RE-TRAINING NEEDS OF VILLAGE EXTENSION AGENTS IN NIGERIA: A STUDY OF BENUE STATE

CHUKWUDI PAT. OBINNE and MUINAT JIMOH

Dept. of Agric. Ext. and Communication, University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The unified extension system introduced in 1990 necessitated the delivery of extension services through a single line of command and the use of one agent in disseminating varied innovations to farmers. This study, which involved 106 village extension agents, was aimed at determining the adequacy of agents' training in vocational agriculture and their level of competency in the subject matter areas of agriculture. One major finding of this study was that most of the agents were trained mainly in General Agriculture (46.67%) with little or no emphasis on many other areas of specialisation. Areas agents felt they had highest competence were principles of crop production (X=2.75) and Animal Nutrition (X=2.57). Subject matter areas of relevance and competence have been identified. There are several areas in agriculture in which the agents need functional training.

Key words: Re-Training Extension Agents, Benue state.

INTRODUCTION

Since organised vocational agriculture began in Nigeria, there have been various forms and modifications of agricultural research and extension. Extension education sensitizes farmers on the value of change, disseminates the results of agricultural research to farmers and helps them to make decisions in farm management.

Over the years, parallel extension services were carried out by the various departments of the State and Federal Ministries of Agriculture, specialized development schemes and the Agricultural Development programmes (ADPs). Under the conventional multiple channel extension

system, an extension agent was expected to be technically skilled in his subject matter area, and this meant being knowledgeable in production, processing and marketing of a particular crop or livestock. This system tended to emphasize more of competency in subject matter discipline than extension skills. The extension agent is the individual whose responsibility is to motivate, encourage and help the farmer to solve his farm problems. The parallel extension system was later re-organised with the ADP. This organization was charged with the responsibility for full administration and control over the entire extension services covering crops, livestock, fisheries and agro forestry (Mijindadi, 1991). The field extension staff was

concentrate exclusively on extension activities - including encouraging farmers to adopt research findings and improved farm practices which are adapted to their local conditions. The implication of this re-organisation is that one extension agent carries all relevant messages on crops, livestock, fisheries and agro-forestry to the farmer.

Unification of agricultural extension services implies homogeneity of extension message and removal of parallel cost. This is different from the practice under the conventional extension system whereby the village extension agents were specialized in one aspect of vocational agriculture. This high specialization does not permit a complete package of information to the farmer by one agent.

A single line of command to "reach" farmers implies using a multi-disciplinary extension agent (one agent with messages in different disciplines) through the Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) as the basic institutional umbrella for The extension agent is to unification. disseminate information on crop and livestock production, among others. The aim is to eliminate the frequent delivery of conflicting extension messages through different agents and also avoid duplication of efforts as well as reduce wastes in terms of time, cost and other resources. expectation is that every extension worker would be re trained or trained in all aspects of agriculture in order to be competent, up-to-date and effective (Arokoyo, 1991; Adewumi, 1991; Adenola, 1991).

To what extent is the extension agency carrying extension messages containing substantial disciplinary biases (crops and animals)? One requires to determine the degree of preparedness of our extension agents, particularly those in the "foot

basket" zone of the country, for the added responsibility of disseminating complete agricultural message to farmers with

varying interests.

For an extension agent to be allowed to work with farmers, it is essential that he has self-confidence and competence in agriculture to do a good job. This would come from his initial training and subsequent in-service training. The ADP organizes fort-nightly training sessions for extension workers. Areas of training needs include technical knowledge, communication skills, human relationship and behaviour, understanding the culture of farmers, and community leadership structure.

The impact of a programme may be determined first on the basis of what has happened and second, on the basis of what has not happened. Olson and Fruin (1979) describe two important approaches to include (1) measuring learning, and (2) measuring behavioural change. They argue that it is possible to identify training effectiveness by examining the comparative frequencies of what is called "already knew" versus the "know now" versus the "need more help". The success of development programmes depends much upon the degree to which the village level worker (the extension agent) performs his job effectively (Choukidar, 1973).

Patel and Singh (1968), have suggested essential components of the professional competence of a teacher of agriculture to include, among other:

(1) thorough grounding in the theory and practice of the subject matter and possession of knowledge and skills necessary for teaching theory and practical in an integrated manner;

- (2) creating appropriate teaching learning situation; and
- (3) development, selection and use of instructional materials.

Obinne (1992) required his respondents (extension agents) to rate the adequacy of field extension facilities such as irrigation facilities and farm machinery as well as rating themselves on their performance level (competence) in vocational agriculture

and teaching competence.

The objectives of this study are to (1) ascertain the level of knowledge of village extension workers within the context of the unified extension system and (2) determine their competence in the various subject matter areas in agriculture. Thus, the study focuses on the need for re-training of extension agents to enable them cope with the demands of the unified extension concepts. A pertinent question is, to what extent is the extension agent carrying messages containing substantial disciplinary biases (crops and livestock)? Do the agents perceive their earlier training as sufficiently relevant in the new dispensation?

METHODOLOGY

This study covers Benue State (a major food producing zone in Nigeria), using the State Agricultural and Rural Development Authority (BNARDA) as a unit of study. The population consisted of all village extension agents in the three zones of BNARDA. A survey research was found suitable for this study because of our interest in the opinions and allitudes of the practitioners and questionnaire was used for data collection. Respondents were required to appraise their level of technical agricult.

competence following the model by Olson and Fruin (1979), Patel and Singh (1968) and Obinne (1992).

The data collected from the respondents (the agents) included personal and socioeconomic characteristics as well as the contents of the courses in vocational agriculture taught to the agents while in Other questions sought to determine the agents' level understanding and competence in the courses as well as their felt relevance in relation to the practical (field) work being done by the agents. One hundred and six village extension workers were randomly selected from BNARDA staff list and they participated in the study which covered the East, Central and Northern zones of BNARDA. The general information on the agents is presented in the form of frequencies and percentages while the courses and their contents are analysed using calculated means of the responses. Correlational analysis was performed between the level of knowledge and competence.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Most of the agents (61.53%) fell within the age range of 21 to 35; the active youthful years, and male agents were more in number (83%). About 52% had worked for ten years or less and most of them (94%) were married (Table 1). The OND and HND were the certificates frequently stated as being possessed by the agents. Going by their ages and qualification, the agents are expected to be hardworking. The finding showed that many of the extension agents (46.67%) graduated in General Agriculture. Fisheries extension, Poultry Science and horticulture were some of the many areas in which the agents were not well trained (Table 2). Thus, one can infer that most of

the extension agents were not adequately prepared in many agricultural disciplines required for problem solving on the field while in College. A mere course in General Agriculture does not get into enough details to equip the learners adequately in all disciplines; therefore, agents needed to be properly trained in all other areas of

agriculture.

The level of competence of the agents and their perception of relevance of each course offered in school are presented in Table 3, 4 and 5. Weed Management, Principles of Crop Production and Introduction to Agriculture ranked 1st, 2nd and 3rd respectively in the agents' perception of relevance. A similar result is observed in terms of competence (Table 3). Most agents were competent in Animal Nutrition and Sheep and Goat Production but felt that the most relevant courses were Animal Health as well as Sheep, and Goat Production (Table 4). According to data in Table 5, the agents felt most competent in Extension methods and considered the course as most relevant for their jobs. This finding confirms the fact Extension Teaching that Communication is central and crucial in ensuring early adoption of recommended innovations by farmers. A strong, positive correlation coefficient (0.77) was calculated for the relationship between "knowledge" and "competence".

Advice on Crop Production often given to farmers by extension agents included practices like fertilizer application at the right time (80.26%), adoption of improved crop varieties (65.79%), plant spacing (48.68%) and weeding of crop farms (43.42%) as shown in Table 6. Village extension agents tended to emphasize the above areas of extension information package probably because of the belic that such areas were important factors cough

crop yield to farmers. If the farmers had a say in deciding what agricultural information they needed, more areas of interest would probably have been indicated than the four mentioned above.

The types of advice most often emphasized by extension agents on livestock extension services were provision and cure (57.33%), routine vaccination (53.33%) as well as housing and stocking rate advice to farmers on many other important concepts and practices including livestock selection, management, fish pond construction, castration, deworming and adoption of improved breeds.

Implications for Extension Policy

If the unified agricultural extension system is to work, there should be an urgent rethinking on the part of Government as well as agricultural policy planners and executors. The system is quickly grinding to a halt. Definitely, farmers would not get a good deal in this arrangement. Government needs to take up the matter of low adoption of improved agricultural innovations by farmers seriously in Nigeria, to buttress the usually eloquent policy statements by Government through national budgets and government functionaries.

There are several areas in agriculture in which the agents need functional training. The important areas are particularly the animal production and animal health courses.

Government should urgently address this issue by directing a re-training programme through short-duration courses nation-wide.

The various agricultural research and training institutions should be charged with this exercise which should be organized in zones. The training is necessary

particularly for the agents trained in the old generation training colleges of agriculture. One of the major means of continuously up-dating the professional skill of village extension agents is the fortnightly training organised by the AgriculturalDevelopmentProgramme(ADPs). At the training, the agents review farmers' reactions to previous recommendations which were taught to the farmers during a the preceding two weeks. Field problems and conditions are also reported for attention by research scientists. fortnightly training sessions are organized by subdivisional extension officers and the trainers are subject matter especialists drawn from universities and research institutes.

CONCLUSION

The relevance of extension methods has been established even as this study has shown. However, extension agents cannot succeed at work without adequate logistic support. One probable reason for poortechnical support of the agent is that most extension outfits/organizations in Nigeria are headed by non-professional extensionists (experts in extension). Government should reorganize all extension organisations immediately to put qualified extension professionals in charge of extension administration.

This study needs to be replicated in all the states to establish a national situation about the adequacy of the training of agents and make a case for a retraining programme, particularly in technical Communication Skills subjects. Extension Principles.

REFERENCES

Adenola, Tunji (1991): "Implications of the East African Study Tour in the Implementation lof the Unified Extension Service", invited paper presented at the National Workshop on Unified Agric. Extension System and Women in at Agriculture, held Gateway International Hotel, liebu-Ode, Ogun State, Feb. 11-14.

Adewumi. A. (1991): "Unification Agricultural Extension Services in Nigeria: Implication for Agricultural training", Ibid

Arokoyo, Tunji (1991): "Unification of Agricultural Extension Services in Nigeria: Implications for Agricultural Training". Ihid

Choukidar, V.V. (1973): "Job Performance of Village level Workers", Indian Journal of Extension Education. The indian Society of Extension Education, new Delhi -12th March - 9th June (1 and 2).

Mijindadi, N.B. (1991): "Unification of Agricultural Extension Services in Nigeria - The Concept and Operation Challenges", Ibid

Obinne, C. (1992): "Agent Effectiveness in Nigeria", Journal of Extension Fall (Extension Journal, Inc. Madison), 30(3): 31 - 32.

M.F. (1979): R.P. and Fruin Olson. "Evaluation Doesn't have to be Difficult", Journal of Extension March/April, pg21. Patel, A.U. and R.P. Singh (1968): "Teaching Agriculture in India" (Ram and Sons).

Aggraduch Vol. 2. Not and J. J. et

| | | | | Migratical Land | Black of the Arthur | |
|--------------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|
| able 1: | Personal Charact | eristics of \ | /illage Ex | tension Agents | | ان : الس |
| Characteristic | CS | | Group | Number | Percentage | : 18° , 4 |
| A. Age Group | (years) | | | | | . 10 |
| with in | er in the second state of | 4 is 1 | 21-25 | 3 2 3 | 2.88 | - 1 <u>9</u> |
| | leaten and co or the third side | | 26-30 | 16 | 15.38 | . * |
| and the | | | 31-35 | 45 | ○ 43.27 | p |
| | | | 36-40 | 28 | 26.92 | |
| • | | | 41-45 | В | 7,69 | |
| | 1 | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 46-50 | 2 | 1.92 | |
| | | | 51-55 | 200 | 1.92 | |
| D C | a legistic | | . 01 00 | der Series | | i |
| B. Sex | e de anale de Vid | a i se sa kaji. Nasionalista | Malc | 88 | 83.02 | •• |
| in a self-ears | terrandi werki | og at Geffelde. | | 18 | 16.98 | |
| and the second second | ned di Tarengali. Le di Milanda di Cal | t est openie Sancon o | remaie | 10 mai akenisan - | Windle W | ٠. |
| C. Experience (Years) | e in Extension wo | ork Challes I | ٥. ٢ | est (5078 240) | 40 00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | . : ;; |
| (10015) | ૧૦૦૧ કર્યાં, કોઇ - - અમર્થના સાજસ્થ | inche Berry Na Berry | () -5 照4。 | | | |
| | ************************************** | in adverga | 6-10 | ा क्रम ने देंगे हाक | | |
| - 101.1- | | | 11 15 | : 123 i 14 | 21.7 | |
| | 1、新文。 第19、12年8年 李建昭 | le dicenti Vila | 16-20 | 25 | 23.58 | • |
| | and the second | | 21-25 | 63 80 2 0 3 | | (+) |
| 3.35 | 事故,则是""。 | ម៉ែនប្រែប្រជាមេរិកប្រើ ប្រជាប្រជា | 26-30 | | 0.94 | |
| D. Marital St | atus | 38 | : 46 | | e da sadio 1900. | • |
| $H \sim h h C \sim$ | mail of the | Grant (grant) La company | Married | 99 | 94.29 | |
| | o <mark>n t</mark> arakan 1969 Hariman | * | | 160 mar. 6 | 5.71 | · . |
| | ducational | | . | | la de la compansión de la La compansión de la comp | 4 - 1. 1. 1. 1 |
| qualificati | on | | | | n die in der Australië en de Stadion de Stad | |
| ₹. | | | Certifica | ate 7 | 6.60 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | NCE | , 3 | 2.83 | |

Source: Field Survey, 1993.

HND

60

56.60

Table 2: Areas of Specialization/Discipline of Village Extension Agents

| Area of Specialization | | | N = 105 | | |
|------------------------|-------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| | | Area of opecialization | | Number % | |
| i | | Agricultural Extension | | 1.76 | |
| ii | | Animal Husbandry | | 3.81 | |
| 11 111 | | Animal Production | • . | 2 1.90 | |
| | . * | Animal Science | | 7.62 | |
| iv | | | | 5 4.76 | |
| V | dj. | Crop Production | F* | 2.90 | |
| vi | | Crop Science | • | 3 Andre (1 m 2.86) | |
| vii | | Extension Education | *, % | 4 3.81 | |
| viii | | Fisheries | ; ; | 1 2 2 0.95 | |
| ix | | Fisheries Extension | | 49 46.67 | |
| X | | General Agriculture | | 0.95 | |
| ix | | Horticulture | | 5 4.76 | |
| xii | | Home Economics | * 1 | | |
| xiii | 4 - 1 | Livestock Production | | 4 3.81 | |
| vix | | Poultry Production | | 3.81 | |
| χV | · | Poultry Science | | 1 0.95 | |
| χvi | | Soil Science | | 1.76 | |
| xvii | | Livestock Extension | | 2 1.90 | |

Source: Field Survey Data, 1993.

Table 3: Perception of Village Extension Agents on their competence and Relevance of Crop Production courses offered.

| | Courses offered | Competence | | Relevance | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
| | | Grand mean | Rank | Grand mean | Rank |
| i | Introduction to agriculture | 2.74 | 3rd | 1.92 | 3rd |
| ìi | Principle of crop Production | 2.75 | 1st | 1.94 | 2nd |
| iii | Permanent crop production | 2.67 | 4th | 1.85 | 7th |
| iv | Crop protection | 2.51 | 8th | 1.91 | 4th |
| V | Cropping systems | 2.58 | 6th | 1.86 | 6th |
| vi | Soil chemistry, Physics & Biology | 2.22 | 14th | 1.68 | 15th |
| vii | Mineralogy | 1.90 | 21st | 1.50 | 21st |
| viii | Soil Genesis & Morphology | 2.14 | 15th | 1.57 | 20th |
| ix | Soil conservation | 2.64 | 5th | 1.91 | 4th |
| X | Introductory Hydrology & hydraulics | 2.12 | 16th | 1.65 | 16th |
| xi | Irrigation Technology | 1.97 | 20th | 1.63 | 19th |
| xii | Drainage Technology | 1.98 | 18th | 1.65 | 16th |
| xiii | Weed management | 2.75 | 1st | 1.95 | 1st |
| vix | Agricultural entomology | 2.33 | 11th | 1.83 | 11th |
| χV | Plant pathology | 2.33 | 11th | 1.84 | 9th |
| xvi | Plant breeding | 2.11 | 17th | 1.72 | 14th |
| xvii | Horticulture | 2.56 | 7th | 1.85 | 7th |
| xviii | Pasture agronomy | 2.37 | 10th | 1.80 | 13lh |
| xix | Soil fertility & Crop nutrition | 2.49 | 9th | 1.84 | 9th |
| XX | Cartography | 1.70 | 22nd | 1.42 | 22nd |
| кхі | Agroclimatology | 1.98 | 18th | 1.65 | 16th |
| кхіі | Farm Mechanization | 2.33 | 11th | 1.83 | 11th |

Source: Field survey Data, 1993.

Table 4. Perception of Village Extension Agents on their competence and Relevance of livestock production courses offered.

| | Courses offered in school | Compete | nce | . Relevance | Relevance | |
|-----|---|---------------|------|---------------|-----------|--|
| | a second | Grand mean | Rank | Grand mean | Rank | |
| i | Sheep and Goat production | 2.56 | 2nd | 1.92 | 1st | |
| ii | Beef and dairy cattle production | 2.30 | 5th | 1.80 | 5th | |
| iii | Non-Ruminants(Rabbit, Swine & poultry) production | 2.47 | 4th | 1.87 | 4th | |
| įv | Animal Nutrition | 2.57 | 1st | 1.88 | 3rd | |
| V | Animal Health | 2.53 | 3rd | 1.92 | 1st | |

4:457

THE POST OF WAR STREET AND THE

Dec 1996 and Long

apprings on the last

130

...

....

: 10

engan Alapara

18.505

Source: Field Survey Data, 1993.

.; ;

1 , 1

437.1

4.11

1.

Agrosearch Vol. 2, Nos. 1& 2, 1996.

Perception of Village Extension Agents on their competence and Relevance of Agricultural Economics and Extension Courses offered when they were in school.

| | Courses offered in school | Comp | etence | releva | ance |
|-----------|--|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Principles of A | Grand mean | Rank | Grand mean | |
| | Principles of Agricultural Economics | 2.04 | 11th | 1.65 | 11th |
| ii iii | Principles of Economics | 1.92 | 13th | 1.73 | 8th |
| | Marketing and Prices | 2.05 | 10th | 1.68 | |
| iv | Farm Management, Production Economics and accounts | 2.16 | 7th | 1.78 | 10th 5th |
| v | statistics, Data Processing and Research method | 2.08 | 9th | 1.58 | 13th |
| vį | diffusion of innovations | 2.18 | 6th | 4 pur | |
| vii | Extension methods | 2.79 | | 1.75 | 6th |
| viii | Extension Acaching, learning process and methods | 2.67 | 1st 2nd | 1.98 1.95 | 1st 2nd |
| ix | Agricultural Resources use and project evaluation | 2.24 | 5th | 1.74 | 7th |
| Х | Agricultural project Appraisal, management and Evaluation | 1.95 | 12th | 1.63 | 12th |
| xi | Administration and programme planning extension | 2.13 | 8th | 1.73 | 8th |
| xii | Extension organisation, management and supervision | 2.35 | 4th | 1.84 | 4th |
| dii | Rural Sociology | 2.53 | 3rd | 1.88 | 3rd |

Source: Field Survey data, 1993.

Agrosearch Vol. 2, Nos. 1& 2, 1996.

Table 6: Percentage distribution of Types of Advice given to farmers on crop production.

| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | finformation given | Percentage |
|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | Area of information given | |
| I. | Land preparation and site selection | 32.89 |
| 2. | Method of cultivation | 15.79 |
| }. | Time of planting | 38.16 |
| . | Plant spacing | 48.68 |
|). | Selection of seeds before planting, and viability test | 5.26 |
| 3. | seeds dressing before planting | 11.84 |
| 7. | Adoption of improved crop varieties | 65.79 |
| В. | Fertilizer application at the right | 89.26 |
| 9. | Weeding of crop plots | 43.42 |
| 10. | Disease and pest control | 15.79 |
| 11. | Protection of crops using agrochemicals | 14.47 |
| 12. | Use of yam minisett | 7.89 |
| 13. | Staking of yam vine | 3.95 |
| 14. | Time of harvesting | 26.32 |
| 15. | How to store crops efficiently | 21.05 (10 h) 74 (1 h) 21.05 |
| 16. | Processing of crops | 11.84 |

Source: Field Survey data, 1993.

11.

Table 7: Percentage distribution of Types of Advice given to farmers on livestock production

| | Area of information given | Percentage |
|-----|---|-------------------------|
| 1. | Housing and stock rate | 50.67 |
| 2. | Provision of good feed and clean water for livestock | 58,67 |
| 3. | Feed formulation | 24.00 |
| 4. | Disease prevention and cure | 57.33 |
| 5. | Routine vaccination of livestock | 53.33 |
| 6. | Adoption of improved technology of rearing livestock | 4.00 |
| 7, | Deworming of livestock | 2.67 |
| 8. | Castration | 1.33 |
| 9. | Fish pond construction and fishing methods | 9.33 |
| 10. | Marketing of livestock and their products | 13.33 |
| 11. | Management practices | 5.33 (1) (4) (3) |
| 12. | Quarantive Services | 1.33 |
| 13. | Stock selection | 2.67 |
| 14. | Adoption of improved breeds of livestock and breeding methods | 22.67 |

Source: Field Survey data, 1993.