What’s in a label? Learning from the HIV-TB deadly symbiosis
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The World Health Organization (WHO) announced in September of 2003 that HIV/AIDS should be labeled “global health emergency” rather than just a pandemic. Might the severity of the label cause more harm than good? This essay attempts to tackle this question by considering what has been learnt from previous such declarations, specifically with respect to Tuberculosis, a close companion of HIV/AIDS. The label of “emergency” is necessary for any disease that fits the description; however, when two diseases are as closely linked as are HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis, shouldn’t the term be applied to them collectively?

In 1981, shortly after the first reports of AIDS in the United States among gay men and injecting drug users, it became evident that the disease was also present among other populations. The identification of a variant of the virus, HIV-2, in African populations, further increased the heterogeneity of what quickly emerged as a “global pandemic.” A “pandemic” is defined as a widespread disease outbreak affecting the population of an extensive area of the world. The term “pandemic” was a necessary and advantageous step made by the CDC and the WHO to give HIV/AIDS the public attention and both medical and humanitarian funding required to stem its advance.

Two decades into its existence and despite concerted efforts and funding to combat the deadly virus, the HIV/AIDS pandemic has continued to worsen. “In two short decades, HIV/AIDS has become the premiere disease of mass destruction,” stated Dr. Jack Chow, the assistant director-general of WHO, and that “the death odometer is spinning at 8,000 lives a day and accelerating.”

Critics of the WHO’s optimistic outlook argue that handling and referring to HIV/AIDS as a solitary emergency is the root of the problem, simply because those infected with HIV experience a breakdown of their immune systems, leaving them extremely vulnerable and susceptible to many opportunistic infections. The most prevalent opportunistic infection observed among HIV-infected patients in low resource countries is tuberculosis.

Tuberculosis (TB) kills approximately 2 million people each year, making it one of the world’s leading infectious disease causes of death among young people and adults. Due to a combination of economic decline, the breakdown of basic health care systems, insufficient application of TB control measures, the spread of HIV/AIDS, and the emergence of drug-resistant TB, it is on the rise in many developing and low resource countries. In 1993, the WHO took an unprecedented step and declared TB a “global emergency,” so great was the concern about the growing TB epidemic.
Since the WHO’s declaration in 1993, the TB emergency has regrettably grown much larger and funding has been “severely neglected” \(^7\). Although TB treatment and prevention alone are relatively inexpensive, “affordable life-saving health interventions for infectious diseases are neglected in favour of large and expensive projects” \(^6\) such as the global AIDS emergency. If only efforts were made to combine and combat both HIV and TB together, there would be reason for optimism.

Critics of the WHO’s optimistic outlook on curing the HIV/AIDS emergency alone argue that “the coming wave of mortality and epidemics worsened by HIV, including tuberculosis, will sooner or later force a change in policy, but we need to make it sooner rather than later” \(^8\). It has been widely acknowledged that “HIV and TB form a lethal combination, each, speeding the other’s progress” \(^6\). HIV weakens the immune system and once infected with HIV, a person is many times more likely to become infected with TB than someone who is HIV-negative. TB is one of the leading cause of death for those who are HIV-positive and the highly contagious infections accounts for roughly 11 per cent of AIDS deaths worldwide\(^7\).

A joint program, therefore, is a necessity because presently “although TB is one of the leading causes of death of people living with HIV/AIDS, the relationship between HIV and TB is
DOTS is the WHO-recommended treatment strategy for care and prevention with DOTS to combat and treat TB. Those newly diagnosed with HIV must be screened for TB and all those diagnosed with TB must be offered HIV testing and counseling. The TB/HIV programs are willing to help collaborate with the WHO 3" by 5" plan by being committed to the goal.

It is apparent that the combination of TB and HIV will have a large impact on how both are viewed, treated, and funded in the future. At the Third Working Group Meeting of the TB/HIV in June of 2003, it was recognized that collaboration amongst the two is key, and the introduction of a TB/HIV "Working Group" can serve as a bridge between the two communities. “TB and HIV programmes must work together to accelerate an effective joint response to the epidemic of HIV-associated TB in all affected countries...We are dealing with two diseases, one patient, one community” 6. It was suggested that the following basic steps become standard global practice: all those newly diagnosed with HIV must be screened for TB and all those diagnosed with TB must be offered HIV testing and counseling. The TB/HIV programs are willing to help collaborate with the WHO 3" by 5" plan by being committed to the goal.

TB and HIV collaboration has already begun in several low resource countries, such as South Africa, Malawi and Zambia. ProTEST, (Promoting HIV TESTING) which began in 1998, has demonstrated already that the TB/HIV partnership improves health services through capacity building and access to a wider range of preventive care services for people living with HIV and TB. As of June, 2003, ProTEST had helped to successfully avert more than 14,000 HIV infections. 9

The five key components that TB/HIV action plans should include are the establishment of a national-level TB/HIV coordination committee, the establishment of HIV surveillance among patients with TB, the option of HIV testing and counseling for all patients with TB, the establishment of screening for TB for all patients infected with HIV and, finally, the strengthening of HIV care and prevention with DOTS to combat and treat TB. DOTS is the WHO-recommended treatment strategy for detection and cure of TB. DOTS has proven to be a very effective treatment with cure rates up to 95 per cent and has been ranked by the World Bank as one of the “most cost-effective interventions” 6.

Ten years after the WHO declared TB a “global emergency” in 1993, the WHO reported that over 10 million TB patients have been successfully treated under DOTS. Of those, more than 90% live in low resource countries where the disease causes the most suffering, economic instability and death. It is true that the growth incidence rate of TB has slowed to 0.4% per year; however the epidemic is still growing. The Executive Director of UNAIDS, Dr. Peter Piot, was quoted as saying that “TB and HIV have become intertwined epidemics, increasing their devastating impact on communities world-wide” 6. The ProTEST projects have demonstrated “how TB and HIV workers can collaborate effectively to strengthen DOTS, to reduce the number of cases of HIV, to find cases of TB earlier and to provide preventive therapy” and have proved to be an “excellent platform” for the future.

In conclusion, we must recognize that the language used to characterize global health issues has a major impact upon how these crucial, medical and social issues are handled, both nationally and internationally. One may argue that words are just words; however, nomenclature can and does affect actions and has proven to be a powerful force, producing change, beneficially and, at times, detrimentally. Farmer questions, “can declarations change the world?” and his response is: “they can if they lead to action commensurate with the problem” 8. The “problem” we are facing with the worldwide spread of HIV and TB is one of dual nature, one that unless treated in a combined, constructive manner will continue to worsen. The first step in successfully combating the HIV/AIDS global health emergency is the recognition of its close link with TB, followed by building bridges of prevention and care between the two in order to bridge the existing gap and help rid the world of two of its most deadly diseases.
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Polio eradication has been top on the agenda of various international humanitarian organizations since 1988. Caused by a virus that enters through the mouth, poliomyelitis attacks the nervous system, and can lead to irreversible paralysis or death. Children under five years of age are most at risk, and the oral polio vaccine, OPV, is administered as a drop often on a lump of sugar placed in the child’s mouth. Given multiple times, the vaccine may protect a child for life. In this essay, the Nigerian scenario serves as a case study of community involvement and trust in international humanitarian policy. The underlying causes of the rebellion and its long term impact on immunization programs in the region as well around the world are of interest and relevance to students, teachers and practitioners of public health.

Information about the epidemiology of polio and its eradication policies was obtained primarily from the website of the World Health Organization web site, while knowledge of the rebellion in Nigeria is based on the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) news reports and follow-up articles. I am also drawing from library research of peer-reviewed journal articles and my course work and notes on community participation in public health.

‘Rebellion’ against the polio vaccine
Since the beginning of the WHO’s global polio eradication initiative in 1988, the prevalence of polio has fallen by 99 per cent. However, polio remains endemic in certain regions of the world. The Americas, Europe, and many parts of Asia had been declared polio-free, but some parts of Africa and Asia continue to report polio cases. With 2005 as the new target for polio eradication, immunization efforts have specifically focused on Nigeria and India. This is because the polio epidemics that struck Nigeria and India had contributed to increased numbers of polio cases worldwide between 2001 and 2002. Nigeria is the country with the second highest risk of ongoing polio transmission in the world. Fearing that the epidemics may cause polio to spread into neighboring countries and regions that had previously been declared polio-free, humanitarian agencies stepped up immunization efforts in Nigeria.

The Global Polio Eradication Initiative (GPEI) is spearheaded by the WHO, Rotary International, the United States Centers for Disease Control (CDC), and the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF). GPEI consists of four main strategies: immunization of infants for life during their first year, immunization of children under 5, surveillance for outbreaks, and targeted “mop-up” campaigns when an outbreak occurs. Immunization programs usually consist of workers administering OPV and vitamin A supplements to children. Since 2001, the number of polio cases in Nigeria has quadrupled to encompass almost half of the world’s polio cases.
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