Full Length Research Paper

Effects of elemental sulfur and sulfur-containing waste on nutrient concentrations and growth of bean and corn plants grown on a calcareous soil

Muharrem Kaya¹, Zeliha Küçükyumuk² and Ibrahim Erdal^{2*}

¹Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops. Turkey. ²Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Turkey.

Accepted 13 August, 2009

This study was carried out to investigate the effects of elemental sulfur (S) and S-containing waste from an S factory on nutrient concentrations and growth of bean and corn plants. Therefore, elemental S and S containing waste corresponding to 40, 80 and 120 kg S da⁻¹ were applied to pots. Each plant was grown twice on the same soils to determine initial and residual effects of applied S. Results showed that applications of elemental S and S-containing waste resulted in a decrease of soil pH, but general increase in nutrient concentrations for both plants and increase in residual available nutrient concentration in the experimental soils. In addition, it was found that S-containing waste could be used as an alternative to elemental S for improvement of plant nutrition in calcareous soils.

Key words: Calcareous soil, plant growth, plant nutrition, sulfur application.

INTRODUCTION

Low availability of some nutrients rather than low nutrient content is one of the major factors for the widespread occurrence of plant nutrient deficiency in soils. There are numerous soil factors affecting plants nutrient uptake from soil. Among them, high pH and CaCO₃ levels are predominantly responsible for low availability of other plant nutrients. In addition, other factors such as genotypic differences affect the plant growth. Plant species as well as varieties grown under the same conditions show different responses to nutrient and nutrient concentrations (Marschner, 1995). Under unfavorable soil conditions such as high pH and CaCO₃, soil fertilizer applications may not solve the nutrient problem. Therefore, some additional precautions should be exercised to improve the nutrient uptake in these conditions.

Most of the agricultural land of Turkey contains relatively high amounts of $CaCO_3$ and low organic matter resulting in high pH soils. Eyuboglu (1999) reported that approximately 59% of Turkish soils contained more than 5% $CaCO_3$ and over 63% of the soils had a pH higher than 7.5. In another study, it was found that 140 soil

samples taken from 0 - 20 cm depth in the province of Isparta had $CaCO_3$ content 25% higher than the average and more than 91% of soils were slightly alkaline (Erdal et al., 2004).

Nutrient availability of soils can be increased by application of elemental sulfur (S). Thus, there is growing interest in S applications to improve solubility of nutrients and overcome nutrient deficiencies in both alkaline and calcareous soils (Gupta and Mehla, 1980; Dawood et al., 1985; Neilsen et al., 1993). The oxidation of S into H₂SO₄ is especially beneficial for alkaline soils for increasing nutrient availability by reducing pH (Burns, 1967). Because of the high cost and adverse effects of commercial fertilizers, use of natural sources and some organic and inorganic wastes as soil amender and fertilizer has recently gained importance in agricultural production (Bender et al., 1998; Erdal and Tarakcioglu, 2000; Atilgan et al., 2008). In a study, designed to investtigate the possible use of different mixtures of converter sludge, a by-product of steel factory, as an iron fertilizer and amendment in some calcareous soils, it was found that mixtures containing elemental S significantly decreased the pH of soil (Abbaspour et al., 2004). In a pot experiment, soil pH was significantly reduced as a result of applications of S alone and together with N increased

^{*}Corresponding author: ierdal@ziraat.sdu.edu.tr.

the availability of micronutrients as well as plant dry matter yield (Soliman et al., 1992). Erdal et al., (2000) indicated that while soil pH decreased by 0.11 - 0.37 unit, plant dry weight and phosphorus concentration and uptake were increased with the application of sulfur. In other studies conducted with different soils and plants, dry matter production, nutrient concentrations and plant nutrient uptake, chlorophyll concentrations, nodule formations on roots were increased by S applications (Kaplan and Orman, 1998; Erdal et al., 2004).

There are many functions of sulfur in plants. It is a constituent of the amino acids cysteine and methionine and hence part of proteins. It also plays an important role in the synthesis of vitamins and chlorophyll in the cell (Marschner, 1995; Kacar and Katkat, 2007). As a result of S deficiency, plants show retarded growth and reduction in yield and quality. The primary objective of this study was to determine the effect of elemental S and S containing waste on growth and mineral uptake of plants grown in a calcareous soil. In addition, the potential use of S containing waste was investigated as an alternative to elemental S applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pot experiments were carried out under greenhouse conditions at soil science and plant nutrition department, Suleyman Demirel university, Isparta, Turkey. The soil used for experiment was clay loam having 8.1 pH (1:2.5 soil-water suspension), 25.5% CaCO₃ (using calcimetric method), 1.9% organic matter (determined by Walkley and Black method), 50 kg/ha 0.5 M NaHCO extractable P (measured by Olsen's method) and 500 kg/ha 1 N NH₄NOAc exchangeable K (determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer method). The available Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn as determined by DTPA extraction using atomic absorption spectrophotometer were 3.1, 1.0, 0.37 and 3.0 mgkg⁻¹, respectively.

In the experiment, 10 seeds of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* cv. Şehirali-90) and corn (Zea mays cv. TTM-815) were sown separately into pots containing 2 kg soil. After emergence of seedling, five homogeneous plants were left in each pot. Experiment was conducted according factorial design with three replications. Four different levels of S (40, 80 and 120 kg S da⁻¹) as elemental S (98% S) and sulfur containing waste (19 % S) obtained from Keciborlu sulfur factory (it is not active now) were applied. Estimated amount of waste is over than 1,000000 tons. Some characteristics of S containing waste were as follows; pH: 1.6; CaSO₄ 4.64%: CaO: 2.38% and SiO: 54.1%.

Two experiments were conducted consecutively on the same soils to evaluate primary and residual effects of S and S containing waste. In the initial experiment, 300 ppm N (NH_4NO_3), 100 ppm P (H_3PO_4) and 100 ppm K (KNO_3) were given as basal fertilizer and plants were grown for three months. The first experiment was completed when plants were harvested. In the second experiment, seeds were sown on the same soils in the experiment 1 and the same treatments were applied. Then an additional 200 ppm N and 100 ppm K were applied to the soils and plants were grown for 3 months.

After a three month growth period, plants were cut above the soil and samples were washed with tap water to remove surface residues and soaked in 0.2 N HCl, follow by rinsing with distilled water, after then, samples were dried at 65 °C for 48 h to a constant weight. Dried samples were ground to powder using a mortar and pestle, and stored in polyethylene bottles.

Nitrogen content of samples was determined according to the Kjeldahl method (Bremler, 1965). For this purpose, 0.5 g of the ground samples were digested using by a block digesting system (KB 8 S Kjeldatherm, Gerhardt) in a digesting tube with 6 ml of concentrated H_2SO_4 in the presence of 5 g a catalyst ($K_2SO_4 + CuSO_4$). After 40% NaOH (w/w) was added, the sample was distilled using an automated unit (VAP2O, Gerhardt). The ammonium N was fixed in 2% H and was titrated with 0.1 N H in the presence of an indicator (bromo-cresol green and methyl red in 95% ethanol). To determine the P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Zn and Mn content in plant tissues, 0.5 g ground samples were digested with a microwave digester. The samples were filtered and volume filled up to 100 ml with distilled water. Phosphorus content in the filtrate was determined with a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1208) at 430 nm according to the vanadomolybdophosphoric acid method. The other elements were measured by an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS, Varian AA 240FS) (Kacar and Inal, 2008). To evaluate the effects of sulfur sources on available nutrients in the soil, soil samples were taken from each pot of the second experiment and K, Mg, Ca, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn analyses were done as described by Olsen et al. (1954), Knudsen et al. (1982), Lindsay and Norwell (1978)

Statistical analysis using SAS program was done based on randomized block design.

RESULTS

Nutrient concentrations and dry matter production of bean plant

The effects of different S sources and doses on N, P, K, Ca. Mg and Fe concentrations were given in Table 1. Application of elemental S and S containing waste positively affected nitrogen (N) concentration of bean. As a result, N concentration of plant significantly increased in comparison with the control without S application (-S) in both experiments. N concentration of bean plants in the S waste applied pots was higher than that of elemental S applied pots. In the first experiment, P concentration of bean decreased with application of S from both sources as compared to the control. However, concentrations of P enhanced regularly with applications of elemental and waste S in the second experiment. On the other hand, applications of increasing levels of S from both sources did not show any significant effect on plant K concentrations in neither of the experiments. Nevertheless, mean values indicated that K levels of plants were slightly higher in the second experiment comparing to first experiment. Even though calcium (Ca) levels of bean varied in each experiment, the average Ca concentrations of plants gradually increased with applications of increasing S levels in both experiments. Plant Ca levels in the second experiment were significantly higher in comparison with the first experiment. In terms of magnesium (Mg) concentration of plant, it was found that there was not significant difference between different S sources and doses in the first experiment. The level of Mg in plants decreased at higher levels of elemental S applications, but increased with the application of S containing waste

S	S Doses	N (%)			P (%)				
Sources	kg da ⁻¹	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean		
_	Control	3.94	3.13	3.54	0.34	0.31	0.33		
nta	40	4.08	3.83	3.96	0.27	0.53	0.40		
me	80	4.39	4.17	4.28	0.28	0.48	0.38		
Ele	120	4.48	4.48	4.48	0.24	0.50	0.37		
Mean		4.22	3.90		0.28	0.46			
	Control	4.05	3.22	3.63	0.29	0.28	0.29		
A 1	40	4.17	4.29	4.23	0.25	0.12	0.18		
Ifur	80	4.34	4.81	4.57	0.20	0.30	0.25		
Wa Su	120	4.54	5.13	4.84	0.17	0.62	0.39		
Mean		4.27	4.36		0.23	0.33			
		LSD _(0.05) Exp: ExSxD: 0.16	0.057; S: 0.057	'; D: 0.08;	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$				
S	S Doses		K (%)			Ca (%)			
Sources	kg da⁻'	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean		
_	Control	1.71	1.80	1.76	1.64	1.38	1.51		
ementa Ifur	40	1.38	1.63	1.51	1.51	1.76	1.64		
	80	1.57	1.67	1.62	1.64	1.95	1.79		
Ele Su	120	1.87	1.79	1.83	1.79	1.92	1.86		
Mean		1.63	1.72		1.64	1.75			
	Control	1.73	1.78	1.76	1.69	1.45	1.57		
aste Ilfur	40	1.69	1.57	1.63	1.45	1.61	1.53		
	80	1.54	1.74	1.64	1.52	1.84	1.68		
Su Su	120	1.67	1.83	1.75	1.84	1.9	1.87		
Mean		1.66 1.73			1.63	1.63 1.70			
		LSD _(0.05) Exp:	0.076; D: 0.10	8; ExSxD:	LSD _(0.05) Exp: 0.083; D: 0.117; ExD: 0.165				
S	S Doses kg da ⁻¹		Mg (%)			Fe (ppm)			
Sources		Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean		
a	Control	0.24	0.27	0.26	267.0	142.0	204.5		
len i	40	0.24	0.27	0.26	238.7	146.3	192.5		
en	80	0.24	0.23	0.24	294.7	165.7	230.2		
ШØ	120	0.24	0.21	0.23	2/3./	182.3	228.0		
Mean		0.24	0.25		268.5	159.1			
۵ ۲	Control	0.24	0.28	0.26	271.6	134.3	203.0		
	40	0.24	0.26	0.25	269.0	134.7	201.8		
ast	80	0.24	0.30	0.27	353.6	124.0	238.8		
su Su	120	0.25	0.33	0.29	280.0	128.0	204.0		
Mean		0.24	0.29		293.6	130.3			
		LSD _(0.05) Exp: 0	.017; S: 0.017; E	xS: 0.024	LSD _(0.05) Exp: 5.221; D: 7.384; ExSxD:				

Table 1. Effects of elemental S and S-containing waste on N, P, K, Ca, Mg and Fe concentrations of bean plant.

Exp = Experiment; S = sulfur sources; D = doses.

average values of two experiments showed better results in S containing waste applications. The first experiment's results showed that Fe concentration increased with both elemental and S containing waste applications except for 120 kg da⁻¹ S doses. While Fe concentrations of plants increased with elemental S applications, it decreased with application of S containing waste in the second experiment.

Plant Cu, Mn and Zn concentrations and dry matter production are given in Table 2. Increasing levels of both elemental and waste sulfur applications significantly increased the mean values of copper (Cu) concentrations

	S Doses		Cu (ppm)		Mn (ppm)			
S sources	kg da⁻¹	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	
	Control	2.81	3.00	2.91	28.9	28.3	28.6	
mental fur	40	3.71	5.03	4.37	22.7	78.3	50.5	
	80	5.33	33 4.47 4.9		20.3	80.0	50.2	
Ele Sul	120	5.70	'0 4.90 5.30		18.7	79.0	48.9	
Mean	4.39 4.35			22.7	66.4			
Ifur	Control	3.1	2.78	2.94	31.0	30.4	30.7	
Sul	40	2.72	3.77	3.25	27.6	52.8	40.2	
ste	80	2.92	4.17	3.55	27.8	63.4	45.6	
Wa	120	2.93	4.37	3.65	22.7	72.3	47.5	
Mean		2.92 3.77			27.3	54.7		
		LSD _(0.05) Exp: 0.913; S: 0.913; D: 1.291; ExSxD: 2.582			LSD _(0.05) Exp: 0.382; S: 0.382; ExS: 0.541; SxD: 0.764			
S sources	S Doses	Zn (ppm)			Dry Matter (g pot ⁻¹)			
	kg da⁻¹	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	
_	Control	28.8	26.9	27.9	3.13	3.55	3.34	
nta	40	65.4	36.1	50.8	3.49	3.65	3.57	
lfur	80	78.8	37.9	58.4	4.46	3.95	4.21	
Ele	120	78.1	37.1	57.6	4.46	4.12	4.29	
Mean		62.8	34.5		3.89	3.82		
lfur	Control	29.1	28.7	28.9	3.44	3.26	3.35	
Su	40	61.3	42	51.7	2.59	3.69	3.14	
iste	80	69.4	47.7	58.6	2.36	3.43	2.90	
Ma	120	78.5	46.3	62.4	2.47	4.05	3.26	
Mean		72.8	38.7		2.72	3.61		
		LSD _(0.05) Exp: ExSxD: 4.000	1.414; S: 1.414;	D: 2.0;	LSD _(0.05) Exp: 0.049; S: 0.049; D: 0.070; ExSxD: 0.139			

Table 2. Effects of elemental S and S-containing waste on Cu, Mn, Zn concentrations and dry matter production of bean plant.

Exp = Experiment; S = sulfur sources; D = doses.

of plants. The rate of increase was higher in elemental S applied plants than that of waste containing S applied plants. The mean values of two experiments showed that Cu concentrations of bean rose with applications of both elemental S and S containing waste. Elemental S application had more positive effect on plant Cu concentration when compared to waste S source. Interesting results were found in terms of Mn between the first and the second experiments. While manganese (Mn) concentrations of bean in the first experiment reduced with both S resources, in the second experiment, Mn concentrations increased significantly comparing to the control. Sulfur applications resulted in Zn increase in bean in individual doses as well as the mean values of both experiments. Application of elemental S had positive effect on dry matter production of bean for both experiments and these results affected the means positively. Even though

S-containing waste had a positive effect on dry matter production in the second experiment, it had a negative effect in the first experiment and showed adverse effect on the mean values of dry matter production.

Nutrient concentrations and dry matter production of corn plant

Variation in nutrient concentrations depending on S doses from different sources is given in Table 3. Corn N concentration was significantly increased with S doses in 2 continuing experiments individually and their means. Both sources of S showed similar effects on plant N concentrations. Concentration of P from elemental S applied corn plants did not change in 2 experiments but quite significant differences in P concentrations of S containing

sources kg da ⁻¹ Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2 I Image: Sources Control 3.16 2.99 3.08 0.23 0.23 0.23 Image: Sources Kg da ⁻¹ 3.16 2.99 3.08 0.23 0.23 0.24 0.28 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.56 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.56 0.23 0.64 </th <th>Mean 0.23 0.24 0.25 0.28 0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44</th>	Mean 0.23 0.24 0.25 0.28 0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44			
Control 3.16 2.99 3.08 0.23 0.23 40 3.21 3.31 3.26 0.24 0.24 80 3.47 4.25 3.86 0.25 0.24 120 3.44 4.67 4.06 0.28 0.28 Mean 3.32 3.81 0.25 0.25 0.25 120 3.44 4.67 4.06 0.28 0.28 Mean 3.32 3.81 0.25 0.25 0.25 120 3.44 4.67 4.06 0.23 0.23 40 3.32 3.81 0.25 0.52 0.52 98 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 120 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 0.49 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExS: 0.027 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0.027 S S Doses	0.23 0.24 0.25 0.28 0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44 0.014;			
Image: Section 120 40 3.21 3.31 3.26 0.24 0.24 80 3.47 4.25 3.86 0.25 0.24 120 3.44 4.67 4.06 0.28 0.28 Mean 3.32 3.81 0.25 0.25 120 3.20 2.83 3.02 0.23 0.23 40 3.33 3.36 3.35 0.25 0.52 9 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 9 80 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 0.49 120 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 0.49 LSD(0.05) Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExS: 0.027 LSD(0.05) Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0 Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Sources K (%) Ca (%) Experiment 2 Maan Experiment 1 Experiment 2	0.24 0.25 0.28 0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44			
\tilde{g}	0.25 0.28 0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44 0.014;			
	0.28 0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44 0.014;			
Mean 3.32 3.81 0.25 0.25 \mathbf{y} Control 3.20 2.83 3.02 0.23 0.23 \mathbf{y} 40 3.33 3.36 3.35 0.25 0.52 \mathbf{y} 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 \mathbf{y} 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 \mathbf{y} 120 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 0.49 $\mathbf{LSD}_{(0.05)}$ $\mathbf{Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExS: 0.010; S: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0.027$ $\mathbf{Exp: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0.027$ \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Ca} (%) \mathbf{Ca} (%) \mathbf{E}	0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44 0.014;			
Jacobia Control 3.20 2.83 3.02 0.23 0.23 40 3.33 3.36 3.35 0.25 0.52 9 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 120 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExD:0.114 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0 ExSxD: 0.027 Sources S Doses kg da ⁻¹ K (%) Ca (%)	0.23 0.39 0.40 0.44 0.014;			
\overline{S} 40 3.33 3.36 3.35 0.25 0.52 \overline{S} 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 120 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 $LSD_{(0.05)}$ Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExD:0.114 $LSD_{(0.05)}$ Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0 S S Doses kg da ⁻¹ K (%) Ca (%)	0.39 0.40 0.44 0.014;			
9 80 3.54 4.35 3.95 0.23 0.56 120 3.67 4.64 4.16 0.23 0.64 Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExD:0.114 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0 ExSxD: 0.027 S S Doses kg da ⁻¹ K (%) Ca (%) Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2	0.40 0.44 0.014;			
Sources S Doses kg da ⁻¹ K (%) Ca (%) Sources S Doses kg da ⁻¹ Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean S mean	0.44			
Mean 3.44 3.80 0.24 0.49 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExD:0.114 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0 ExSxD: 0.027 S S Doses kg da ⁻¹ K (%) Ca (%) Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2	0.014;			
LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.057; D: 0.081; ExS: 0.081; ExD:0.114 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.010; S: 0.010; D: 0 ExSxD: 0.027 S S Doses kg da ⁻¹ K (%) Ca (%) Sources Kg da ⁻¹ Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2	0.014;			
S S Doses K (%) Ca (%) sources kg da ⁻¹ Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2				
sources kg da Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2	Ca (%)			
	Mean			
Control 3.81 3.49 3.65 0.49 0.51	0.50			
ឆ្ 40 3.86 3.42 3.64 0.74 0.68	0.71			
e j 80 3.80 3.40 3.60 0.81 0.83	0.82			
<u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u>	0.87			
Mean 3.79 3.44 0.73 0.72				
j Control 3.74 3.65 3.70 0.54 0.61	0.58			
3 .69 3 .69 0.77 0.72	0.75			
9 80 3.70 3.73 3.72 0.81 0.79	0.80			
Š 120 3.84 3.78 3.81 0.86 0.78	0.82			
Mean 3.74 3.71 0.75 0.73				
LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.097; S: 0.097; D: 0.138; LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.048; S: 0.048; D: 0 ExSxD: 0.275 ExS: 0.068	LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.048; S: 0.048; D: 0.068; ExS: 0.068			
S S Doses Mg (%) Fe (ppm)	Fe (ppm)			
sources Kg da Experiment 1 Experiment 2 Mean Experiment 1 Experiment 2	Mean			
Control 0.23 0.22 0.22 134.1 128.6	131.4			
E 40 0.23 0.22 0.23 186.3 136.3	161.3			
e j 80 0.24 0.23 0.23 207.7 140.7	174.2			
<u><u><u></u><u></u></u></u> <u></u>	191.6			
Mean 0.24 0.24 190.9 138.4				
Let Control 0.23 0.21 0.22 127.7 115.7	121.7			
5 40 0.23 0.24 0.23 174.3 86.3	130.3			
9 80 0.23 0.23 183.2 82.3	132.8			
№ 120 0.24 0.25 0.24 204.1 76.0	140.1			
Mean 0.23 0.23 172.3 90.1				
LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0.008; D: 0.011; ExD: 0.015 LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 2.958; S: 2.958; D: 4 ExSxD: 8.367	LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 2.958; S: 2.958; D: 4.183; ExSxD: 8.367			

Table 3. Effects of elemental S and S-containing waste on N, P, K, Ca, Mg and Fe concentrations of corn plant.

Exp = Experiment; S = sulfur sources; D = doses.

waste applied corn plants were observed between the first and the second experiments. Sulfur doses increased concentrations of P in all corn plants especially, ones taken from the S-containing waste applied pots. While potassium concentrations of plant decreased with elemental S application, it generally increased with application of S-containing waste. In terms of Ca concentrations, guite significant increment was determined in both experiments regardless of the S sources. Looking at average values, plant Mg concentrations slightly increased with S doses and no differences were found between the two experiments in terms of Mg. Elemental and Scontaining waste doses significantly increased Fe concentrations of corn plants especially, in the first experiments. Though there was a slight increase in Fe concentrations of corn plants in the second experiment with elemental S application, S-containing waste application resulted in a decrease in Fe concentration of corn plants comparing to the control plants.

In terms of the mean Fe values of two experiments, plant Fe concentrations significantly increased with application of elemental S and S-containing waste. Increasing levels of both sulfur sources led to an increase of Cu, Mn and Zn concentrations in corn in two experiments as well (Table 4). Generally, concentrations of these nutrients in corn plants from the second term experiment were significantly higher than in plants from the first term experiment. The effects of waste S source on Cu, Mn and Zn concentrations were found to be higher than that of elemental S applications. Dry weight of corn plant did not change significantly with the applications of different levels of S elemental and S-containing waste sources. Based on the mean values, elemental S applications did not have positive effect on plant growth whereas S-containing waste application especially at moderate doses significantly increased plant dry matter production (Table 4).

Soil pH and residual nutrient concentrations of bean and corn soils

The effects of applications of elemental and S-containing waste on soil pH are presented in Table 5. Sulfur applications resulted in decreased in soil pH. While the initial soil pH was 8.12, it was reduced to 7.49 and 7.55 with application of elemental S, and 7.77 and 7.61 with S-containing waste applications at the highest S level (120 kg S da⁻¹).

As seen in Table 6, all residual nutrient concentrations of soils taken from the bean grown pots significantly increased with increasing S doses from both S sources after two harvest except for Cu and K in elemental S application and Cu in S-containing waste application. Concentrations of nutrient available for plants such as N, Fe, Cu, Mn and Ca in corn grown soils after the end of two experiments increased, whereas Mg levels did not change and Zn and K concentrations decreased with the application of elemental S. On the other hand, concentrations of nutrients available for whole plant in soils treated with S-containing waste after the experiments were higher than that of the control soil without S application.

DISCUSSION

Applications of elemental S and S-containing waste on calcareous soil resulted in an increase nutrient concentrations in bean and corn and residual nutrient concentrations in soil after the harvest. There might be numerous factors may influencing the nutrient concentrations in plants. Acidifying effect leading to partial neutralization of CaCO₃ can be one of the most important factors for increased nutrient content. During the oxidation of S, soil pH can be decreased and thus, unavailable form of most nutrients can be changed to available form for plant uptake. As known, most nutrients in the soil are available pH ranging from 6.5 to 7.5 and decrease in pH especially in alkaline soils with high pH, increase the availability of nutrients (Marschner, 1995). The acidifying effect of S has been reported by several authors and similar results were found by Soliman et al. (1992), Neilsen et al. (1993) and Kaplan and Orman (1998). Erdal et al. (2000) reported that application of elemental S to the soil resulted in 0.11 - 0.37 unit decrease in soil pH and the phosphorus uptake of plant and residual P in the soil after the harvest. Results showed that soil pH were decreased by 0.37 and 1.08 units after the application of S containing waste, whereas, soil pH was declined by 0.37 and 0.51 units after the applications of elemental S (Orman and Kaplan, 2000). In another study, elemental S and S-containing waste from an S factory decreased pH from 8.2 to 7.7 and 7.8 respectively and leaf Fe concentration increased similarly with applications of elemental S and S-containing waste depending on decrease in pH (Erdal et al., 2006). Similarly, Kalbasi et al. (1988) reported that applications of S changed pH of the soil or rhizosphere from alkaline to acidic and resulted in increased Fe concentration in plants.

The results of this study showed that nutrient concentrations of bean and corn plants were different from each other in response to applications of both elemental S and S-containing waste. This can be explained with the genotypic differences of plants in terms of nutrient uptake (Marschner, 1995; Kacar and Katkat, 2007).

In summary, both elemental S and S-containing waste had a positive effect on nutrient uptake of plants. It was shown that S containing waste had a significantly higher positive effect on plant nutrition uptake and S containing waste sources can be used instead of elemental S. But decreasing effect of waste sulfur in soil pH should be taken into consideration and amount of waste should be calculated carefully before using especially on soils with low pH.

C Coursee	S Doses		Cu (ppm)		Mn (ppm)			
5 Sources	kg da '	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	
	Control	2.17	2.15	2.2	35.7	41.3	38.5	
Ital	40	2.19	3.46	2.8	27.3	56.4	41.9	
fur	80	3.3	4.91	4.1	20.7	59.7	40.2	
Ele Sul	120	3.24	3.21	3.2	28.3	64.1	46.2	
Mean		2.7	3.4		28.0	55.4		
fur	Control	2.31	2.28	2.3	42.3	44.8	43.6	
Sul	40	3.17	6.14	4.7	24.8	77.3	51.1	
ste	80	3.68	7.73	5.7	22.7	77.7	50.2	
Wa	120	3.61	6.13	4.9	19.9	81.5	50.7	
Mean		3.2	5.6		27.4	70.3		
		LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 0 ExSxD: 0.403	.142; S: 0.142; D	: 0.201;	LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 2.588; S: 2.588; D: 3.660; ExS: 3.660; ExD:5.176; SxD: 5.176			
Seources	S Doses	Zn (ppm)			Dry Matter (g pot ⁻¹)			
0 3001003	kg da '	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Mean	Experiment 2	Experiment 2	Mean	
	Control	34.9	31.3	33.1	15.4	17.8	16.6	
ntal	40	34.2	33.3	33.8	17.5	18.5	18.0	
fur	80	35.3	47.2	41.3	15.1	15.2	15.2	
Ele Sul	120	37.7	52	44.9	15.1	16.0	15.6	
Mean		35.5	41.0		15.8	16.9		
fur	Control	35.7	38.1	36.9	14.8	15.5	15.2	
Sul	40	44.8	41.3	43.1	16.1	15.9	16.0	
ste	80	48.7	41.8	45.3	15.7	18.2	17.0	
Wa	120	46.3	46.8	46.6	13.9	14.3	14.1	
Mean		43.9	42.0		15.1	16.0		
		LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 4.755	1.681; D: 2.377;	ExSxD:	LSD _(0.05) Exp.: 1.	115; S: 1.115		

Table 4. Effects of elemental S and S-containing waste on Cu, Mn, Zn concentrations and dry matter production of corn plant.

Exp = Experiment; S = sulfur sources; D = doses.

C Coursee	S Doses kg	Plants			
5 Sources	da ⁻¹	Bean	Corn		
	Control	8.12	8.12		
tal	40	7.95	7.89		
nen ur	80	7.66	7.74		
Eler	120	7.49	7.55		
ur	Control	8.12	8.12		
Sulf	40	8.00	8.02		
ste (80	7.84	7.89		
Was	120	7.77	7.61		

 Table 5. Effects of elemental S and S-containing waste on soil pH.

	S	Nutrient concentrations							
S	Doses	Ν	К	Mg	Ca	Fe	Cu	Mn	Zn
sources	kg da '	(%)	(mg kg⁻¹)	(mg kg ⁻¹)					
Bean soi	ls								
-	0	0.63	579.0	115.0	3319.0	2.56	2.21	2.56	1.09
ente	40	0.68	590.0	118.5	3517.0	3.09	1.99	2.75	1.05
ifur a	80	0.70	563.0	123.0	4083.0	2.99	2.30	3.40	1.31
Ele Su	120	0.75	576.0	127.7	4190.0	4.26	1.81	3.51	1.38
Mean		0.69	577.0	121.1	3777.3	3.23	2.08	3.06	1.21
	0	0.61	582.0	121.7	3327.0	1.99	2.09	2.52	1.24
A 1	40	0.66	626.0	129.7	3837.0	2.30	2.11	3.54	1.39
aste Ifun	80	0.65	598.0	123.2	3680.0	2.43	2.00	4.19	1.54
Wa Su	120	0.71	613.0	133.7	4031.0	3.00	1.91	4.17	1.96
Mean		0.66	604.8	127.1	3718.8	2.43	2.03	3.61	1.53
		D:	S: 23.0	S: 5.068	D: 285.8	S: 0.302	ne	S: 0.473	S: 0.259
	LOD(0.05)	0.044		D: 7.168	D. 205.0	D: 0.426	115	D: 0.669	D: 0.366
Corn soils									
-	0	0.49	312.5	120.7	3327.0	2.39	1.67	1.58	1.33
r ent	40	0.57	292.2	120.2	3843.0	2.66	1.79	2.55	1.15
lfu	80	0.61	284.8	120.1	4136.0	3.25	1.74	3.40	1.42
Su El	120	0.70	324.7	120.7	4001.0	2.42	1.75	2.55	1.34
Mean		0.77	303.6	120.4	3826.8	2.68	1.74	2.52	1.31
	0	0.55	331.2	112.1	3323.0	1.67	1.66	1.95	1.27
A ►	40	0.60	386.0	126.0	3294.0	2.37	1.90	3.46	1.59
aste Ifu	80	0.69	318.2	122.4	4193.0	2.18	1.99	3.25	1.53
su	120	0.70	359.5	129.2	4027.0	2.23	1.83	3.60	1.74
Mean		0.75	348.7	122.4	3709.3	2.11	1.85	3.07	1.53
		D٠	S: 18.60			S: 0.165	S: 0.084	S: 0.260	S: 0.099 D: 0.140
	LSD _(0.05)	0.025	D: 26.31 SxD: 37.2	ns	D: 332.2	D: 0.233 SxD: .330	D: 0.118	D: 0.368 SxD: .521	SxD:0.19 9

Table 6. Effects of elemental S and S-containing waste on residual nutrient concentrations of bean and corn soils.

S = Sulfur sources; D = doses; ns = not significant.

REFERENCES

- Abbaspour A, Baligar VC, Shariatmadari H (2004). Effect of steel converters as iron fertilizer and soil amendment in some calcareous soils. J. Plant Nutr. 27: 377-394.
- Atilgan A, Coskan A, Alagoz T, Oz H (2008). Application level of chemical and organic fertilizers in the greenhouses of Mediterranean Region and its possible effects, Asian J. Chem. 20: 3702-3714.
- Bender D, Erdal İ, Dengiz O, Gürbüz M, Tarakçıoğlu C (1998). The effects of different organic materials on some physical properties of clay soil. M. Şefik Yeşilsoy International Symposium on Arid Region Soil. 21-24 September, International Agrohydrology Research and Training Center. pp. 506-511, Menemen-Izmir.
- Bremler JM (1965). Methods of soil analysis. American Society of Agronomy Inc., Medison, WT.
- Burns GR (1967). Oxidation of sulphur in soils. Technical Bulletin 13, The Sulphur Institute: Washington. DC. USA.
- Dawood F, Al-Omagri SM, St Murtatha N (1985). High levels of sulphur affecting availability of some micronutrients in calcareous soils. Proceedings Section, Regional Conference on Sulphur and Its Usage in Arab Countries. Riyadh. 2-5 March 1985. Saudi Arabia, pp. 55-68. Erdal İ, Gülser F, Tüfenkçi Ş, Sağlam M, Karaca S (2000). Influence of

sulfur fertilization on corn (Zea mays L.) plant growth and phosphorus uptake in a calcareous soil. Yüzüncü Yıl University, J. Natural Appl. Sci. 7(1): 37-42.

- Erdal I, Kepenek K, Kızılgoz I (2006). Effect of elemental sulphur and sulphur containing waste on the iron nutrition of strawberry plants grown in a calcareous soil. Biol. Agric. Horticult. 23: 263-272.
- Erdal I, Kepenek K, Kızılgöz I (2004). Effect of foliar iron applications at different growth stages on iron and some nutrient concentrations in strawberry cultivars. Turk. J. Agric. Forest. 28: 421-427.
- Erdal İ, Tarakçıoğlu C (2000). Effect of different organic materials on growth and mineral composition of corn plant (Zea mays L.). Ondokuz Mayıs University Faculty of Agriculture, J. Agric. Sci. 15(2): 80-85.
- Erdal I, Yurdakul I, Aydemir O (2004). Fertility status of apple orchards in Isparta Province. National Fertilizer Congress; Agriculture-Industry-Environment. 11-13 October, Tokat, Turkey. pp. 1061-1070
- Eyuboglu F (1999). Fertility of Turkish Soils. Soil and Fertilizer Research Institute Publications.
- Gupta VR, St Mehla IS (1980). Influence of sulphur on the yield and concentration of Cu, Mn. Fe and Mo in berseem (Trifolium alexandrium) grown in two different soils. Plant Soil, 56: 229-234.
- Kacar B, Inal A (2008). Plant Analysis, Nobel Pres; Ankara, Turkey.

- Kacar B, Katkat AV (2007). Plant Nutrition. 3th Edn. Nobel Press; Ankara, Turkey.
- Kalbasi M, Filsoof F, Rezai N (1988). Effect of sulphur treatments on yield and uptake of Fe, Zn and Mn by corn sorghum and soybeans. J. Plant Nutr. 11: 1353-1360.
- Kaplan M, Orman S (1998). Effect of elemental sulfur and sulfur containing waste in a calcareous soil in Turkey. J. Plant Nutr. 21: 1655-1665.
- Knudsen D, Peterson GA, Pratt PF (1982). Lithium, sodium and potassium. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties.) The GW (1982). Exchangeable cations. pp. 159-I65. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Agronomy Monograph No.9 (2nd Ed). ASA-SSSA, Madison. Wisconsin, USA.
- Lindsay WL, Norvell WA (1978). Development of a DTPA test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42: 421-428.
- Marschner H (1995). Mineral Nutriton of Higher Plants. 2nd. Edn. Academic Pres; San Diago, USA.

- Neilsen D, Hogoe Li. K, Hoyt PB (1993). Oxidation of elemental sulphur and acidulation of calcareous orchard soils in southern British Colombia. Can. J. Soil Sci. 73: 103 -114.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. US. Dept. Agric. Cric. p. 939.
- Orman Ş, Kaplan M (2000). Effects of two different sulfur sources on pH of calcareous soils. J. Akdeniz Univ. Agric. Faculty, 13: 171-179.
- Soliman MF, Kostandi SF, Beusichem Van ML (1992). Influence of Sulfur and Nitrogen Fertilizer on the Uptake of Iron, Manganese and Zinc by Corn Plants Grown in Calcareous Soil. Commu. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 23: 1289-1300.