African Crop Science Journal, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 189 - 200 Printed in Uganda. All rights reserved ISSN 1021-9730/2011 \$4.00 ©2011, African Crop Science Society

INTERRELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN GRAIN YIELD AND OTHER PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAITS OF COWPEA CULTIVARS

A.S. OLADEJO¹, R.O. AKINWALE^{1,2} and I.O. OBISESAN¹ ¹Department of Crop Production and Protection, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Nigeria ²International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria Corresponding author: oladejosamuel@yahoo.com

(Received 13 December, 2010; accepted 20 September, 2011)

ABSTRACT

Adequate knowledge of the interrelationships among physiological traits is essential in planning and evaluating breeding programmes for cowpea improvement. The objective of this study was to determine the interrelationships among physiological traits of thirty cowpea cultivars and identify suitable traits for indirect selection for improved crop yield. The study was conducted for two consecutive growing seasons at the Obafemi Awolowo University, Teaching and Research Farm, Ile-Ife in Nigeria. Combined analysis of variance, cluster analysis and genotype-by-trait (GT) analysis were carried out on the measured traits. Results showed significant genotype differences for all phenological and morphological traits, except grain yield and associated yield components. The GT biplot analysis revealed close associations among the phenological traits. Grain yield was positively correlated with all morphological traits except the two peduncle traits and negatively correlated with flowering traits. IT98-131-1 was the best cultivar based on multiple traits and was identified as the ideal cultivar that can be used as a reference check. Seed growth rate, yield growth rate, yield per plant, and pod weight were identified as traits that are most appropriate for indirect selection for improved grain yield of cowpea.

Key Words: GGE biplot, genotype-by-trait, Nigeria

RÉSUMÉ

La connaissance adéquate des relations mutuelles parmi les traits physiologiques est essentielle dans planification et l'évaluation des programmes d'amélioration du petit pois. L'objectif de cette étude était de déterminer les relations mutuelles parmi les traits physiologiques de trente cultivars de petit pois et d'identifier des traits appropriés pour la sélection indirecte de cultures à rendement amélioré. L'étude était conduite en deux consecutives saisons de culture à l'Université Obafemi Awolowo, une Ferme de Recherche et d'éducation, Ile-Ife au Nigeria. L'analyse de la variance combine, l'analyse par groupement et l'analyse du génotype par trait, était conduit sur les traits mésurés. Les résultats ont montré des différences génotypiques significatives pour tous les traits phénologiques et morphologiques, excepté le rendement en grain et les composants associés aux rendements. L'analyse de biplot GT a révélé des associations proches parmi les traits phénologiques. Le rendement en grain était positivement corrélé avec tous tous les traits morphologiques excepté les deux traits de pédoncules et négativement corrélé avec les traits de floraison. IT98-131-1 était le meilleur cultivar basé sur de traits multiples et était identifié comme un cultivar ideal qui peut être utilisé comme un matériel de reference. Le taux de croissance, le taux de rendement, le rendement par plant, et le poids de gousses étaient identifiés comme des traits appropriés à la sélection indirect pour l'amélioration du rendemen en grain du petit pois.

Mots Clés: Biplot GGE, génotype-par-trait, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.) is comparatively a cheap source of quality protein, phosphorus, iron, vitamins and excellent substitute for meat, egg, and other protein-rich foods (Alghali, 1991). It is highly nutritious and provides superior and cheap source of protein for the resource-poor farmers in sub-Saharan Africa (Alghali, 1991). Yield is the major breeding objective of any crop improvement programme. It represents the final product from physiological and developmental processes which occur from time of sowing to plant maturity (Obisesan, 2004). From the crop production view point, yield is the sum total of all production efforts on the farm. It is always measured in terms of the quantity of desired crop part per unit area of land and it can be partitioned into several components that constitute physiological determinants of yield. Although yield is the universal breeding objective, cultivars gain acceptability as a package of various multiple traits. This is because a cultivar is more or less a complex biological system rather than simple collection of independent traits, and an effective breeding programme requires a proper understanding of the essential components of the system and the interrelationship among them (Yan and Kang, 2003).

Grain yield in crop plants is governed by yield components. According to Obisesan (1986), yield can be analysed using two different approaches: the yield system analysis (YSA) and the systematic modelling approach. To obtain maximum benefits from selection procedure, plant breeders must be able to identify and manipulate a combination of morphological and phenological traits that positively enhance grain yield increase in cowpea.

Several studies on the interrelationship among agronomic traits of cowpea have been reported using correlation and regression analysis (Ogunbodede, 1989; Musvosvi, 2009). The genotype-by-trait (GT) biplot analysis, proposed by Yan and Kang (2003) is another powerful statistical tool for studying relationships among traits, evaluating cultivars based on multiple traits and for identifying those that are superior in certain traits. These could be candidates for use as parents in a breeding programme or directly released for commercial production. The GT analysis allows visual display of the genetic correlation among traits (Yan and Rajcan, 2002; Lee *et al.*, 2003). It also provides information on the usefulness of cultivars for production as well as information that helps to detect less important (redundant) traits, and identify those that are appropriate for indirect selection for a target trait.

Since a cultivar gains wide acceptability based on a package of desirable traits and not just its yield potential (Yan and Kang, 2003), evaluating cowpea cultivars based on multiple traits becomes very essential in assessing them for production. GGE biplot has proven to be a very useful tools for evaluating maize cultivars in this regard (Yan and Fregeau-Reid, 2008; Badu-Apraku and Akinwale, 2011; Badu-Apraku et al., 2011). Evaluating the genetic potentials of cowpea cultivars based on multiple traits for breeding programmes is rare in literature. Akande (2007) and Akande and Balogun (2009) used the tool and reported interesting trends in the genotype x environment interaction. However, this tool has not been used to study relationships among traits of cowpea (Badu-Apraku and Akinwale, 2011). Information is limited in the area of identifying traits that can be used for indirect selection of improved grain yield in cowpea. Imran et al. (2010) found significant correlation (r =0.585) between number of branches plant⁻¹ with leaf area but no such significant association was obtained between number of leaves per main branch and green fodder yield. They also reported no significant association with number of seeds per pod and grain yield.

The objective of this study was to identify ideal cultivars based on multiple morphological and other traits that are suitable for indirect selection for improved grain yield using genotype-by-trait biplot.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty elite cowpea cultivars (Table1) obtained from the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, and the Department of Crop Production and Protection, Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU) Ile-Ife, Nigeria, were evaluated for agronomic performance in a randomised complete block design, with three

TABLE 1. Passport data of cowpea cultivars evaluated in this study

Cultivar	Code	Source
IT 99K – 216 – 24	IT99K	Ibadan
IT 98D – 1399	IT98	Ibadan
IFOB WELL 101	IFOBW	lfe
IT 93K – 573 – 5	IT93K1	Ibadan
LDP 08 OBLW	LDP08	lfe
IT 97 568 – 18	IT97K1	Ibadan
IT 89 KD – 391	IT89	Ibadan
IT 90K – 277 – 2	IT90K	Ibadan
IFOB /01/9/IB	IFOB1	lfe
lfe – 98 - 12 IFE	lfe	
IAR – 06-1035	IAR	Samaru
IAR 00 – 1006	IAR0	Samaru
IT – 98 – 131 – 1	IT980	Ibadan
IT – 98K -131 – 2	IT98K3	Ibadan
LDP10 – OBR1	LDP10	lfe
I IT 95 – 222-3	IT95	Ibadan
IT 99K – 1066	IT99	Ibadan
IT 93K – 8- 21-6	IT93K2	Ilbadan
IFOB/99/94/ow	IFOB9	I Ife
IT95K – 2011 – 11	IT95K1	l Ibadan
IT 900 – 610	IT900	l Ibadan
IT 98K – 356 – 1	IT98K1	Ibadan
IT 98K – 506 – 1	IT98K2	Ibadan
IT 95K - 193 – 1	IT95K2	Ibadan
MD IT98 K -132 – 3	MDIT	Ibadan
IT 97K – 1072 – 1	IT97K2	Ibadan
IT97K – 499 – 35	IT97	Ibadan
^a Oloyin OLYN	lfe Local market	
Ife BPC IFEBP	lfe	
Ife Brown IFEBR	lfe	

^a The variety was purified after its purchase from the market. Oloyin was purified by planting the seeds on the field for close observation to rogue any off-type that has mixed with the original variety. This procedure became necessary since the genetic purity of cowpea seeds (a self pollinating crop) collected from market places could not be ascertained

replicates in two consecutive growing seasons (May 2007 and May 2008). Two weeks before anthesis (flowering stage), the field was sprayed with Nuvacron (400 g L⁻¹ monochrotophos) to control insect pests like *Clavigralla tumentoscolis*, *Mirperus jaculus* and *Megalurotrips sjostedsti* which destroy flowers and pods. This was subsequently carried out weekly until physiological maturity (PM). Rougueing was done during vegetative stage.

Data on the physiological traits were collected mainly from five tagged plants in the two net rows of each plot. Data were collected on days to first flower node (DFN), days to first flower (DFL), days to fifty percent flowering (DF50), days to first pod formation (DP), days to physiological maturity (DPM), days to fifty percent podding (DP50), days to 50% harvest maturity (DHM50), number of peduncles per plant (PED/PLT), peduncle length (PED/LT), number of pods per plant PD/PLT), pod weight (PDY), and grain yield (YLDHA). Some secondary traits were generated from the primary traits mentioned above. These included:

- (i) Vigour index (VI) = ½(PLHT + CD) where PLHT = plant height; and CD = horizontal measurements of the plant at its widest plane
- (ii) Days to seed fill (DSF) = DFP DFF
- (iii) Seed growth rate (SGR) = GY/DSF

(iv) Yield growth rate (YGR) = GY/DHM.

Data collected in the field were subjected to combined analysis of variance using General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of Statistical Analysis System (SAS) Version 9.2, with random statement TEST option (SAS Inc., 2002). Means were separated using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD).

Ward's minimum variance cluster procedure of SAS was used on the standardised values of some selected phenological traits, to classify the cultivars into maturity classes. Because the traits were measured in different units, the biplots were generated using the standardised values of the traits means using GGE biplot software, Version 5.4 (Yan, 2001). The biplot analyses were based on Model 2 (i.e., dataset was not transformed (Transform=0) within-trait standard deviationstandardised (Scale=1), and trait-centred (Centering=2). The polygon views were based on genotype-focused singular value partitioning (SVP=2), while the vector views were based on the trait-focused singular value partitioning and is, therefore, appropriate for visualising the relationships among traits and genotypes. The GGE biplot model equation for genotype by trait interaction biplot analysis is presented as follows:

$$(Y_{ij} - \mu - \beta_j)/d_j = \lambda_1 g_{i1} e_{1j} + \lambda_2 g_{i2} e_{2j} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

Where:

 Y_{ij} is the genetic value of the combination between inbred i and trait j;

 μ is the mean of all combinations involving traitj;

 β_i is the main effect of trait j;

 λ 1 and λ 2 are the singular values for principal component (PC)1 and PC2;

 g_{i1} and g_{i2} are the PC1 and PC2 eigenvectors, respectively, for inbred *I*;

 e_{1j} and e_{2j} are the PC1 and PC2 eigenvectors, respectively, for trait *j*;

d, is the phenotypic standard deviation; and

 ε_{ij} is the residual of the model associated with the combination of Inbred i and trait j.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the combined analysis of variance showed significant cultivar means for days to first node formation, days to flowering, days to pod maturity, harvest maturity and days to seed fill (Table 2). Also, significant cultivar x season interactions were detected for days to first node formation, 50% flower, first pod formation, 50% pod formation, and days to first harvest maturity. These relatively high significant means in the flowering traits among the cowpea cultivars suggest that the 30 cultivars may not belong to the same maturity class.

It is important to ensure that genotypes in a breeding programme are clearly classified into maturity groups so as to take advantage of earliness of the germplasm for developing cultivars (drought-escaping cultivars) that are well adapted to areas or locations with short rainfall. No effort has been made to classify different cowpea cultivars in the germplasm of Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria into clear maturity groups and this confounds the management of the germplasm for breeding purposes.

In this study, the significant mean for flower traits indicated wide variability among the 30 cultivars for these traits and suggested further analysis to classify them into different maturity groups. Cluster analysis on the standardised values of these three flowering traits, using Ward's minimum variance procedure of SAS helped to identify two distinct maturity groups (Fig. 1). The first group was later maturity and they included IAR-06-1935, IT93K-573-5, Ife Brown, IT97K-1072-1, IT99K-610, Ife BPC, IT98K-356-1, IT98K-131-2, IT98K-506-1, IT99K-216-24, IFOB WELL 101, IAR00-1006, and IT900-610. The second group was earlier maturing and consisted of IFOB/99/94/OW, IT89KD-391, IT90K-277-2, IT93K-573-5, IT93K-8-21-6, IT95-222-3, IT95K-193-12, IT95K-2011-11, IT97-568-18, IT97K-499-35, IT98-131-1, IT980-1399, IT99K-1066, LDP08, IFOBWELL, LDP10-013R1, MDIT98K-132-3 and Oloyin. In their study, Akande and Balogun (2009) reported that IT93K-452-1 was later maturing; while IT89K-288 was earliest in maturity.

Among the morphological traits, HSW, SDP, PED_PLT, PED_LT, VI and PDY showed significant differences among the cultivars and only pod weight showed significant cultivar x season interaction (Table 2).

Cultivar evaluation based on multiple traits analysis using GGE biplot. Figures 2 and 3 are biplots showing the polygon view of the genotype x traits analysis on the morphological traits based on Principal Component axes (PC)1 and PC2. The traits were considered as the tester and the cultivars as entries. The two axes explained 52.2% of the total variation among the cultivars due to morphological traits measured. Figure 2 shows which cultivar(s) were best at what trait and Figure 3 shows the reverse situation. The cultivar(s) at each vertex (vertex cultivar) of the polygon in the biplot were the best or worst in terms of the trait(s) found within the sector demarcated by any two lines that meet at the origin of the polygon. From Figure 2, Ife-98-12 and IT980-1399 were the best in terms of vigour, grain yield, SGR and YGR, indicating that they can be used as parents in the development

TABLE 2. Mean vali	ues of the phenc	ological trai	its of 30 cowp	oea cultivars (evaluated at Teac	hing and Re	search Farm	, Obafemi /	wolowo U	niversity, lle-	lfe, Nigeria in	September, 2	2007 and N	lay, 2008
Varieties	Yield (kg per ha)	Vigour index	Days to 50% flowering	Days to 50% podding	Days to 50% physiological maturity	Days to 50% harvest maturity	Days to seed fill	Pods per plant	Seeds per pod	Peduncle per plant	Yield per plant (g)	Peduncle length (cm)	Yield growth rate	Pod weight (g)
IT 980 – 1399	1068.2	36.6	48	51	63	69	18	13.4	Ħ	19.4	10.01	21.0	5.09	580.3
IT 90K – 277 – 2	861.5	33.9	47	53	63	69	17	14.1	6	25.7	8.08	24.1	4.54	453.3
IFE – 98 -12	805.3	33.7	45	51	63	02	11	13.3	8	17.0	7.55	21.3	4.19	481.7
LDP 08 OBLW	636.8	40.3	51	56	61	71	16	13.6	12	25.5	5.97	25.5	4.20	440.0
IT 99K 216	631.3	41.4	56	61	69	73	16	15.7	1	24.4	5.92	28.3	3.66	487.5
LDP10-013R1	574.8	38.6	47	49	62	02	15	15.0	10	22.8	5.39	23.9	3.98	507.5
IFOB /01/94IB	530.0	36.3	48	51	59	89	15	16.5	10	21.6	4.97	24.9	3.94	481.5
IT 89 KD – 391	520.6	46.1	51	53	61	69	15	15.1	Ħ	26.2	4.88	28.8	3.45	480.7
IT98 K -132 – 3	501.4	34.3	48	53	2 9	02	15	19.5	L	33.2	4.69	32.3	2.76	418.8
IFOB WELL 101	497.7	41.5	50	53	62	89	15	14.0	7	19.7	4.67	23.0	3.25	343.8
IT – 98K -131 – 2	492.8	35.0	53	22	65	02	15	13.9	10	26.7	4.62	28.1	2.88	314.5
IT 97 568 – 18	490.9	33.5	47	53	64	02	15	12.2	6	21.5	4.60	25.0	2.86	321.0
IT 99K – 1066	489.1	37.7	48	53	62	69	15	14.2	Ħ	27.5	4.59	32.0	2.87	351.8
IAR - 06-1035	479.3	42.7	53	57	65	72	15	16.7	12	24.0	4.49	24.5	2.62	312.8
IT 93K – 573 – 5	444.7	40.1	46	20	61	02	14	14.5	6	27.7	4.17	31.7	2.51	322.5
IT 95 – 222-3	432.7	36.1	46	20	61	67	14	13.6	6	25.5	4.06	26.7	2.52	475.8
Oloyin	427.7	36.9	₿	52	63	69	14	12.7	ω	18.3	4.01	21.2	2.55	304.3
IAR 00 - 1006	420.4	34.5	51	33	64	71	14	15.6	10	21.9	3.94	23.5	2.44	294.5
IT 95K - 193 – 12	420.1	37.5	50	53	<i>9</i> 9	74	14	10.0	6	27.7	3.94	20.5	2.28	248.5
IT 93K – 8- 21-6	416.3	44.8	47	51	63	69	14	13.7	10	20.3	3.90	23.6	2.27	380.8
lfe BPC	416.3	44.3	51	57	64	02	14	10.9	9	20.0	3.90	23.9	1.80	123.8
IT97K – 499 – 35	411.7	37.6	₿	23	63	69	14	13.1	10	24.5	3.86	26.4	1.80	174.7
IT 98K – 506 – 1	407.3	35.9	49	55	65	71	12	12.8	ω	31.6	3.82	28.3	1.57	200.2
IT – 98 – 131 – 1	406.5	43.8	55	99	64	69	12	10.9	6	27.0	3.81	29.1	1.62	204.0
IT 97K – 1072 - 1	364.2	36.0	53	23	89	74	Ħ	12.3	∞	28.8	3.41	32.0	2.73	181.7
IFOB/99/94/ow	356.8	31.2	45	49	63	02	Ħ	14.2	Ħ	24.4	3.34	25.6	1.62	250.8

Multiple traits evaluation of cowpea cultivars

1	9	4
---	---	---

TABLE 2. (Contd)

A.S. OLADEJO et al.

Varieties (k	Vield (g per ha)	Vigour index	Days to 50% flowering	Days to 50% podding	Days to 50% physiological maturity	Days to 50% harvest maturity	Days to seed fill	Pods per plant	Seeds per pod	Peduncle per plant	Yield per plant (g)	Peduncle length (cm)	Yield growth rate	Pod weight (g)
IT 95k – 2011 – 11 Ife Brown IT 900 – 610 IT 98K – 356 – 1 Grand mean Standard error Prob. stat for season Prob. stat for season Prob. stat for season	297.4 243.7 155.2 473.62 33.59 33.59 rrs rrs	36.2 38.2 35.7 35.7 34.2 37.8 0.52 0.52 **	48 52 51 49 0.29 **	* * * ⁵ 33 24 22 24 22 22	69 69 64 64 85 87 87 87 87 87	71 72 70 70 73 73 73 73 73	ns * * 33,10 ns * * 33,10 ns	14.9 14.3 12.4 12.4 13.8 0.30 0.30 *	17 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	23.4 23.4 28.4 32.2 24.7 0.65 **	2.79 2.28 2.19 1.45 4.51 1.45 0.60 0.60 nrs rrs	26.2 24.9 26.1 26.1 27.3 26.0 26.0 26.0 8 17 8 17 8 17	2.29 1.31 1.32 0.77 2.72 0.15 **	315.7 206.2 162.3 127.5 331.6 16.10 ***
x season CV (%) LSD (0.05)	32.4 557.12	15.5 6.90	4.68 2.64	4.5 2.58	3.5 2.77	56.5 3.07	68.2 2.64	25.8 4.29	41.8 4.57	29.7 9.07	72.73 25.09	23.8 7.07	66.5 2.05	50.9 191.2

*, ** Significant F-test at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability. respectively:. ns= not significant

Multiple traits evaluation of cowpea cultivars



of variety hybrids and populations that are outstanding in these traits. MDIT98K-132-3 and IT98-131-1 were the best cultivars for peduncle length, number of peduncles per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant and hundred seed weight. Even though both materials were identified for good peduncle and pod characters, they were not the best for grain yield, indicating that peduncle and pod characters might not be a good trait-indicators for grain yield. This result agreed with Imran *et al.* (2010), who reported no significant correlation between pod number, 1000 seed weight and grain yield.

If BPC and IT98K-356-1 were also vertex cultivars but no trait was found in their respective sector, an indication that they are not outstanding



Figure 2. A "Which is best for what" genotype x traits biplot of morphogical traits of 30 cowpea cultivars evaluated at IIe-Ife in Nigeria. PC1 and PC2 explained 52.2% of the variation among cultivars. See Table 1 for codes of the cultivars.



Figure 3. A "Which is worst for what" genotype x traits biplot of morphogical traits of 30 cowpea cultivars evaluated at Ile-Ife in Nigeria. PC1 and PC2 explained 52.2% of the variation among cultivars. See Table 1 for codes of the cultivars.

for any of the morphological traits. From Figure 3, Ife BPC was the worst in terms of pods per plant, hundred seed weight and seeds per pod. Ife-98-12 and IT980-1399 were the worst in terms of peduncle number and length. IT98K-356-1 was the worst at grain yield, SGR, pod weight and YGR; while MDIT 98K-132-3 and IT98-131-1 were

relatively the least vigorous. Akande and Balogun (2009) and Egbe *et al.* (2010) reported similar superior performance of many cowpea cultivars developed by International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nigeria, designated (IT) over the local cultivars.

Identification of an ideal cultivar. In the context of genotype-by-trait analysis, an ideal cultivar has been defined as the cultivar that combines several good traits in its genetic composition (Badu-Apraku and Akinwale, 2011). In the biplot displayed in Figure 4, the single-arrow line that passes through the biplot origin is referred to as the average-tester axis (ATC) abscissa, and on this line is ranked the cultivars in terms of their morphological performance. The double-arrow line (ATC ordinate) divides the ATC abscissa into two at the middle (Yan *et al.*, 2007). The portion of the ATC towards the right displays the aboveaverage cultivars and towards the left shows those cultivars below average.

Based on this biplot, the cultivars that performed above average were IT89KD-391, IT99K-216-24, IT90K-277-2, IFOB-/01/94IB and MDIT 98K-132-3; while Oloyin, IT95K-193-12, Ife Brown and Ife BPC performed below average in terms of morphological parameters. The poor performance of Ife Brown observed in this study is in agreement with the findings of Egbe *et al.* (2010), who reported that IT04K-221-1, IT03K-316-1, IT03K-324-9,IT03K-351-1, IT00K-1217, IT98K-692, IT99K-377-1, and IT03K-378-4 cowpea varieties performed better than Ife Brown, which was used as a check variety in number of pods produced per plant, dry pod weight and grain yield. An ideal cultivar should possess the highest mean performance across traits (i.e., longest projection onto the average tester axis (ATC abscissa) and shortest entry-vector, thus, it should be close to the ideal genotype represented by the innermost concentric circle with an arrow pointing to it (Yan and Kang, 2003). Such ideal cultivar can, therefore, be used as a reference check in subsequent trials where the set of morphological traits will be measured.

From Figure 4, IT89KD-391 is closest to the position of an ideal cultivar. It is ranked the highest in term of morphological performance because it is desirable in terms of most of the morphological traits. This cultivar could serve as a good genetic raw material from which better cultivars, synthetic varieties and pure lines can be developed. This result is corroborated by the findings of Akande and Balogun (2009), who reported that IT89K-288, a closely related cultivar to IT89KD-391, was the most superior cultivar in many agronomic and yield traits when evaluated in a mutilocational trial.

Interrelationships among traits and identification of redundant traits. Figure 5 is a vector view of GGE biplot showing the



Figure 4. An entry/tester genotype x trait biplot of morphological traits of 30 cowpea cultivars evaluated at IIe-Ife in Nigeria. See Table 1 for codes of the cultivars.



Figure 5. Vector view of the genotype-by-trait biplot on physiological traits of 30 cultivars of cowpea showing the interrelationships among all traits of 30 cowpea cultivars evaluated at Ile-Ife in Nigeria.

interrelationship among all the traits measured. Principal component (PC)1 and PC2 explained 55% of the total variation observed among the cultivars based on all the traits. The lines connecting each trait marker to the origin of the biplot are called the trait vectors and the length of each trait vector approximates the standard deviation of each trait (Yan et al., 2007). The cosine of the angle between the vectors of any two traits approximates the correlation coefficient (degree of association) between the traits. Trait vectors that are approximately at right angle are not closely related and traits that are at angle 180° (directly opposite) are negatively correlated (Yan et al., 2007). From Figure 5, DP, DHM50, DPM50, DHM1, DPM1, DFL1 and DPM50 were highly positively correlated and it shows they all gave similar information about variability among the genotypes. Considerable efforts, time and funds can be saved without sacrificing useful information if one or two traits are taking instead of all. Days to 50% flowering and 50% pod formation are closely correlated and taking any one of the two will give the same information with less effort. Grain yield was highly positively

correlated with all the morphological traits, except peduncle traits, which had approximately negative correlation with it. Yield was also positively, but weakly correlated with the phenotypic traits. This means that the higher the values of these morphological traits, the more the grain yield. The morphological traits were not redundant. They were mutually exclusive and each supplies useful and unique information about the cultivars. This was indicated by various angle sizes and vector lengths displayed in the biplot. These results were in agreement with those reported by Imran *et al.* (2010).

Traits suitable for indirect selection for improved grain yield. The biplot in Figure 6 is a vector view of the *Auto Find QTL function* of GGE biplot that selects and displays traits that have close association with a target trait among other traits. Based on the biplot, Y_PLT, PDY, SGR and YGR were identified as traits suitable for indirect selection for grain yield improvement. Thus, selecting for these traits is expected to lead to improved grain yield under optimal growing conditions. This suggests that selection index



Figure 6. Vector view of the genotype-by-trait biplot on physiological traits of 30 cultivars of cowpea showing traits that are most suitable for indirect selection for grain yield at R-square value e"21.44% and P<0.01. PC 1 and PC 2 explained 88.8% of the total variation.

that incorporates these traits will not only result in the development of high yielding cultivars but with other desirable agronomic traits that enhance wide acceptability of such cultivars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Technical assistance of Mr Tanimojo, O.O. and other field staff of Obafemi Awolowo University, Teaching and Research Farm is highly acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Alghali, A.M. 1991. Studies of cowpea farming in Nigeria with emphasis on insect pest control. *Tropical Pest Management* 37:71-74.
- Akande, S.R. 2007. Biplot analysis of genotype x environment interaction of cowpea grain yield in the forest and southern guinea savanna agro-ecologies of Nigeria. *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment* 15 (3and 4): 471-474.
- Akande, S.R. and Balogun M.O. 2009. Multilocational evaluation of cowpea grain yield and other reproductive characters in the

Forest and southern guinea savanna agroecologies of Nigeria. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 8: 526-533.

- Badu-Apraku, B., and Akinwale, R.O. 2011. Cultivar evaluation and trait analysis of tropical early maturing maize under *Striga*infested and *Striga*-free environments. *Field Crops Research* 121:186–194.
- Badu-Apraku, B., Akinwale, R.O., Ajala S.O., Menkir A, Fakorede M.A.B., Oyekunle M. 2011. Relationships among traits of tropical early maize cultivars in contrasting environments. *Agronomy Journal* 103: 717-729.
- Egbe, O.M, Alibo, S.E and Nwueze, I. 2010. Evaluation of some extra-early- and earlymaturing cowpea varieties for intercropping with maize in southern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1(5): 845-858.
- Imran, M., Ashiq Hussain, Sajjad Hussain, Sartaj Khan, Allah Bakhsh, M.S. Zahid and Doulat Baig. 2010. Character association and evaluation of cowpea germplasm for green fodder and grain yield under rainfed

conditions of Islamabad. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 26:76-81.

- Lee, S.J., Yan, W., Joung, K.A., Ill, M.C. 2003. Effects of year, site, genotype, and their interaction on the concentration of various isoflavones in soybean. *Field Crop Research* 81:181-192.
- Musvosvi, C. 2009. Morphological characterization and interrelationships among descriptors in some cowpea genotypes. *African Crop. Science Conference Proceedings* 9:501-507.
- Obisesan, I.O. 1986. Inheritance of branching peduncle character in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp). Nigerian Journal of Boiological Sciences 1:80-82.
- Obisesan, I.O. 2004. Yield: The ultimate in crop improvement. An inaugural lecture series 168. Obafemi Awolowo University, Press Limited, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- Ogunbodede, B.A. 1989. Comparison between three methods of determining the relationships between yield and eight of its components in cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp. *Scientia Horticulturae* 38:201-205.

- Richie, K.O. 1985. Introduction. pp. 21- 38. In: Singh, S.R. and Riches, K.O. (Eds.). Cowpea research production and utilisation. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK.
- SAS Institute. 2002. Users guide, basic version 9.1 Edition. Carry, NC: SAS Institute, USA.
- Yan, W. 2001. GGEBiplot- A windows application for graphical analysis of multi- environment trial data and other types of two-way data. *Agronomy Journal* 93: 1111-1118.
- Yan, W. and Kang, M.S. 2003. GGE biplot analysis: A graphical tool for breeders, geneticists, and agronomists. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Yan, W. and Rajcan, I. 2002. Biplot evaluation of test sites and trait relations of soybean in Ontario. *Crop Science* 42:11-20.
- Yan W. and Frégeau-Reid, J. 2008. Breeding line selection based on multiple traits. *Crop Science* 48: 417-423.
- Yan, W., Kang, M.S., Ma, S., Woods, S. and Cornelius, P.L. 2007. GGE biplot vs. AMMI analysis of genotype-by-environment data. *Crop Science* 47:596-605.