

Analysis of risk factors for depression among patients with chronic low back pain in an orthopaedic clinic of a Nigerian teaching hospital

Njoku Isaac Omoke¹, Monday Nwite Igwe²

1. Department of Surgery Ebonyi State University/Federal Teaching Hospital, Abakaliki, Nigeria.
2. Department of Psychological Medicine, Ebonyi State University/Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki, Nigeria.
Email: mondayigwe@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: Depression compounds the burden of chronic low back pain and has implications on the outcome of treatment. Published reports demonstrated a strong association between depression and chronic low back pain. However, there is very scanty data on predisposing factors for depression among these patients.

Objective: To determine the risk factors for depression among patients with chronic low back pain in a Nigerian orthopaedic clinic setting.

Method: Three hundred (300) eligible, consenting and consecutive patients with chronic low back pain in orthopaedic clinic of Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki Nigeria were interviewed with Population and Pain Characteristics Questionnaire, General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12) and Major Depressive Episode of Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I) over a period of 34 months. Statistically significant factors that emerged from Pearson chi-square test of association were entered into logistic regression analysis to evaluate the risk of each factor when adjusted to other factors.

Depression was observed in 61(20.3%) of the patients interviewed. Seven factors for depression among these patients emerged from chi square test of association. Logistic regression analysis identified alcohol consumption, severe pain intensity, hypertension co-morbidity and prior traditional healer care as the independent risk factors for depression among the patients.

Conclusion: The independent risk factors for depression identified in this study calls for maintaining a high level of suspicion in the patients with these risk factors to facilitate early recognition of depression, and a high priority accorded to them in the measures aimed at addressing depression for a good therapeutic outcome.

Keywords: Risk factors for depression, chronic low back pain, Nigerian teaching hospital.

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v19i1.49>

Cite as: Omoke NI, MN I. Analysis of risk factors for depression among patients with chronic low back pain in orthopaedic clinic of a Nigerian teaching hospital. *Afri Health Sci.* 2019;19(1). 1727-1735. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v19i1.49>

Introduction

Low back pain is a common health problem globally. The transition of low back pain to chronicity has implications in its morbidity and cost of treatment¹. In published re-

ports, the proportion of low back pain that transits to chronicity ranges from 8.7 -39%²⁻³. In USA, a recently published report indicated a rising prevalence of chronic low back pain⁴. In Nigeria, chronic low back pain accounted for 60-73% of low back pain seen in tertiary health care settings⁵⁻⁶. The disability and morbidity associated with chronic low back pain is an enormous burden when aggravated by concomitant depression⁷.

The strong association between depression and chronic low back pain was well demonstrated in previous published reports⁸⁻¹¹. This correlation has been attributed to the common pathway and neurotransmitters in central nervous system for pain and depression¹¹. In a Canadian population, depression is three to four times more in pa-

Corresponding author:
Njoku Isaac Omoke,
Department of Surgery
Ebonyi State University/Federal Teaching Hospital
Abakaliki PMB 102
Post Code 400081
Ebonyi State, Nigeria
Telephone: +234 8035714099
Email: zicopino@yahoo.com

tients with chronic back pain than in general population⁸. Depression has implication in the outcome of measures aimed at eradicating low back pain. Thus, poor outcome is likely when treatment is focused on pain without addressing concomitant depression^{11,12,13}. Unfortunately, missed-diagnosis of depression in chronic low back pain is common because the attending physician is often times focused on finding the physical cause of pain and patients often do not realize that depression is part of their problem¹⁰. Therefore, it is imperative that the attending physician is well armed with clinical skills that aid early recognition of depression among patients with chronic low back pain.

In recent published reports, the prevalence of depression among patients with chronic low back pain ranges from 20 to 64.8%^{12,14,15,16}. The findings in these published reports^{12,14,15,16} also indicate that depression is not present in every patient with chronic low back pain. This implies that there are risk factors that predispose patients with chronic low back pain to depression. A detailed knowledge of these risk factors for depression among patients with chronic low back pain in a setting can facilitate early recognition and treatment of depression to achieve optimum outcome in the management of pain.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the risk factors of depression among patients with chronic low back pain in a teaching hospital orthopaedic clinic setting of a developing country.

Patients and methods

Study setting and design: This study was a cross-sectional questionnaire-based survey carried out among patient with chronic low back pain in the orthopaedic clinic of Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki, Ebonyi State Nigeria. The hospital came into existence in the year 2011 after a successful merger of the Ebonyi State University Teaching Hospital and Federal Medical Centre Abakaliki by the Federal Government of Nigeria.

Ethical approval

The approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of Federal Teaching Hospital Abakaliki, Ebonyi State Nigeria. A written informed consent was obtained from the patients and /or next of kin before the study instruments were administered.

Inclusion criteria

All patients with chronic low back pain (>12 weeks du-

ration) aged 18 years and above who presented in the orthopedic clinic and gave informed consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria:

Patients that have established co-morbid mental disorder, cognitive impairment and those who objected to giving informed consent were excluded from the study.

Instruments for the study

1. The Population and Pain Characteristics Questionnaire. This questionnaire was designed to provide information about the patient's age, gender, marital status, level of educational, occupation and employment status, social habits (alcohol consumption and smoking), dependent/independent livelihood status, hobbies, medical co-morbidities and traditional healer care prior to presentation. The information provided about characteristics of low back pain was mode of onset of pain, duration of pain, severity of pain, periodicity of pain and perceived cause of pain. The severity of pain was assessed using the visual analog scale (VAS) where 0 represented no pain and 100(mm) represented the severest type of pain. The dependent livelihood status group in this study is patients (irrespective of employment status) that are wholly or partly dependent on family, relations, friends and well-wishers for sustenance. In this study, traditional healers are practitioners of traditional medicine.

2. The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12).-

The twelve-item General Health Questionnaire was developed by Goldberg and Williams in 1988¹⁷. It was intended for use as a screening tool for non-specific psychiatric morbidity, focusing essentially on the inability of a patient to carry out normal functions and the appearance of new and distressing experiences. The GHQ-12 has been widely validated and found to be reliable¹⁸. Scoring each of the 12 items is by Likert scale of 0 to 3, giving a score range of 0 to 36. A score of 16 and above indicates presence of psychological distress.

3. Major Depressive Episode (MDE) of Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview.

The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I) is a short structured diagnostic interview developed jointly by a group of psychiatrists and clinicians for DSM-IV and ICD-10 psychiatric disorders. It was designed to meet the need for a short but accurate structured psychiatric interview for multicenter clinical trials and epidemiology

studies¹⁹. This instrument has been widely used by health professionals and has been translated into many languages²⁰. There are many modules for diagnostic categories but only the MDE module was used. The MDE module of M.I.N.I is grouped as A module and has six sections-A1 to A6. In this study, questions A1 to A3 were used since the study assesses MDE, (current). For a diagnosis of MDE (current) to be made, there has to be 5 or more yes codes in questions A1 to A3.

Procedure: Three hundred consecutive and consenting patients who meet the inclusion criteria were recruited into the study from January 2014 and October 2017 in the orthopedic clinic. They were interviewed using the Population and Pain Characteristic Questionnaire; the GHQ-12 and MDE module of M.I.N.I. Participants who were not literate were interviewed with the translated Igbo language versions of the instruments. The

GHQ-12 questionnaire was used as a screening test for psychological distress. Participants that had GHQ-12 score of 16 and above underwent further interview using MDE module of M.I.N.I. A score of five (5) and above in MDE module confirmed depression in a psychologically distressed participant. For statistical analysis, patient were classified into three age groups (18-39, 40-65 and >65 years), male and female, marital status (single, married and widowhood), formal educational status (None, primary, secondary, and tertiary) and employment status (student/apprentice, employed, unemployed and retired). The patients were also classified into 3 groups based on pain intensity rating on VAS, mild (1-39mm), moderate (40-69mm) and severe (70-100mm). In this study, the employed includes the self-employed patients (farmers, artisans, traders etc) government and private sector employees. The patients were further classified for analysis as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Potential risk factors for depression in chronic low back pain and classification of patients for statistical analysis

*TH= Traditional Healer

Probable risk factor	Basis of grouping	Group 1	Group 2
Livelihood status	financial/economic capability	dependent	independent
Alcohol	alcoholic drinks consumption	yes	no
Smoking	tobacco cigarette smoking	yes	no
Type of hobby	sedentary / non-sedentary	sedentary	non-sedentary
Prior TH* care	TH* care prior to hospital visit	yes	no
Onset of pain	insidious / sudden	insidious	sudden
Periodicity of pain	recurrent / persistent	recurrent	persistent
Duration of pain	duration of pain prior to presentation	>3 to 12 months	>12 months
Notion about the pain	patient opinion about cause of pain	yes	no
Co-morbidities	presence of co-morbidities such as:		
	Hypertension	yes	no
	Diabetes mellitus	yes	no
	Peptic ulcer disease	yes	no
	Osteoarthritis	yes	no

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for Social sciences SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc; Chicago, Illinois., USA). Bivariate analysis was carried out and statistically significant factors ($p < 0.05$) that emerged from

Pearson chi-square test of association were entered into a stepwise logistic regression model for multivariate analysis to evaluate the risk of each factor when adjusted to other factors. In the logistic regression analysis, p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

There were 307 patients with chronic low back pain, 300(97.7%) met the inclusion criteria. The six (2%) that had established co-morbid mental disorder and one

(0.3%) that declined participation in the study were excluded. There was depression in 61(20.3%) of the 300 patients with chronic low back pain studied. The risk factors for depression among the patients were identified in the bivariate analysis as shown in Table 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Table 2: Depression in chronic low back pain by socio -demographic characteristics of the population

	Depression		Total (%)	χ^2	p value
	No (%)	Yes (%)			
Age(yrs)					
18-39	56 (80)	14 (20)	70 (23.3)	.032	0.984
40-65	150 (79.4)	39 (20.6)	189 (63.0)		
>65	33 (80.5)	8 (19.5)	41 (13.7)		
Gender					
Male	96 (76.7)	30 (23.8)	126 (42.0)	1.621	0.203
Female	143 (82.2)	31(17.3)	174 (58.0)		
Marital status					
Single	32 (69.6)	14 (30.4)	46 (15.3)	4.585	0.101
Married	184 (82.5)	39 (17.5)	223 (74.3)		
Widowed	23 (74.2)	8 (25.8)	31 (10.3)		
Educational status					
Non formal	32 (82.1)	7 (18.0)	39 (13.0)	3.842	0.279
Primary	50 (71.4)	20 (28.6)	70 (23.3)		
Secondary	48 (82.8)	10 (17.2)	58(19.3)		
Tertiary	109 (82)	24 (18)	133 (44.3)		
Employment status					
Student/apprentice	6 (54.5)	5 (45.5)	11 (3.7)	16.944	0.001
Employed	183 (85.5)	31 (14.5)	214 (71.3)		
Unemployed	6 (60)	4 (40)	10 (3.3)		
Retired	44 (67.7)	21 (32.3)	65 (21.7)		

Table 3: Depression in chronic low back pain by Life style related factors

	Depression		Total (%)	χ^2	p value
	No (%)	Yes (%)			
Type of hobby					
Sedentary	178 (78.8)	48 (21.2)	226 (75.3)	0.464	0.496
Non-sedentary	61 (82.4)	13 (17.6)	74 (24.7)		
Alcohol					
Yes	49 (63.6)	28(36.4)	77 (25.7)	16.433	0.000
No	190 (85.2)	33 (14.3)	223 (74.3)		
Smoking					
Yes	8 (66.7)	4(33.3)	12 (4.0)	1.304	0.253
No	231 (80.2)	57 (19.8)	288 (96)		
Prior TH care					
Yes	25 (52.1)	23 (47.9)	48 (16.0)	26.839	0.000
No	214(84.9)	38 (15.1)	252 (84.0)		
Livelihood status					
Dependent	66 (68.8)	30 (31.2)	96 (32)	10.386	0.001
Independent	173 (84.8)	31 (15.2)	204 (68)		

Table 4: Depression among patients with chronic low back pain by characteristics of pain

	Depression		Total (%)	χ^2	<i>p</i> value
	No (%)	Yes (%)			
Pain intensity					
Mild	30 (93.8)	2 (6.2)	32 (10.7)	18.811	0.000
Moderate	110 (88.0)	15 (12.0)	125 (41.7)		
Severe	99 (69.2)	44 (30.8)	143 (47.7)		
Onset of pain					
Insidious	156 (77.6)	45 (22.4)	201 (67.0)	1.587	0.208
Sudden	83 (83.8)	16 (16.2)	99 (33)		
Periodicity of pain					
Recurrent	169 (84.1)	32 (15.9)	201 (67)	7.322	0.007
Persistent	70 (70.7)	29 (29.3)	99 (33.0)		
Duration of pain(months)					
>3 to 12	70 (76.9)	21 (23.1)	91 (30.3)	0.607	0.436
>12	169 (80.9)	40 (19.1)	209 (69.7)		
Notion about the pain					
Yes	148 (82.7)	31 (17.3)	179 (59.7)	2.490	0.115
No	91 (75.2)	30 (24.8)	121 (40.3)		

Table 5: Depression in chronic low back pain by co-morbidities

	Depression		Total(%)	χ^2	<i>p</i> value
	No (%)	Yes (%)			
Hypertension					
Yes	59 (67.8)	28 (32.2)	87 (29.0)	10.623	0.001
No	180 (84.5)	33 (15.5)	213 (71.0)		
Diabetes Mellitus					
Yes	15 (83.3)	3 (16.7)	18 (6)	0.159	0.690
No	224 (79.4)	58 (20.6)	282 (94)		
Peptic ulcer disease					
Yes	36 (78.3)	10 (21.7)	46 (15.3)	0.066	0.797
No	203 (79.9)	51 (20.1)	254 (84.7)		
Osteoarthritis					
Yes	49 (76.6)	15 (23.4)	64 (21.3)	0.484	0.487
No	190 (80.5)	46 (19.5)	236 (78.7)		

The incidence of depression in chronic low back pain was related to employment status ($p < 0.001$), the highest rate of depression was among students/apprentices and the least among the employed as shown in Table 2. The life style related factors that correlated with high incidence of depression in chronic low back pain were alcohol consumption ($p < 0.001$), prior traditional healer care

($p < 0.001$), and dependent livelihood status ($p < 0.001$) as shown in Table 3. In Table 4, depression was significantly ($p < 0.001$) related to pain intensity, and its rate increased in a linear form with greater pain intensity that from 6.2% in mild pain it doubled and quintupled in moderate and severe pain intensity respectively. The periodicity of pain was also related to the rate of depression, a higher rate

in persistent than in recurrent chronic low back pain ($p < 0.007$). Hypertension co-morbidity correlated ($p < 0.001$) with high incidence of depression in chronic low back pain as shown in Table 5.

The result of multivariate analysis to determine the risk of each factor when adjusted to other factors was summarized in logistic regression model as shown in Table 6. In the model, alcohol consumption ($p < 0.001$), hypertension co-morbidity ($p < 0.001$), prior traditional healer care ($p = 0.004$) and pain intensity ($p < 0.015$) were identified as the independent risk factors for depression. There were three levels of pain intensity and mild

pain was considered as a reference category: the first contrast that compared those with mild pain with those with moderate pain was not significant ($p < 0.356$) whereas the second contrast that compared those with mild pain with those with severe pain was significant ($p < 0.039$). The patients with severe pain were 5.3 times more likely to have depression than those with mild pain. The risk of depression was not significantly different between mild and moderate levels of pain intensity.

The logistic regression model was found to be an appropriate model (Chi square 68.021 ; df = 10; p value < 0.001) and Hosmer Lemeshow goodness of fit test ($p = 0.3$) revealed no significant departure from a good fit.

Table 6: Multivariate analysis of risk factors for depression in chronic low back pain: summary of logistic regression model

Risk factors	B	SE	Wald	df	Sig (p value)	Exp(B)	95% CI interval	
							Lower	Upper
Livelihood status	.552	.416	1.761	1	0.185	1.736	0.769 -	3.922
Alcohol	1.283	.358	12.830	1	0.000	3.606	1.787 -	7.274
Hypertension	1.252	.375	11.115	1	0.001	3.499	1.678 -	7.797
Periodicity of pain	.310	.346	0.910	1	0.370	0.734	0.373 -	1.444
Prior TH care	1.163	.402	8.379	1	0.004	3.200	1.456 -	7.035
Pain intensity			0.853	2	0.015			
moderate	0.753	.821	0.853	1	0.356	2.134	0.427 -	10.661
Severe	1.669	.807	4.282	1	0.039	5.307	1.092 -	25.786
Employment status			1.655	3	0.647			
student/apprentice	.720	.813	.785	1	0.376	2.055	0.418 -	10.113
employed	-.228	.452	.255	1	0.614	0.796	0.328 -	1.931
unemployed	.418	.809	.267	1	0.605	1.519	0.328 -	7.417
Constant	-3.612	.978	13.628	1	0.801	0.27		

Discussion

The incidence of depression among chronic low back pain patients in this study is within the worldwide range ^{12,14,15,16}. Although a strong association between depression and chronic low back pain has been well demonstrated in previous published reports ⁸⁻¹¹, data on the risk factors that predisposes a patient with chronic low back pain to concomitant depression is very scanty ⁸. Currie and Wang reported that the rate of major depression increased in a

linear fashion with greater pain severity ⁸; this is similar to direct linear correlation between rate of depression and pain intensity in this study. However, when adjusted to other factors, pain intensity was an independent risk factor in this study: patients with severe pain were 5.3 times more likely to have depression than those with mild pain whereas the risk of depression was not significantly different between mild and moderate pain intensity though the rate of depression in the later was twice in the former.

This implies that severe pain is significantly the important level of pain intensity that predisposes patient with chronic low back pain to depression. This also indicates that either the presence of concomitant depression increases the severity of pain as described by the patient or despair, a consequence of severe chronic low back pain.

In this study, alcohol use is a modifiable risk factor for depression among chronic low back pain patients. Previous published report also indicates that alcohol use correlates positively with depressive symptomatology²¹. Self-medication with alcohol as a potentially adaptive method of coping with musculoskeletal pain and the associated stress is common among the patients²². Graham et al demonstrated that the main factor linking depression with alcohol consumption is consuming larger amounts per occasion²³. All these findings suggest that among the chronic low back pain population, alcohol drinkers compared to abstainers are more likely to use alcohol to the quantity per occasion that is significantly associated with depression. This perhaps explains the identification of alcohol consumption, in this study, as one of the independent risk factors for depression among these patients with chronic low back pain. Therefore, in the management of these patients, this information about alcohol consumption as a potential and independent risk factor for depression that compounds the burden of chronic low back pain should be passed on to them.

Hypertension was an independent risk factor for depression in patients with chronic low back pain in this study. This is in keeping with the link between chronic pain, hypertension and depression in published reports²⁴⁻²⁵. Bruehi et al demonstrated that chronic pain such as chronic low back pain is associated with increased risk of hypertension and chronic pain intensity was a significant predictor of clinical hypertensive status²⁴. In a systematic review, Scalco et al concluded that there is considerable documented evidence, indicating that sympathetic nervous system dysfunction and genetic factors are the underlying mechanisms in the link between depression and hypertension²⁵. Therefore, in clinical evaluation of chronic low back pain positive history of hypertension co-morbidity is important and calls for further assessment to rule out concomitant depression.

In the setting of this study, traditional healers are easily accessible, and strong belief in them as care givers in musculoskeletal painful disorders and trauma is common among the general population²⁶. Thus, hopelessness and despair as result of failure of traditional medicine to eradicate low back pain is more likely among the ardent believers that first sought care from the practitioners prior to hospital presentation. This perhaps explains the prior care of low back pain by traditional healers as an independent risk factor for depression observed in this study.

Based on established strong correlation between depression and chronic low back pain as well the implications of depression on the outcome of the treatment, researchers have recommended screening for depression in every patient with chronic low back pain as an essential aspect of care^{7,13}. However, screening for depression in every patient with chronic low back pain could be quite challenging in an environment with inadequate number of health-care personnel and low literacy level, as often the case in developing countries. These independent risk factors for depression, severe pain intensity, prior care by traditional healer and positive history of alcohol consumption and hypertension co-morbidity can all be sought from every patient with chronic low back pain during clinical evaluation and a high level of suspicion maintained as well as priority given to screening for depression when these factors are present.

Limitations

The limitations of this study include its being a cross sectional hospital and single centre based one. The data obtained may not be a representation of the entire chronic low back pain population. Despite these limitations, the findings from this study are quite strong and can serve as a baseline data for comparison in future studies.

Conclusion

There are several risk factors for depression among patients with chronic low back pain. The independent risk factors identified in this study calls for maintaining a high level of suspicion in the patients with these risk factors to facilitate early recognition of depression, and a high priority accorded to them in the measures aimed at addressing concomitant depression for a good therapeutic outcome of chronic low back pain management.

Financial support

None.

Acknowledgement

We thank Mr. Paul Ndidi Nkadi, Rev Sr. Rosemary Uwakwe HHCJ and Resident Doctors in Department of Surgery who were very helpful in the process of data collection.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

1. Anderson GBJ. Epidemiological features of chronic low back pain. *Lancet*. 1999; 354:581-585. PubMed.
2. Coste J, Delecoeuillerie G, Cohen de Lara A, Le Parc JM, P Gurcay E, Bal A, Eksioglu E, Hasturk AE et al. Acute low back pain clinical course and prognostic factors. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2009; 31(10): 840-845.
3. Thomas E, Silman AJ, Croft PR, Papageorgiou AC, Jayson MI, Macfarlane GJ. Predicting persistent disabling low back pain in general practice: prospective cohort study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2006; 56(526):334-341.
4. Freburger JK, Holmes GM, Agans RP, Jackman AM, Darter JD, Wallace AS et al. The rising prevalence of chronic low back pain. *Arch Intern Med*. 2009; 169(3): 251-258.
5. Omoke NI, Amaragbulam PI. Low back pain as seen in orthopaedic clinics of a Nigerian Teaching Hospital. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2016; 19: 212-217. PubMed.
6. Eyichukwu GO, Ogugua PC. Epidemiology of low back pain in Enugu, Nigeria. *Niger J Orthop Trauma*. 2012; 11:28-35.
7. Tsuji T, Matsudaira K, Sato H, Vietri J. The impact of depression among chronic low back pain patients in Japan. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorder*. 2016; 17:447 p 1-9 DOI 10.1186/s 12891-016-13044.
8. Currie SR, Wang J. Chronic back pain and major depression in the general Canadian population. *Pain*. 2004; 107(1-2):54-60. PubMed.
9. Atkinson HJ, Slater MA, Patterson TL, Grant I, Garfin SR. Prevalence, onset and risk of psychiatrist disorders in men with chronic low back pain: a controlled study. *Pain*. 1991; 45:111-121. PubMed.
10. Deardorff WW. Depression and chronic back pain. Available from: www.spine-health.com/condition/depression/depression-and-chronic-back-pain Assessed on October 14, 2016.
11. Bair MJ, Robinson RL, Katon W, Kroenke K. Depression and pain co morbidity: a literature review. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003; 163:2433-2445. PubMed.
12. Love AW. Depression in chronic low back pain patients: diagnostic efficiency of three self -report questionnaires. *J Clin Psychol*. 1987; 43(1): 84-89. PubMed.
13. Sinikallio S, Aalto T, Airaksinen O, Herno A, Kroger H, Savolainen S, et al Depression is associated with poorer outcome of lumbar stenosis surgery. *Eur Spine*. 2007; 16:905-12. PubMed.
14. Namgwa KJ, Terkura A, William Y, Daniel MD, Cornilius EI. Depression in patients with chronic low back pain: a hospital based study. *Niger J. Surg Res*. 2016; 17: 1-4. PubMed.
15. Sagheer MA, Khan MF, Sharif S. Association between chronic low back pain anxiety and depression in patients at a tertiary care centre. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2013; 63: 688-690. PubMed.
16. Pande KC. Psychological disturbances in Indian low back pain population. *Indian J Orthop*. 2004; 38:175-177. PubMed.
17. Goldberg D, Williams P. A user's guide to the General Health Questionnaire. 1988; Slough: NFER-Nelson.
18. Hankins M. The reliability of the twelve-item general health questionnaire (GHQ-12) under realistic assumptions. *BMC Public Health*. 2008; 8:355.
19. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan K.H, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1998; 59 Suppl 20: 22-33.
20. Otsubo T, Tanaka K, Koda R, Shinoda J, Sano N, Tanaka S et al. Reliability and validity of Japanese version of the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2005; 59 (5): 517-526.
21. Hartka E, Johnstone B, Leino EV, Motoyoshi M, Temple MT, Fillmore KM et al. A meta-analysis of depressive symptomatology and alcohol consumption overtime. *Br J Addict*. 1991; 86(10) :1283-1298.
22. Riely III JL, King C. Self report of alcohol use for pain in a multi-ethnic community sample. *Journal of Pain*. 2009; 10: 944-952. PubMed.

23. Graham K, Massak A, Andree D, Rehem J et al. Does the association between alcohol consumption and depression depend on how they are measured? *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2007; 31(1):78-88.
24. Bruehi S, Chung OY, Jirjis JN, Biridepalli S. Prevalence of clinical hypertension in-patient with chronic pain compared to non pain general medical patients. *Clin J Pain*. 2005; 21(2): 147-153. PubMed.
25. Scalco AZ, Scalco MZ, Azul JBS, Lotufo Neto F. Hypertension and depression. *Clinics*. 2005; 60(3):241-243. PubMed.
26. Iyalomhe GBS, Iyalomhe SI. Health seeking behavior of rural dwellers in Southern Nigeria: implications for health care professional. *Int J Trop Dis Health*. 2012; 2 (2):62 -71. PubMed.