaf weeds like *Gizotia scabra*, *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Gallium spurium* and *Polygonum nepalense*, at an efficacy rate of 75-100%. Effectiveness of control of *S. polystachya* by Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxulam and two hand weeding was 100, 75 and 100%, respectively (Table 1). For that of *A. fatua*, Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxulam and two hand weedings controlled the weeds at efficacy rate of 87, 88 and 100%, respectively. *Phalaris paradoxa* was controlled by Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxulam and two hand weeding at 100% efficacy. Whereas, *Bromus pectinatus* was controlled at 85, 100 and 100% efficacy, respectively (Table 1). Rezene *et al.*

TABLE 1. Efficacy rate in percent of Mesosulfron methyl +Idosulfron methyl sodium as compared to Pyroxulam on major grass and broad leaf weeds two weeks after application at two locations in Arsi Zone, Ethiopia

Locations	Scientific name of weed species	Mesosulfron methyl +Idosulfron methyl sodium			Pyroxulam			Twice hand weeding			Untreated weedy check		
		Weed count before application	Weed count after application	Efficacy (%)	Weed count before application hand	Weed count after application hand	Efficacy (%)	Weed count before 1 <sup>st</sup> hand weeding	Weed count after 2 <sup>nd</sup> hand weeding	Efficacy (%)	Weed count 1 <sup>st</sup>	Weed count 2 <sup>nd</sup>	Efficacy (%)
Bekoji	<i>Snowdenia polystachya</i>	80	0	100	40	10	75	120	0	100	160	160	0
	<i>Avena fatua</i>	68	9	87	56	6	89	42	0	100	0	0	0
	<i>Bromus pectinatus</i>	3400	510	85	2180	0	100	1740	0	100	4200	4300	-2.3
	<i>Phalaris paradoxa</i>	25	0	100	260	0	100	100	0	100	300	340	-11.7
	<i>Gallium spurium</i>	58	0	100	43	5	88	5	0	100	3	4	-25
	<i>Polygonum nepalense</i>	117	0	100	55	0	100	50	4	92	45	47	-4.2
	<i>Gizotia scabra</i>	17	0	100	23	0	100	15	0	100	18	18	0
	<i>Galinsoga parviflora</i>	0	0	-	0	0	-	68	3	95	56	56	0
Lole	<i>Snowdenia polystachya</i>	1260	0	100	860	207	76	1140	0	100	1420	1460	-2.7
	<i>Avena fatua</i>	48	6	87.5	32	4	87.5	72	0	100	0	0	0
	<i>Bromus pectinatus</i>	1720	256	85	1820	0	100	1220	0	100	2080	2140	-2.8
	<i>Phalaris paradoxa</i>	17	0	100	21	0	100	30	0	100	0	0	0
	<i>Gallium spurium</i>	94	5	95	102	14	86	19	2	89	5	6	-16.6
	<i>Polygonum nepalense</i>	55	0	100	30	0	100	62	3	95	50	54	-7.4
	<i>Gizotia scabra</i>	5	1	80	9	0	100	11	0	100	15	15	0
	<i>Galinsoga parviflora</i>	16	1	94	28	0	100	23	0	100	68	68	0

Efficacy measured on quadrats of 1 m by 1 m

(2007) reported that Propoxycarbozone-sodium (Attribut 70WG) was effective against *Bromus pectinatus* and gave satisfactory suppression of *Snowdenia polystachya* across locations of the experimental sites. On the other hand, Shambel *et al.* (2000) reported that the herbicides sulfosulfur and ethiozin, exhibited significant potential to control problematic grass weeds, including Brome grass in the wheat growing areas of Ethiopia. Similarly, both herbicides and two hand weedings controlled *Gallium spurium*, *Gizotia scabra*, *Galinsoga parviflora* and *Polygonum nepalense* at 80-100% efficacy level (Table 1). The negative values in the efficacy column of the untreated weedy check is resulted from the increasing late emergence of the weeds after the second weed count.

Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium is best recommended in areas where *Snowdenia polystachya*, *Avena fatua*, *Phalaris paradoxa* and *Setaria pumila*; and broad leaf weeds like *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Gallium spurium*, *Gizotia scabra* and *Polygonum nepalense* are problematic. For areas where *Bromus pectinatus*, *Phalaris paradoxa*, *Setaria pumila*, *Lolium temulentum* and broad leaf weeds like *Polygonum nepalense*, *Galinsoga parviflora*, *Gizotia scabra* are dominant weed problems, it is better to use Pyroxsulam.

**Yield and yield components.** Grain yield of wheat showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences due to Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxsulam and two hand weeding (Table 2). The highest grain yield was recorded in Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium; followed by Pyroxsulam and two hand weedings. The lowest grain yield was recorded in weedy check treatment.

The combined analysis over locations was not significant for plant height, spike length, TKW and HLW, but significant for weed dry weight, crop biomass and grain yield compared to the weedy check (Table 2). Yield wise, both Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxsulam and the two hand weedings outperformed the weedy check by 63, 58 and 53%, respectively. Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium had a yield advantage

TABLE 2. Grain yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) of wheat after Pyroxsulam and Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium herbicides at three locations in Arsi Zone, Ethiopia

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Spike length (cm)	Number of tillers/plant	TKW (g)	HLW	Crop BM ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )	GY ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )	Weed biomass ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ )
Pyroxsulam	96	7.4	3.4	47.85	73.7	10750	4567b	709
Mesosulfuron methyl +Idosulfuron methyl sodium	98	8.0	3.9	48.55	74.2	12808	5184a	317
Two hand weeding	98.5	7.7	3.25	47.6	73.55	8792	4079c	684
Weedy check	101	7.0	2.85	46.8	72.9	7467	1895d	1492
Mean	98.4	7.5	3.35	47.7	73.6	9954	4265	
LSD	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	2058	617	
CV (%)	5.57%	14.78	16.38	1.09	0.03	37.2	3.7	

GY = Grain yield, TKW = Thousand kernel weight, HLW = Hecto liter weight, CBM = Crop Biomass yield, NS = statistically non-significant

over Pyroxsulam, the two hand weedings and the weedy check (Table 2).

Dry weed mass showed significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) due to Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium, Pyroxsulam and the two hand weedings. The lowest dry weed mass was recorded in Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium treated plot; followed by two hand weedings and Pyroxsulam herbicide. The highest dry weed mass was recorded in untreated weedy checks (Table 2).

**Economic analysis.** Yield and economic data were collected to compare the economic advantage of each herbicide in different treatments. Accordingly, cost of Pyroxsulam was US\$125 litre<sup>-1</sup> and the cost of Mesosulfuron methyl

+ Idosulfuron methyl sodium was US\$50 litre<sup>-1</sup> in 2012/13.

Labour costs for two hand weedings were determined by man-days and it was US\$ 156.25 ha<sup>-1</sup>. Harvesting and threshing was done manually at 20 and 30 man days per hectare, respectively, with one daily labourer cost of US\$1,875, and accordingly the cost for daily labourer for harvesting and threshing of wheat for Pyroxsulam, Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium, two hand weeding and weedy check treatments was US\$93.75, 93.75, 93.75 and 65.5 ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The average grain price of wheat was US\$37.5 per 100 kg in 2012/13 season. Labour cost for three times plowing was uniform for each treatment and cost US\$140.5 ha<sup>-1</sup>. Average daily labourer cost and

TABLE 3. Partial budget analysis for weed control with herbicides and two times hand weeding at three locations in Arsi Zone in Ethiopia

List of different costs	Treatments			
	Pyroxsulam	Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium	Two hand weeding	Weedy check
Adjusted mean yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	4110.3	4665.6	3671.1	1705.5
Gross field benefit (US\$)	1541.4	1746	1376.7	639.6
Cost of herbicide (US\$)	62.5	50	-	-
Herbicide application cost and rent for knapsack sprayer (US\$)	5.94	5.94	-	-
Labor cost (US\$)	-	-	156.25	-
Harvesting cost (US\$)	37.5	37.5	37.5	28
Threshing cost (US\$)	56.25	56.25	56.25	37.5
Total variable cost (US\$)	162.19	149.69	250	65.5
Net field benefit (US\$)	1379.21	1596.31	1126.7	574.1

TABLE 4. Marginal rate of return analysis for weed control with herbicides and two times hand weeding at three locations in Arsi Zone in Ethiopia

Treatments	Rate (l ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net field benefit (US\$)	Total variable costs (US\$)	MRR	MRR <sup>a</sup>
Weedy check	-	574.1	65.5		
Pyroxsulam	0.5	1379.21	162.19	833	726
Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium	1.0	1596.31	149.69	1737	1464
Two hand weeding	-	1126.7	250	D	D

<sup>a</sup>MRR calculated for cost of herbicides increased by 20%. D = treatments with MRR < 50% considered as dominated

rent for knapsack sprayer for herbicide application was US\$5.94 ha<sup>-1</sup>. The cost for land preparation and inputs (seed and fertilisers) were uniform for all treatments. To minimise unnecessary exaggerations of grain yield, productivity of the location mean grain yield obtained was adjusted by 10%.

Partial budget analysis indicated that application of Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium had the highest net field benefits (Table 3). Similarly, the marginal rate of return (MRR) analysis revealed that Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium was more profitable for farmers, and resulted in a MRR of 1737% (Table 4). In the sensitivity analysis (<sup>a</sup>MRR), Mesosulfuron methyl + Idosulfuron methyl sodium remained the most profitable weed treatment, even when the cost of herbicide was increased by 20%.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Eastern Africa Agricultural Productivity Project (EAAPP) and the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) are gratefully acknowledged for financial support. Authors thank the Association for Strengthening Agricultural Research in Eastern and Central Africa (ASARECA) for facilitating the publication of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Akobundu, I.O. 1987. Weed Science in the tropics, Principles and practices. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd. New York, USA.
- Amanuel Gorfu, Tanner, D.G. and Assefa Taa. 1992. On-farm evaluation of pre-and post-emergence grass herbicides on bread wheat in Arsi Region of Ethiopia. pp. 330-337. In: Tanner, D.G. and Mwangi, W. (Eds). *Proceedings of the Seventh Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and South Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: CIMMYT*.
- CIMMYT. 1988. From agronomic data to farmer recommendations: An Economics Workbook. Mexico, D.F.: CIMMYT, ISBN 968-127-19-4 pp. 8-28.
- CSA (Central Statistical Authority). 2012. Agricultural Sample Survey 2011/2012, Volume I: Report on area and production of crops (Meher season). Statistical Bulletin No. 446. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Eshetu Bekele and Zerihun Kassaye. 2003. Integrated management of *Septoria* blotches of wheat: Effect of sowing date, variety and fungicide. *Pest Management Journal of Ethiopia* 7:11-18.
- Hassan, G. and Marrwat, K.B. 2001. Integrated weed management in Agricultural crops. Proceedings of the National Workshop on Technologies for Sustainable Agriculture. September 24-26, 2001 NIAB, Faisalabad, Pakistan. pp. 27-34.
- Kassahun Zewdie and Tanner, D.G. 1998. Pre- and post- emergence herbicides for irrigated wheat in Ethiopia. pp. 309-315. The 10<sup>th</sup> Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and Southern Africa, September 14-18, 1998, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa. ISBN 92-9146-058-3.
- Rezene Fessehaie and Yohannes, L. 2003. Control of *Snowdenia polystachya* in large scale wheat production: Herbicide Resistance in context. pp. 79-88. Proceedings of the Agronomy Workshop, 20-21 March 2000, Melekassa, Ethiopia. Bale Agricultural Development Enterprise (BADE), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Rezene Fessehaie. 2005. Weed science research and extension in Ethiopia: Challenges and responses. Key note address. *Ethiopian Weed Science Society 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference. 24 -25 November 2005, EARO, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia*.
- Rezene Fessehaie, Natanael Wassie and Kedija Demsiss. 2007. Effect of propoxycarbozone-sodium and mesosulfuron-methyl for annual grass weed control in wheat. *Ethiopian Journal of Weed Management* 1:53-61.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1994. SAS/STAT- Software: Changes and Enhancements through Release 9.0. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., USA.
- Shambel, M., Kefyalew, G. and Tanner, D.G. 2000. Evaluation of herbicides for the control of brome grass in wheat in Southeastern Ethiopia. In: CIMMYT. The Eleventh Regional Wheat Workshop for Eastern, Central and South Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: CIMMYT.

- Tanner, D.G. and Giref Sahle. 1991. Weed control research conducted in Ethiopia. pp. 235-276. In: Hailu Gebremariam, Tanner, D.G. and Mengistu Hulluka. (Eds.). *Wheat Research in Ethiopia: A Historic Perspective*. Addis Ababa: IAR/CIMMYT.
- Taye, T., Tanner, D.G. and Mengistu, H. 1996. Grass weeds competition with bread wheat in Ethiopia: I. Effect on selected crop and weed vegetative parameters and yield components. *African Crop Science Journal* 4: 399-409.