African Crop Science Journal, Vol. 24, Issue Supplement s1, pp. 11 - 24ISSN 1021-9730/2016 \$4.00Printed in Uganda. All rights reserved©2016, African Crop Science Society

African Crop Science Journal by African Crop Science Society is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Uganda License. Based on a work at www.ajol.info/ and www.bioline.org.br/cs DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/acsj.v24i1.28</u>



MAGNITUDE OF GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION FOR BACTERIAL LEAF BLIGHT RESISTANCE IN RICE GROWING AREAS OF UGANDA

R.K. LUSSEWA, R. EDEMA¹ and J. LAMO²

ARI-Ukiriguru, Mwanza, Tanzania

¹Department of Agricultural Production, Makerere University, P. O. Box 7062, Kampala, Uganda ²Namulonge National Crop Resource Research Institute, Kampala, Uganda. **Corresponding author:** rkilloh@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Bacterial leaf blight (BLB) of rice (Oryzae sativa L.), caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae, is a major constraint in most lowland rice producing areas of Uganda. The disease is widely distributed in all irrigated and rainfed lowland rice ecosytems in the country. The pathogen (Xoo) is highly variable and its control is rather difficult. Development and deployment of host resistance is the only effective means of BLB management. The objective of this study was to determine the magnitude of genotype by environment (G x E) interaction for resistance to bacterial leaf blight in rice in Uganda. A study comprised of two sets of germplasms, a total of 30 rice genotypes comprising of 13 lines with varying levels of BLB resistance, and 17 F_4 lines that had been previous generated through crossing 7 parental lines, and then advanced in bulk from F₁, was conducted in Namulonge-Wakiso, Olweny-Lira and Kibimba-Bugiri districts in Uganda. The study also included 7 parental lines and 6 popular varieties used in most farmers' fields. Variety IR 24 had been used as a universal check against BLB in Asian rice populations. Results revealed differential reactions on a set of near isogenic lines in the background of IR24, and some national and regional cultivars. IRBB1 (Xa1), IRBB2 (Xa2) and IRBB14 (Xa14) showed moderate susceptibility to susceptibility towards field pathogen populations in the three locations. Whereas genotype IRBB4 with gene Xa4 differentiated pathotypes of Kibimba and Lira from that of Namulonge, IRBB10 (Xa10) and IRBB11 (Xa11) differentiated pathotypes of Lira from the rest. Genotypes that had been pyramided with BLB genes of resistance, showed similar reactions to the three field populations. Generally, the near isogenic lines IRBB1, IRBB2, IRBB11 and IRBB14, had the highest leaf area damaged by disease attack. The highest was shown by IRBB11 with the Kibimba pathotypes for which disease attack was 43%. Low attack was observed on pyramided genotypes in all locations and two with single gene, i.e. IRBB8 and IRBB21, respectively. Interestingly, IR24 was as resistant as any of the pyramided combinations. Results also revealed different reactions of the tested genotypes in the three locations. The analysis of variance by AMMI partitioned the main effects of treatments into genotype, environment, and genotype x environment (G x E) interactions. Results also revealed that, the mean sum of squares due to treatments, genotypes, environments and genotype x environment interaction were significant, and contributed 48.2, 15.3, 19.3 and 13.3%, respectively, PCA1 accounted for 73.02% of the total G x E sum of squares.

Key Words: Oryzae sativa, pyramid, Xanthomonas

RÉSUMÉ

La brûlure foliaire bactérienne (BLB) est causée chez le riz (*Oryzae sativa* L.) par *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* qui est un problème majeur dans la plupart des basfonds ou on produit le riz en Ouganda. La maladie est largement répandue dans tous les écosystèmes ou le riz est produit, soit par irrigation ou par les pluies. L'agent pathogène (Xoo) présente une très grande diversification, et très difficile a Controller. Le développement et déploiement d'hôtes résistants est le seul moyen efficace pour le control du BLB. La présente étude visait à déterminer l'effet

12

R.K. LUSSEWA et al.

de l'interaction génotype-environnement (GxE) sur la résistance à la bactérie de brulure foliaire chez le riz en Ouganda. Une expérimentation a été conduite sur 30 génotypes de riz, dont 13 lignées avec des niveaux de résistance variés à BLB et 17 lignées F, générées en croissant 7 lignées parentales dont les F, ont été avances a Namulonge-Wakiso, Olweny-Lira et Kibimba- Bugiri en Ouganda. L'étude a aussi pris en compte 7 lignées parentales et 6 variétés populaires utilisées dans la plupart des champs. La variété IR 24 a été utilisée comme référence universelle résistante au BLB dans les populations de riz asiatiques. Les résultats ont révélé des réactions diverses sur une série de lignées isogéniques par rapport à IR24, et quelques accessions nationales et régionales. IRBB1 (Xa1), IRBB2 (Xa2) et IRBB14 (Xa14) se sont montre peu ou très susceptibles au BLB dans les trois localités. Tandis que le génotype IRBB4 qui porte le gène Xa4 a réagi de façon différente vis à vis des pathotypes de Kibimba et de Lira compare à ceux de Namulonge, IRBB10 (Xa10) et IRBB11 (Xa11) ont différencié les pathotypes de Lira par rapport au reste. Les génotypes portant des cumuls de gènes de résistance ont exhibes des réactions identiques dans toutes les trois populations. Généralement, les lignées presque isogéniques IRBB1, IRBB2, IRBB11 et IRBB14, ont présenté les pourcentages les plus élevés de dommages foliaires. Les dommages les plus importants étaient observés chez IRBB11 en contact avec les pathotypes de Kibimba, pour lesquels on a noté 43% d'attaque foliaire. Dans toutes les localités, les dégâts étaient modérés sur les génotypes à plusieurs gènes de résistance et deux avec un seul gène. Par exemple, IRBB8 et IRBB21, respectivement. Fort heureusement, IR24 était autant résistant que tous les autres gènes cumulés. Il a été aussi observe que les réactions sur les génotypes testes varient d'une location a une autre. L'analyse de variance par AMMI a partitionne les effets des traitements en effet dus aux génotypes, a l'environnement et a leur interaction. Aussi, il a été observe que les sommes des carrés moyens due au traitements, génotypes, environnement et interaction génotypeenvironnement, étaient significatives et contribuent respectivement 48.2, 15.3, 19.3 et 13.3% a la variation totale. L'axe PCA1 a expliqué 73.02% de la variation totale due à l'interaction G x E.

Mots Clés: Oryzae sativa, pyramide, Xanthomonas

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial leaf blight (BLB) of rice caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (Swings *et al.* 1990), is widespread in several rice growing areas covering both tropical and temperate countries (Mew, 1987; Mew *et al.*, 1993; Gnanamanickam *et al.*, 1999; Séré *et al.*, 2005). The disease occurs in fields in several West African countries with incidences as high as 70 to 80% (Séré *et al.*, 2005). Yield losses due to BLB generally vary between 20 to 30%, but a range from 50 to 90% has been reported in some areas (Ou, 1985; Séré *et al.*, 2005).

The presence of *X.oryzae* pv. *oryzae* has now been confirmed in Uganda (Onasanya *et al.*, 2010). However, little is known about the variability of local *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv.*oryzae* pathogen populations (Lamo, 2009). A recent survey reported the occurrence of bacterial leaf blight in some parts of rice growing areas of Eastern Uganda, with high incidence and severity (Lamo, 2009; Habarurema *et al.*, 2012).

However, investigation of the resistance to bacterial leaf blight of rice varieties has not been evaluated. Chemical control of BLB is impractical, and no truly effective bactericide is commercilly available for its control (Ou, 1985). Some bacterial antagonists of Xoo tried as biological agents could not be used commercilly (Vasudevan et al., 2002). On the other hand, controlling the disease using cultural practices, by improving or changes in cultural practices, are only partially effective in restricting the pathogen from spread (Niño-Liu et al., 2006). Practicing field sanitation such as removing weed hosts, rice straws and debris, ratoons, and volunteer seedlings is important to avoid infection caused by this disease. Likewise, maintaining shallow water in nursery beds, providing good drainage during severe flooding, ploughing under rice stubble and straw following harvest, are also management practices that can be followed (Mizukami and Wakimoto, 1969). Proper seed dressing, application of judicious nitrogen fertiliser rates, proper plant spacing and crop rotation are also recommended for the management of BLB (IRRI, 2003). However, the usefulness of cultural practices for BLB control varies depending on the location and disease incidence (Niño-Liu et al., 2006).

The use of varietal resistance or breeding for BLB resistance is the main control measure presently available, since no other control method is economically effective (Niño-Liu et al., 2006). Several resistance genes are available for deployment against this disease. The utilisation of resistant varieties carrying R genes, is one of the most effective, economical and environmentally friendly approach to control the bacterial blight (Keyu et al., 2008; Lore et al., 2011). Globally, BLB-resistant rice cultivars were developed and as many as 31 Xa genes conferring resistance against Xoo have been identified so far (Nino Liu et al., 2006). However, the durability of resistance depends upon the prevalence of pathogen races in time and space (Jagjeet et al., 2010). This is due to the fact that the pathogen Xoo is highly variable and more than 30 races of the bacterium have been reported worldwide (Adhikari et al., 1999; Noda et al., 2001).

The objective of this study was to determine the nature of genetic variability for resistance to bacterial leaf blight (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv *oryzae*) in selected Ugandan rice landraces and introduced varieties, derived from intraspecific and interspecific genotypes through determining the magnitude of G x E interaction of the selected genotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental location. The study was conducted on three locations: Namulonge (Central-Uganda); Kibimba (Eastern-Uganda) and Olweny (Northern-Uganda). Namulonge, is located at 0° 31' 47" N and 32° 36' 9" E, at an elevation of 1,133 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l). It has a bimodal type of rainfall, with an annual mean rainfall of 1,300 mm, with the first rainy season from April to July and the second season in September to December. The site has a tropical wet and a mild dry climate, with slightly humid conditions averaging 65% humidity. Temperatures rarely rise beyond 28 °C, with the minimum about 15°C, and typically less than 70% relative humidity (Lugojja *et al.*, 2001; NARO, 2005).

Kibimba Irrigation Scheme is located in eastern Uganda, at a latitude 0°32' 14" N and longitude 33°51' 9"E, in Bugiri district. The irrigation scheme was started as a joint venture between Ugandan Government and the Peoples Republic of China. It has approximately 1,400 acres. This scheme was privatised in 1995 and it is currently under management of Tilda Ltd, a UK based-Indian company.

The Olweny Rice Scheme is located in Lira district in northern Uganda, at 2p 11' 49.3"N and 33p 1' 33.3"E. The Olweny wetland system is about 10,000 hectares in size, including 600 hectares that have been developed into the Itek (350 ha) and Okile (250 ha) Rice Projects, located in Amach and Barr sub-counties. This region also has a bimodal type of rainfall.

Rice germplasm used. Two sets of germplasms were used in this study. The first set included a total of 30 rice genotypes, comprised of 13 lines, with varying levels of BLB resistance; and 17 F₄ lines that had been previous generated through crossing 7 parental lines, and then advanced in bulk from F₁. The 7 parents were included in the 13 lines used in the study (Table 1), while the remaining 6 were among the popular varieties used in most farmers' fields. These six varieties included K85 (local Ugandan landrace), NERICA1 (upland), IR54 (IRRI -Tanzania), IR 24, CT 12, WITA9 (AfricaRice) and K5 (Ugandan landrace). Variety IR 24 had been used as a universal check against BLB in Asian rice populations. Meanwhile, K5 and K85 were the varieties most preferred in Uganda, though they were susceptible to BLB. CT12 is a newly released rice variety that had been successful in Uganda and was also resistant to BLB (Lamo, 2010).

The second set of germplasm consisted of differential lines comprising of 17 near-isogenic rice lines (NILs) based on IR24, with each NIL carrying one to four specific genes for resistance to BLB (Lore *et al.*, 2011). The differentials were planted beside the genotypes tested at each trial site for the G x E. These differential lines and their respective genes of resistance are listed in Table 2.

Experimental design. The 30 test genotypes were planted in three locations of Namulonge, Lira, and Kibimba. Seedlings were transplanted into a 10 x 3 alpha lattice design, with three replications and a plant spacing of 20 cm x 20 cm, with 2 seedlings per hill. In addition, the 17 NILs and IR24 were also planted alongside the experimental plots in 4 lines of 6.0 m long.

No.	Genotype name	Pedigree	Source
1	IR54	Unknown	*IRRI -Tanzania
2	NERICA4	WAB450-I-B-P-91-HB	Africa Rice/WARDA
3	CT145	Unknown	**CIAT
4	CT12	CT16344-CA-9-M	CIAT
5	NERICA1	WAB450-I-B-P-38-HB	Africa Rice/WARDA
6	WITA9	Unknown	Africa Rice/WARDA
7	K5	Cross	Uganda (Local)
8	CT147 x WITA132	Cross	***NACRRI-Namulonge
9	NERICA14 x WITA132	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
10	NERICA10 x NERICA14	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
11	NERICA4 x NERICA10	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
12	CT23	CT16333(20)-CA-18-M	CIAT
13	WITA132 x NERICA14	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
14	WITA132	Unknown	Africa Rice/WARDA
15	NERICA14 x CT145	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
16	NERICA14	WAB880-1-32-1-2-P1-HB	Africa Rice/WARDA
17	K85	Unknown	Uganda (Local)
18	NERICA10	WAB450-11-1-1-P41-HB	Africa Rice/WARDA
19	NERICA10 x WITA132	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
20	WITA132 x CT147	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
21	NERICA14 x CT23	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
22	CT147	Unknown	CIAT
23	WITA132 x CT145	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
24	NERICA4 x CT145	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
25	NERICA10 x CT147	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
26	WITA132 x CT147	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
27	CT145 x NERICA14	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
28	WITA132 x NERICA14	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
29	CT147 x NERICA4	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge
30	NERICA14 x NERICA4	Cross	NACRRI-Namulonge

TABLE 1. List of rice genotypes used in a study in Uganda

*IRRI : International Rice Research Institute **CIAT: International Centre for Tropical Agriculture, ***NACRRI: National Crop Resource Research Institute

Data collection and management

BLB assessment on the NILs. Disease reaction on the NILs was recorded based on length of the leaf showing symptoms of BLB at crop maturity period. The length of the BLB lesion was then classified in accordance with Cottyn and Mew's system (2004).

BLB assessment on the 30 test genotypes. Data were collected on the 30 genotypes, by recording their disease score 42 days after transplanting, using the IRRI standard scoring scale (IRRI, 1996), (Table 3). This scale was used because

estimated average percentages of disease attack on leaves for replicated plots were used during disease assessment in field

Data analysis

Pathotype diversity. The pathogenic variability of the *Xoo* was assessed on the basis of the extent of damage of *Xoo* on the differential lines, according to differences in their disease scores in the different locations. The mean disease scores for genotypes were then grouped according to Cottyn and Mew's (2004) classification.

14

No	NIL	Xa-gene	NIL	Xa-gene	No.	
1	IRBB1	Xa1	IRBB50	Xa4+xa5	10	
2	IRBB2	Xa2	IRBB51	Xa4+xa13	11	
3	IRBB4	Xa4	IRBB52	Xa4+Xa21	12	
4	IRBB7	Xa7	IRBB54	xa5+Xa21	13	
5	IRBB8	xa8	IRBB55	xa13+Xa21	14	
6	IRBB10	Xa10	IRBB56	Xa4+xa5+xa13	15	
7	IRBB11	Xa11	IRBB57	Xa4+xa5+xa21	16	
8	IRBB14	Xa14	IRBB60	Xa4+xa5+xa13+ xa21	17	
9	IRBB21	Xa21	IR24	-	18	

TABLE 2. Bacterial blight NILs and their genes of resistance to bacterial leaf blight (BLB)

Source: Liu et al. (2007)

TABLE 3. Scale used for scoring bacterial leaf blight disease severity in rice in the field

ScalePercentage of Diseased leaf areaDescription11-5Resistant (R)36-12Medium resistant (MR)513-25Medium susceptible (MS)726-50Susceptible (S)9>50Highly susceptible (HS)			
11-5Resistant (R)36-12Medium resistant (MR)513-25Medium susceptible (MS)726-50Susceptible (S)9>50Highly susceptible (HS)	Scale	Percentage of Diseased leaf area	Description
36-12Medium resistant (MR)513-25Medium susceptible (MS)726-50Susceptible (S)9>50Highly susceptible (HS)	1	1-5	Resistant (R)
513-25Medium susceptible (MS)726-50Susceptible (S)9>50Highly susceptible (HS)	3	6-12	Medium resistant (MR)
726-50Susceptible (S)9>50Highly susceptible (HS)	5	13-25	Medium susceptible (MS)
9 >50 Highly susceptible (HS)	7	26-50	Susceptible (S)
	9	>50	Highly susceptible (HS)

Source: IRRI (1996)

Genotype by environment interaction analysis.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each location was done separately, followed by combined ANOVA across locations for the BLB resistance trait. Locations and replications were treated as random effects, while genotypes were treated as fixed effects. The ANOVA was performed using GenStat statistical package (Lawes Agricultural Trust, 2012). The linear model used for the single location ANOVA was:

Yij=ì+ri+gj+eij

Where:

- Yij = observed effect for ith replication and jth genotype;
- \hat{i} = grand mean of the experiment;
- ri = effect of the ith replication;

- g i = effect of the jth genotype (f1 hybrid or inbred line); and
- eij = residual effect or random error of the experiment.

The linear model for the across-location ANOVA was (Habururema *et al.*, 2012):

 $Yijk = \mu + li + r(l)j(i) + gk + (gl)ik + ejk(i)$

Where:

- Yijk= observed effect for the *i*th location, *j*th replication within the *i*th location, and *k*th genotype;
- μ = grand mean of the experiment;
- li = effect of the *i*th location;
- r(l)j(i) = effect of the *j*th replication within the *i*th location;
- gk = effect of the *k*th genotype (F1 hybrid);
- (gl)ik= interaction of the *k*th genotype with the *i*th location; and
- ejk(i) = residual effect or random error of the experiment.

Genotype stability for resistance to BLB disease. Genotype stability for resistance to BLB disease was determined using the additive main effects and multiplicative interaction (AMMI) analysis in GenStat 14th edition statistical software (Lawes Agricultural Trust, 2012). The AMMI model used was:

$$Y_{ger} = \mu + \acute{a}_{g+} \hat{a}_{e+en} y_{gnaen} + \tilde{n}_{ge} + E_{ger}, ,$$

Where:

- Y = the BLB disease lesion of genotype g in environment e for replicate r;
- μ = the grand mean;
- \dot{a}_{g} = the genotype g mean deviation;
- \hat{a}_{e}^{*} = the environment e mean deviation;
- ^{en} = the number of PCA axes retained in the model;
- n = the singular value for PCA axis n;
- y_{gn} = the genotype eigenvector value for PCA axis n;
- ^{äen} = the environment eigenvector values for PCA axis n;

 \tilde{n}_{re} = the residual; and

 $\vec{E}_{ger} =$ the error (Ntawuruhunga *et al.*, 2001).

An AMMI1 biplot was generated to provide visualisation of the main effects of the treatment and the environments, in addition to the most important treatment x environment interactions. Another analysis was conducted using a biplot of genotype main effects plus genotype x environment interaction (GGE) to further visualise the genotype x environment two-way interaction. The GGE biplot allows visualisation of the crossover treatment x environment interactions, relationships among treatments, and relationships among environments.

RESULTS

Pathotype diversity of *Xanthomonas oryzae* **pv oryzae** (**Xoo**). Results of the near isogenic lines (NILs) evaluated in three locations, revealed differences in their reaction patterns to BLB isolates on the NILs (Table 4).

Genotype RBB1 (Xa1), IRBB2 (Xa2) and IRBB14 (Xa14) showed moderately susceptible to susceptible toward the field pathogen populations in all three locations. These three genotypes, each contain a single gene of resistance to BLB. Whereas genotype IRBB4 (Xa4) differentiated pathotypes of Kibimba and Lira from of Namulonge, IRBB10 (Xa10) and IRBB11 (Xa11) differentiated pathotypes of Lira from the rest. IRBB1 (Xa1), IRBB2 (Xa2) and IRBB14 (Xa14) showed moderately susceptible to susceptible toward the field pathogen populations in all three locations. Each genotype

TABLE 4. Reaction of NILs against the natural pathogen populations in the different locations

Genotype/NIL	Xa gene	Reaction against pathotypes			
		NamXoo (Namulonge)	KbXoo (Kibimba)	LiXoo (Lira)	
IRBB 1	Xa1	S	S	MS	
IRBB 2	Xa 2	MS	S	MS	
IRBB 4	Xa4	MS	MR	MR	
IRBB 7	Xa 7	MR	MS	MR	
IRBB 8	Xa 8	MR	MR	R	
IRBB10	<i>Xa</i> 10	MS	MS	MR	
IRBB11	Xa 11	S	S	MR	
IRBB14	Xa 14	S	MS	MS	
IRBB21	Xa 21	R	R	R	
IRBB50	Xa 4 + Xa5	MR	MR	R	
IRBB51	Xa 4 + Xa13	MR	MS	MR	
IRBB52	Xa4 + Xa 21	MR	R	R	
IRBB54	Xa 5 + Xa 21	R	R	R	
IRBB55	Xa 13 + Xa 21	R	R	R	
IRBB56	<i>Xa</i> 4 + <i>Xa</i> 5 + <i>Xa</i> 13	R	R	R	
IRBB57	Xa 4 + Xa 5 + Xa 21	R	R	R	
IRBB60	Xa 4 + Xa 5 + Xa 13 + Xa 21	R	R	R	
IR24	-	R	MR	R	

R, = resistant., MR, = moderately resistant., MS, = moderately susceptible., S, = susceptible

16

contained a single gene of resistance to BLB. Whereas genotype IRBB4 with gene *Xa*4 differentiated pathotypes of Kibimba and Lira from that of Namulonge, IRBB10 (Xa10) and IRBB11 (Xa11) differentiated pathotypes of Lira from the rest. Genotypes that had been pyramided with BLB genes of resistance, showed similar reaction to all three field populations.

Generally, the near isogenic lines IRBB1, IRBB2, IRBB11 and IRBB14 had the highest percentages of leaf area damaged by disease attack. The highest was shown by IRBB11 with the Kibimba pathotype (KibXoo) for which disease attack was 43% (Fig. 1). Low attack was observed on pyramided genotypes in all locations and two with single gene, i.e., IRBB8 and IRBB21,

respectively. Interestingly, IR24 was as resistant as any of the pyramided combinations.

Genotype by environment interaction. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) across environments, detected significant variation among genotypes and for the $G \times E$ interaction on the BLB resistance trait. This phenomenon indicated differences in response to the environments of the genotypes used in the study as shown by in Figure 1.

Stability of BLB-resistant rice genotypes. The analysis of variance by AMMI partitioned the main effects of treatments into genotype, environment, and genotype x environment (G x E) interactions. Results revealed that, the mean

.

** Levels	100.0	90.0 80.0	70.0	60.0	50.0
CT 12	1				
WTTA 132/NER14	27				
CT 145/NER14	3)			
WITA 122	25			,	
CT 147/Nov10	20			, ,	
NED14/WITTA 199	20)		, ,	
CT 147	20			, ,	
01 14/ NED 10/NTTN 100	10)		,	
NER 10/WITA 132	13	/ /		,	
WITAI32/ NER10	29))		,	
NER14/CT 145	18))		,	
1R54	9)))	
NER 10/NER14	12))	
NERICA14	24)))	
NER10	16)))	
NER 4/NER10	15)))	
CT 147 /WITA 132	5))	
CT 147/NER4	7)))	
NER 14/NER4	14)))	
NERICA 1	22)))	
WITA 132/CT 147	26))))	
NERICA 4	23))))	
WITA 9	28))))	
WITA132/CT 145	30)))))	
CT 23	8))	
NER14/CT 23	19)
CT 145	2)			
NER10/CT 147	17)			
NER4/CT145	21)			
K 85	10)	
K5	11)	

Figure 1. Dendrogram of the genotypes tested for BLB in three locations in Uganda 2011.

sum of squares due to treatments, genotypes, environments and genotype x environment interaction were significant, and contributed 48.2, 15.3, 19.3 and 13.3%, respectively. PCA1 accounted for 73.02% of the total G x E sum of squares.

In order to determine whether bi-plot analysis was suitable, a mean value of BLB score against PCA 1 scores were conducted (Fig. 2).

Since a high number of genotypes with PCA scores close to zero were realised, bi-plot analysis was employed. For the 30 genotypes tested, 11 genotypes about 37% had a mean score outside of the range of \pm 0.5. These were NERICA4, K5, CT147 x WITA132, NERICA14 x WITA132, NERICA14 x NERICA10, NERICA14 x CT145, NERICA14, NERICA10, CT147, WITA132 x CT147 and CT145 x NERICA14 (Fig. 3).

The variation of genotypes in two clear environmental clusters with environment 1 and 3, together and environment 2 separate was depicted in Figure 3. Similarly, the AMMI 2 revealed four apparent groups of the genotype in terms of response to the environment. Each group was on both sides of the quadrants of the biplot. Several lines showed low score for BLB in each of the quadrants.

The results for IPCA scores and means of 30 genotypes in 3 environments showed that many genotypes were highly interactive (Table 5). The most resistant genotypes across the different locations were CT12 (10.64%), WITA132

xNERICA14 (13.66%),NERICA10(18.3%), NERICA4 (18.31%) and NERICA1 (18.54%). The least resistant genotypes included two local checks (K5 (34.46%) and K85 (34.07%), as well as CT145 (28.91%) and NERICA14 x CT23 (30.01%). Results also indicated that most of the genotypes were affected by the disease at Kibimba, with a mean of 30.99%; and less so at Lira site (17.39%). It was also shown that, the most interactive genotypes included NERICA14 x CT23 and K5 (-2.0) interpreted from their IPCA1 values; while the least interactive genotype was NERICA10 which recorded an IPCA1 value of -0.05 (Table 6).

The GGE biplot based on the 30 genotypes at 3 environments (Namulonge, Kibimba and Lira) in a two-way table of the BLB score is illustrated in Figure 4. The environment-standardised data are used, with the assumption that all environments were equally important in genotypic evaluation. The GGE biplot explained 91.28% of the BLB score for resistance, when the analysis was environment-centred. NERICA14 x CT23 had the least resistance to BLB in Kibimba; while K5 and K85 scored least resistance to BLB in both Lira and Namulonge. This environmentfocused singular-value partitioning, allows appropriate visualisation of the relationships among environments and similar overlapping clusters of environments, as shown in the AMMI analysis. The biplot Figure 4 also, revealed four apparent groups of the genotype in terms of their



Figure 2. Graph for percentage mean BLB score against IPCA 1 score for a study in Uganda.



Figure 3. Biplot of IPCA 2 against IPCA1 for % BLB score of 30 genotypes at locations of Uganda.

response to the environment. Each group was on both sides of the quadrants of the biplot. Several lines showed low scores for BLB in each of the quadrants. The analysis further revealed that there was moderate genotype x environment interaction (13.3%) relative to the main effect (15.3%), which led to moderate crossover genotype x environment interactions, as evidenced by the fact that PC1 scores took different signs and the 3 environments fell in only two quadrants in terms of their discrimination of genotypes for BLB score.

DISCUSSION

BLB pathotype reaction patterns. The reaction pattern of the 18 near isogenic lines (NILs) in the three locations, ranged from moderately susceptible to susceptible for IRBB1 (*Xa1*), IRBB2 (*Xa2*) and IRBB14 (*Xa14*). These three genotypes have single genes for resistance. In addition, genotype IRBB4 (*Xa4*) differentiated pathogen populations of Kibimba and Lira from that of Namulonge; while IRBB10 (*Xa*10) and IRBB11

(*Xa*11), being moderately resistant, differentiated pathogen populations of Lira from the rest, IRBB21 (*Xa* 21) showed resistance in all three locations. The study findings contradict those of Goel *et al.* (1998) and Swamy *et al.* (2006), who reported that IRBB21 (*Xa* 21) was non-responsive to all pathotypes found in India. However, another study conducted in Punjab, revealed that IRBB21 was the most resistant against 17 BLB isolates (Singh *et al.*, 2003).Similar results were reported by Mazzola *et al.* (1994), who noted that IRBB21 was resistant to all pathotypes of *Xoo* prevalent in India and the Philippines.

This finding suggests that single genes could be used to develop BLB resistant lines through pyramiding. Lines with pyramided genes, including IRBB50, IRBB52, IRBB54, IRBB55, IRBB56, IRBB57 and IRBB60, were at least moderately resistant in all three locations, in contrast with single-gene isolines, which had varying and often susceptible reactions. This further supports the view that pyramiding is an appropriate breeding approach for developing resistance to BLB. Singh *et al.* (2001) indicated R.K. LUSSEWA et al.

Genotype	Mea	Genotype		
	Namulonge	Kibimba	Olweny	mean
CT 147 x NERICA 4	22.09	31.08	19.16	24.11
NERICA14 x CT 23	21.00	53.75	15.28	30,01
NERICA 4 x NERICA10	15.07	31.19	11.30	19.19
K85	33.64	37.21	31.35	34.07
NERICA10 x NERICA14	16.77	26.58	13.75	19.03
NERICA14 x NERICA 4	24.99	29.64	22.57	25.73
NERICA14 x WITA 132	19.79	37.69	15.81	24.43
NERICA10 x WITA 132	18.79	37.38	14.73	23.63
NERICA14 x CT 145	17.29	38.52	12.92	22.91
NERICA10	15.94	26.08	12.88	18.30
IR 54	18.77	42.35	14.12	25.08
NERICA 4 x CT 145	26.30	34.35	23.48	28.04
NERICA10 x CT 147	27.21	33.80	24.56	28.52
CT 147 x WITA 132	21.24	31.46	18.17	23.62
CT 147	17.82	35.39	13.88	22.37
WITA 132 x NERICA14	14.40	14.01	12.57	13.66
NERICA14	16.35	28.47	13.05	19.29
CT 23	20.20	19.90	18.36	19.49
NERICA1	17.34	23.56	14.74	18.54
CT 12	11.29	11.22	9.42	10.64
K 5	36.21	32.36	34.79	34.46
WITA 132 x CT 145	20.74	23.15	18.58	20.82
WITA 132 x CT 147	18.29	24.57	15.67	19.51
WITA9	19.98	24.86	17.53	20.79
CT 145 x NERICA14	19.90	35.35	16.22	23.82
WITA 132 x NERICA10	18.38	37.65	14.24	23.42
NERICA4	18.18	20.75	16.01	18.31
CT 147 x NERICA10	20.31	37.57	16.41	24.77
WITA 132	19.70	34.10	16.13	23.31
CT 145	27.01	35.60	24.13	28.91
Location mean F-test	20.50 *	30.99 ***	17.39 ***	22.96

TABLE 5. Mean percentage of BLB lesions on the tested genotypes from the three locations in Uganda

that pyramided lines with more than one *Xa* gene among *Xa*4, *Xa*5, *Xa*13 and *Xa*21 had increased effectiveness against all isolates from Punjab.

The significance of G X E. Genotypes, environments and their interactions were significant, contributing 15.3, 19.3 and 13.3% of the genetic variation, respectively. This indicates adequate variability worth using AMMI and GGE to detect and describe the performance of the genotypes response to BLB. The significance of the differences among environments indicated distinctness of intrinsic factors in the different environments. The AMMI 1 biplot explained 99.9% of the total variation, partitioned into PCA1 = 73.02% and PCA 2= 26.97%.

AMMI 1 plot showed that out of 30 genotypes, 11 (37%) had a mean IPCA1 score outside the range of \pm 0.5. The PC1 vs PC2 plot showed that 18 of the 30 genotypes had low interactions with environments for BLB scores. The overall result indicates adequate variability in the NILs to warrant the development of resistant lines. Furthermore, two clear clusters of

20

TABLE 6. Mean percentage BLB score and interaction scores of the genotypes across locations in Uganda

Genotype	BLB score (%)	IPCA 1
CT147 x NERICA4	24.11	-0.21
NERICA14 x CT23	30.01	3.1
NERICA4 x NERICA10	19.19	0.78
K85	34.07	-0.96
NERICA10 x NERICA14	19.03	-0.1
NERICA14 x NERICA4	25.73	-0.81
NERICA14 x WITA132	24.43	1.03
NERICA10 x WITA132	23.63	1.13
NERICA14 x CT145	22.91	1.5
NERICA10	18.3	-0.05
IR 54	25.08	1.82
NERICA4 x CT145	28.04	-0.34
NERICA10 x CT147	28.52	-0.54
CT147 x WITA132	23.62	-0.04
CT147	22.37	0.99
WITA132 x NERICA14	13.66	-1.51
NERICA14	19.29	0.23
CT 23	19.49	-1.5
NERICA1	18.54	-0.59
CT 12	10.64	-1.47
K 5	34.46	-2
WITA 132 x CT 145	20.82	-1.12
WITA132 x CT147	19.51	-0.58
WITA9	20.79	-0.78
CT 145 x NERICA14	23.82	0.69
WITA132 x NERICA10	23.42	1.22
NERICA4	18.31	-1.1
CT147 x NERICA10	24.77	0.94
WITA132	23.31	0.54
CT145	28.91	-0.26

environments suggest that breeding for multiple target environments could be necessary.

Several genotypes were highly interactive, implying that selection for stability across locations is useful. In this study, the identified lines with stable resistance for BLB were: CT12, WITA132 xNERICA14, NERICA10, NERICA4 and NERICA1. The resistance for BLB in these lines should be explored other enshrinements.

AMMI analysis revealed that many genotypes had significant G x E interactions (P< 0.01). Olweny (Lira), located in eastern Uganda, showed the lowest overall BLB score for the tested genotypes, with an average mean disease

percentage of 17.4%; followed by Namulonge with 20.5%. The variation in the AMMI analysis could be due to a number of factors, such as amount of rainfall, temperature, relative humidity, pests or BLB pathotypes. Although AMMI allows visualization of the main effects of the BLB score for the different genotypes and the environments, it does not show which genotype was consistently the most resistant in all locations. The which-won-where pattern can be visualised only by the polygon view of the GGE biplot. The consistently high BLB score for K5 and K85 in both Lira and Namulonge, confirms that these new lines are indeed susceptible to BLB. These are the two improved varieties along with landraces that farmers had started abandoning them due to their susceptibility to bacterial leaf blight and other diseases.

CONCLUSION

AMMI has indicated significant interactions reflecting differences in the genotypes, depending on the environment in which they are tested. These results emphasize that the environment contributes to differential genotype reactions to BLB, and hence, to obtain true resistant genotypes there is a need for using multi-locations with several seasons of testing. There is a need to evaluate different isolates from each test environment to separate the effects of the physical environment from differences caused by differing pathotypes.

This information could be applied in breeding programmes to develop rice cultivars with durable resistance to the BLB pathogen. Furthermore, as Xoo is a seedborne, regional or international monitoring of the pathogen can be utilised in the quarantine programmes.

Due to diverse agro-climatic rice growing zones as the case shown by the three sites, and the presence of a number of genetically distinct virulent *Xoo* strains in Uganda, pyramiding of two or more effective *xa* genes in agronomically superior genotypes and search for new disease resistance in context of African origin from wild oryza spp seems to be the most effective disease management strategy in our region.



Figure 4. Distribution and performance of the genotypes against X. oryzae pv oryzae in the locations in Uganda.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the Regional Rice Centre of Excellence under the Eastern Africa Agricultural Productivity Project (EAAPP) for funding this work. Association for Strengthening Agricultural Research in Eastern and Central Africa (ASARECA) for facilitated the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

Adhikari, T.B., Mew, T.W. and Leach, J.E. 1999. Genotypic and pathotypic diversity in *Xanthomonasoryzae*pv.*oryzae* in Nepal. *Phytopathology* 89:687-694

- Clewer, A.G and Scarisbrick, D. H. 2001. Practical: Statistics and experimental design for plant and crop science. John Wiley and sons, Chichester.
- Cottyn, B. and Mew, T.W. 2004. Bacterial blight in rice. In: Goodman, R.M. (Ed.). Encyclopedia of Plant and Crop Science. New York, Marcel Dekker. pp. 79-83.
- Gnanamanickam, S.S., Pryiyadarasani, V., Narayanan, N., Vasudevan, N. and Kavitha, P. 1999. An overview of bacterial blight disease of rice and strategies for management. *Curr. Sci.* 77: 1435-1444.
- Goel, R.K., Kaur, L. and Saini, R.G. 1998. Effectiveness of different *xa* genes against *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv *oryzae* population

causing bacterial blight of rice in Punjab (India). *Rice Gen Newsl* 15: 131-133

- Habarurema, I., Asea, G., Lamo, J., Gibson, P., Edema, R., Se're,'Y. and Onasanya, R.O. 2012.
 Genetic analysis of resistance to rice bacterial blight in Uganda. *Africa Crop Science Journal* 20 Issue Supplement sl :105-112.
- IRRI. 1996. Standard Evaluation System for Rice (SES). International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). The International Network for Genetic Evaluation of Rice-INGER, Genetic Resources Center, 4th Edition, IRRI, Manila, Philippines.
- IRRI. 2003. Rice Doctor. International Rice Research Institute. Manila, Philippines.
- Jagjeet, S.L., Vikal, Y., Hunjan, M.S., Goel, R.K., Bharaj, T.S. and Raina, G.L. 2010. Jagjeet S.L., Vikal Y., Hunjan, M.S., Goel, R.K., Bharaj, T.S. and Raina, G.L. 2010. Genotypic and pathotypic diversity of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv.*oryzae*, the cause of bacterial blight of rice in Punjab State of India. *Journal of Phytopathology* 159:479-487.
- Keyu, GU., Jatinder, S. S., Yin, Li and Zhongchao, Y. 2008. High-resolution genetic mapping of bacterial blight resistance gene Xa 10. *TheorAppl Genet* 116:155-163.
- Lamo, J. 2009. Genetic studies on drought tolerance and grain shattering in rice. A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Plant Breeding.
- Lamo, J., Imanywoha, J., Bigirwa, G., Walusimbi, M., Kyetere, D., Kikafunda, J. and Kalule, T. 2010. First NERICA rice released in Uganda tops farmers' rankings. Genetic resources. International Rice Research Notes (IRRN) 0117-4185.
- Lawes Agricultural Trust, 2012. GenStatstatistical software: Genstat for window discovery. 14th edition International Ltd.Rothamsted, UK
- Lore, J.S., Vikal, Y., Hunjan, M.S., Goel, R.K., Bharaj, T.S. and Raina, G.L. 2011. Genotypic and pathotypic diversity of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*, the cause of bacterial blight of rice in Punjab State of India. *Journal of Phytopathology*. pp. 1-9.
- Lugojja, F., Ogenga-Latigo, M.W. and Smit, N.E.J.M. 2001. Impact of defoliation on the agronomic performance of sweetpotato in

Uganda. *African Crop Science Journal* 9:103-108

- Mazzola, M., Leach, J. E., Nelson, R and White, F.F. 1994. Analysis of interaction between *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* and the rice cultivar IR24 and IRBB21. *Phytopathology* 84: 392-397
- Mew T.W. 1987. Current status and future prospects of research on bacterial blight of rice. *Annual Reviews of Phytopathology* 25:359-382.
- Mew, T.W., Alvarez, A.M., Leach, J.E and Swings, J. 1993. Focus on bacterial blight of rice. *Plant Disease* 77 (1):5-12.
- Mizukami, T. and Wakimoto, S. 1969. Epidemiology and control of bacterial leaf blight of rice. *Annual Reviews of Phytopathology* 7:51-72.
- NARO. 2005. National Agricultural Research Organization (NARO): Final report for Rockefeller Food Security Project: Participatory multiplication and testing of improved upland rice varieties in Uganda, Namulonge Agricultural and Animal Production Research Institute, Kampala, Uganda
- Nino-Liu, D.O., Ronald, P.C. and Bogdanove, A. J.2006. *Xanthomonasoryzae*pathovars: Model pathogens of a model crop. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 7:303-324.
- Noda, T., Li, C., Li, J., Ochiai, H., Ise, K. and Kaku, H. 2001. Pathogenic diversity of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* strains from Yunnan province, China. *Japanese Agric Res* Q 35:97-103.
- Ntawuruhunga, P.H., Rubaihayo, P., Whyte, J.B.A., Dixon, A.G.O. and Osiru, D.S.O. 2001. Additive main effects and multiplicative interaction analysis for storage root yield of cassava genotypes evaluated in Uganda. *African Crop Science Journal* 9:591–598.
- Onasanya, A., Basso, A., Somado, E., Gasore, E.R., Nwilene, F.E., Ingelbrecht, I., Lamo, J., Wydra K., Ekperigin, M.M., Langa, M., Oyelakin, O., Séré, Y., Winter, S. and Onasanya, R.O. 2010.
 Development of a combined molecular diagnostic and DNA fingerprinting technique for rice bacteria pathogens in Africa. Asian network for scientific information. *Biotechnology* 9(2):89-105.

24

- Singh, S., Sidhu, J.S., Huang, N., Vikal, Y., Li Z., Brar, D.S., Dhaliwal, H.S and Khush, GS. 2001. Pyramiding three bacterial blight resistance genes (*xa5*, *xa*13 and *xa*2), using markerassisted selection into *indica* rice cultivar PR106. *Theor Appl Genet* 102: 1011-1015.
- Singh, S., SodhiM., Vikal, Y., George, M.L.C., Bala, G.S., Mangat, G.S., Garg, M., Sidhu, J.S. and Dhaliwal, H.S. 2003. DNA fingerpriting and virulence analysis of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* isolated from Punjab, northern India. *Euphytica* 130: 107-115
- Swamy, P., Panchbhai, A.N., Dodiya, P., Naik V., Panchbhai, S.D., Zehr, U.B., Azhakanandam,

K. and Char, B.R. 2006. Evaluation of bacterial blight resistance in rice lines carrying multiple resistance genes and *xa*21 transgenic lines. *Curr sci*90: 818-824

Swings, J., Van der Mooter, M., Vauterin, L., Hoste, B., Gillis, M., Mew, T.W. and Kersters, K. 1990.
Reclassification of the causal agents of bacterial leaf blight (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv.oryzae) and bacterial leaf streak (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv.oryzicola) of rice as pathovars of *Xa nthomonas oryzae* (ex Ishiyama, 1922). Inter. J. of Systematic Bacteriology 40:309-311.