

*Full Length Research Paper*

# Canola traits and some soil biological parameters in response to fertilization and tillage management

Khosro Mohammadi<sup>1\*</sup>, Mokhtar Eskandari<sup>2</sup>, Gholamreza Heidari<sup>3</sup> and Mohammad Tahsin Karimi Nezhad<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran.

Accepted 7 September, 2011

**This study describes the effects of fertilization and tillage methods on soil microbial community and canola traits. A field experiment was carried out in 2009 to 2010 growing season. Experiments were arranged in a split plot based on randomized complete block design with three replications. Main plots consisted of no tillage (T1), minimum tillage (T2) and conventional tillage (T3). Six strategies for obtaining the basal fertilizer requirement including (N1): farmyard manure; (N2): compost; (N3): chemical fertilizers; (N4): farmyard manure + compost; (N5): farmyard manure + compost + chemical fertilizers and (N6): control, were arranged in sub plots. Results show that the activities of all enzymes were generally higher in the N4 treatment than in the unfertilized and chemical fertilizer treatments. The phosphatase, catalase and urease activities in the N3 treatment were significantly lower than in the farm yard manure (FYM) and compost treatments (CT). The activity of all enzyme activity tended to be higher in the NT treatment. The highest leaf N, P and K containing grain and grain yield was obtained from N5 treatment. Applying CT system caused to a reduction in grain yield as compared with chisel plowing.**

**Key words:** Enzyme activity, compost, farmyard manure, tillage.

## INTRODUCTION

To understand soil fertility and nutrient management in tillage systems, we need to recognize the unique conditions in these systems that influence nutrient behavior and management. One of the most important functions of conservation tillage systems is the maintenance of crop residues on the soil surface to protect the soil from erosion (Madejon et al., 2007). To achieve proper residue maintenance, there must be limited soil mixing (Jin et al., 2009). If crop residues are not incorporated or mixed with the soil, then fertilizer, manure and limestone also will not be mixed with the soil. Lack of incorporation can have a major impact on the behavior and management of nutrients. Producers traditionally have depended on tillage to mix immobile

nutrients such as phosphorus with the soil, thus moving them into the primary rooting zone of crops. With conservation tillage systems this movement does not occur. For nitrogen sources that contain urea, lack of incorporation can result in substantial nitrogen losses from volatilization (Kandeler et al., 1999).

Fertilization is one of the soil and crop management practices, which exert a great influence on soil quality (Chander et al., 1998; Mohammadi et al., 2011). Farmyard manure (FYM) and compost are organic sources of nutrients that also have been shown to increase soil organic matter and enhance soil quality. It farmyard manure is a significant source of nutrients on many farms in Iran. For farmyard manure to be effectively utilized as a source of nutrients, the content and behavior of the nutrients in farmyard manure must be known. Farmyard manure nutrient content is best determined by analyzing a representative sample of the farmyard manure. Nutrient behavior in farmyard manure varies with the nutrient. Thus, management considerations for farmyard manure use in conservation tillage also vary.

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [kh.mohammadi@iausdj.ac.ir](mailto:kh.mohammadi@iausdj.ac.ir).  
Tel: +98 871 6387110. Fax: +98 871 6387100.

**Abbreviations:** FYM, Farmyard manure; CT, conventional tillage; MT, minimum tillage; NT, no tillage.

**Table 1.** Chemical characteristics of farmyard manure and compost applied to the soil.

Characteristic	pH	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	S	Cu
		(% )			(ppm)			
FYM	7.45	0.47	0.49	0.31	2745	1100	34	25
Compost	7.21	0.78	1.15	0.51	1950	1890	384	295

Microbial communities perform necessary ecosystem services, including nutrient cycling, pathogen suppression, stabilization of soil aggregates and degradation of xenobiotics. Soil microbial biomass, activity and community structure have been shown to respond to agricultural management practices. Soil microbial properties have a strong correlation with soil health. Some researches have already suggested the favorable effects of conservation tillage practices and organic fertilizers on soil enzyme activities (Kandeler et al., 1999; Mohammadi, 2011). Inorganic fertilizers had relatively less effect on soil microbial biomass and activities than organic fertilizers (Hopkins and Shiel, 1996; Parham et al., 2002, 2003; Plaza et al., 2004). Fertilization also results in microbial community shifts in soils (Wardle et al., 1999; Marschner et al., 2003). The objective of this study was therefore to determine the short-term effects of conservation management practices, such as no-tillage, reduced tillage and organic fertilizers on microbiological soil quality indicators and canola traits under Mediterranean conditions in Kurdistan province of Iran.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted at the Islamic Azad University of Sanandaj in Kurdistan province of Iran during 2009 to 2010 growing season. The dominant soil type is inceptisol. The annual temperature averages 19°C and the annual rainfall averages 526 mm. Experiments were arranged in the split plot based on randomized complete block design with three replications. Main plots consisted of no tillage (T1), minimum tillage (MT) (disk harrowing with average depth of 15 cm + one shallow disk harrowing) (T2) and conventional tillage (moldboard plowing with average depth of 30 cm + two shallow disks followed by secondary tillage with a soil grubber and harrow for seedbed preparation) (T3). In no tillage (NT), crop residues cut by the combine were chopped and spread evenly with a combine-attached chopper. NT plots were seeded with a NT seed drill. Sub-plots were six strategies of supplying the basal fertilizer requirements of canola, including (N1): 30 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>; (N2): 15 t compost ha<sup>-1</sup>; (N3): 100 kg triple super phosphate ha<sup>-1</sup> + 150 kg Urea ha<sup>-1</sup>; (N4): 15 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 7.5 t compost ha<sup>-1</sup>, (N5): 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> + 5 t compost ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50 kg triple super phosphate ha<sup>-1</sup> + 75 kg Urea ha<sup>-1</sup> and (N6) control (without fertilizer). Expectation values of basal fertilizers were determined according to soil test analysis. Soil texture was clay loam (29% sand, 41% clay and 30% silt) with 0.81% organic matter and a pH of 7.5. The FYM and compost were also analyzed for chemical and nutrients properties (Table 1). FYM, compost and chemical fertilizers were added to plots before sowing canola. For conventional tillage (CT) and MT, chemical fertilizer or organic fertilizers was applied and then incorporated with tillage, while for NT treatments, fertilizers were surface applied on the plots. Urea

fertilizer was applied equally two times before sowing canola and flowering.

Canola seeds were planted on September 18, 2009. Main plot size was of 15 × 20 m and spaces between main plots were 3 m. The field was irrigated twice with a 7 to 9 day interval for the better germination of seeds. The field was also irrigated at stemming and flowering along with fertilization, and at pudding and grain filling. Weeds were removed by hand in all plots. Soil for microbiological analysis was sampled in canola plots. Soil samples were collected in crop rhizosphere at flowering stage of canola growth. Plants were excavated from four random 0.5-m lengths of a row from each plot. Loose soil was shaken off the roots, and the soil that adhered strongly to the roots was carefully brushed from the roots and kept as rhizosphere soil. The four rhizosphere samples from each plot were combined, passed through a 2-mm sieve and stored at 4°C until required for analysis.

Activity of soil catalase was determined according to Ladd (1978), using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as substrate, in units of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil over 30 min (mg H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30 min<sup>-1</sup>) g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). To measure alkaline (EC 3.1.3.1) and acid phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.2) enzymes, p-nitrophenyl phosphate disodium (0.115 M) were used as the substrate (Mandal et al., 2007). Soil samples (1 g) were treated with 2 ml of 0.5 M sodium acetate buffer with a pH of 5.5 (using acetic acid) (Naseby and Lynch, 1997) and 0.5 ml of substrate and were incubated at 37°C for 90 min and Cooling at 2°C for 15 min inhibited the reaction. The treated samples were then mixed with 2 ml of 0.5M NaOH and 0.5 ml of 0.5 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> (to inhibit the enzyme reaction) and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min. Using spectrometry at 398 nm, the produced p-nitrophenol was measured (Tabatabai and Bremner, 1969). Urease (EC 3.5.1.5) activity was measured using 0.5 M urea as a substrate in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.1 (Nannipieri et al., 1974). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N produced by urease activity was determined using a flow injection analyzer (FIAS<sup>+</sup>, Tecator, S). For accounting the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N fixation in soils, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N solutions with concentrations in the range of those released by urease activity was incubated with these spoils. Cellulase (EC 3.2.1.4) activity was measured with carboxymethyl cellulose as substrate (Cole, 1977). All enzyme activity values were calculated based on oven-dried (105°C) weight of soil.

The phosphorus and nitrogen content of matured seeds was determined by vanado molybdate phosphoric acid yellow colour and Mikrokjeldahl methods, respectively (Jackson, 1973). Also, the potassium content was determined by flame photometer model-EEL. At harvest time harvest, grain yield was evaluated from an area of 2 × 2.5 m<sup>2</sup> in each sub plot. Finally, data were subjected to analysis of variance using SAS (SAS Institute, 2003). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect the treatment effects on measured variables and the least significant difference (LSD) were used to compare means of measured enzyme activities and microbial biomass carbon (P<0.05).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Soil enzyme activity

The activities of catalase, urease, acid and alkaline

**Table 2.** Effects of tillage and fertilization on soil enzyme activity and canola traits.

Source of Variance	Catalase	Acid phosphatase	Alkaline phosphatase	Urease	Cellulases	Grain yield	nitrogen	phosphorus	potassium
Block	**	n.s	*	n.s	n.s	*	**	*	**
Tillage	**	*	**	**	n.s	**	**	n.s	n.s
Fertilization	**	**	**	**	n.s	**	**	**	**
Tillage × Fertilization	n.s	n.s	n.s	**	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s

n.s, \* and \*\* are Non- significant, significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively

phosphatase varied significantly in different fertilization methods. Fertilization had no significant effect on cellulase's activity. Only, urease activity was significantly affected by the two-way interactions of fertilizers × tillage (Table 2). The activities of all enzymes were generally higher in the N4 treatment than in the unfertilized and chemical fertilizer treatments (Table 3). There were no differences in phosphatase and cellulases' activity between the compost treatment and the FYM treatments. The phosphatase, catalase and urease activities in the N3 treatment were significantly lower than in the FYM and compost treatments. As shown in Table 3, alkaline and acid phosphatase generally increased with compost application. Increased phosphatase activity could be responsible for hydrolysis of organically bound phosphate into free ions, which were taken up by plants. Tarafdar and Marschner (1994) reported that plants can utilize organic P fractions from the soil by phosphatase activity enriched in the soil–root interface.

The observed increase in enzymatic activities due to organic fertilizers amendments are in accordance with previous studies (Mandal et al., 2007; Hopkins and Shiel, 1996). Martens et al. (1992) reported that addition of the organic matter maintained high levels of phosphatase activity in soil during a long term study. Giusquiani et al. (1994) reported that phosphatase activities increased when compost was added at rates of up to 90 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and the phosphatases continued to show a linear increase with compost rates of up to 270 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in a field experiment. Application of nitrogen fertilizers significantly decreased urease activity, while addition of organic manure increased its activity. The authors concluded that because the nitrogen fertilizers used in the experiments contained NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and that the reaction products of urease being NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, microbial induction of urease activity had been inhibited. The effect of organic amendments on enzyme activities is probably a combined effect of a higher degree of stabilization of enzymes to humic substances and an increase in microbial biomass with increased soil carbon concentration (Martens et al., 1992).

The enzyme activity in organic amendment soil increased by about 2 to 4 fold compared with the un-amended soil. Application of compost caused a significant increase in enzyme activity (Martens et al., 1992). In

addition, the higher organic matter levels in the compost treatments may provide a more favorable environment for the accumulation of enzymes in the soil matrix, since soil organic constituents are thought to be important in forming stable complexes with free enzymes. Soil factors, including redox potential (Eh) and pH can affect the rate of enzyme mediated reactions by influencing the redox status and ionization respectively, as well as solubility of enzymes, substrates and cofactors. In addition, some enzymes may predominate at specific pH levels. Application of compost and FYM caused a faster and higher reduction of soil, and at the same time increased the soil pH. Report of Nayak et al. (2007) showed that soil pH was lowest in the inorganic fertilizers amended plots and highest in compost amended plots. Soil dehydrogenase activity exhibited a strong negative relationship with Eh and a positive relationship with Fe<sup>2+</sup> content, suggesting aeration status is the major factor determining the activity (Włodarczyk et al., 2002).

Results indicate statistically significant (p<0.05) differences in catalase, urease, acid and alkaline phosphatase in the soil among various methods of tillage (Table 2). The activity of all enzyme activity tended to be higher in the NT treatment compared to the MT and CT treatments. However, activity of catalase was similar in NT and MT treatments (Table 3). Finding of Jin et al. (2009) has already suggested the positive effects of conservation tillage practices on soil enzyme activities. The generally higher enzyme activities in NT mainly resulted from the larger water availability in the plots rather than the better soil fertilities. Urease activity under T<sub>1</sub>N<sub>4</sub> treatment in the two years of our study was the highest of all treatments. In this treatment co-application of compost and farmyard manure in no tillage system assemble good condition for urease activity. The higher bulk density could account for this difference. Enzyme activities were shown to be linearly related to soil bulk density (Li et al., 2002).

### Grain nutrient (NPK)

Basal fertilizers had a significant effect on grain nitrogen content, but tillage systems had no significant effects on nutrient uptake (NPK) by canola plants (Tables 2). The

**Table 3.** Effect of tillage and fertilization methods on soil enzyme activity.

Treatment	Catalase ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Acid phosphatase ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Alkaline phosphatase ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Urease ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Cellulases ( $\mu\text{g}$ )
<b>Fertilizers</b>					
FYM (N1)	87.3 <sup>b</sup>	114.1 <sup>b</sup>	1225.6 <sup>b</sup>	48.7 <sup>a</sup>	42.1 <sup>a</sup>
Compost (N2)	101.7 <sup>a</sup>	107.1 <sup>b</sup>	1218.4 <sup>b</sup>	39.7 <sup>b</sup>	57.3 <sup>a</sup>
Chemical fertilizer (N3)	89.4 <sup>b</sup>	89.4 <sup>c</sup>	1052.1 <sup>c</sup>	18.4 <sup>d</sup>	34.1 <sup>a</sup>
FYM + Compost (N4)	110.4 <sup>a</sup>	165.2 <sup>a</sup>	1675.4 <sup>a</sup>	51.2 <sup>a</sup>	66.2 <sup>a</sup>
FYM + Compost + Chemical (N5)	88.2 <sup>b</sup>	109.6 <sup>b</sup>	1489.4 <sup>ab</sup>	39.8 <sup>b</sup>	44.1 <sup>a</sup>
Control (N6)	44.7 <sup>c</sup>	47.1 <sup>d</sup>	1017.4 <sup>c</sup>	18.4 <sup>d</sup>	32.1 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Tillage</b>					
No tillage (T1)	91.4 <sup>a</sup>	240.8 <sup>a</sup>	1343.3 <sup>a</sup>	41.1 <sup>a</sup>	46.1 <sup>a</sup>
Minimum tillage (T2)	90.6 <sup>a</sup>	211.7 <sup>b</sup>	1279.3 <sup>b</sup>	36.1 <sup>b</sup>	45.9 <sup>a</sup>
Conventional tillage (T3)	79.1 <sup>b</sup>	181.8 <sup>c</sup>	1224.7 <sup>c</sup>	36.0 <sup>b</sup>	46.0 <sup>a</sup>

Mean values in each column with the same letter(s) are not significantly different using LSD tests at 5% of probability.

highest leaf N containing grain was obtained from N5 treatment. The main reason is that compost and farmyard manure can increase N availability to plant due to more nitrogen offered to plant. Hatch et al. (2007) reported that incorporation of farmyard manure to the soil had beneficial effects of increasing biological nitrogen fixation, dry matter, and N yields in red clover.

The results also showed that different methods of soil fertility had a significant effect on grain phosphorus contents (Table 2). The highest grain P content was obtained from N5 treatment (Table 4). Increasing effect of combined application of compost and farm manure on soil enzymatic activity such as phosphatase and increasing P availability for plant has been reported by El-baruni and Olsen (1979). Chemical fertilizers (N<sub>3</sub>) in comparison with compost and farmyard manure significantly increased grain P contents. Since phosphatases play an important role in nutrient P availability of organic manures, crop residue, and phosphates activity, soil P availability appear to complement each other (Olsen, 1954), therefore, providing P in rhizosphere can increase P uptake by plant. In addition, regarding the importance of this element, the increase of P causes stimulating growth and increasing grain yield.

Basal fertilizers and biofertilizers had significant effect on grain potassium contents (Table 2). Combined application of basal fertilizers improved plant nutrition conditions. The highest grain K contents were obtained from N5 treatment. There is evidence that compost application increases potassium absorption in seeds (Sahni et al., 2008). The combined application of compost and seed inoculation with *Pseudomonas* increased the availability and uptake of minerals like P, Mn, and K in seeds (Rudresh et al., 2005). Canola grain yield was affected by different soil fertility systems. Microorganism's activity to excrete organic acids and phosphates could

release elements from complexes presently in soil and increase nutrient availability to plants (Jutur and Reddy, 2007; Rudresh et al., 2005).

### Grain yield

Base fertilizers comparison revealed that the highest grain yield was obtained from N5 treatment (Table 4). For justification of this difference, it could be stated that along with meeting plant need to phosphorus, adding compost and farmyard manure to soil could provide micro elements for plant. Compost applied in the current study has been shown to contain elevated concentrations of micro elements including sulfur (S). Sulfur is one of the elements that canola shows positive response to (Zhao et al., 1998). Moreover, it seems that organic fertilizers cause improving soil structure and optimizing root growth conditions by providing organic matter and nutrients.

Tillage systems significantly affected grain yield. Azim-Zade et al. (2002) obtained similar results on wheat under various tillage systems. The highest rate of grain yield (3965 kg/ha) was produced by applying MT that was statistically greater than in CT and NT systems (Table 4). Applying CT system caused to a reduction of 38% in grain yield as compared with minimum tillage system. Since CT system causes to soil moisture reduction, therefore this tillage system is not recommended under dry framing conditions. Increasing cone index and soil compaction are the main reasons of yield reduction. Soil compaction leads to restriction of root growth. Consequently, water and nutrient uptake by roots will be confused and diminished. Schillinger (2005) demonstrated that using NT system caused to lower production of wheat, barley and oat in comparison with CT system. Main reasons cited for lower yields under no-tillage

**Table 4.** Effect of fertilization and tillage methods on grain yield and NPK content.

Treatment	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Nitrogen (mg/g)	Phosphorus (mg/g)	Potassium (mg/g)
<b>Fertilizers</b>				
FYM (N1)	2512.8 <sup>d</sup>	32.7 <sup>d</sup>	6.1 <sup>c</sup>	27.9 <sup>d</sup>
Compost	2743.3 <sup>c</sup>	44.6 <sup>c</sup>	10.1 <sup>b</sup>	28.4 <sup>d</sup>
Chemical fertilizer (N3)	3334.6 <sup>b</sup>	57.4 <sup>b</sup>	11.4 <sup>b</sup>	38.7 <sup>b</sup>
FYM + Compost (N4)	3330.7 <sup>b</sup>	82.1 <sup>a</sup>	11.2 <sup>b</sup>	36.4 <sup>c</sup>
FYM + Compost + Chemical (N5)	4529.4 <sup>a</sup>	52.1 <sup>b</sup>	16.3 <sup>a</sup>	41.6 <sup>a</sup>
Control (N6)	1047.7 <sup>e</sup>	31.9 <sup>d</sup>	5.2 <sup>d</sup>	17.4 <sup>e</sup>
<b>Tillage</b>				
No tillage (T1)	2708.7 <sup>b</sup>	54.5 <sup>a</sup>	10.3 <sup>a</sup>	31.9 <sup>a</sup>
Minimum tillage (T2)	3965.8 <sup>a</sup>	47.4 <sup>b</sup>	9.9 <sup>a</sup>	31.4 <sup>a</sup>
Conventional tillage (T3)	2600.0 <sup>b</sup>	48.4 <sup>b</sup>	10.1 <sup>a</sup>	31.4 <sup>a</sup>

Mean values in each column with the same letter(s) are not significantly different using LSD tests at 5% of probability.

system are reduction in plant density (Hemmat, 1996); increased weed infestation (Peltzer et al., 2009) and soil physical properties that limit crop growth (Haj Abbasi and Hemmat, 2000). The most probable cause of erratic stand establishment for no-till wheat treatment was poor soil–seed contact associated with the use of the drill for seeding into a layer of crop residue. On the other hand, Tarkalsona et al. (2006) reported that application of NT system in a long term period led to indicative improvement in wheat productivity in comparison with CT system. No tillage system needs specific planting tools that cannot easily be found in Iran, therefore, using chisel plow is more favored by farmers. Shams-Abadi and Rafiee (2007) resulted that using chisel leads to increase wheat production. Furthermore, Quincke et al. (2007) indicated sorghum production was higher under CT system compared to no tillage system.

**Conclusion**

The present study provides information on soil microbial biomass dynamics and biocatalytic activities as influenced by organic and inorganic fertilization and tillage systems in canola production conditions. The results demonstrate that soil enzyme activity is sensitive in discriminating between organic fertilizers and inorganic fertilizer application on a short-term basis. Enzymatic properties were also closely related with the C inputs. Consistent distinctions in enzyme activities were observed between different tillage practices. These differences were most pronounced between no tillage on the one hand and conventional and reduced tillage on the other hand. According to the results of various characteristics of canola like as yield and minerals compound of grain, the T<sub>2</sub>N<sub>5</sub> treatment could be suggested as superior treatment in this study. This treatment seems to be cost effective and environmentally safe; therefore, it could

allocate our agro-ecosystems into sustainable agriculture. The more ecological approach to soil management has come from the sustainable development agenda in which the main concern with the maintenance of yield is closely associated with desires to conserve natural resources, including a greater value accorded to maintenance of biodiversity.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj Branch is acknowledged for financial and farm support.

**REFERENCES**

Azim-Zadeh S, Kuchaki A, Pala M (2002). Study on the effect of plow different methods on bulk density, porosity, soil moisture and wheat yield. *Iran J. Crop Sci.*, 4: 218-233.

Chander K, Goyal S, Nandal DP, Kapoor KK (1998). Soil organic matter, microbial biomass and enzyme activities in a tropical agroforestry system. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 27: 168-172.

Cole MA (1977). Lead inhibition of enzyme synthesis in soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 33: 262-268.

El-Baruni B, Olsen SR (1979). Effect of manure on solubility of phosphorus in calcareous soils. *Soil Sci.*, 128: 219-225.

Giusquiani PL, Gigliotti G, Businelli D (1994). Long-term effects of heavy metals from composted municipal waste on some enzyme activities in a cultivated soil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 17: 257-262.

Haj-Abbasi MA, Hemmat A (2000). Tillage impacts on aggregate stability and crop productivity in clay-loam soil in central Iran. *Soil Till Res.*, 56: 205-212.

Hatch DJ, Goodlass G, Joynes A, Shepherd MA (2007). The effect of cutting, mulching and applications of farmyard manure on nitrogen fixation in a red clover grass sward. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98: 3243-3248.

Hemmat A (1996). Effects of seedbed preparation and planting methods on emergence of irrigated winter wheat. *Iranian J. Agric. Sci.*, 27: 55-68.

Hopkins DW, Shiel RS (1996). Size and activity of soil microbial communities in long-term experimental grassland plots treated with manure and inorganic fertilizers. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 22: 66-70.

Jackson ML (1973). *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt.

- Ltd., New Delhi. pp. 134-149.
- Jin K, Sleutel S, Buchan D, De Neve S, Cai DX, Gabriels D, Jin JY (2009). Changes of soil enzyme activities under different tillage practices in the Chinese Loess Plateau. *Soil Till. Res.*, 104: 115-120.
- Jutur PP, Reddy AR (2007). Isolation, purification and properties of new restriction endonucleases from *Bacillus badius* and *Bacillus lentus*. *Microbiol. Res.*, 162: 378-383.
- Kandeler E, Tschirko D, Spiegel H (1999). Long-term monitoring of microbial biomass, N mineralisation and enzyme activities of a Chernozem under different tillage management. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 28: 343-351.
- Ladd JN (1978). Origin and range of enzymes in soil. In: Burns RG (Ed.), *Soil Enzymes*. Academic Press, London.
- Li CH, Ma BL, Zhang TQ (2002). Soil bulk density effects on soil microbial populations and enzyme activities during the growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.) planted in large pots under field exposure. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 82: 147-154.
- Madejon E, Moreno F, Murillo JM, Pelegrin F (2007). Soil biochemical response to long-term conservation tillage under semi-arid Mediterranean conditions. *Soil Till. Res.*, 94: 346-352.
- Mandal A, Patra AK, Singh D, Swarup A, Masto RE (2007). Effect of long-term application of manure and fertilizer on biological and biochemical activities in soil during crop development stages. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98: 3585-3592.
- Marschner P, Kandeler E, Marschner B (2003). Structure and function of the soil microbial community in a long-term fertilizer experiment. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 35: 453-461.
- Martens DA, Johanson JB, Frankenberger JWT (1992). Production and persistence of soil enzymes with repeated addition of organic residues. *Soil Sci.*, 153: 53-61.
- Mohammadi K (2011). Soil microbial activity and biomass as influenced by tillage and fertilization in wheat production. *Am. Eur. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, 10(3): 330-337.
- Mohammadi K, Ghalavand A, Aghaalikhani M, Heidari G, Sohrabi Y (2011). Introducing a sustainable soil fertility system for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 10(32): 6011-6020.
- Nannipieri P, Ceccanti B, Cervelli S, Sequi P (1974). Use of 0.1 M pyrophosphate to extract urease from a podzol. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 6: 359-362.
- Naseby DC, Lynch JM (1997). Rhizosphere soil enzymes as indicators of perturbation caused by a genetically modified strain of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on wheat seed. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 29: 1353-1362.
- Nayak DR, Babu YJ, Adhya TK (2007). Long-term application of compost influences microbial biomass and enzyme activities in a tropical Aeric Endoaquept planted to rice under flooded condition. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39: 1897-1906.
- Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. US Dept. Agric. Washington DC, Circular, p. 939.
- Parham JA, Deng SP, Raun WR (2003). Long-term cattle manure application in soil. Part II: effect on soil microbial populations and community structure. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 38: 209-215.
- Parham JA, Deng SP, Raun WR, Johnson GV (2002). Long-term cattle manure application in soil. Part I: effect on soil phosphorus levels and activities of enzymes involved in phosphorus transformations in soil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 35: 328-337.
- Peltzer SC, Hashem AJA, Osten BVA, Gupta DAJ, Diggle CML, Riethmuller EGP, Koetz H (2009). Weed management in wide-row cropping systems: a review of current practices and risks for Australian farming systems. *Crop Pasture Sci.*, 60: 395-406.
- Plaza C, Hernandez D, Garcia-Gil JC, Polo A (2004). Microbial activity in pig slurry-amended soils under semiarid conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36: 1577-1585.
- Quincke JA, Wortmann CS, Mamo M, Franti T, Drijber RA, Garcia JP (2007). Effect of one-time tillage of no-till systems on soil physical properties, phosphorus runoff, and crop yield. *Agron. J.*, 99: 1104-1110.
- Rudresh DL, Shivaprakash MK, Prasad RD (2005). Effect of combined application of *rhizobium*, phosphate solubilizing bacterium and *Trichoderma* spp. on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 28: 139-146.
- Sahni S, Sarma BK, Singh DP, Singh HP, Singh KP (2008). Vermicompost enhances performance of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in *Cicer arietinum* rhizosphere against *Sclerotium rolfsii*. *Crop Prot.*, 27: 369-376.
- SAS Institute (2003). The SAS system for windows. Release 9.1, SAS Inst, Cary, NC.
- Schillinger WF (2005). Tillage method and sowing rate relations for dryland spring wheat, barley, and oat. *Crop Sci.*, 45: 2636-2643.
- Shams Abadi HA, Rafiee S (2007). Study on the effect of tillage practices and different seed densities on yield of rainfed wheat. *Iran J. Agric. Sci. Nat. Res.*, 13: 95-102.
- Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM (1969). Use of p-nitrophenol phosphate in assay of soil phosphatase activity. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 1: 301-307.
- Tarafdar JC, Marschner H (1994). Phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere and hyphosphere of VA mycorrhizal wheat supplied with inorganic and organic phosphorus. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 26: 387-395.
- Tarkalson DD, Hergertb GW, Cassman KG (2006). Long-term effects of tillage on soil chemical properties and grain yields of a dryland winter wheat sorghum-corn-fallow rotation in the Great Plains. *Agron. J.*, 98: 26-33.
- Wardle DA, Bonner KI, Barker GM, Yeates GW, Nicholson KS, Bardgett RD, Watson RN, Ghani A (1999). Plant removals in perennial grassland: vegetation dynamics, decomposers, soil biodiversity, and ecosystem properties. *Ecol. Monograph.*, 69: 535-568.
- Włodarczyk T, Stepniewski W, Brzezinska M (2002). Dehydrogenase activity, redox potential, and emissions of carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide from Cambisols under flooding conditions. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 36: 200-206.
- Zhao FG, Evans EJ, Bilsborrow PE, Syers JK (1998). Sulphur uptake and distribution in double and single low varieties of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Plant Soil*. 150: 69-76.