The effects of water type on growth, survival and condition of *Poecilia velifera*
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Seven growth periods were completed on juvenile velifera (*Poecilia velifera*) for a period of 2 weeks to determine the optimal water type for best daily growth, food intake, feed conversion ratio, weight gain, survival and condition factor. Four water types (T1 = 25°C W1, T2 = 30°C W1, T3 = 25°C W2, T4 = 30°C W2) were used to grow up *P. velifera* for 105 days. In each aquarium, fifteen individuals were stocked and fed to satiation twice a day. Bulk weight of each group was measured 2 weeks interval. Growth rate did not increase with water temperature. Water quality made difference. Fish with W1 grew better than with W2. Fish in T1 had the best growth (daily growth 10.37 mg/day). Food intake was the highest in T1. Feed conversion ratio did not differ significantly among groups. Survival was low among 4 groups (T1, T2, T3, and T4 were 55.6, 53.3, 53.3, and 33.3%, respectively), but not significant. Condition factor was the best in T1 (1.61).
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INTRODUCTION

World total fisheries production is 152 millions metric tonnes and fishery commodities global production trade was $178 billion US dollars in 2006. Ornamental fish industry holds an important place in the global fishery production and trade. The largest market for aquarium fish is led by countries of the European Union and the United States of America and has become the greatest importer of ornamental fish in the world (Livengood and Chapman, 2007). The volume and value of ornamental fish export in the world are 47,548 ton and $703 million US dollars (FAO, 2007). Additionally, freshwater fish makes 90 - 96% of ornamental fish trade (Livengood and Chapman, 2007).

*Poecilia velifera* originated from the rivers of North Carolina, Florida, and NewMexico states in the USA and belongs to Order *Cyprinodontiformes*, Family *Poeciliidae*, Genus *Poecilia*. They share the same genus with guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) and gambusia fish (*Gambusia affinis*) and molly fish (*Poecilia vitta, Poecilia latipinna, and Poecilia sphenops*). Female fish grow until 6 cm long and male fish grow to 8 cm long. Males are more colorful than females and have a long adipose fin that gives them fabulous appearances in dorsal region.

Several biotic and abiotic factors influenced the fish growth. There are optimum water quality requirements for each species, however it is important to consider the effects of each parameter individually and within interactions, as well as individual differences occurring among fish coming from different geographic and genetical backround.

The aim of this study was to assess the effects of temperature and water quality parameters on growth and survival of *P. velifera* by assessing their daily growth, food intake, feed conversion ratio, weight gain, survival and condition factor.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The experiment was carried out using the juvenile velifera grown at the Aquarium Unit of Adnan Menderes University, Agricultural Faculty, Fisheries Department in Aydin, Turkey. Triplicate groups of fish (n = 15) were held in 4 types of water (T1 = 25°C W1, T2 = 30°C W1, T3 = 25°C W2, T4 = 30°C W2) (two different water sources,
given in Table 1. On day 0, initial mass was taken per aquarium and fish were fasted for 24 h before stocking. Fish were fed ad libitum arranged 25 ± 0.24 and 30 ± 0.16°C per aquarium at the beginning, and maintained constant during the experiment. Temperature and pH were measured weekly. Fish were bulk weighed on day 0, 15, with MS-222. Daily growth, feed intake, feed conversion ratio, and Kucuk, 2001): weight gain, survival, and condition factor were calculated

\[
\text{Food intake (FI)} = \text{total feed consumption.}
\]

\[
\text{Daily growth (DG) (mg day}^{-1} = (W_f - W_i) / \text{day.}
\]

\[
\text{Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = dry feed ingested / (W_i - W_f)N_i.}
\]

\[
\text{Weight gain (WG) = W_f - W_i.}
\]

\[
\text{Survival} = (N_f / N_i) \times 100.
\]

\[
\text{Condition factor} = (W_f / L^3) \times 100.
\]

where \(W_i\) and \(W_f\) are initial and final mean body weights, respectively and \(N_i, N_f\) are the number of harvested and stocked fish, respectively. \(L\) is the final length. Differences in mean values of DG, FI, FCR, weight gain, survival and condition factor among each treatment were analyzed by univariate in general linear model of differences in growth appeared between T2 and T3. Fish in T1 gained weight significantly and no significant differences in growth is shown in Table 2, with the best rates for fish in W1 (10.37 mg/day). Feed conversion ratio did not have significant difference among treatment groups. Survival was generally low in four groups (55.6, 53.3, 53.3 and 33.3% in T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively). In Table 3, fish in T1 gained higher weight (1.48 g) than other three groups (0.94, 0.71 and 0.76 g in T2, T3 and T4, respectively). After 105 days trial, fish in T1 (1.61) had the best condition factor and followed by T3, T2 and T4 (1.54, 1.47 and 1.45, respectively).

### RESULTS

*P. velifera* showed differences in growth, which was strongly influenced by water type as seen in Figure 1. Fish in T1 gained weight significantly and no significant differences in growth appeared between T2 and T3. Fish in T4 had the worse growth rate in the experiment. Daily growth is shown in Table 2, with the best rates for fish in T1 (10.37 mg/day). Feed conversion ratio did not have significant difference among treatment groups. Survival was generally low in four groups (55.6, 53.3, 53.3 and 33.3% in T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively). In Table 3, fish in T1 gained higher weight (1.48 g) than other three groups (0.94, 0.71 and 0.76 g in T2, T3 and T4, respectively). After 105 days trial, fish in T1 (1.61) had the best condition factor and followed by T3, T2 and T4 (1.54, 1.47 and 1.45, respectively).

### DISCUSSION

Water is a vital component to breed fish. Aquaculturists believe that fish breeding system can be improved by managing physical or chemical parameters of water. If we can alter one parameter such as temperature, dissolved oxygen (range of species demands), fish production can be increased. Values of hardness, alkalinity, and cation contents give same results as well. Several studies have been conducted to assess the effect of temperature on fish growth (Tidwell et al., 1999; Person-LeRuyet et al., 2004; Mciwem, 2006; Björnsson et al., 2007; Okamura et al., 2007; Kling et al., 2007; Handeland et al., 2008; Sahoo et al., 2008), as well as for crustaceans growth (Kemp and Britz, 2008). A few studies have been carried out on the effect of hardness and calcium concentration on fish and aquatic animals (Townsend et al., 2003; Hammond et al., 2006; Adhikari et al., 2007; Blanksma et al., 2009), some of them include ornamental fish growth under different environmental conditions. No studies have been recently done since marketing fish or crustaceans take the first order in trade.

Values of total hardness, alkalinity, ammonia, nitrite and nitrate in W1 were higher than those in W2, although pH values of W1 and W2 were identical (W1 = 7.77 ± 0.088 and 7.42 ± 0.062 ). The results obtained from this study showed that W1 was better than W2 to rear velifera. Hardness, bicarbonate and alkalinity were higher for W1 than for W2. Better hardness means better calcium and magnesium in water. Fish take calcium from both water and diet to build up formation of skeletal structures such as bone, fins and scales (Boyd, 1990; Lovell, 1998). High hardness (Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) cation concentrations) supported velifera growth in this system. Generally, fish obtain enough calcium from water and natural foods in the diet. Fish take calcium for certain biochemical functions such as osmoregulation, blood cloting, muscle function, nerve impulse transmission and enzyme activators besides skeletal formation. When calcium is low in water and in diet, the fish removes it from bones for metabolic gradient. Calcium deficiency has not been indicated in carp and catfish, but rarely seemed in salmonids. And catfish and tilapia raised in calcium-free water needed calcium and magnesium. Part of this hardness, called temporary
hardness, is precipitated in aquarium equipments by heating. Another part of hardness consists of nitrate (NO$_3^-$), chloride (Cl$^-$) and probably sulfate (SO$_4^{2-}$), called permanent hardness (Noga, 1996). That of W$_1$ is very high, probably due to the combination of both permanent and temporary hardness. For trade or business purposes, it may not be convenient to rear fish and to produce damages on aquarium equipments, which will generate more costs. Furthermore, frequent exchanging of water and cleaning aquarium disturb fish and trigger stress which results in decreased feeding and growth (Ross and Ross, 1999).

Survival rate values were the highest in T1 (55.6%) and the lowest in T4 (33.3%). It was 53.3% in T2 and T3. Mortality of velifera was high, though survival among four groups did not varied significantly. This low survival may have been related to calcium and magnesium content of water sources. W$_1$ had excess divalent cations while W$_2$ showed almost lack of them.

Daily growth was the highest in T1 fish (10.37 mg/day) followed by T2, T3 and T4 (8.34, 6.19 and 6.89 mg/day, respectively). Feed intake also supported the same results.
The more feed fishes consume, the more weight they gained. This study indicated that water quality for breeding aquarium fish in T1 was better than in T2, T3 and T4. It supported fish development due to enrichment of cation, even $W_1$ had higher nitrogen derivatives than $W_2$, but velifera was not influenced. It is suggested that water type for $T_1$ can be comfortably used for $P$. velifera, aquarium fish.
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