Simultaneous qualitative and quantitative analysis of Tangkening granule using thin layer chromatography (TLC) and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)
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This study was designed to establish the quality standard of Tangkening granule in order to effectively control the quality of this new Chinese traditional patent medicine in the process of production, in addition to ensure the good clinical application. *Fructus gardeniae*, *Herba gynostemmatis*, *Dioscorea batatas*, and *Polygonatum odoratum* in Tangkening granule were identified by thin layer chromatography (TLC), and the content of geniposide was determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). A comprehensive validation of the method that included sensitivity, linearity, repeatability and recovery was conducted. The results show that this analytical method was simple and suitable for the original identification and quality control of this new Chinese traditional patent medicine.
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INTRODUCTION

Traditional herbal medicines are usually prepared from various herbs, and they exhibit various therapeutic effects with a complex of multiplicity components (Normile et al., 2003; Xia et al., 2010). In spite of the presence of known antidiabetic medicine in the pharmaceutical market, there is growing interest in herbal remedies due to the fact that synthetic drugs lead to undesirable side effects. The search for effective and safer antidiabetic plant drugs is thus of great importance. Tangkening granule is a new preparation of an empirical prescription to treat diabetes by modern pharmaceutical technology, which is obtained from Linyi Ge, a national famous doctor of Zhejiang Province hospital of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM).

The prescription consists of Chinese herbal medicine as *Fructus gardeniae*, *Herba gynostemmatis*, *Dioscorea batatas*, *Polygonatum odoratum*, etc.

The main functions of Tangkening granule are clearing away heat, invigorating blood circulation, as well as strengthening spleen and eliminating turbidness. Clinical application showed that the prescription has not only reduced blood glucose and blood lipid concentrations, but also reduced insulin resistance and enhanced insulin sensitivity. In addition, it has unique curative effect for the treatment of type-2 diabetes (Zhang et al., 2009).

*F. gardeniae* is the main drug in Tangkening granule, and it has the effects of purging pathogenic fire and eliminating restlessness, clearing heat and promoting diuresis, cooling blood and detoxication. Geniposide is an iridoid glucoside which is extracted from *F. gardeniae*. Several reports have reported that geniposide was an effective hypoglycemic agent in HFD-STZ-induced diabetic mice (Wu et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2006). This compound has been shown to possess anti-diabetic (Kimura et al., 1982), anti-inflammatory (Koo et al., 2004), detoxifying (Kuo et al., 2004), anti-oxidative (Pharm et al., 2004), and anti-atherosclerotic activity (Feng et al., 2004).
2000) and anti-angiogenic functions (Park et al., 2003). The first report of its hypoglycemic activity in high sugar diet-induced diabetic mice was made in 1982 (Kimura et al., 1982). Recent studies further confirmed the hypoglycemic effects of geniposide and genipin, an aglycone of the enzyme-hydrolytic geniposide (Yan et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2006). Thus, this study aimed to establish the quality standard of Tangkening granule. The identification of *Fructus gardeniae*, *Herba gynostemmatis*, and *Dioscorea batatas* was done using thin layer chromatography (TLC), and the determination of geniposide content was done using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Guo and Lin, 2007; National Pharmacopoeia Committee, 2005; Xu and Pan, 2005).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Apparatus**

Waters 510 HPLC, analytical balance (Sartorius, Germany) and R204 rotary evaporator from Shanghai SENCO Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) were used.

**Reference standards**

The reference compounds of geniposide, diosgenin, and the reference materials of *Fructus gardeniae* and *Herba gynostemmatis* were purchased from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical Biological Products (Beijing, China).

**Solvents and materials**

Acetonitrile and methanol of HPLC grade were purchased from Tianjin SiYou Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Acetic ether, formic acid, and n-butyl alcohol were of analytical grade. The test samples of Tangkening granule were supplied by Zhejiang Tianyi Tang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Hangzhou, China). The negative samples were supplied by pharmaceutical laboratory of Zhejiang Chinese University.

**Processing procedure of Tangkening granule**

A prescription of the medicinal herbs was extracted with 10 volume of water three times, each time for 1.5 h. After merging the extracting solution and filtering, filtrate was concentrated to the relative density of 1.1. The spray drying is to control the spray speed at 30 ml/min and air-in temperature was 150°C for the dry powder. Then the dry powder was plused with 50% dextrin and 2% xylitol by thorough incorporation, and sprayed with 85% ethanol, granulated, dried, pelletized, and dispensed for each bag of 20 g.

**TLC identification**

*Fructus gardeniae*

The powdered sample of Tangkening granule (3 g) was extracted with 20 ml of ethanol for 40 min by sonication. After filtration, the filtrate was concentrated to 10 ml as the TLC test solution in a water bath. Geniposide (10 mg) was accurately weighed, placed into 10 ml volumetric flask, diluted with ethanol to volume, and mixed to make standard solution. The negative sample (the negative solution) without *Fructus gardeniae* (3 g) was prepared by the same method as the test solution. Pipette of the above three solutions (4 µl) respectively, were spotted on the same silica gel G thin layer plate. Ethyl acetate: acetone: formic acid: water (5:5:1:1) was chosen as the solvent system for TLC separation. After developing over a path of 10 cm, the plates were air-dried, sprayed with 10% H₂SO₄/EtOH, heated at 105°C for 10 min, and then imaged immediately under visible light.

*Herba gynostemmatis*

The powdered sample of Tangkening granule (3 g) was extracted with 20 ml of methanol for 40 min by sonication. After filtration, the filtrate was quickly dried in a water bath. The residue was dissolved in 5 ml of water and extracted with ether twice, and then the ether layer was thrown away. The water layer was extracted with n-butyl alcohol three times. Then the n-butyl alcohol layer were mixed and dried in a water bath. The residue was dissolved in 2 ml methanol as the TLC test solution. The reference material of *Herba gynostemmatis* (2 g) and the negative sample without *Herba gynostemmatis* (3 g) were prepared with same method as the test solution into standard solution and negative solution respectively. Pipette of the above three solutions (4 µl) respectively, were spotted on the same silica gel G thin layer plate. N-butyl alcohol: ethyl acetate: water (4:1:5, the upper layer under 10°C) was chosen as the solvent system for TLC separation. After developing over a path of 10 cm, the plates were air-dried, sprayed with 10% H₂SO₄/EtOH, heated at 105°C for 10 min, and then imaged immediately under visible light and UV light (365 nm).

*Dioscorea batatas*

The powdered sample of Tangkening granule (3 g) was reflux extracted with 30 ml of 8% hydrochloric acid solution for 2 h and then the solution was extracted with chloroform twice, each 20 min. The solvent was recovered from chloroform by the rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in 1 ml chloroform as the TLC test solution. Diosgenin (13.4 mg) was accurately weighed, placed into 5 ml volumetric flask, diluted with chloroform to the volume and was mixed to make the standard solution. The negative sample without *Dioscorea batatas* (3 g) was prepared with same method as the test solution (negative solution). Pipette of the above three solutions (4 µl) respectively, were spotted on the same silica gel G thin layer plate. Toluene:acetone (9:1) was chosen as the solvent system for TLC separation. After developing over a path of 10 cm, the plates were air-dried, sprayed with 10% H₂SO₄/EtOH, heated at 105°C for 10 min, and then imaged immediately under visible light.

**Assay**

**HPLC conditions**

The HPLC separation was performed on a Sino Chrom C₁₈ column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 µm) at the temperature of 30°C. Solvents that constituted the mobile phase were acetonitrile-water-phosphoric acid (13:87:0.1). The flow-rate was 1 ml/min and the injection volume was 10 µl. The detection wavelength was 238 nm.

**Preparation of standard solution and sample**

Standard stock solution was prepared by dissolving geniposide in methanol at a concentration of 2.175 mg/ml. Each five
concentrations of the working solutions diluted from the stock solution were used for the establishment of a calibration curve. For the preparation of sample, 0.5 g of Tangkening granule was accurately extracted with 40 ml methanol for 40 min by sonication in a 50 ml volumetric flask, and then was diluted with methanol to the volume. The sample solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm filtration film before HPLC injection. Material of the prescription excluding gardenia, were weighed and prepared with the same method as the test solution to make the negative solution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The TLC results showed a good separation for the test solutions, and the reference substance in the corresponding position, which showed the same color spots. However, there was no negative interference. The spots were clear and the Rf value met requirements. That is to say, F. gardeniae, H. gynostemmatis, and D. batatas can be identified from Tangkening granule. (Figures 1 to 5)

Optimization of HPLC extraction solvent

Methanol, ethanol and water were selected as the extraction solvent in this study. The granule samples were extracted with 40 ml solvent for 40 min in an ultrasonic bath, and the extraction solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm filtration film. As a result, the maximum peak area obtained with methanol was larger than those for the other solvents.

Validation of the method and quantification of geniposide

The reference, the test and the negative solutions were respectively injected (10 µl) into the HPLC. The results show that the chromatography of the test solution had the same retention time as the reference, and negative solutions did not interfere in the determination of geniposide (Figures 6A to C).

The linearity of geniposide was calculated based on the five concentrations of the control. 15.3, 30.5, 45.8, 61.1 and 76.4 µg/ml geniposide reference solutions were prepared respectively and precisely. Then 10 µl was sucked into the HPLC, the peak areas were recorded, and the standard curve was made by the injection concentration (X) and peak areas (Y). The regression equation is $Y = 15.7928X - 84.62478$, $r = 0.9999$; showed good linearity at a relatively wide range of concentration.
Figure 2. TLC of *H. gynostemmatis*. 1, Sample of Tangkening granule; 2, reference material of *H. gynostemmatis*; 3, medical material of *H. gynostemmatis*; 4, negative sample without *H. gynostemmatis*.

Figure 3. TLC of *D. batatas*. 1, 2. Sample of Tangkening granule; 3, 4, medical material of *D. batatas*; 5, reference compound of Diosgenin; 6, negative sample without *D. batatas*. 
of 0.15 to 0.76 µg. The precision test was accomplished by the same concentration of geniposide. 38.2 µg/ml of geniposide reference substance solutions was continuously repeatedly injected 6 times; 10 µl each time, determination of geniposide peak area, and RSD 0.7% (n= 6).

The stability test (stability test solution) was according to the preparation methods of the test solution, and was then respectively determined at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 12 h. RSD of the geniposide peak areas was 1.8%, the peak areas of geniposide in 12 h was basically unchanged, and it showed the geniposide test solution to be stable at 12 h inside. The repeatability test was also according to the preparation methods of the test solution. The granule was weighed 5 times, each was 0.5 g, the RSD value was 0.9%, and this showed the methods had good reproducibility. The recovery test was validated by the method of spiked test. The accuracy of geniposide was 99.9% with RSD value of 4.82% (n= 6) (Table 1).

The determination of three batches of the samples was conducted as the preparation of the test solution, and was then injected (10 µl), and determined (Table 2). Drugs are used to treat, prevent and diagnosis diseases, and are closely related to people’s health and life safety; so it must meet the quality requirements. Chinese herbal medicine in during production, harvest and processing...
are influenced by various factors. So in order to control the internal quality effectively, it is particularly important to ensure that the preparation is safe, effective and stable, and makes a scientific, rational and feasible quality standards.

*F. gardeniae* is the main drug in Tangkening granule, which is one of the main active constituents. The determination of *F. gardeniae* content has been extensively studied, and the main methods are TLC and HPLC. Many studies reported that geniposide has good
effect on reducing blood glucose. In this study, TLC was adopted to establish the identification of *F. gardeniae*, *H. gynostemmatis*, *D. batatas*, and HPLC was adopted to determine the content of geniposide in the preparation of Tangkening granule as the assay index. The results show that the method not only has good linear relationship ($r = 0.9999$), high recovery (99.90%), but also the precision test, stability test, and repeatability test all conform to the requirements of the analysis. Therefore, this method was very useful to evaluate the quality control and standardization of Tangkening granule.
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