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Metabolomics-based approaches are methods of choice for studying changes in fruit composition induced by environmental or genetic modulation of biochemical pathways in the fruit. Owing to enzyme redundancy and high plasticity of the metabolic network, transgenic alteration of the activity of the enzymes from the central metabolism very often results in only slight modifications of the fruit composition. In order to avoid costly and time-consuming plant analysis, we used a fast and sensitive 1H-NMR-based metabolomic profiling technique allowing discovery of slight metabolite variations in a large number of samples. Here, we describe the screening of transgenic tomato plants in which two genes from the central metabolism, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (EC.3.4.1.1) and malate synthase (EC 2.3.3.9) were silenced by antisens and RNAi strategy. 1H-NMR metabolomic profiles of methanol-d4 D2O buffer extracts of tomato fruit flesh were acquired and subjected to unsupervised multivariate statistical analysis. 1H-NMR spectra were binned into variable-size spectral domains, making it possible to get an overall analysis of a large number of resonances, even in the case of uncontrolled variation of the chemical shift. Principal component analysis was used to separate groups of samples and to relate known and unknown metabolites to transgenic events. The screening of 100 samples, from extraction to data mining, took 36 h. Thus, this procedure allows the rapid selection of metabolic phenotypes of interest among about 30 transgenic lines.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Metabolic profiling of plants displaying genetic variability, either natural or induced via mutagenesis or genetic transformation, is an efficient strategy for discovering new enzymatic functions, biochemical pathways or metabolic connections (Moing et al., 2004; Rothan and Causse, 2007; Fernie and Klee, 2011). Besides the use of natural genetic variability, which resulted in considerable advances in fruit biology (White, 2002), combined use of transgenesis and metabolomics has recently emerged as a powerful method for our further understanding of tomato fruit metabolism and its relationship with fruit...
development and quality (Gilbert et al., 2009; Enfissi et al., 2010; Centeno et al., 2011; Dal Cin et al., 2011). However, the production of transgenic plants suitable for rigorous plant physiology studies requires several time-consuming steps including the initial transformation, the selection of single-gene insertion diploid lines and, finally, the choice of a limited number of transgenic plants displaying a range of phenotypic alterations. To study fruit composition and identify altered metabolites and/or pathways, metabolomics screening of a large number of plants is often required. While targeted analysis is time-consuming and too restrictive, untargeted analyses allow detection of unintended effects, it should be preferred as first step (Sumner et al., 2003).

Over the last decade, the development of tools in metabolomics has allowed non-selective analyses of a broad range of metabolites (< 3 kDa). These analyses based on nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) or mass spectrometry (MS) detection, hyphenated or not with chromatography separation, allow the detection of metabolite features and identified metabolites from biofluids, whole organism, tissue or cell extracts (Fenici et al., 2004). They are used to study the responses of cells, tissues or organs to metabolic perturbations in medical research (Lindon and Nicholson, 2008), food science (Sobolev et al., 2003), nutrition and quality (Hall et al., 2008), GMO crops (Le Gall et al., 2003), medicinal plants (Choi et al., 2004; Hagel et al., 2008), and plant functional genomics (Roessner et al., 2001; Hagel and Facchin, 2008; Mounet et al., 2009; Saito and Matsuda, 2010). \(^1\)H-NMR is a fast, robust and highly reproducible quantitative method for the analysis of large number of metabolites in plant extracts (Sobolev et al., 2005; Hagel et al., 2008; Ward et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010). Nevertheless, plant extracts preparation protocols should be chosen with care depending on whether the quantitative or qualitative extraction of metabolites is required (Ryan and Robards, 2006). With the former consideration and with special care for NMR acquisition parameters (Allwood et al., 2011), quantitative \(^1\)H-NMR allows the simultaneous detection and absolute quantification of about 30 to 40 metabolites within a single analysis (Moing et al., 2004). In contrast, plant extract preparation protocols are less demanding in terms of metabolite recovery for \(^1\)H-NMR metabolomics fingerprinting, which is very well-suited for rapid screening of biological samples (Le Gall et al., 2003; Ward et al., 2010). Moreover, \(^1\)H-NMR metabolomics fingerprinting allows relative quantitative comparison of spectra in the same series. Such information is especially relevant when analyzing transgenic plants displaying alterations in plant central metabolism, in which slight quantitative variation rather than presence/absence of primary metabolites are expected.

In this study, we report the development of a \(^1\)H-NMR metabolic profiling method fast enough to be used for rapid screening of transgenic plants from the T0 generation. As a proof-of-concept, we analyzed transgenic tomato plants silenced for Slppc2, a fruit specific phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylyase (Guillot et al., 2002) and for malate synthase (Graham et al., 1994), two genes encoding enzymes of central metabolism with putative roles in organic biosynthesis in the fruit. We demonstrated that the procedure designed allows the detection and relative quantification of all major metabolic compounds in the fruit (sugars, organic acids and amino acids); information provides plant central metabolism understanding; results can be stored in a standardized manner thus allowing comparison with other data; this method is simple, fast and cheap.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material and genetic transformation**

The tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) fruit-specific Slppc2 phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylyase gene (Solgen SGN-U314026) was silenced using an antisense (AS) strategy. A 192 bp Slppc2 DNA fragment located in the 3'UTR region was PCR-amplified using specific primers (F-5'TGTTAATCTATTAC3'; R-5'GTAAATG-AAGAGAA3') and cloned into the pBl121 vector as an inverted repeat under the control of the 35S promoter. Following genetic transformation of tomato (cv. Ferum) via Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LB A44104 according to Hamza and Chupeau (1993), the independent primary transgenic plants (T0) were further checked for ploidy level and gene insertion number. For this study, 6 single-insertion diploid T0 plants (P<sub>3SS</sub>Slppc2<sup>AS</sup>) were selected.

Following T0 selfing and kanamycin selection of transgenic T1 seedlings, the homozygous T1 plants were detected by PCR and southern analysis and grown in insect-proof greenhouse under standard conditions. For each line, 2 to 4 T1 homozygous transgenic plants were analysed.

The tomato SIMLS malate synthase gene (Solgen SGN-U573204) was silenced using a RNAi strategy. A 247 bp MLs DNA fragment located in the coding region of the unigenic malate synthase like was PCR-amplified using specific primers (F-5'T TTC ACC AAG CTG GGA GAA TTT 3'; R- 5'GTTAATG-AAGAGAA3') and cloned into the pBl121 vector as an inverted repeat under the control of the 35S promoter using the Gateway cloning system, first into the entry vector pDONR 201, then into the destination vector pKGWGWG2. Tomato transformation and transgenic plant selection were done as described above except that the cultivar “Ailsa Craig” and A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 were used. For this study, 7 single-insertion diploid T0 plants (P<sub>3SS</sub>SLMLS<sup>RNAi</sup>) were analyzed. Wild-type and 6 independent lines transformed with an empty vector with the same protocol were used as control.

For metabolic profiling, one fruit per plant was harvested for P<sub>3SS</sub>Slppc2<sup>AS</sup> and 3 fruits per plant for P<sub>3SS</sub>SLMLS<sup>RNAi</sup> were harvested at red ripe stage from two to four plants per line (respectively T1 homozygous and T0 plants). Immediately following the harvest, deseeded fruits were cut into 4 parts and one quarter of the fruit, which constitutes one biological sample, was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground to a fine powder, lyophilised and stored at -80°C until extraction.

**Chemicals**

Methanol-<sub>d4</sub> (99.8%) and D<sub>2</sub>O (99.9%) were purchased from Eurisotop (Gif sur Yvette, France). TSP (98%) was purchased from Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). All the other chemicals...
were of reagent grade.

Standard method for quantification of soluble metabolites

The targeted analysis of the major sugars, organic and amino acids was performed using ethanolic extraction and a specific quantification method for each compound family. The extraction of soluble polar components was performed on 30 mg DW of tomato pericarp freeze-dried powder using series of hot ethanol/water extractions (successively 80% and 50%), modified from Stitt and ap Rees (1978). The ethanolic extract was evaporated and solubilised in 1 ml pure water. Glucose and fructose were determined on these extracts enzymatically at 340 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer (MR 5000, Dynatech, and St Cloud, France) on fruit samples (Kunst et al., 1984; Velterop and Vos, 2001). Malate and citrate were determined on these extracts by anion exchange HPLC (Dionex, Voisins le Bretonneux, France) with conductivity detection on fruit samples (Moing et al., 1998). Free amino acids were analyzed by HPLC on fruit samples, without sample purification, using the AccQ.Tag method from Waters (Milford, MA) with fluorescence detection (Cohen and Michaud, 1993).

Methanol-d$_4$ extraction method of polar metabolites

The extraction of the soluble components was performed as described by Le Gall et al. (2003) with the following modifications. The freeze-dried powder (50 mg DW) was mixed with 840 μL of Methanol-d$_4$ and immediately added with 360 μL of buffer solution (300 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$, 2mM EDTA Na$_2$ in D$_2$O). The mixture was vortexed 30 s, stirred at 160 rpm for 30 min at 20°C in an orbital incubator, then centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and the pellet was extracted again with 360 μL buffer solution. For the P$_{35S}$:SIMLS$_{RNA}$ sample set, the first supernatant (500 μL) and the second one (150 μL) were pooled in a glass tube, each sample consisting of a Methanol-d$_4$: buffer solution (54:46, v/v). For the P$_{35S}$:SIMLS$_{RNA}$ sample set, the first supernatant (523 μL) and the second one (65 μL) were pooled in an appendorf tube. Each extract consisted of a methanol-d$_4$: buffer solution (62:38, v/v). Extraction in plastic container like appendorf increases the risk to have impurities (like plasticizer) in extract but prevents the solvent volatility. In order to verify the absence of impurities, solvent blanks were systematically applied by running the extraction method with each experiment. Sodium salt of (Trimethylsilyl) propionic-2, 2, 3, 3-d$_4$ acid (TSP) was added in the extract to a final concentration of 0.01% for chemical shift calibration. After centrifugation of the mixture at 10,000 g for 5 min at 20°C to eliminate traces of insoluble material, 500 μL of the resulting supernatant were transferred into a 5 mm NMR tube for immediate acquisition.

$^1$H-NMR spectra acquisition

$^1$H-NMR spectra (1D) were recorded at 500.162 MHz on a Bruker Avance spectrometer using a 5 mm Broad Band Inverse probe. In order to suppress the water signal, spectra were acquired with the pulse sequence based on the nuclear Overhauser effect known as noesy-presat (NOESYGPPPR1D in Bruker library): RD – 90° – t1 – 90° – tm – 90° – collect FID, where RD is a relaxation delay (respectively 10 s and 3 s for the P$_{35S}$:SIMLS$_{RNA}$ and the P$_{35S}$:SIPPC2$_4$ samples), during which the water is selectively irradiated, t1 represents the first increment in a NOEY experiment and was set to 4 μs; and the mixing time tm had a value of 100 ms, during which the water resonance was again selectively irradiated. 32 and 64 scans were collected respectively for the P$_{35S}$:SIMLS$_{RNA}$ and the P$_{35S}$:SIPPC2$_4$ samples. The noesy-presat technique, with its highest chemical shift selectivity over the other presaturation ones, is the technique of choice for the high-quality and reproducibility acquisition of spectra of aqueous samples (Ross et al., 2007; Kim et al. 2010). An automation procedure (automatic shimming and automatic sample loading) requiring 15 min per sample was used for acquisition.

$^1$H-NMR data processing and archiving

A line broadening of 0.3 Hz was applied to the FID prior to Fourier transformation. Phase and baseline correction were manually done by using TOPSPIN v1.3 software (Bruker). $^1$H chemical shifts were referenced to the internal chemical shift reference TSP at 0.00 ppm.

The assignments of metabolites in the $^1$H-NMR spectra were made by comparing the proton chemical shifts with literature values obtained in similar solvent condition (Le Gall et al., 2003), with metabolomic profiles (MeRy-B data base, http://www.cbib.u-bordeaux2.fr/MERYB/; Riken Standard Spectrum search, http://prime.psc.riken.jp/), by comparison with spectra of authentic compounds recorded under the same solvent conditions in methanol-d$_4$ (own local database) and by spiking the samples when the pure compound was available in chemical library public or commercial.

The procedure of analysis of the one-dimensional (1D) $^1$H-NMR spectra, included spectral binning or bucketing, using AMIX software v3.8.10 (Bruker) in the variable size bucketing mode, each of them consisting of a broader buckets (bucket n°5 Figure 1). Bucketed data were created, resulting in 94 variables for the 40 samples P$_{35S}$:SIMLS set and 71 variables for the 40 samples P$_{35S}$:SIPPC2$_4$ set. In order to compare the area of the buckets, as buckets had different sizes, the integrals per bucket were divided by the number of data points. Moreover, all integration values were scaled to total intensity that is divided by the total intensity of the spectrum (with removal of the internal standard, residual water and methanol regions). The bucketed data table was written as a text file that could be used as input for further statistical analysis (Holmes et al., 1994). The data processing time necessary to define the bucket pattern that depends on the knowledge of the biological material composition was about 30 min here for pericarp of red tomato fruit.

For archiving and further data mining, the $^1$H-NMR spectra of all samples were converted into JCAMP-DX format and deposited, with associated metadata, into the Metabolomics Repository of Bordeaux MeRy-B (Ferry-Dumazet et al., 2011; http://www.cbib.u-bordeaux2.fr/MERYB/res/project/T06005).

Multivariate and univariate analyses

To get an overview of the each data set and highlight discriminant spectra region, after a bucket table had been calculated or loaded, multivariate analysis was performed using AMIX software v3.9.10 (Bruker) using principal component analysis (PCA). In order
Figure 1. Examples of portions of a $^1$H-NMR spectra of red tomato fruit extract from a representative $P_{35}$::$SIPPC2^{AS}$ transgenic plant. The spectral region, with proton resonances corresponds to: Phenolics region (9.2-5.5 ppm); sugars region (5.5-3 ppm); amino acids region (3-0.8 ppm). Resonances are annotated according to Table 1. Bucket positions are indicated by bars (asn, asparagine; asp, aspartic acid; glu, glutamic acid; gln, glutamine; phe, phenylalanine; pyroglu, pyroglutamic acid; suc, sucrose; trigo, trigonelline; tyr, tyrosine; X, unknown metabolite).
to minimize the influence of baseline deviations and noise, all variables (bucket table columns) were normalized by scaling to unit variance in order to limit the influence of the major sugars. Multivariate techniques such as PCA are routinely used to identify groupings, trends, and outliers within NMR data sets (Trygg et al., 2007). The interpretation of PC loadings has already been well described (Defernez and Colquhoun, 2003). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS software was used to compare the means of the 94 buckets in 16 samples for the P35S: SippC2AS set and 71 buckets in 40 samples for the P35S-SIMLSRNAi set. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to compare 1H-NMR relative quantification data and targeted absolute quantification data from
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**Figure 1.** Contd.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The tomato Slppc2 and SIMLs genes encode enzymes of central metabolism

Besides the small gene family encoding phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) in tomato, the Slppc2 gene encodes a fruit-specific phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase or PEPC (Guillet et al., 2002). One of the functions fulfilled by non-photosynthetic PEPCs is the replenishment of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle) with oxaloacetate by catalyzing the PEP to oxaloacetate conversion (Chollet et al., 1996; O’Leary et al., 2011). PEPC appears therefore as a key enzyme in the synthesis of malic and citric acids, the two major organic acids accumulated in tomato. A possible role of the Slppc2-mediated organic acid synthesis is to sustain osmotic potential to allow rapid fruit cell expansion (Guillet et al., 2002; O’Leary et al., 2011).

The occurrence of malate synthase (MLs) was also investigated by Nieri et al. (1997) in different tissues of tomato plants (leaves, fruits, roots and flowers). In banana, MLs gene was expressed specifically in ripening fruit tissue and transcripts were not detected in the other organs (roots, leaves, ovary, male flower…) and in fruit at different stages of development (Pua et al., 2003). Malate synthase is responsible for malic acid synthesis in the glyoxylate cycle, whose function is to convert stored lipids to carbohydrates by catalysing the glyoxylate condensation reaction with acetyl-CoA in the peroxisome (Cornah et al., 2004). The glyoxylate cycle plays an anaplerotic role to replenish the intermediates, for example succinate, of the TCA cycle, which is the major cellular machinery for oxidative metabolism and energy production (Graham et al., 1994; Eastmond and Graham, 2001). The functional glyoxylate cycle may therefore play an anaplerotic and gluconeogenetic role responsible for the accumulation of sugars and organic acids in the fruit.

Silencing the Slppc2 encoding PEP carboxylase or the SIMLs encoding malate synthase in tomato by antisense or RNAi strategy may therefore result in alterations in fruit composition, especially in sugar and organic acid contents. Besides the characterization of transgenic lines at the molecular level (the measurement of Slppc2 and SIMLs transcript abundance) and by visual phenotypic characterization (their fruit size or shape), their metabolomics characterization through a fast and discriminating method appears as a prerequisite for further understanding of the functional role of Slppc2 and SIMLs in the fruit.

Estimation of the variation of metabolite levels from $^1$H-NMR spectra of methanol-d$_4$ extract of tomato fruit: Validation by quantitative methods

In the past, our laboratory tested and validated a metabolic profiling method by 1D $^1$H-NMR where soluble sugars, organic acids, amino acids and some secondary metabolites of plant organs were extracted with ethanol/water series to allow absolute quantification (Moing et al., 2004). The major benefits of this protocol where $^1$H-NMR absolute quantification is performed with a synthetic electronic reference signal, were high correlations between $^1$H-NMR data and enzymatic or HPLC data. However, this protocol requires a lot of time to prepare extracts (four days). Here, our aim was to test a new protocol with shorter time to prepare plant extracts and allow plant screening at biochemical level. Generally, a wide range of primary metabolites (except lipids) in any type of biological material is hydrophilic. Thus, a methanolic extraction protocol is commonly used to extract plant metabolites (Le Gall et al., 2003; Gromova and Roby, 2010). The use of deuterated solvent spares time by avoiding the step of extract lyophilization and thus simplifies and speeds up the extract preparation process. Figure 1 shows a representative $^1$H-NMR spectrum from methanol-d$_4$: buffered solution extract of red tomato fruit from P$_{ppc2}$:SlPPC2$^{AS}$ transgenic plants. For the bucket integration, the exploited region comprised between 9.2 and 0.8 ppm, which corresponds to the phenolic/aromatic region (9.2-5.5 ppm), the sugar region (5.5-3 ppm) and the amino acid region (3-0.8 ppm). This region was partitioned into 94 variable-size buckets in order to fit to known metabolite signals. For citrate, glutamate and glutamine, signals were compiled into broader buckets to take into account limited uncontrolled variations of chemical shifts related to the composition of the complex extract (pH, ionic force, and metal composition). 31 buckets were assigned to known metabolites including 21 buckets with resonance allowing the identification of three sugars, 14 amino acids, and three organic acids including citrate and malate and one quaternary amine (Table 1).

In our experimental procedure designed to allow a rapid analysis of plant tissue composition, a double methanol-d$_4$ extraction followed by $^1$H-NMR analysis and data reduction using bucketing were performed. In order to validate this protocol, we checked if the relative changes of selected $^1$H-NMR bucket spectra regions (normalized to total intensity) could be quantitatively related to metabolite absolute concentration measured with targeted methods on ethanolic extract on the same samples. For this purpose, the contents of major primary metabolites in tomato fruit were determined by standard quantitative methods such as enzymatically for fructose and glucose, by anion exchange HPLC coupled with conductivity detection for malate and citrate and by cation exchange HPLC coupled with fluorescence detection for amino acids (Table 2) for the P$_{ppc2}$:SlPPC2$^{AS}$ set. Glucose and fructose concentrations ranged from 790 to 1083 and 1014 to 1213 µmole.g-1 DW, respectively. The malate and citrate concentrations ranged from 49 to 81 and 183 to 293 µmole.g-1 DW respectively. The individual amino acid concentrations ranged from 8 to
Table 1. Buckets containing identified resonance of compounds from 1-D $^1$H-NMR spectra of red tomato fruit extracts ($P_{35}:SI	ext{PPC2}^{AG}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buckets $^a$</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Chemical shift$^b$ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>$C_3H_3$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.93 / 2.97</td>
<td>Asparagine</td>
<td>$C_3H_2$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.80 / 2.84</td>
<td>Aspartate</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} (C_3H_2)$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>Citrate(+malate)</td>
<td>$C_3H_2 + C_4H_2$</td>
<td>dd</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.08 / 4.02 / 3.95</td>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>$\alpha(C3H +$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.02 / 2.32 / 1.93</td>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>$C_4H_2 + C_5H$</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>$C_6H_2$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha C_7H$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.05 / 2.15</td>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td>$\beta C_7H$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>Glutamine</td>
<td>$C_6H_2$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>$C_6H_2$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>$C_6H_3$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>$C_6H_3$</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>$C_6H_2 + C_6H_3$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>Malate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>dd</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>$C_6H$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>Pyroglutamate</td>
<td>$C_8H + C_6H + C_7H$</td>
<td>dd</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.41 / 4.22</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>$C_6H$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>Glucopyranosyl-$C_1$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.15 / 4.65</td>
<td>Trigonelline</td>
<td>$C_6H_3$</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>9.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
<td>$C_6H$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>6.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>$C_6H_2$</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$C_6H_3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Chemical shifts used for metabolite identification were determined at pH 6 in D$_2$O and were expressed as relative value to that of TSP at 0 ppm. Groups are indicated according to Fan (1996) and Le Gall et al. (2003). d, doublet; dd doublet of doublet; m, multiplet; s, singlet; t, triplet. $^b$ Value corresponds to the middle of the bucket which has been focused on signal regions of identified metabolites described. Buckets indicated in italic are used for the correlation study.

Table 2. Concentration (μmol·g$^{-1}$ DW) of ten metabolites quantified with standard quantification methods (hot ethanol/water extractions, HPLC-DA or enzymatic analyses) in pericarp extracts of red ripe tomato flesh from six $P_{35}:SI	ext{PPC2}^{AG}$ lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Ppc2_1</th>
<th>Ppc2_2</th>
<th>Ppc2_3</th>
<th>Ppc2_4</th>
<th>Ppc2_5</th>
<th>Ppc2_6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>840±4</td>
<td>1030±26</td>
<td>790±17</td>
<td>1083±169</td>
<td>935±105</td>
<td>933±94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>1053±*</td>
<td>1185±69</td>
<td>1014±53</td>
<td>1213±165</td>
<td>1142±71</td>
<td>1073±104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malate</td>
<td>72±*</td>
<td>57±10</td>
<td>49±5</td>
<td>74±22</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>81±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate</td>
<td>213±*</td>
<td>224±27</td>
<td>183±18</td>
<td>293±23</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>191±40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td>147±*</td>
<td>224±27</td>
<td>296±54</td>
<td>243±57</td>
<td>235±28</td>
<td>157±32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine</td>
<td>87±*</td>
<td>87±18</td>
<td>132±38</td>
<td>91±43</td>
<td>148±18</td>
<td>65±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate</td>
<td>73±*</td>
<td>69±7</td>
<td>103±17</td>
<td>84±22</td>
<td>79±14</td>
<td>62±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagine</td>
<td>40±*</td>
<td>22±3</td>
<td>43±10</td>
<td>24±12</td>
<td>38±6</td>
<td>25±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>10±*</td>
<td>9±0</td>
<td>11±1</td>
<td>8±2</td>
<td>12±3</td>
<td>9±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>26±*</td>
<td>35±5</td>
<td>33±14</td>
<td>23±1</td>
<td>33±2</td>
<td>27±7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean metabolite concentration (μmol·g$^{-1}$ DW) ± standard deviation with n=2 to 3 (Na, data non determined; * one measurement).

296 μmole·g$^{-1}$ DW; the major amino acid being glutamate followed by glutamine, aspartate, asparagine and GABA. Then, we studied the relationship between the relative bucket areas (Figure 2) and the absolute amount of these seven major metabolites (Table 2) using correlation coefficients (Table 3). The linear correlation coefficients were significant (P < 0.05) for five compounds: superior to 0.9 for glucose, between 0.6
and 0.8 for aspartate, asparagine and GABA. ¹H-NMR relative bucket areas and HPLC absolute concentration data did not correlate significantly for malate and fructose. In a previous study using a single-step extraction of red tomato fruit with a mixture of 70% methanol-d₄/30% deuterated solvent, the resonances corresponding to the malate were closed to the noise level (Le Gall et al., 2003). In our experiments, the malate signal

**Figure 2.** Major sugars, organic acids and amino acids composition of pericarp extracts of red fruit flesh of 16 P₃₅: SIPP2AS tomato plants are estimated by ¹H-NMR fingerprinting. Values are given in ¹H-NMR signal intensity in arbitrary unit (A.U.) as mean ± standard deviation with n = 2 to 5 (* indicates significantly different at P < 0.05 level).
Table 3. Correlation coefficients between relative bucket intensities calculated from $^1$H-NMR spectra and absolute concentrations calculated from enzymatic or HPLC analyses of red tomato fruit extracts from the $P_{35S}$:SIPPC2 transgenic plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^1$H-NMR</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Fructose</th>
<th>Malate</th>
<th>Glutamate</th>
<th>GABA</th>
<th>Asparagine</th>
<th>Aspartate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.9*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson correlations ($r$) were calculated from 14 fruit samples for sugars, 11 fruit samples for organic acids and 15 fruit samples for amino acids. *indicates $P<0.05$.

was consequent certainly due to the addition of a second extraction step with buffer solution.

The absence of correlation between $^1$H NMR signal intensities and concentrations calculated from HPLC analyses is probably due to the long relaxation times of methyne and methylene groups of this compound (del Campo et al, 2006, reported 1.5 s for methyl group of malic acid in water at pH 1), thus by using a relaxation delay of
Multivariate analysis of ¹H-NMR spectra for detection of alterations of metabolome in tomato associated with genetic transformation

In this study, the transgenic line selection was performed among 16 plants (T1 generation) from 6 transgenic lines issued from independent transgenic events by using PCA data reduction technique on the fingerprints of tomato fruit extracts (Figure 3 and 4). For P₃₅S:SlPPC2AS transgenic plants, an 8-component model accounted for 98% of total variability (78% for the first three components). Examination of the scores plot (Figure 3A) allowed distinguishing three groups of transgenic lines (Ppc2_1, Ppc2_2 and Ppc2_3). The last ones were separated along the first principal component (PC1) explaining 56% of the total variability with Ppc2_2 on the positive side and Ppc2_3 on the negative side of PC1. Analysis of the loadings (Figure 3B) indicated that sugars but also amino acids and unknown metabolites contributed predominantly to this separation: glucose and unknown metabolites (3.45 and 3.81 ppm buckets) on the positive side and amino acids (glutamate, aspartate, alanine, valine...) and unknown metabolites (6.79 and 6.96 ppm buckets) on the negative side. We also noticed a considerable biochemical variation between plants from the same transgenic line (especially from Ppc2_4, Ppc2_5, and Ppc2_6) along PC1. Among the 94 studied variables from the ¹H-NMR fingerprints of the corresponding pericarp deuterated extracts, the relative quantification of 14 identified individual polar compounds could be estimated (Figure 2). An analysis of variance was performed on the buckets corresponding to these 14 individual compounds to determine whether significant changes in levels of metabolites could be identified between the 16 fruit extracts. We noticed no significant modification of the soluble sugars levels (Figure 2A) and organic acids levels (Figure 2B and 2C) in the pericarp extracts of red tomato fruit. However, weak but significant modifications of glutamate (Figure 2D) and aspartate levels (Figure 2F) were observed (P < 0.05). Line Ppc2_3 exhibited higher levels than Ppc2_1 and Ppc2_2. In transgenic plants P₃₅S:SlPPC2AS (primary transgenic plants or T0 and T1 progeny), growth phenotype, fruit development and ripening were similar under greenhouse condition (data not shown). The maintenance of the plant growth was also observed in transgenic potato plant expressing antisens Solanum tuberosum ppc gene (Gehlen et al., 1996).

All studies on PEPC have established its fundamental role in the initial fixation of atmospheric C02 during C4 and CAM photosynthesis in plant. However, PEPC also has diverse non-photosynthetic metabolic functions as recently reviewed (O'Leary, 2011), including for fruit development and ripening. A fruit-specific PEPC has been identified in tomato (Guillet et al., 2002) and has been physico and kinetically characterized in orange (Perotti et al., 2010). To our knowledge, our study is the first study of antisens plants for the fruit-specific PEPC. The genetic transformation resulted in weak but significantly changes of glutamate and aspartate levels between the transgenic lines. Amino acid levels were higher in the line Ppc2_3 and, the most affected. This result is in agreement with those obtained in ripening banana, suggesting that PEPC plays an anaplerotic role to replenish the TCA cycle carbon skeletons (Law and Plaxton 1997). Several attempts to overproduce PEPC in the leaves of C3 plants also showed an increase of anaplerotic provision of carbon skeletons for amino acids biosynthesis (Rademacher et al., 2002; Miyao and Fukayama, 2003). In siliques from Arabidopsis plants transformed with a Sorghum C4 PEPC, expressed under the constitutive control of the CaMV 35S promoter, Lebouteiller et al. (2007) noticed that a decrease in PEPC content and activity correlated with a decrease in glutamine level. The mechanisms apply to fruit-specific PEPC remain to be determined.

We were able to perform an early screening using ¹H-NMR metabolomic profiles of methanol-d₄ D₂O buffer extracts of fruit. This allowed selecting three lines of P₃₅S:SlPPC2AS (Ppc2_1, Ppc2_2 and Ppc2_3) for further characterization, on the basis of their separation into three distinctive groups with PCA based on their amino acid levels and their lowest biological variability.

For P₃₅S:SlMLSRNAi transgenic plants, the ¹H-NMR spectra region between 9.20 and 1.05 ppm of chemical shift was exploited and the experiment set contained 70 buckets including 22 buckets assigned to the resonance from a single metabolite, seven buckets with more than one metabolite assigned and 41 unknown ones. A 14-component model accounted for 95% of total variability, with PC1 and PC2 explaining 25 and 18% of the variability, respectively. Examination of the scores plot (Fig 4) did not allow distinguishing any transgenic line different from the wild type. Rapidly, we have concluded that no individual of this experiment presented a biochemical phenotype significantly affected by the
Figure 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) for P35::SlPPC2ΔSl samples set containing 94 variable size buckets from 1-D 1H-NMR fingerprinting of 16 red tomato fruit extracts (n = 2 to 4). (A) Scores plot (PC 1 vs PC 3) and (B) Loadings plot (PC 1 vs PC 3). Gluc a, alpha glucose; Gluc b, beta glucose.

transgenic event compared to the wild type. These results are consistent with observations on knock-out mls mutants in Arabidopsis. Indeed mls mutant seedling differing relatively little from wild type (Cornah et al., 2004). They reinforce the conclusion of these authors that MLs in plant is partially dispensable for neoglucogenesis, in contrast to bacteria and fungi in which MLs is essential for gluconeogenesis from acetate or fatty acids.

The attempt to modify the metabolism of malate via PEPC or MLs pathways during ripening in tomato fruit by reverse genetics strategies (antisens or RNAi) raises
numerous questions. The relative importance of the different pathways in the metabolism and regulation of organic acids in fruit is still poorly understood (Sweetman et al., 2009).

### Conclusion

**A rapid and robust method for screening of transgenic plants in early generation**

The interest of this work was to propose a high-throughput procedure to analyze the metabolome of fruit with relatively few detectable, but potentially important differences in the levels of primary metabolites in transgenic plants. 

The procedure described here allowed a rapid biochemical phenotyping in three fast steps:

(i) sample extraction by using a buffered methanol-\(d_4\) extraction to avoid the drying step and ensure pH extract stability;
(ii) \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum acquisition with a relatively short relaxation delay compatible with a relative quantification analysis (a RD of 3 s is recommended);
(iii) data mining customized according to the tissue composition and uncontrolled chemical shift variation (variable-size bucketing).

This procedure enabled to screen about 100 samples in 36 h from extraction step to data mining, thus resulting in the selection of phenotype of interest among 130 transgenic lines. Applied to two sets of transgenic lines without any apparent phenotypes, this procedure allowed selecting three transgenic lines on the basis of the modification of their metabolic profile for the \(P_{35S}:SIMLS^{AS}\) transgenic plants, and revealed that tomato fruit composition was not affected for the \(P_{35S}:SIMLS^{RNAi}\) transgenic plants. These results allowed us to save time, because the first generations of the transgenic plants were quickly removed from the experimental design of biological characterization.

By answering to the demand for timely information compounds, this procedure holds undeniable promise for a rapid and precocious screening of a large sample set of mutants, transgenic plants and/or growth conditions. It represents also the first step towards the identification of biological mechanisms related to transgenic or environmental events.
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