African Crop Science Journal, Vol. 20, Issue Supplement s2, pp. 371 - 384ISSN 1021-9730/2012 \$4.00Printed in Uganda. All rights reserved©2012, African Crop Science Society

# EFFECT OF SEQUENCES OF OZONE AND NITROGEN DIOXIDE ON PLANT DRY MATTER AND STOMATAL DIFFUSIVE RESISTANCE IN RADISH

U. MAZARURA

University of Zimbabwe, Crop Science Department, P. O. Box MP 176 Mt Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe Corresponding author: umazarura@agric.uz.ac.zw; umazarura@yahoo.com

## ABSTRACT

Ozone  $(O_3)$  is the most important gaseous air pollutant in the world because of its adverse effects on vegetation in general and crop plants in particular. Since nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$  is a precursor of ozone, studying the implication of sequences of these two gases is very important. Hence, the effects of sequences of fluctuating levels of ozone  $(O_3)$  and/or nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$  on growth and stomatal diffusive resistance were studied in Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Nitrogen dioxide was applied either alone early in the day (06:00 to 10:00 hr), late (18:00 to 22:00 hr), both early and late (06:00 to 10:00 hr and 18:00 to 22:00 hr) or early with ozone  $(O_3$  from 10:00 to 18:00 hr), late with ozone, and both early and late with ozone. Ozone was also applied alone (10:00 to 18:00 hr), while control plants (C) were not exposed to either gas. The exposure profiles for both gases approximated sine waves with peak concentrations of 120 parts per billion by volume; nl l<sup>-1</sup>. In the case of  $O_3$ , this is close to the reported threshold for adverse effects, while with  $NO_2$  it is below the reported threshold. Ozone alone had no effect on growth after 21 days; while  $NO_2$  caused a significant increase in growth only when applied alone early. Exposures to  $NO_2$  in sequence with  $O_3$  had negative effects on growth. In the control treatment, stomata tended to be relatively closed in the morning and late afternoon. Nitrogen dioxide alone enhanced this closure, while  $O_3$ alone or with NO<sub>3</sub> countered the closure.

Key Words: Plant growth, Raphanus sativus, stomatal resistance

## RÉSUMÉ

L'Ozone (O<sub>2</sub>) constitue un gaz le plus important dans la pollution de l'air au monde à cause de ses effets néfastes sur la végétation en général et les cultures en particulier. Etant donné que le dioxyde d'azote (NO<sub>2</sub>) est un précurseur d'ozone, étudier les implications des séquences de ces deux gaz est très important. Ainsi, les effets des séquences de fluctuation des niveaux d'ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) et/ou du NO<sub>2</sub> sur la croissance et la résistance diffusive de stomates étaient étudiés dans le Radis (Raphanussativus L.). Le dioxyde d'azote était appliqué soit seul tôt le matin (06 :00 à 10 :00hr), tard (18:00 à 22:00 hr), en même temps tôt le matin et tard (06:00 à 10:00 hr et 18:00 à 22:00 hr) ou tôt avec l'ozone (O3 à partir de 10:00 à 18:00 hr), tard avec l'ozone, et en même temps tôt et tard avec l'ozone. L'ozone était appliqué seul (10:00 à 18:00 hr), pendant que les plantes témoins (C) n'étaient exposées à aucun gaz. L'exposition des profiles pour les deux gaz a approximé une ondulation avec une concentration de 120 parties par millions par volume ; nl l<sup>-1</sup>. Dans le cas de O<sub>2</sub>, ceci est proche de la valeur limite pour des effets adverses reportés, pendant que pour NO<sub>2</sub> cette valeur est en deçà de la valeur limite. L'ozone à lui seul avait un effet sur la croissance après 21 jours, pendant que NO, une fois appliqué seul et tôt a induit une augmentation significative de la croissance. Les expositions au  $NO_2$  en séquence avec  $O_3$  avaient d'effets négatifs sur la croissance. Dans le traitement témoins, les stomates tendaient à être relativement fermées le matin et tard dans l'après midi. L'application du NO, seul avait induit cette fermeture alors que O, seul ou avec NO, a contré la fermeture.

Mots Clés: Croissance des plantes, Raphanus sativus, résistance de stomates

## INTRODUCTION

Ozone is the most important of the phytotoxic gaseous air pollutants. NO<sub>2</sub> is the pollutant closely associated with ozone (Reinert and Gray, 1981; Cho et al., 2011) because of the role that  $NO_2$  plays as a precursor of  $O_3$  in polluted air. Since O<sub>3</sub> maxima typically occur during the early afternoons, while NO<sub>2</sub> maxima occur in the mornings and evening hours, the response of plants to O<sub>3</sub> exposures cannot be dissociated from daily sequences of exposure to  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$ under field conditions. Although there are very few studies of the effects of such sequences, it has become clear that the two gases have complicated interactive effects on vegetation. Runeckles and Palmer (1987) showed that pretreatment with NO<sub>2</sub> reduced the impact of O<sub>3</sub> on leaf growth and retention in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and radish (Raphanus sativus L.). Kress and Skelly (1982) reported less than additive interactions between O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> in sweet gum (Liquidambar Styraciflua L.) and white ash (Fraxinus americana L.). Goodyear and Ormrod (1988) observed reduced biomass caused by the sequence NO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> and no effect of the O<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub> sequence in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Hill.). Bender, Weigel and Jägen (1991) showed reduced N assimilation caused by the O<sub>2</sub>-NO<sub>2</sub> sequence in bush bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.).

Much of the early work on the effects of  $O_3$ and  $NO_2$  utilised "sudden" exposures to relatively high steady-state concentrations (>200 ppb) of either pollutant. Later work emphasized the importance of using exposures involving concentrations that increase to and decrease from a peak level (Bicak, 1978; Dann and Pell, 1989; Bahl and Kahl, 1995; Wellburn and Wellburn, 1996), since such exposure profiles more closely resemble those occurring in ambient air. Most studies involving open-top field exposure chambers have used exposures to fluctuating  $O_3$ profiles (Heagle*et al.*, 1988).

When the daily cumulative exposures were equal, Musselman*et al.* (1986) found no differences in adverse effects of  $O_3$  on the growth of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. between square-wave (steady-state) and fluctuating exposures with the same peak concentration. In the present study,

the daily fluctuating exposures lasted approximately twice as long as such steady-state exposure. However, if the duration of the daily exposure period and cumulative exposures were the same (achieved with peak levels in the fluctuating exposure double those in the squarewave) the fluctuating exposure led to greater adverse effects (Musselman *et al.*, 1986). The present work therefore, points out the caution needed in making comparison among data obtained with different exposure profiles.

Since ozone dissolves in water to give superoxide, peroxyl and hydroxyl radicals (Hoigne and Baber, 1975; Staehelin and Hoigne, 1985). The mechanism of its toxicity has always been thought to be a result of the reaction of these highly reactive radicals with components of cell walls and cell membranes. An oxidative burst in plants' sensitive to ozone has been confirmed (Wohlgemuth et al., 2002; Pasqualini et al., 2003; Tiwariand Agrawal, 2011; Hasanuzzamanet al., 2012). In addition, ESR studies have detected free radicals (Runeckles and Vaartnou, 1997) at the early stages of ozone response. Further, ozone reacts directly with biomolecules and constituents of plant surfaces. It causes lipid peroxidation (Tiwari and Agrawal, 2011), chlorophyll diminution (Saitanis et al., 2001), photochemical damage (Velikova1et al., 2005) and impairment of stomatal rhythms (Tiwari and Agrawal, 2011), among many other negative effects. At low levels (< 210 ppb 1-hr objective) and alone, nitrogen dioxide is rarely phytotoxic (Bennett et al., 1975). However, in combination with other pollutants like SO<sub>2</sub> (Bennett et al., 1970) or  $O_2$ , it enhances the phytotoxicity of such pollutants. At levels more than 30 times the ones used in the present study, Shimazaki (1988) found inhibition of photosynthetic electron transport in spinach leaves and Zeevaat (1976) found inhibition of pigment systems in several species. The objective of this study was to determine the effect of sequential exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> and/or O<sub>3</sub> on dry matter and stomatal diffusive resistance in radish.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

**Plant materials.** A greenhouse experiment was conducted with Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L. cv

Cherry Belle) plants grown from seed in 13 cm diameter pots containing about 982 cc standard potting soil (85 loam; 15% peat). A slow release fertiliser, 14:14:14 NPK (Osmocote; Sierra Chemical Company), was applied to the standard potting soil at planting at 1g pot<sup>-1</sup>. About 15 radish seeds, variety Cherry belle, were sown per pot and the seedlings were thinned to 4 plants per pot 10 days after sowing. Soon after thinning, they were transferred to a control growth chamber, where they acclimatised for 3 days before the commencement of exposure to various sequences of O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> (4 pots per treatment). After 21 days of exposure to each respective treatment, whole plants were harvested, washed, separated (shoots and hypocotyls) and dried at 78°C in an oven for 3 days prior to weighing.

**Growth chamber exposures.** Growth chambers (Model EF7, Conviron Ltd.) were modified to allow the measurement of leaf diffusive resistance in *situ*. Plants were maintained in the growth chambers under a photoperiod of 16.5 hr (light of intensity 150  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and 7.5 hr (dark) and day/night temperatures of 24 and 18°C, respectively. The photoperiod started at 05:45 hr whereas the thermoperiod ran from 06:00 to 22:00 hr.

The chambers were supplied with air filtered through activated charcoal and Air Repair (alumina coated with  $KMnO_4$ ) (Air Repair Products Inc.) to remove ambient O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO. To simulate field conditions, exposures to NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> both approximated sine waves, with <10 ppb minimum and 120ppb maximum concentrations. A computer programme written for a 21X Micrologger (Campbell Scientific Corp.) effected control of the exposure system. The programme provided O<sub>2</sub> exposures between 10:00 and 18:00 hr and NO<sub>2</sub> exposures between 06:00 and 10:00 hr (early NO<sub>2</sub>) and between 18:00 and 22:00 hr (late NO<sub>2</sub>). Only one chamber was used for NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> exposures in the present study; the second chamber was available in the event of equipment failure. A third chamber with filtered air was used as a control. The complete range of seven treatments was obtained by moving plants from chamber to chamber as required.

Ozone and NO<sub>2</sub> levels in each chamber were monitored in sequence through a time share

system operated by the data logger which controlled input to a Model 1003 AH  $O_3$  monitor (Dasibi Environmental Corp.) and a Model 14D/ E NOx monitor (ThermoElectron Corp.). The nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) monitor provided outputs of both nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and nitric oxide (NO) levels.

Ozone was generated by means of an ultraviolet source (Delzone Z0.300; Del Industries Ltd.) supplied with air from an oil free diaphragm pump. Nitrogen dioxide was supplied from a cylinder of compressed NO<sub>2</sub> in nitrogen (1%). Overall concentrations of  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  in the chambers were adjusted using needle valve/flow meter combinations to produce the desired maximum concentrations (120 ppb). The selection of 120 ppb maxima for both pollutants was based in part on the 1 hour average concentrations that are defined in the Federal Air Quality Objectives for Canada (210 ppb for NO<sub>2</sub> and 82 ppb for O<sub>2</sub>), and the Air Quality Standards for the United States (53 ppb, annual average for NO<sub>2</sub> and 120 ppb for  $O_3$ ).

Plants were exposed to eight air pollutant exposure treatments, namely control (C),  $O_3$  alone (~O~), early NO<sub>2</sub> alone (N~~), late NO<sub>2</sub> alone (~~N), early NO<sub>2</sub> + late NO<sub>2</sub> (N~N), early NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub> (NO~),  $O_3$  + late NO<sub>2</sub> (~ON) and early NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub> + late NO<sub>2</sub> (NON). These symbols are maintained in all the presented illustrations in this paper.

Typical exposure regimes for the seven treatments are shown in Figure 1. The curves were obtained with the distance weighted least squares (DWLS) smoothing function of SYGRAPH (SYSTAT Inc.) and show the typical daytime rise in ambient  $O_3$ , the tendency for NO<sub>2</sub> maxima to occur early and late in the day, and for NO to have a morning maximum. The data logger also recorded chamber temperatures and light intensities to provide assurance of the temperature and light regimes.

Stomatal resistance measurements and estimation of pollutant fluxes. A Model LI-1600 (LI-COR Corp.) steady state porometer was used to measure leaf diffusive resistance. Measurements were made on the seventh day after the beginning of exposure to  $NO_2/O_3$  combinations in each growth experiment. In each treatment, readings were obtained on the first true



Figure 1. The exposure regime for the NO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>3</sub> sequences. The sequences were: charcoal filtered air C. exposed to O<sub>3</sub> alone ( $\sim$ O $\sim$ ), early NO<sub>2</sub>(N $\sim$  $\sim$ ), late NO<sub>2</sub>( $\sim$  $\sim$ N), both late and early NO<sub>2</sub>(N $\sim$ N) early NO<sub>2</sub> plus O<sub>3</sub> (NO $\sim$ ), O<sub>3</sub> plus late NO<sub>2</sub>( $\sim$ ON), and both late and early NO<sub>2</sub>(NON). The early NO<sub>2</sub>(broken line) was applied from 06:00 to 10:00 hr late NO<sub>2</sub>was applied from 18:00 to 22:00 hr and O<sub>3</sub> (solid line) was applied from 10:00 to 18:00 hr.

leaf of each of 4 plants per pot, at approximately hourly intervals from 07:00 to 22:00 hr. In order to analyse the data obtained for each treatment, the readings were segregated by hour using the range:  $h \pm 0.5$ , and the mean time and mean diffusive resistance calculated using the data for each leaf and experiment (N=16). The diffusive resistance data were normalised to the value at 14:00hr in order to remove leaf to leaf variation. Fluxes of the gases were computed from the relationship:

$$F = C/r_{c}$$

where F =flux (g m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> units), C =gas concentration (g m<sup>-3</sup> units), and  $r_d$ =diffusive resistance of the gas (s m<sup>-1</sup> units), obtained by inverse proportionality of the molecular diffusivity of the gas to that of water vapour (Runeckles, 1992).

**Statistical analyses.** SYSTAT/SYGRAPH (Systat Inc.) was used for all statistical analyses. Orthogonal contrasts were used to compare treatment means.

#### RESULTS

Effects of NO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>3</sub> sequences on growth. Nitrogen dioxide applied early during the day significantly (P<0.05) stimulated total dry matter accumulation per plant relative to control plants in filtered air (cf. C vs. N~~; Fig. 2A). The stimulation by early + late NO<sub>2</sub> was not significant (cf. C vs. N~N; P<0.1). The slight increase over the control due to late NO<sub>2</sub> was not significant, and late NO<sub>2</sub> had no effect on the response to early NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. N~N vs. N~~). Ozone alone had no significant effect on total dry matter accumulation (cf. C vs. ~O~), but significantly suppressed the stimulatory effects of early NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. N~~ vs. NO~) (P<0.05), late NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. NON vs. N~N) (P<0.01).

The effects of treatment on shoot dry matter production (Fig. 2B) were similar to those on total dry matter. Early NO<sub>2</sub>, late NO<sub>2</sub>, and early + late NO<sub>2</sub> tended to stimulate shoot dry matter production. Late NO<sub>2</sub> had no effect on early NO<sub>2</sub> (~~N vs. N~N). Ozone alone had no effect on shoot dry matter accumulation, but significantly (P<0.05) suppressed the stimulatory effect of early + late NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. NON vs. N~N). It also suppressed (P<0.1) the slight stimulation of early NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. NO~ vs. N~~) or late NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. ~ON vs. ~~N).

Hypocotyl dry matter accumulation also showed similar effects of treatment to total dry matter. As shown in Figure 2C, early NO<sub>2</sub> and early + late NO<sub>2</sub> resulted in stimulation relative to the control (cf. C vs. N~~; P<0.01; C vs. N~N; P<0.05). Ozone alone had no effect, but it significantly reduced the stimulation caused by NO<sub>2</sub> (cf. NO~ vs. N~~; P<0.01; ~ON vs. ~~N; P<0.1; NON vs. N~N; P<0.01). As a result of the effects of treatment on component dry weights, shoot to hypocotyl ratios relative to the control were marginally reduced by O<sub>3</sub> (P<0.1) while all the other treatments and treatment combinations were not significant (Fig.2D).

Stomatal conductance and gas fluxes. Figure 3 presents consolidated data obtained during the seventh day of treatment in each experiment, i.e. for plants having received six daily cycles of treatment before the measurements were made. Repeated exposure to early NO<sub>2</sub> tended to enhance early morning stomatal closure (cf. N~~ vs. C; Fig. 3), late NO, accelerated closure late in the day (cf. ~~N vs. C) and the combination of two daily exposures to NO<sub>2</sub> tended to increase the late afternoon resistance, which persisted through the following morning (cf. N~N vs. C). Thus, the combination of early + late NO<sub>2</sub> enhanced the early and late stomatal closures due to either early or late NO, alone (cf. N~N vs. N~~, N~N vs. ~~N).

In addition to suppressing the normal morning and evening closure of stomata in the control, exposure to  $O_3$  tended to overcome both early and late closure of stomata induced by late  $NO_2$ (cf. ~ON vs. ~~N) and to a lesser extent, the early closure caused by early  $NO_2$  (cf. N~~ vs. NO~) or early + late  $NO_2$  (cf. NON vs. N~N). Conversely, late  $NO_2$  had no effect on the course of diffusive resistance caused by early  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  (cf. NON vs. NO~), while early  $NO_2$  tended to overcome the suppression of early stomatal closure caused by  $O_2$  (cf. NO~ vs. ~O~).

The estimated fluxes of both gases are shown in Figure 4. The NO<sub>2</sub> flux reached a maximum of



Figure 2. Effects of treatment on total (A), shoot (B), hypocotyl (C) dry shoot to hypocotyl ratio (D). Error bars are standard errors (n=64).

 $0.5 \ \mu g \ m^2 s^1$  in the absence of  $O_3$ . However, in the presence of  $O_3$  the  $NO_2$  fluxes approximately doubled (cf. NO~, ~ON, and NON). The  $O_3$  flux was not affected by exposure to  $NO_2$  and reached maxima of about 1.5  $\ \mu g \ m^2 s^{-1}$ .

# DISCUSSION

The present study employed fluctuating exposures, to both NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, in which the maximum concentration ( $120\pm5$  ppb) was



Figure 3. Time course of relative diffussive resistance by treatment. Error bars are standard errors (n=6). The shaded triangles indicate the periods of exposure to NO, (light shading) and  $O_{s}$  (dark shading).

maintained for approximately one hour at the middle of the exposure period. The sine-wave time course used resulted in total exposures to either pollutant that amounted to one half of those that would have occurred with "square-wave" exposures in which 120 ppb was maintained

throughout the exposure periods. 120 ppb  $NO_2$  is below the Canadian Maximum Acceptable 1-hour objective, while 120 ppb  $O_3$  is about 50% greater than the Canadian 1-hour objective, and equal to the U.S. standard.



Figure 4. Time course of estimated fluxes of  $NO_2$  (broken line) and  $O_3$  (solid line) by treatment. Error bars are standard errors (n=16); for data without error bars, N=1.

Effects of NO,/O, on growth. The observation that in spite of the low exposures used, repeated exposures to NO<sub>2</sub> regardless of the time of day, were found to increase significantly (or tend to increase) total shoot and hypocotyl dry matter accumulation suggested that the NO<sub>2</sub> must have been use as an N source by the plants. No visible symptoms of foliar injury were observed, which is in keeping with the reports that such NO<sub>2</sub>induced injury is uncommon in radish (see review by Kostka-Rick and Manning, 1992) even when "sudden" and relatively high concentrations are applied as square waves (200-400 ppb, Reinert and Gray, 1981; 400 ppb, Reinert and Heck, 1982; 3000, Sanders and Reinert ,1982; 200 ppb: Godzik et al., 1985). In all these studies the tendency was for NO<sub>2</sub> to cause increased biomass. Using relatively lower early exposure concentrations (80-100 ppb for 3 hr) daily for 40 days, Runeckles and Palmer (1987) also found that NO<sub>2</sub> increased the biomass of radish and bean (Phaseolus *vulgaris*). The observations in the present study thus confirm previous work and suggest that NO<sub>2</sub> was probably used as an additional source of N as was suggested in spinach (Spinacia oleracea) (Yoneyama and Sasakawa, 1979).

The lack of growth inhibition by O<sub>2</sub> observed was unexpected since radish is ranked as 'sensitive' relative to other crops (Tingeyet al., 1973; Ormrod et al., 1984) and the cultivar used, Cherry Belle, is considered a sensitive cultivar (Wellburn and Wellburn, 1996). Ozone-induced reduced growth in radish has been widely documented (Tingevet al., 1973; Reinert et al., 1982; Reinert and Heck, 1982; Reinert et al., 1972Reinertet al., 1982; Reinert and Sanders, 1982; Kostka-Rick and Manning, 1992). These studies, however, also tended to involve higher concentrations of  $O_3$  (>200 ppb) than those used in the present investigation. In some cases where concentrations in the range 150-370 ppb were applied, no biomass changes were observed despite 13-26% leaf injury (Tingey and Reinert, 1975; Reinert and Gray, 1981; Ormrod et al., 1984). The present results, therefore, suggest that, because of the type of exposure profile used and the maximum concentration achieved, the actual uptake of O<sub>3</sub> was insufficient to exceed the threshold for adverse effects on radish dry matter

production, in spite of the effects on stomatal conductance discussed below.

The combinations of  $O_3$  and  $NO_2$ , irrespective of sequence, caused no visible injury but either significantly suppressed or tended to suppress the stimulatory effect of  $NO_2$  and confirmed the previous reports for radish exposed to the  $NO_2$  $O_3$  sequence (Runeckles and Palmer, 1987) or to  $NO_2+O_3$  mixtures (Reinert and Sanders, 1982; Sanders and Reinert, 1982; Reinert*et al.*, 1982). Suppression of  $NO_2$ -induced stimulation of growth by  $O_3$  was also reported in wheat and bean (Runeckles and Palmer, 1987).

**Stomatal conductance and gas fluxes.** The increase in diffusive resistance after 6 days of exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> regardless of sequence can be explained by adverse effects on guard cell abscisic acid signal transduction or calcium based signalling or both (Martin *et al.*, 2002). NO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure has been reported for other species (soybean, *Glycine max*, Carlson, 1983; *Euonyous japonica*, Natori and Totsuka, 1984), although Carlson (1983) used higher exposure levels (up to 600ppb) than those used in the present study. However, Sandhu and Gupta (1989) reported decreased stomatal resistance in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) exposed daily to 100 ppb for 7 hrs per day for 3 weeks.

The Ozone suppression of stomatal closure and disruption of the apparent diurnal cycle of stomatal activity of radish to O3 was contrary to the generally held view that O<sub>3</sub> induces stomatal closure even at relatively low concentrations (e.g. Beckerson and Hofstra, 1979; 150 ppb; Barnes and Pfirrman, 1992; 75 ppb). However, the review by Darrall (1989) lists O2-induced stomatal closure in four species (one of which was radish), opening in two, and no effect in one, at concentrations <200 ppb. In the present study, the repeated daily exposure to daily maxima of 120 ppb O<sub>2</sub> apparently caused the stomata to lose their ability to respond to the daily photoperiod and thereby failed to close at night. This confirms the findings by Hassan et al. (1994) who reported stomatal opening in radish caused by 80 ppbO<sub>2</sub>, and those of Runeckles and Rosen (1977) who found earlier that repeated exposure to 50 ppb caused stomatal response in bean (Phaseolus *vulgaris*) to be sluggish with reduced diurnal opening-closing cycles. Evidence suggest that ozone exposure has a marked effect on the calcium based signalling in guard cells (Martin *et al.*, 2002).

In the sequences of NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, repeated exposures to O<sub>3</sub> countered the NO<sub>2</sub>-induced stomatal closure, leading to diffusive resistances during the morning and evening that were intermediate between those in the control and those exposed to  $O_3$  alone. As a result these effects on diffusive resistance, the estimated flux of NO<sub>2</sub> was increased when followed by O<sub>3</sub> (during the previous daily cycles) or preceded by O<sub>3</sub> Hence, although O<sub>2</sub> alone had no significant effect on growth, it suppressed the growth stimulation induced by NO<sub>2</sub>, in spite of an approximate doubling of the amounts of NO<sub>2</sub> taken up by the leaves. Conversely, since the effects of NO, were to increase diffusive resistance early and late in the day, NO<sub>2</sub> exposures had no apparent effect on  $O_3$  flux.

# SUMMARY

The observed effects on growth and diffusive resistance lead to several conclusions. First, despite the fact that NO<sub>2</sub> alone caused increased stomatal closure early and late in the day relative to the control, the increases in dry matter production must have resulted from increased rates of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation. Stimulations of apparent photosynthesis by low levels (100 ppb) of NO<sub>2</sub>, based on CO<sub>2</sub> uptake, have been reported by Sabaratnamet al. (1988) and Sandhu and Gupta (1989), although Carlson (1983) reported no effects with exposure as high as 600 ppb. Inhibition of net photosynthesis by high exposure levels has been reported by several workers (Wellburn, 1990), and occasionally for low levels (50 ppb; Bull and Mansfield, 1974).

Second, the  $O_3$ -induced suppression of the normal pattern of stomatal closure suggests that  $CO_2$  assimilation would not be limited by any stomatal-dependent reduced  $CO_2$  flux throughout the photoperiod with constant illumination and, hence, might be greater than in the controls in filtered air if  $O_3$  did not inhibit the photosynthetic process. However,  $O_2$ -induced inhibition of net

 $CO_2$  assimilation has been widely reported, as has  $O_3$ -induced stimulation of dark respiration although responses of dark respiration are varied, with several reports of  $O_3$ -induced inhibitions (Runeckles and Chevone, 1992).

Amthor (1988) and Amthor and Cumming (1988) partitioned respiration into its growth and maintenance components and reported significant  $O_3$ -induced increases in maintenance respiration in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Hence, under the exposure conditions used in the present study, any potential for increased  $CO_2$  assimilation resulting from the constant illumination photoperiod and reduced stomatal closure was negated either by direct inhibition of photosynthetic  $CO_2$ -fixation or by increased diversion of assimilation to maintenance, or both.

Third, if no NO<sub>2</sub>-induced stimulation of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation persisted in the presence of  $O_3$ , the tendency for the stomata to remain open in the NO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> sequences in contrast to exposures to NO<sub>2</sub> alone suggests that dry matter accumulation should have been further stimulated. Conversely, the stomatal closure induced by NO<sub>2</sub> relative to  $O_2$  suggests that, if the reduction in  $CO_2$ assimilation or increase in assimilate utilization for repair resulting from O<sub>2</sub> alone were maintained then growth should have been further reduced. The observations that growth in NO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> sequences was consistently less than in NO<sub>2</sub> alone therefore suggests that the inhibition of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation or stimulation of assimilate utilisation for repair induced by O3 overcame the NO<sub>2</sub>-induced stimulation of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation.

In view of the lack of the effect of  $O_3$  on growth, the only conclusion possible, therefore, appears to be that increased  $CO_2$ -assimilation was nullified by increased maintenance/repair respiration.

With the NO<sub>2</sub> exposures, the increases in growth are compatible with the suggestion of increased CO<sub>2</sub>-assimilation, resulting from the uptake of NO<sub>2</sub> early in the photoperiod, in spite of reduced stomatal conductance early and late in the day. However, it is not possible from these data alone to elucidate the mechanisms involved. Although Holmes *et al.* (1989) showed that in N-limited cultures of the alga, *Selenastrum minutum*, provision of NO<sub>2</sub> effectively caused

cessation of  $CO_2$ -fixation until the supply of  $NO_3^$ was exhausted; such a mechanism appears unlikely in the present studies in which the plants were well supplied with N. The effects of  $NO_2$ alone on growth show that late  $NO_2$  exposures caused no significant effects relative to the control, although the estimated flux of  $NO_2$  was comparable to those observed in other  $NO_2$ treatments. The  $NO_2$  was taken up during the exposure in the light, and would result in the formation of nitrate and nitrite on dissolution in water. Since the plants had been maintained in light up to and during this exposure, the levels of nitrate and nitrite reductases should have been close to maximal, up to the end of the photoperiod

Whatever the mechanism by which NO<sub>2</sub> alone may have stimulated dry matter accumulation, this was suppressed by the mechanism involved in the O<sub>3</sub>-induced effect. If the effects of O<sub>3</sub> alone on growth were the result of both increases CO<sub>2</sub>fixation and respiration then the tendency for additional exposures to NO<sub>2</sub> to reduce growth relative to O<sub>3</sub> alone suggest that the effects were on CO<sub>2</sub>-assimilation rather than on maintenance/ repair respiration.

#### CONCLUSION

The exposures to  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$  sequences led to effects on growth and diffusive resistance that suggest increased CO<sub>2</sub>-assimilation and maintenance/repair respiration resulting from O<sub>3</sub> exposures. Although increased CO2-assimilation can account for increased growth resulting from NO<sub>2</sub> exposures, O<sub>3</sub> reduces the NO<sub>2</sub>-induced stimulation of CO<sub>2</sub>-assimilation. Although no effects of O<sub>2</sub> alone could be demonstrated on radish growth, the effects of the different sequences of exposures to NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> show clearly that observations made on individual pollutants may not reflect effects occurring in exposure situations that more closely resemble those likely to occur in polluted ambient environments. Lastly, the observed effects on stomatal diffusive resistance probably imply an adverse effect on the guard cell signal transduction or calcium signalling or both.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Dr. Victor Runeckles is acknowledged for funding this study and the University of British Columbia for providing the support and facilities.

## REFERENCES

- Amthor, J.S. 1988. Growth and maintenance respiration in leaves of bean (*Phaseolus* vulgaris L.) exposed to ozone in open-top chambers in the field. New Phytologist 110:319-325.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1988.tb00268.x
- Amthor, J.S. and Cumming, J.R. 1988. Low levels of ozone increase bean leaf maintenance respiration. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 66(4):724-726. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/b88-105
- Bahl, A. and Kahl, G. 1995. Air pollutant stress changes the steady-state transcript levels of three photosynthesis genes. *Environmental Pollution* 88:57-65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ 0269-7491(95)91048-P
- Barnes, J.D. and Pfirrmann, T. 1992. The influence of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, singly and in combination, on gas exchange, growth and nutrient status of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *New Phytologist* 121:403-412. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1992.tb02940.x
- Beckerson, D.W. and Hofstra, G. 1979. Stomatal responses of white bean to O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> singly or in combination. *Atmosheric Environment* 13:533-535. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(79)90147-1
- Bennett, J.H., Hill, A.C., Soleimani, A. and Edwards, W.H. 1970. Acute effects of combination of sulphur dioxide and nitrogendioxide on plants. *Environmental Pollution* 9 (2):127–132.
- Bender, J., Weigel, H.J. and Jäger, H.J. 1991. Response of nitrogen metabolism in beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) after exposure to ozone and nitrogen dioxide, alone and in sequence. *New Phytologist* 119(2):261–267. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1991.tb01029.x

- Bicak, C.J. 1978. Plant response to variable Ozone regimes of constant dosage. M.Sc. Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver B.C., Canada.
- Bull, J.N. and Mansfield, A.T. 1974. Photosynthesis in leaves exposed to SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature* (London) 250:443-444. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1038/250443c0
- Carlson, R.W. 1983. Interaction between SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> and their effects on photosynthetic properties of soybean Glycine max. *Environmental Pollution* (Ser. A) 32:11-38
- Cho, K., Tiwari, S., Agrawal, S. B., Torres, N. L., Agrawal, M., Sarkar, A., Shibato, J., Agrawal, G.K., Kubo, A. and Rakwal, R. 2011. Tropospheric Ozone and Plants: Absorption, Responses, and Consequences. *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 212:61-111. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-4419-8453-1\_3
- Dann, M.S. and Pell, E.J. 1989. Decline of activity and quantity of ribulosebis phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase and net photosynthesis in ozone-treated potato foliage. *Plant Physiology* 91: 427-432. http:// /dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.91.1.427
- Darrall, N.M. 1989. The effect of air pollutants on physiological processes in plants. *Plant Cell Environment* 12:1-30. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1989.tb01913.x
- Goodyear, S.N. and Ormrod, D.P. 1988. Tomato response to concurrent and sequential  $NO_2$ and  $O_3$  exposures. *Environmental Pollution* 51:315-326. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(88)90168-6
- Hassan, I.A., Ashmore, M.R. and Bell, J.N.B. 1994.
  Effects of O<sub>3</sub> on the stomatal behaviour of Egyptian varieties of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L. cv. Baladey) and turnip (Brassica rapa L. cv. Sultani). *New Phytolologist*, 128, 243-249. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1994.tb04008.x
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Hossain, M.A., Teixeira da Silva, J.A. and Fujita, M. 2012. Plant response and tolerance to abiotic oxidative stress: Antioxidant Defense Is a Key Factor. *Crop Stress and its Management: Perspectives and Strategies*. pp. 261-315. DOI: 10.1007/978-94-007-2220-0 8

- Heagle, A.S., Kress, L.W., Temple, P.J., Kohut, R.J., Miller, J.E. and Heggestad, H.E. 1988.
  Factors influencing ozone dose-yield response relationships in open-top field chamber studies. pp. 141-179. In: Heck, W.W., Taylor, O.C. and Tingey, D.T. (Eds.).
  Assessment of Crop Loss from Air Pollutants. Elsevier Applied Science, London. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-1367-7\_8
- Hoigne, J. and Bader, H. 1975. Ozonation of water: role of hydroxyl radicals as oxidizing intermediates. *Science* 190: 782-784
- Holmes, J.J., Weger, H.G. and Turpin, D.H. 1989.
  Chlorophyll a fluorescence predicts total photosynthetic electron flow to CO<sub>2</sub> or NO<sub>3</sub>/ NO<sub>2</sub> under transient conditions. *Plant Physiology* 91:331-337. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1104/pp.91.1.331
- Kostka-Rick, R. and Manning, W.J. 1992. Partitioning of biomass and carbohydrates in field-grown radish under ambient concentrations of ozone, and treated with the anti-ozonant ethylene-diurea (EDU). New Phytologist 121:187-200. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1992.tb01104.x
- Kress, L.W. and Skelly, J.M. 1982. Response of several eastern forest tree species to chronic doses of ozone and nitrogen dioxide. *Plant Disease* 66:1149-1152. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1094/PD-66-1149.
- Martin, R.M., Nicky, H.E., Lucy, T.M. and Kathryn A.N. 2002. Calcium signalling in stomatal responses to pollutants. *New Phytologist* 153(3):441-447.
- Musselman, R.C., Huerta, A.J., McCool, P.M. and Oshima, R.J. 1986. Response of beans to simulated ambient and uniform ozone distributions with equal peak concentration. *Journal of the American Society of Horticultural Science* 111(3):470-473.
- Natori, N. and Totsuka, T. 1984. Effects of mixed gas on transpiration rate of several woody plants 2. Synergistic effects of mixed gas on transpiration rate of Euonymus japonica. *Research Report from the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan,* No. 65. pp. 45-52.
- Ormrod, D.P., Tingey, D.T., Gumpertz, M.L. and Olszyk, D.M. 1984. Utilization of a response-

382

surface technique in the study of plant responses to ozone and sulfur dioxide mixtures. *Plant Physiology* 75:43-48. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1104/. pp.75.1.43

- Pasqualini, S., Piccioni, C., Reale, L., Ederli, L., Torre, G.D. and Ferranti, F. 2003. Ozoneinduced cell death in tobacco cultivar Bel W3 Plants. The role of programmed cell death in lesion formation. *Plant Physiology* 133 (3): 1122-1134.
- Reinert, R.A. and Gray, T.N. 1981. The response of radish to nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and ozone, alone and in combination. *Journal of Environmental Quality*10:240-243. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq1981.102240x
- Reinert, R.A. and Heck W.W. 1982. Effects of nitrogen dioxide in combination with sulfur dioxide and ozone on selected crops. In: Air Pollution by Nitrogen Oxides. Schneider, T. and Grant, L. (Eds.). Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Reinert, R.A. and Sanders, J.S. 1982. Growth of radish and Marigold following repeated exposure to nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and ozone.*Plant Disease*, 66, 122-124. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1094/PD-66-122
- Reinert, R.A., Shriner D.S. and Rawlings, J.O. 1982. Responses of radish to all combinations of three concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and ozone. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 11:52-57. http:// dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq1982.11152x
- Reinert, R.A., Tingey, D.T. and Carter, H.B. 1972. Ozone induced foliar injury in lettuce and radish cultivars. *Journal of the American Society of Horticultural Science* 97(6):711-714.
- Reinert, R.A., Shriner., D.S. and Rawlings, J.O. 1982. Responses of radish to all combinations of three concentrations of nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and ozone. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 11:52-57. http:// dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq1982.11152x
- Runeckles, V.C. and Palmer, K. 1987. Pretreatment with nitrogen dioxide modifies plant response to ozone. *Atmospheric Environment* 21:717-719. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90054-0
- Runeckles, V.C. and Chevone, B.I. 1992. Crop responses to ozone. pp.185-266. In: Surface

level ozone exposures and their effects on vegetation. Lefohn, A.S. (Ed.). Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI. USA.

- Runeckles, V.C. and Rosen, P.M. 1976. Effects of ambient ozone pre-treatment on transpiration and susceptibility to ozone injury. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 55:193-197. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1139/b77-026
- Runeckles, V.C. and Vaartnou, M. 1997. EPR evidence for superoxide formation in leaves during exposure to low levels of ozone. *Plant Cell Environ*. 20: 306-314.
- Sabaratnam, S., Gupta, G. and Mulchi, C. 1988b. Nitrogen dioxide effects on photosynthesis in soybean. *Journal of Environmental Quality*17(1):143-146. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2134/jeq1988.00472425001700010023x
- Saitanis, C.J., Riga-Karandinos, A.N. and Karandinos, M.G. 2001. Effects of ozone on chlorophyll and quantum yield of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) varieties. *Chemosphere* 42(8):945-953.<u>http://dx.doi.org/</u> 10.1016/S0045-6535(00)00158-2
- Sanders, J.S. and Reinert, R.A. 1982. Screening Azalea cultivars for sensitivity to nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide and ozone alone and in mixtures. *Journal of the American Society of Horticultural Science*107(1):87-90.
- Sandhu, R. and Gupta, G. 1989. Effects of nitrogen dioxide on growth and yield of black turtle bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cv. 'Domino'. *Environmental Pollution* 59:337-344. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(89)90160-7
- Shimazaki, K. 1988. Thylakoid membrane reactions to air pollutants. In: Air Pollution and Plant Metabolism. Schulte-Hostede, S., Darrall, N.M., Blank, L.W. and Wellburn, A.R. (Eds.). Elsevier, London, UK. pp. 116-133.
- Staehelin, J. and Hoigne, J. 1985. Decomposition of ozone in water in the presence of organic solutes acting as promoters and inhibitors of radical chain reactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 19: 1206-1213.
- Tingey, D.T., Fites, R.C. and Wickliff, C. 1973. Foliar sensitivity of soybeans to ozone as related to several leaf parameters. *Environmental Pollution* 4:183-192. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/0013-9327(73)90038-4
- Tingey, D.T. and Reinert, R.A. 1975. The effects of ozone and sulphur dioxide singly and in

combination on plant growth. *Environmental Pollution* 9:117-125. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0013-9327(75)90126-3

- Tiwari, S. and Agrawal, M. 2011. Assessment of the variability in response of radish and brinjal at biochemical and physiological levels under similar ozone exposure conditions. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 175 (1-4):443-454. DOI: 10.1007/s10661-010-1542-0
- Velikova1, V., Tsonev, T., Pinelli, P., Alessio, G.A. and Loreto, F. 2005. Localized ozone fumigation system for studying ozone effects on photosynthesis, respiration, electron transport rate and isoprene emission in fieldgrown Mediterranean oak species. *Tree Physiology* 25 (12):1523-1532.
- Wellburn, A.R. 1990. Why are atmospheric oxides of nitrogen usually phytotoxic and not

alternative fertilizers. *New Phytologist* 115:395-429. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00467.x

- Wellburn, F.A.M. and Wellburn, A.R. 1996. Variable patterns of antioxidant protection but similar ethene emission difference in several ozone-sensitive and ozone-tolerant plant species. *Plant Cell Environment* 19:754-760. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1996.tb00411.x
- Wohlgemuth, H., Mittelstrass, K., Kschieschan, S., Bender, J., Weigel, H.-J., Overmyer, K., Kangasjarvi, J., Sandermann, H. and Langebartels, C. 2002. Activation of an oxidative burst is a general feature of sensitive plants exposed to the air pollutant ozone. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 25:717-726.

384