Diagnostic utility of clinical and biochemical parameters in pancreatic head malignancy patients with normal carbohydrate antigen 19-9 levels

Xiaoli Jin¹, Yulian Wu²

- 1. Department of Surgery, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 3 Qingchun Road East, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310016, P.R. China E-mail: Jinxl@srrsh.com
- 2. Department of Surgery, Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 88 Jiefang Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310009, P.R. China

Abstract

Background: Carbohydrate antigen (CA)19-9 that is the most widely used biomarker for pancreatic cancer has certain limitations in diagnosis, which results in a tough job to distinguish pancreatic cancer from benign tumors with normal CA19-9. The aim of this study was to investigate the diagnostic utility of clinical parameters and serum markers in patients with pancreatic head masses but without elevated CA19-9.

Methods: Retrospectively, 106 (69 malignant, 37 benign) of 487 patients admitted for pancreatic head masses were enrolled with CA19-9 level of <37u/ml. Clinical parameters and serum biomarkers were assessed. Among the patients with pancreatic head mass, male individuals (p=0.025) and elder individuals (p<0.001) were more likely to have cancer; and cancer patients were more likely to present with abdominal-pain (p=0.023), weight-loss (p=0.013) and jaundice (p<0.001). Serum bilirubin levels among malignancies, including total bilirubin (p<0.001), direct bilirubin (p<0.001) and indirect bilirubin (p<0.001), were considerably higher than those of benign ones. Logistic regression further concluded that age-distribution, abdominal-pain and direct-bilirubin were three independent factors correlating with final diagnosis. However, CEA (p=0.156) was not sufficient enough to exclude pancreatic cancer.

Conclusions: In patients with pancreatic head masses and CA19-9 of <37u/ml, age-distribution, abdominal-pain and direct bilirubin might be helpful in differential diagnosis. CEA was insufficient for exclusion of malignancy.

Key words: pancreatic head malignancy, diagnosis, clinical parameter, biomarker

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v15i1.17

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most lethal malignant tumors, with a 5-year survival of less than 0.4% to 5% ¹⁻³. Only 20% of patients are considered eligible for surgery at the time of diagnosis, and only half of them are suitsble for curative resection¹. The most benefi-

Corresponding author:

Yulian Wu Department of Surgery, Second Affiliated Hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 88 Jiefang Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province 310009, P.R. China Tel: +86-571-87784604 Fax: +86-571-87784604 E-mail: wuyulian@medmail.com.cn cial treatment of this disease is complete surgical resection in its early stage. Therefore, early and accurate diagnosis of patients with a suspicious pancreatic mass is critical. However, it is difficult to preoperatively distinguish malignancies from benign tumors with currently available diagnostic modalities at an early stage.

At present, clinical diagnosis depends mainly on a variety of imaging technologies and a single serum carbohydrate antigen(CA)19-9 level²⁻⁵. Serum CA19-9 has a reported sensitivity of 70% to 90%, specificity of approximately 90%, positive predictive value of about 69%, and negative predictive value of about 90% in screening for pancreatic carcinoma⁶. However, elevated CA19-9 levels have also been found in several benign diseases, including chronic/acute pancreatitis, cholangitis, and lymphoepithelial cyst of the pancreas^{2,7,8}. In addition, CA19-9 could not be detected in subjects with Lewis a-b- genotype⁹. Furthermore, only about 50% of patients with pancreatic carcinomas of <3cm had

elevated CA19-9 levels, and poorly differentiated ma- complete medical records were also eliminated. lignant tumors secreted lower concentrations of CA19-9 than medium/well- differentiated ones did¹⁰. Given these limitations, malignant carriers with normal CA19-9 levels but positive imaging findings would appear even more difficult in distinguishing from benign ones.

Approximately 70% to 80% of patients with pancreatic cancer, mostly located in pancreatic head, presented with obstructive jaundice¹¹, and in some studies carcincembryonic antigen (CEA) was proven to increase diagnostic accuracy of pancreatic cancer^{12,13}. Therefore, serum bilirubin and CEA levels may serve as helpful complements to imaging and single CA19-9 measurement. Since the correlation between those parameters (both biochemical markers and clinical characteristics) and pancreatic head cancer haven't clearly been defined yet, the aim of this study was to assess the utility of these factors in diagnosis of pancreatic malignancies with imaging evidence and normal CA19-9 levels.

Material and methods

Details of all referrals with a pancreatic head mass that was proven by instrumental examinations before medical intervention were retrospectively collected and maintained in an original database. Instrumental examinations consisted of computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasonography, and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). Complete data was taken with the permission of the hospital from the records of all patients admitted to the Department of Surgery, Second Affiliated hospital, College of Medicine, Zhejiang University between January 2003 and December 2009. Clinical database was explored with the permission of the hospital. The clinical decision of malignancy or benign was determined by final pathological diagnosis, which was based on results of operative biopsies, endoscopic biopsies, or surgical specimens. Patients among the original database with serum CA19-9 levels of <37u/ml, which was recommended in most literature as normal level^{2,6,14,15}, were enrolled for further study. Patients lacking imaging support or pathological diagnosis as well as patients with metastasis were excluded. Patients without

With informed consent, all patients enrolled for further study underwent complete standard blood examinations, including serum bilirubin (total bilirubin(TB), direct bilirubin(DB) and indirect bilirubin(IB)), CA19-9, and CEA levels. Clinical symptoms that might appear during the development of diseases included abdominal pain, back pain, weight loss, fever, and jaundice. The CA19-9 cutoff value was described above as 37u/ml. Patients with serum TB levels of >2mg/ dl were considered to be jaundiced according to the testing reagent manufacturer's specification for the reference range. All blood tests were performed by our clinical laboratory using the same manufacturer's specified testing reagents and standard testing procedure.

Statistic analysis was performed with SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, US), and statistical significance was accepted at the p<0.05 level. Nonparametric tests were preferred when data distribution was not certain. Comparison of serum marker levels between the malignant and benign groups were obtained with the Mann-Whitney U test. Differences in frequencies for categorical variables were assessed by chi-square test. Multivariable analysis for detecting pancreatic head cancer was carried out using binary logistic regression. To further estimate the diagnostic abilities, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves were built. The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for assessment of malignancy-detecting ability.

Results

Data of 487 patients was recorded in the original database at the beginning of the study. According to the aforementioned criteria, 106 patients with CA19-9 levels of <37 u/ml were finally enrolled. Pathological diagnosis proved that 69 (65.09%) of these 106 patients carried malignant tumors (Group 1), and the remaining 37 (34.91%) carried benign ones (Group 2). Clinical parameters and serum biomarkers were summarized in Table 1.

In group 1, 68 patients had pancreatic ductal adenocar-

Table 1. Clinical and biochemical characteristics of the patients

	Malignant (%)	Benign (%)	Р	
Gender				
Male	40 (57.97)	13 (35.14)		
Female	29 (42.03)	24 (64.86)	0.025	
Age	61.65±11.77	49.84±16.16	< 0.001	
Abdominal pain	44 (63.77)	17 (45.95)	0.023	
Back pain	12 (17.39)	5 (13.51)	0.604	
Weight loss	20 (28.99)	3 (8.11)	0.013	
Fever	4 (5.80)	0 (0.00)	0.295	
Jaundice	29 (42.03)	1 (2.70)	< 0.001	
Pathology				
Ductal adenocarcinoma	68 (98.55)			
Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma	1 (1.45)			
Mucinous cystadenoma		9 (24.32)		
Chronic pancreatitis		7 (18.92)		
Solid pseudopapillary tumor		6 (16.22)		
Insulinoma		6 (16.22)		
Serous cystadenoma		5 (13.51)		
Intraductal papillary mucinous		4 (10.81)		
Common bile duct (cm)	1.00 ± 0.49	0.73±0.30	0.002	
Tumor size (cm)	4.54±2.60	4.34±2.77	0.599	
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	5.46±14.43	0.71±0.51	< 0.001	
Direct bilirubin	3.11±9.67	0.19±0.14	< 0.001	
Indirect bilirubin	2.35±4.84	0.52±0.38	< 0.001	
CEA ¹ (ng/ml)	8.79±28.27	4.37±6.99	0.156	
CA19-9 ² (u/ml)	13.16±9.45	10.39±7.63	0.154	

1: CEA equals Carcinoembryonic antigen.

2: CA19-9 equals Carbohydrate antigen19-9

cinoma and the remaining one had mucinous cystadenocarcinoma. Among group 2, eight had mucinouscystadenoma, seven had chronic pancreatitis, seven (range, 30-82 years), while group 2 consisted of 13 men had solid-pseudopapillary tumor, six had insulinoma, and 24 women with a median age of 53 years (range, five had serous cystadenoma and four had intraductal 16-80 years) (Table 1). In group 1, 44 (63.77%) patients

125

124

papillary mucinous tumor. group 1 was comprised of 40 men and 29 women with a median age of 62 years suffered from abdominal pain and 12 (17.39%) suffered 4.00; range, 1.30–13.00 cm) between 2 groups, statisfrom back pain. In group 2, 17 (45.95%) patients experienced abdominal pain and 5 (13.51%) experienced back pain. Twenty of 69 (28.99%) and 3 of 37 (8.11%) patients underwent weight loss. Only 4 of the total 106 people developed a fever, and they were all cancer patients (Table 1). Besides sex ratio (p=0.025) and age distribution (p < 0.001), abdominal-pain (p = 0.023), weight-loss (p=0.013) and jaundice (p<0.001) were also statistically different in two groups; while back-pain (p=0.604) and fever (p=0.295) were not (Table 1).

The serum total bilirubin (TB) level in group 1(median, 1.27; range, 0.18–116.10 mg/dl) was significantly higher than that of group 2 (median, 0.64; range, 0.17-3.14 mg/dl) (p<0.001), and the same results were observed when direct and indirect bilirubin levels were compared between two groups (both p<0.001) (Table 1). To assess the severity of obstruction in the common bile duct (CBD), which resulted from the pancreatic head mass and gave rise to high hyperbilirubinemia (especially direct bilirubin), the tumor size and diameter of the CBD in both groups were measured according to the screenage data. However, although no difference ments mostly influencing the final diagnosis (Table 2). (p=0.599) was found in tumor size(benign median, 3.65; range, 1.60–15.50 cm versus malignant median, less impact or strong multicollinearity (Table 2).

tical significance was obtained in the dilation of CBD (benign median, 0.60; range, 0.60-1.70 cm versus malignant median, 0.80; range, 0.60–2.50 cm) (p=0.002). When considering the TB cutoff value of 2mg/dl, 29 of 69 (42.3%) patients in group 1 and 1 of 37 (2.7%) in group 2 were positive for jaundice (p < 0.001)(Table 1). Compared with benign disease (median, 1.50; range, 0.50–31.40ng/ml), no statistical difference (p=0.156) of serum CEA level was observed in the malignant group (median, 2.65; range, 0.00-210.20ng/ml) (Table 1). Similarly, no considerable bias of serum CA19-9 level was obtained (benign median, 7.70; range, 2.00–30.10u/ml versus malignant median, 10.70; range,1.70-36.90u/ml, p=0.154) in the Mann-Whitney U test (Table 1).

We subsequently performed binary logistic regression and pearson correlation analysis to find out those essential factors that were helpful in detection of malignancy. We found that, age distribution (p=0.002), abdominal-pain (p=0.044) and serum DB level (p=0.034) were fully confirmed as three independent ele-The other parameters were excluded either because of

Table 2. The statistic assessment on diagnostic utility of clinical and biochemical

parameters				
	Variables in the	in the Equation	Variables	AUC of the ROC Curve
-	Sig	Equa If Removed	tion(Sig)	
Gender			0.701	
Age	0.002	< 0.001		0.714
Abdominal pain	0.044	0.032		
Back pain			0.720	
Weight loss			0.346	
Fever			0.649	
Common bile duct			0.755	
Tumor size			0.554	
Total bilirubin			0.182	
Direct bilirubin	0.034	< 0.001		0.769
Indirect bilirubin			0.177	
CEA ¹			0.406	
CA19-9 ²			0.776	
Constant	0.001			
1: CEA equals Carcinoembryoni	ic antigen.			
2: CA19-9 equals Carbohydrate a	antigen19-9			

We further built the ROC curve to assess the diag- of 89.2% were obtained with a cutoff value of 0.27mg/ nostic utility of those candidates (Fig.1). The AUC dl in ROC curve of DB (AUC=0.769, 95% confidence of age-curve was 0.714 with a 95% confidence interval interval, 0.682 to 0.857). The combination of three of 0.608 to 0.820. The sensitivity and specificity were variables inferred from the equation was proven to be 69.6% and 70.3% respectively with the most efficient better with a bigger AUC of 0.867(95% confidence incutoff value of 57 years according to the largest Youdterval of 0.800 to 0.934). en index. Similarly, sensitivity of 60.9% and specificity Discussion

Figure 1. ROC curves of the differential diagnosis utility of age distribution, serum direct bilirubin and combination of three independent factors concluded by logistic regression

ROC Curve



Diagnosis of pancreatic head masses remains a tough elements that had the most sharp statistical difference, job. In the present study, we systemically evaluated the and logistic regression further proved that it (p=0.002,role of clinical parameters as well as serum bilirubin removed sig p < 0.001) was an independent factor influand CEA levels in diagnosing of pancreatic head canencing the clinical diagnosis. The ROC curve with an cer. We found that age distribution, abdominal pain AUC of 0.714 demonstrated its medium utility in deand direct bilirubin were three independent factors that tecting pancreatic head cancer among normal CA19-9 could probably improve the detection of malignancy group, and it seemed that the age of 57 was the best when patients presented with imaging support but norcutoff point. mal CA19-9 level.

69.57% of malignant carriers and 29.73% of benign pa-The correlation between patients' age and pancreatic tients presented after the age of 57 in the study. Comcancer was controversial. There was evidence that adpared with a cutoff age of 50 (93% positive in cancer patients) suggested by Zubarik R et al as a high vanced age was a significant risk factor of pancreatic cancer among those suspicious¹⁶; while there was opporisk of pancreatic malignancy¹⁶, the difference of site outcomes provided by Kudo et al that onset age did our conclusion mainly might result from different study not act as an important factor for developing pancreatobjects(different subpopulation more precisely), and ic cancer¹⁷. Age distribution in our study (p < 0.001), as different enrolled criteria. same as the former, proved to be one of the essential Besides age, abdominal pain (p=0.023, logistic sig

126

127

7	Source of the Curve Age DB Age+Abdominal_pain+DB
0.8 1.0	

African Health Sciences Vol 15 Issue 1, March 2015

er useful clinical feature in detecting pancreatic head cancer. Patients bearing malignant tumors in pancreatic head were more likely to present with abdominal pain (63.77% versus 45.95% in our study). Although abdominal pain was nonspecific¹⁸, it was the most frequent onset symptom in pancreatic cancer^{15,19}, and it was proven to have certain relation with tumor location that DB was a possible important factor among serum in pancreatic adenocarcinoma²⁰. On the other hand, patients with benign lesions did not often appear with abdominal pain until it reached considerable size and gave rise to the obstruction of the pancreatico-biliary The nature of the correlation between pancreatic canduct²¹. Therefore, abdominal pain probably correlated with malignancy more closely, and this kind of potential tendency might be amplified on the condition that pa- were more likely to present as malignancies in some tients' CA19-9 levels were under 37u/ml.

other two clinical factors with statistical differences in two groups at first. However, according to the subsequent logistic analysis, neither the sex ratio nor the weight-loss appeared to be helpful. Although 4 patients with fever all belonged to group 1, no significant difference (p=0.295) was observed in two groups and logistic mass, whether benign or not, did play an important role equation further confirmed its helplessness in differential diagnosis. The same result was also obtained on the symptom of back pain (p=0.604). There were some This contradiction might be explained as followings. similar findings that above four clinical features were not significant risk factors for developing pancreatic cancer and they often did not appear until the tumor was locally advanced or metastatic^{16,17,22}. Study on cystic lesions of pancreas also revealed that benign tumors of pancreas were often asymptomatic at early stage, and for their less progressive growth²². Secondly, compared the symptoms such as back pain would not present until adjacent organs were involved²². Hence in accordance with our study, sex-ratio, back-pain, fever and weightloss were not sufficient enough to distinguish malignancies from benign ones.

Since malignant patients with onset symptom of jaundice were more likely to have tumors located in the pancreatic head²⁰ and jaundice closely correlated with serum bilirubin²⁰, the serum bilirubin level was supposed to serve as a helpful diagnostic candidate. In our study, quite sufficient in differential diagnosis of pancreatic the difference of bilirubin levels between malignant and benign tumors was substantial (pTB<0.001,pD-B<0.001,pIB<0.001). Compared with group 2, patients CEA was another serum biomarker of interest for this in group 1 had extremely higher (>15-fold) concentrations of direct bilirubin (DB) on average, while total bil-

p=0.044, removed sig p=0.032) appeared as anoth- irubin (TB) and indirect bilirubin (IB) in group 1 were more than four times as much as in benign group. In addition, logistic regression recommended DB (p=0.034, removed sig p < 0.001) as an independent predictor and ROC analysis(AUC=0.769) concluded a reasonable cutoff value of 0.27mg/dl with sensitivity of 60.9% and specificity of 89.2%. All these results demonstrated markers to screen cancer patients when the CA19-9 level is <37u/ml.

cer and bilirubin was not yet clearly defined. Pancreatic head masses, which would lead to obstructive jaundice, researches^{10,20,23}. The same result was obtained in the present study as well. And accordingly, the diameter of Sex ratio (p=0.025) and weight-loss (p=0.013) were CBD was no doubt statistically different in two groups (p=0.002), which demonstrated the sharp difference in the extent of CBD dilation. However on the other hand, the sizes of tumors in both groups were almost the same (p=0.599). This interesting contradiction revealed that the character of pancreatic head in this type of malignant jaundice.

> Firstly, obstructive jaundice was described in over 90% of patients with pancreatic head carcinoma as a result of either invasion or compression of the common bile duct²⁴; while cystic lesions located in pancreatic head were proven to be less likely to cause obstructive jaundice with benign patients or healthy volunteers, pancreatic adenocarcinoma individuals presented considerably higher level of TNF-alpha²⁵ which was demonstrated to have toxic effect on cholangiocytes²⁶⁻²⁸. The susceptibility of cholangiocytes to TNF-alpha's cytotoxicity could be enhanced during biliary tract obstruction, which would result in severe liver damage and hyperbilirubinemia^{26,27,29}. Given these interpretations, hyperbilirubinemia might more closely be correlated with pancreatic cancer, and serum direct bilirubin seemed head masses with CA19-9<37u/ml

study because it had been, and would likely continue to be, one of the most extensively used clinical tumor markers³⁰⁻³². Pancreas was proven to be one of few tis- nal cancers: A review. Ann Clin Biochem 1998;35 (Pt sues that can express CEA³¹, and several studies had 3):364-370. indicated that CEA was helpful in the diagnosis of 7. Yamaguchi T, Takahashi H, Kagawa R, Takeda pancreatic malignancy³³⁻³⁵. However, the present series R, Sakata S, Yamamoto M, Nishizaki D: Lymphoepdemonstrated that patients in the benign group did not ithelial cyst of the pancreas associated with elevated ca 19-9 levels. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2008;15:652-654. have statistically different CEA levels (p=0.156) as that of the cancer group. Thus, CEA had very low accuracy 8. DiMagno EP, Reber HA, Tempero MA: Aga in the diagnosis of pancreatic head malignancies in technical review on the epidemiology, diagnosis, and patients with a suspicious mass but normal CA19-9 treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. level (<37u/ml). The poor diagnostic utility was prob-American gastroenterological association. Gastroenably due to the fact that the serum CEA level did not terology 1999;117:1464-1484. correlate with its genetic level, but with tumor stage^{35,36}. 9. Takasaki H, Uchida E, Tempero MA, Burnett

Conclusion

In patients with suspicious pancreatic head masses and CA19-9 levels of <37u/ml, age distribution, abdominal 10. Duffy MJ, Sturgeon C, Lamerz R, Haglund C, pain and direct bilirubin might be useful aid in differ-Holubec VL, Klapdor R, Nicolini A, Topolcan O, entiation between the malignant and the benign. Inter-Heinemann V: Tumor markers in pancreatic cancer: A estingly, compared with benign tumors, malignancies of european group on tumor markers (egtm) status report. Ann Oncol 2010;21:441-447. pancreatic head were more likely to cause obstructive jaundice despite of the sizes of tumors. CEA, howev-11. Ahrendt SA, Pitt HA: Surgical management er, may not be sufficient enough to exclude malignancy. of pancreatic cancer. Oncology (Williston Park) Large scale cohort of forward clinical research studies 2002;16:725-734; discussion 734, 736-728, 740, 743. need to be carried out to confirm our findings. 12. Carpelan-Holmstrom M, Louhimo J, Stenman

References

13. Sandblom G, Granroth S, Rasmussen IC: Tps, 1. Benson AB, 3rd: Adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer: One small step forward. JAMA ca 19-9, vegf-a, and cea as diagnostic and prognostic 2007;297:311-313. factors in patients with mass lesions in the pancreatic 2. Steinberg W: The clinical utility of the ca 19-9 tuhead. Ups J Med Sci 2008;113:57-64.

mor-associated antigen. Am J Gastroenterol 1990;85:350-355.

14. Kim HJ, Kim MH, Myung SJ, Lim BC, Park ET, Yoo KS, Seo DW, Lee SK, Min YI: A new strategy for 3. Goonetilleke KS, Siriwardena AK: Systematic the application of ca19-9 in the differentiation of panreview of carbohydrate antigen (ca 19-9) as a biocreaticobiliary cancer: Analysis using a receiver operatchemical marker in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. ing characteristic curve. Am J Gastroenterol 1999;94:1941-Eur J Surg Oncol 2007;33:266-270. 1946.

4. Tempero M, Arnoletti JP, Ben-Josef E, Bhargava P, 15. Singh S, Tang SJ, Sreenarasimhaiah J, Lara LF, Casper ES, Kim P, Malafa MP, Nakakura EK, Shibata S, Siddiqui A: The clinical utility and limitations of serum Talamonti M, Wang H, Willett C: Pancreatic adenocarcarbohydrate antigen (ca19-9) as a diagnostic tool for cinoma. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl pancreatic cancer and cholangiocarcinoma. Dig Dis Sci Compr Canc Netw 2007;5:998-1033. 2011;56:2491-2496.

5. Nishida K, Kaneko T, Yoneda M, Nakagawa S, Ishi-16. Zubarik R, Gordon SR, Lidofsky SD, Anderson kawa T, Yamane E, Nishioka B, Miyamoto Y, Takano H, SR, Pipas JM, Badger G, Ganguly E, Vecchio J: Screen-Yoshikawa T, Kondo M: Doubling time of serum ca ing for pancreatic cancer in a high-risk population 19-9 in the clinical course of patients with pancreatic with serum ca 19-9 and targeted eus: A feasibility cancer and its significant association with prognosis. J study. Gastrointest Endosc 2011;74:87-95. Surg Oncol 1999;71:140-146. 17. Kudo Y, Kamisawa T, Anjiki H, Takuma K, Eg-

6. Duffy MJ: Ca 19-9 as a marker for gastrointesti- awa N: Incidence of and risk factors for developing

128

DA, Metzgar RS, Pour PM: Correlative study on expression of ca 19-9 and du-pan-2 in tumor tissue and in serum of pancreatic cancer patients. Cancer Res 1988;48:1435-1438.

UH, Alfthan H, Haglund C: Cea, ca 19-9 and ca 72-4 improve the diagnostic accuracy in gastrointestinal cancers. Anticancer Res 2002;22:2311-2316.

pancreatic cancer in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Hepatogastroenterology 2011;58:609-611.

18. Miura F, Takada T, Amano H, Yoshida M, Furui S, Takeshita K: Diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. HPB (Oxford) 2006;8:337-342.

19. Appelqvist P, Viren M, Minkkinen J, Kajanti M, Kostiainen S, Rissanen P: Operative finding, treatment, and prognosis of carcinoma of the pancreas: An analysis of 267 cases. *J Surg Oncol* 1983;23:143-150.

20. Watanabe I, Sasaki S, Konishi M, Nakagohri T, Inoue K, Oda T, Kinoshita T: Onset symptoms and tumor locations as prognostic factors of pancreatic cancer. Pancreas 2004;28:160-165.

21. Bj Rk Werner J, Bartosch HRA, Andersson R: Cystic pancreatic lesions: Current evidence for diagnosis and treatment. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2011;46:773-788.

22. Kim JE, Lee KT, Lee JK, Paik SW, Rhee JC, Choi KW: Clinical usefulness of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 as a screening test for pancreatic cancer in an asymptomatic population. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;19:182-186.

23. Koeppler H, Duru M, Grundheber M, Heymanns J, Jacobs G, Pandorf A, Rendenbach B, Schimke J, Weide R: Palliative treatment of advanced pancreatic carcinoma in community-based oncology group practices. *J Support Oncol* 2004;2:159-163.

24. Sommerville CA, Limongelli P, Pai M, Ahmad R, Stamp G, Habib NA, Williamson RC, Jiao LR: Survival analysis after pancreatic resection for ampullary and pancreatic head carcinoma: An analysis of clinico-pathological factors. *J Surg Oncol* 2009;100:651-656.

25. Talar-Wojnarowska R, Gasiorowska A, Smolarz B, Romanowicz-Makowska H, Kulig A, Malecka-Panas E: Tumor necrosis factor alpha and interferon gamma genes polymorphisms and serum levels in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Neoplasma 2009;56:56-62. 26. Alpini G, Ueno Y, Tadlock L, Glaser SS, LeSage G, Francis H, Taffetani S, Marzioni M, Alvaro D, Patel T: Increased susceptibility of cholangiocytes to tumor necrosis factor-alpha cytotoxicity after bile duct ligation. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2003;285:C183-194.

27. Spirli C, Nathanson MH, Fiorotto R, Duner E,

Denson LA, Sanz JM, Di Virgilio F, Okolicsanyi L, Casagrande F, Strazzabosco M: Proinflammatory cytokines inhibit secretion in rat bile duct epithelium. Gastroenterology 2001;121:156-169.

28. Luster MI, Simeonova PP, Gallucci R, Matheson J: Tumor necrosis factor alpha and toxicology. Crit Rev Toxicol 1999;29:491-511.

29. Sheen-Chen SM, Chen HS, Ho HT, Chen WJ, Sheen CC, Eng HL: Effect of bile acid replacement on endotoxin-induced tumor necrosis factor-al-pha production in obstructive jaundice. *World J Surg* 2002;26:448-450.

30. Hammarstrom S: The carcinoembryonic antigen (cea) family: Structures, suggested functions and expression in normal and malignant tissues. Semin Cancer Biol 1999;9:67-81.

31. Lefor AT: What's new about cea? Curr Surg 2000;57:538-543.

32. Marrelli D, Pinto E, De Stefano A, Farnetani M, Garosi L, Roviello F: Clinical utility of cea, ca 19-9, and ca 72-4 in the follow-up of patients with resectable gastric cancer. *Am J Surg* 230301;N1 8i 1X:1G6, -B1 9 a i. XF

33. Mao YL, Shao YF, Wu JX, Shan Y, Wang CF, Wang J, Tian YT, Liu Q, Xu DK, Zhao P: The clinical value of serum cea, ca19-9, and ca242 in the diagnosis and prognosis of pancreatic cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2005;31:164-169.

34. Pasanen PA, Eskelinen M, Partanen K, Pikkarainen P, Penttila I, Alhava E: Multivariate analysis of six serum tumor markers (cea, ca 50, ca 242, tpa, tps, tati) and conventional laboratory tests in the diagnosis of hepatopancreatobiliary malignancy. Anticancer Res 1995;15:2731-2737.

35. Zhou J, Hu L, Yu Z, Zheng J, Yang D, Bouvet M, Hoffman RM: Marker expression in circulating cancer cells of pancreatic cancer patients. *J Surg Res* 2010

36. Hoffmann K, Kerner C, Wilfert W, Mueller M, Thiery J, Hauss J, Witzigmann H: Detection of disseminated pancreatic cells by amplification of cytokeratin-19 with quantitative rt-pcr in blood, bone marrow and peritoneal lavage of pancreatic carcinoma patients. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007;13:257-263.