Full Length Research Paper

Genetic variation among pelt sheep population using microsatellite markers

Shahram Nanekarani¹*, Cyrus Amirinia², Nour Amirmozafari³, Rasoul Vaez Torshizi⁴ and Ali Akbar Gharahdaghi⁵

¹Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran,

Iran.

²Department of Animal Biotechnology, Animal Science Research Institute of Iran, Karaj, Iran. ³Department of Molecular Biology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

⁴Animal Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

⁵Department of Animal breeding, Animal Science Research Institute of Iran, Karaj, Iran.

Accepted 21 September, 2010

Genetic variation in three Iranian pelt sheep breeds namely: Gray Shiraz, Zandi and Karakul were investigated using fifteen microsatellite loci. Genomic DNA was extracted from 360 blood samples by extraction kits and salting-out procedure with some modifications. The total number of alleles ranged from 6 to 12 in loci. The fifteen tested loci were all polymorphic in the three breeds. The average direct count of heterozygosity overall loci in each tested breed was more than the expected heterozygosity. Tests of genotype frequencies for deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were performed at each locus of overall breeds and revealed significant departure from HWE (P < 0.001) due to heterozygote excess. Polymorphism information content value in Gray Shiraz, Zandi and Karakul were 0.815, 0.808 and 0.808, respectively. Rate of inbreeding within the three breeds was not noticeable (global F_{is} = - 0.19). Low genetic differentiation was detected by estimation of F_{st} index between all pairs of breeds. Results showed that high level of genetic diversity was observed in pelt sheep. The phylogenetic tree based on Nei distances were drown using the neighbor-joining (NJ) and unweighted pair-group method using an arithmetic average (UPGMA). With both methods, Gray Shiraz and Karakul sheep populations were located together at one cluster and Zandi sheep population at another. The results can be useful in the development of breeding strategy for genetic improvement of pelt sheep in Iran.

Key words: Microsatellites, sheep, genetic, diversity.

INTRODUCTION

Awareness of the value of animal genetic resource is currently prompting deliberate efforts at optimum utilization and conservation of the species. The maintenance of genetic diversity is a key to the long-term survival of most species (Hall and Bardley, 1995). The genetic polymorphism and diversity found in the domestic breeds allows farmers to develop new characteristics in response to changes in environment or market conditions. So, the importance of increasing, maintaining and conserving the genetic diversity in these animals has been recognized for the future (Zhang et al., 2009). Studies of genetic diversity in domestic animals are based on an evaluation of the genetic variation within breeds and genetic relationship among them.

The total sheep population in Iran is 54 million heads. At present, a total of 1.6 million people are directly working in sheep and goat sector (ASRI, 2004). Most of sheep breeds are multipurpose producing lamb, wool and milk. Gray Shiraz, Zandi and Karakul are three wellknown breeds of sheep for pelt production in Iran with a 7438 Afr. J. Biotechnol.

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: shahramnanekarani@yahoo.com. Tel: +98-261-2728772. Fax: +98-261-4413258.

Abbreviations: PIC, Polymorphism information content; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; dNTPs, deoxynucleoside triphosphates; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

population of 500,000, 500,000 and 300,000, respectively (ASRI, 2004). In the past, the Gray Shiraz, Karakul and Zandi were selected for production of good guality pelts mainly for exports. Now, due to the increased demand of the meat in the country, these breeds are mainly oriented towards the meat and lamb production (Moradpour, 1993). The Gray Shiraz and Karakul sheep breeds are from the same origin. Gray Shiraz has a slightly smaller size than Karakul (Tavakolian, 1999). Gray Shiraz is found in South of Iran (Fars province). Karakul is very resistant to harsh condition and is raised mostly under the semi nomadic system of management. Karakul breed is mainly found in the plain of Sarakhs in the province of Khorasan, neighboring Turkmenistan. Zandi breed is similar to Gray Shiraz and Karakul (Moradpour, 1993). It has a slightly smaller size than both two mentioned breeds. This breed is mainly found in parts of Tehran, Semnan, Ghom provinces and some parts of the Central province. These breeds are medium size, meat and pelt type, with coarse wool breed (Tavakolian, 1999). Information about population genetics is one of the most important factors in animal breeding. In order to estimate genetic diversity in the populations, molecular markers like microsatellites are useful tools (Esmaeilkhanian and Banabazi, 2006). Microsatellites are stable, polymorphic and easy to analyze; this occurs regularly throughout an animal genome as well.

Microsatellites are co-dominant markers, so that all alleles can be scored. Several studies had investigated the genetic diversity in sheep using microsatellites (El Nahas, 2008; Dalvit et al., 2008; Mahmoudi and Babayev, 2009; Sharifi sidani et al., 2009; Kusza et al., 2010). The aim of this study is to use the molecular data to evaluate genetic variability, gene flow and inbreeding in sheep flocks, and also for designing a breeding strategy aimed at incrementing genetic diversity within and between pelt sheep breeds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Blood sampling and DNA extraction

Blood samples of 360 sheep of both sexes, were randomly collected from three pelt breeds: Gray Shiraz, Zandi and Karakul from distant located experimental stations belonging to the Animal Science research Institute. Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh or frozen blood using modified salting-out (Miller et al., 1988) and DNA Extraction kit (Diatom DNA Prep 100). DNA concentration was determined using a UV spectrophotometer.

Microsatellite polymorphism detection

Fifteen microsatellite markers were selected in respect of polymorphisms, a non-linkage criterion for syntenic loci and criterion of location on different chromosomes (Table 1). Microsatellites were amplified with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using genomic DNA extracted from individual animals. The PCR was performed for each locus in 15 μ l reaction mixture consisting: 1X buffer, 200 μ M dNTPs, 1.5 - 4.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 μ M of each Primers , 1 units Taq

polymerase and 100 - 200 ng DNA. The reactions were done with Gradient Master Cycler Ependorf. The cycling protocol was as follows: 5 min denaturing at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 52 - 63°C (depending on primers) for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 45 s and the final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Amplification products were electrophoresed on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gels and stained according to rapid silver staining procedure. The gel was photographed using Gel-Doc XR (BioRad). Patterns of the different genotypes for each microsatellite locus were analyzed using Gel-Pro analyzer, version 3.1 for windowsTM, which determines the allele's sizes in each animal.

Statistical analysis

Allele frequencies at each locus for each breed were calculated using a computer program GenAlEx 6 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). GenAlEx 6 software program was also used for calculating the observed and expected heterozygosity overall loci in each of the three breeds (Levene, 1949; Nei, 1978). Test of departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at each locus overall breeds were performed using Chi-square and Likelihood ratio or G-square test (Hedrick, 2000) using GenAIEx 6 program and POPGENE software version1.31 (Yeh et al., 1999), respectively. GenAlEx 6 program was also used to calculate F-statistics that include three indices: Fit, Fst (genetic differentiation) and Fis (within breed heterozygosity deficit). Polymorphism information content (PIC) was calculated using HET software version 1.8 (Ott, 2001). The genetic distance (DS) Nei (1972) was calculated using the GenAlEx 6 program. Nei genetic distances was used to construct phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method (NJ) and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) using MEGA software version 3 (Kumar, 2004).

RESULTS

The number of alleles for each of the fifteen microsatellite loci in each of the three breeds is presented in Table 2. The total number of detected alleles varied from 6 (BM1815, BM1815, OARCP26, OARFCB20 and MAF64) to 12 (MCMA2 and BMS678). The mean numbers of alleles per locus are 8.1, 8 and 8.1 in Gray, Zandi and Karakul, respectively. The mean number of alleles shared between Gray and Zandi, Gray and Karakul and also Zandi and Karakul are 7.7, 7.9 and 7.8, respectively, whereas the mean number of the alleles shared by the three breeds is 7.6. It is worth mentioning that all alleles representing ten loci are present in the three breeds and the only difference found is at BMS460, OARCP26, OARAE129, MAF64 and BM6444 loci where some alleles at loci are not present in Gray, Zandi or Karakul.

Table 3 presents the alleles frequency distribution at the analyzed loci in the three breeds. At loci MCMA26, the same alleles are at the highest frequency in Gray, Zandi and Karakul breeds. Gray and Karakul breeds have similar alleles at the highest allele frequency at six loci. Whereas Gray and Zandi; Zandi and Karakul breeds show similar alleles at the highest allele frequency at three loci. Gray and Zandi breeds have the highest allele frequency at OARCP26 locus.

The average direct count of heterozygosity (observed

 Table 1. Characteristics of the microsatellites under investigation.

Name	Primer sequence		Accession no.	Allelic range	Annealing Temperature (℃)	References	
MCMA2	TCACCCAACAATCATGAAAC /	12	AE009772	157 201	50	Madday at al. 2000	
MONAZ	TTAAATCGAGTGTGAATGGG		AI 090773	157-201	52		
MCM63	CCCAATTTGGCAACAGCTACG /	٩	1 37889	120-168	55	Smith et al 1995	
MONIOS	ATTGGCCTCTCTGATGCAC	5	E37003	120-100	55	Official et al., 1999.	
BMS460	TGCCCCATAGTGTAGTGCTC /	З	G18836	120-148	58	Maddox et al 2000	
BNO400	GCCAGCAGAGAATTGTAGCA	5	010000				
BM1815	AGAGGATGATGGCCTCCTG /	20	G18389	Not	55	Bishon et al 1994	
Binnons	CAAGGAGACAAGTCAAGTTCCC	20	010000	available			
OABCP26	GGCCTAACAGAATTCAGATGATGTTGC /	4	U15698	120-170	55	Ede et al 1995	
	GTCACCATACTGACGGCTGGTTCC		010000	120 170			
OARECB20	AAATGTGTTTAAGATTCCATACAGTG /	2	120004	83-123	55	Buchanan et al.,	
	GGAAAACCCCCATATATACCTATAC	_				1993.	
AATCCAGTGTGTGAAAGACTAATCCAG /		5	L 11051	133-159	52	Penty et al., 1993.	
	GTAGATCAAGATATAGAATATTTTTCAACACC						
MAF64	AATAGACCATTCAGAGAAACGTTGAC /		M62993	109-141	63	Swarbrick et al.,	
	CTCATGGAATCAGACAAAAGGTAGG	-				1991.	
BMS332	GACAAAACCCTTTTAGCACAGG /	22	G18841	127-157	57	Maddox et al., 2000.	
	AATTGCATGGAAAGTTCTCAGC		0.000.0		••		
LSCV38	GTTGCAAAGAGCTGGACGTG /	12	G40990	102-122	54	Maddox et al 2000	
200100	CTGGATGGCAAAGTGATTCAG		0.10000		01	Maddox of al., 2000.	
BM6444	CTCTGGGTACAACACTGAGTCC /	2	G18444	128-165	55	Bishon et al 1994	
Billottt	TAGAGAGTTTCCCTGTCCATCC	-		120 100			
BMS995	AATTCTTCCAACCTCCAGTGC /	13	G18766	121-1/7	58	Maddox et al 2000	
BN0000	ACTTTTCAAGCAGGGCTCAC	10	010700	121-147	50		
MCMA26	TCTCTGCTTTCCAGCCTTATTC /	18	AE008061	188-010	52	Maddox et al. 2000	
MONAZO	AGAGCTTTTAGGACAGCCACC	10	AI 030301	100-212	52		
BMS678	ACCATCTACTGTGCTATGGCTT /	2	G18734	100-130	54	Cortari et al 1997	
50000	GCAGAAACACAATACTCAGTGC	~	010/04	100-150	74		
OABCP49	CAGACACGGCTTAGCAACTAAACGC /	17	1115702	85-107	63	Ede et al 1995	
	GTGGGGATGAATATTCCTTCATAAGG	17	013/02	00-107	00	Eue et al., 1995.	

Chr. = Chromosome; no. = number.

		Number of alleles										
Locus	Total	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	Shared by Gray-Zandi	Shared by Gray-Karakul	Shared by Zandi-Karakul	Shared by all				
MCMA2	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12				
MCM63	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	7				
BMS460	7	6	7	6	5	5	6	5				
BM1815	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6				
OARCP26	6	6	4	6	4	6	4	4				
OARFCB20	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6				
OARAE129	7	7	6	7	6	7	6	6				
MAF64	6	6	5	4	5	4	4	4				
BMS332	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7				
LSCV38	8	6	8	8	6	6	8	6				
BM6444	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	8				
BMS995	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11				
MCMA26	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11				
BMS678	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12				
OARCP49	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9				
Mean	8.3	8.1	8.0	8.1	7.7	7.9	7.8	7.6				

Table 2. Number of alleles at each microsatellite locus in the three breeds and the number of alleles shared between breeds.

Table 3. Allele frequencies at each microsatellite locus in the three sheep breeds.

	MCMAD			DMC679			
Allele			2	Allele		BMS678	3
no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul
1	0.114	0.062	0.059	1	0.063	0.090	0.174
2	0.082	0.075	0.090	2	0.059	0.085	0.136
3	0.091	0.071	0.069	3	0.072	0.056	0.065
4	0.055	0.049	0.053	4	0.095	0.098	0.114
5	0.082	0.058	0.048	5	0.050	0.090	0.054
6	0.077	0.058	0.085	6	0.050	0.060	0.060
7	0.068	0.049	0.074	7	0.126	0.124	0.054
8	0.082	0.124	0.085	8	0.081	0.051	0.049
9	0.141	0.080	0.122	9	0.108	0.115	0.054
10	0.059	0.137	0.090	10	0.113	0.081	0.065
11	0.077	0.142	0.112	11	0.108	0.060	0.076
12	0.073	0.097	0.112	12	0.077	0.090	0.098
Allele		MCM6	3	Allele	LSCV38		
no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul
1	0.045	0.081	0.128	1	0.000	0.144	0.128
2	0.067	0.242	0.267	2	0.000	0.051	0.059
3	0.067	0.119	0.133	3	0.117	0.051	0.064
4	0.147	0.085	0.067	4	0.230	0.292	0.239
5	a (- a						
5	0.179	0.199	0.211	5	0.157	0.157	0.096
6	0.179 0.183	0.199 0.161	0.211 0.133	5 6	0.157 0.052	0.157 0.051	0.096 0.053
6 7	0.179 0.183 0.121	0.199 0.161 0.064	0.211 0.133 0.000	5 6 7	0.157 0.052 0.261	0.157 0.051 0.203	0.096 0.053 0.250
6 7 8	0.179 0.183 0.121 0.192	0.199 0.161 0.064 0.051	0.211 0.133 0.000 0.061	5 6 7 8	0.157 0.052 0.261 0.183	0.157 0.051 0.203 0.051	0.096 0.053 0.250 0.112
6 7 8 Allele	0.179 0.183 0.121 0.192	0.199 0.161 0.064 0.051 OARAE1	0.211 0.133 0.000 0.061 29	5 6 7 8 Allele	0.157 0.052 0.261 0.183	0.157 0.051 0.203 0.051 BMS332	0.096 0.053 0.250 0.112 2
6 7 8 Allele no.	0.179 0.183 0.121 0.192 Gray	0.199 0.161 0.064 0.051 OARAE1 Zandi	0.211 0.133 0.000 0.061 29 Karakul	5 6 7 8 Allele no.	0.157 0.052 0.261 0.183 Gray	0.157 0.051 0.203 0.051 BMS332 Zandi	0.096 0.053 0.250 0.112 2 Karakul
6 7 8 Allele no.	0.179 0.183 0.121 0.192 Gray 0.050	0.199 0.161 0.064 0.051 OARAE1 Zandi 0.284	0.211 0.133 0.000 0.061 29 Karakul 0.066	5 6 7 8 Allele no. 1	0.157 0.052 0.261 0.183 Gray 0.118	0.157 0.051 0.203 0.051 BMS332 Zandi 0.173	0.096 0.053 0.250 0.112 2 Karakul 0.121

Table 3. Contd.

3	0.055	0.127	0.093	3	0.264	0.235	0.275	
4	0.161	0.161	0.181	4	0.086	0.111	0.082	
5	0.275	0.169	0.181	5	0.145	0.084	0.104	
6	0.206	0.051	0.159	6	0.082	0.084	0.115	
7	0.179	0.000	0.264	7	0.068	0.053	0.049	
Allele		BM1815		Allele		OARFCB	20	
no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	
1	0.197	0.118	0.304	1	0.140	0.291	0.134	
2	0.272	0.193	0.380	2	0.331	0.261	0.274	
3	0.145	0.218	0.065	3	0.182	0.145	0.226	
4	0.154	0.160	0.065	4	0.144	0.107	0.075	
5	0.127	0.261	0.082	5	0.093	0.090	0.118	
6	0.105	0.050	0.103	6	0.110	0.107	0.172	
Allele		OARCP2	6	Allele		MAF64		
no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	
1	0.049	0.114	0.137	1	0.134	0.325	0.397	
2	0.433	0.452	0.170	2	0.196	0.213	0.196	
3	0.357	0.333	0.335	3	0.165	0.254	0.326	
4	0.063	0.101	0.181	4	0.335	0.163	0.082	
5	0.049	0.000	0.099	5	0.085	0.046	0.000	
6	0.049	0.000	0.077	6	0.085 0.		0.000	
Allele		BMS995		Allele		MCMA26		
no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	
1	0.077	0.141	0.130	1	0.047	0.057	0.104	
2	0.128	0.098	0.089	2	0.060	0.070	0.066	
3	0.068	0.103	0.120	3	0.085	0.110	0.115	
4	0.098	0.051	0.052	4	0.175	0.162	0.159	
5	0.081	0.090	0.073	5	0.145	0.149	0.066	
6	0.120	0.077	0.130	6	0.098	0.079	0.088	
7	0.077	0.073	0.052	7	0.051	0.070	0.099	
8	0.068	0.090	0.068	8	0.073	0.057	0.066	
9	0.068	0.098	0.125	9	0.094	0.061	0.055	
10	0.077	0.051	0.052	10	0.120	0.101	0.066	
11	0.137	0.128	0.109	11	0.051	0.083	0.115	
Allele		BM6444		Allele		OARCP4	9	
no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	no.	Gray	Zandi	Karakul	
1	0.174	0.058	0.050	1	0.133	0.098	0.059	
2	0.071	0.096	0.050	2	0.150	0.107	0.128	
3	0.192	0.233	0.111	3	0.168	0.171	0.117	
4	0.089	0.175	0.150	4	0.164	0.197	0.122	
5	0.121	0.254	0.150	5	0.053	0.051	0.170	
6	0.089	0.067	0.083	6	0.093	0.051	0.096	
	0.063	0.075	0.122		0.093	0.150	0.053	
8	0.116	0.042	0.161	8	0.053	0.090	0.112	
9	0.085	0.000	0.122	9	0.093	0.085	0.144	
		Allele no.	-	BMS460				
			Gray	Zandi	Karakul			
		1	0.000	0.143	0.000			
		2	0.000	0.237	0.043			

Table 3. Contd.

	3	0.127	0.147	0.085	
	4	0.145	0.116	0.197	
	5	0.219	0.112	0.234	
	6	0.219	0.174	0.319	
	7	0.149	0.071	0.122	
	8	0.140	0.000	0.000	

The highest allele frequency/breed is in bold typeface. no. = Number.

Table 4. Mean heterozygosity and polymorphism information content (PIC) in the three sheep breeds.

Heterozygosity and PIC	Gray	Zandi	Karakul
Mean observed heterozygosity ± SD	0.9841 ± 0.0297	0.9857 ± 0.0152	0.9885 ± 0.0117
Mean expected heterozygosity ± SD	0.8366 ± 0.0619	0.8297 ± 0.0654	0.8306 ± 0.0643
Mean PIC ± SD	0.8155 ± 0.0743	0.8077 ± 0.0803	0.8081 ± 0.0780

SD, Standard deviation.

Table 5.	PIC, Fπ,	F _{ST} , G _{ST}	and F _{IS}	values,	and chi-squ	are and	G-square	test fo	r HWE for	each	locus	over
all breeds	5.											

LOCUS	PIC	Fιτ	F _{ST}	Fis	G _{ST}	Chi-square	G-square	Df
MCMA2	0.906	-0.075	0.005	-0.080	0.005	653.2***	618.3***	66
MCM63	0.842	-0.158	0.021	-0.183	0.021	402.6***	394.2***	28
BMS460	0.828	-0.172	0.034	-0.213	0.034	558.6***	467.6***	28
BM1815	0.786	-0.216	0.030	-0.253	0.030	302.9***	300.3***	15
OARCP26	0.680	-0.361	0.032	-0.406	0.032	726.2***	444.7***	15
OARFCB20	0.781	-0.219	0.011	-0.233	0.011	222.2***	266.5***	15
OARAE129	0.830	-0.167	0.042	-0.218	0.042	483.2***	477.3***	21
MAF64	0.743	-0.224	0.039	-0.274	0.039	359.9***	333.4***	15
BMS332	0.796	-0.190	0.003	-0.193	0.003	247.5***	278.3***	21
LSCV38	0.807	-0.196	0.013	-0.212	0.013	600.6***	513.5***	15
BM6444	0.859	-0.137	0.019	-0.159	0.019	424.7***	407.1***	36
BMS995	0.896	-0.082	0.004	-0.086	0.004	275.1***	268.5***	55
MCMA26	0.891	-0.111	0.005	-0.117	0.005	676***	619***	55
BMS678	0.906	-0.082	0.007	-0.090	0.007	634.9***	588.4***	78
OARCP49	0.869	-0.127	0.010	-0.139	0.010	617.7***	585.7***	36
Mean	0.828	-0.168	0.018	-0.19	0.018			

*** p < 0.001.

heterozygosity) overall loci in Gray, Zandi and Karakul breeds are 0.984, 0.986 and 0.988, respectively. Whereas the average expected heterozygosity overall loci in the three breeds are 0.837, 0.830 and 0.831, respectively (Table 4). These results show more heterozygosity than expected in each breed. Mean PIC overall loci in Gray, Zandi and Karakul breeds are 0.8155, 0.8077 and 0.8081, respectively (Table 4). As expected, fairly high level of genetic heterogeneity was further reflected within three breeds by a mean PIC value. These high estimates of PIC substantiated the suitability of used set of markers

to applications such as parentage control, linkagemapping programs in addition to genetic polymorphism studies in Iranian sheep too.

The PIC values for all loci ranged from 0.680 (OARCP26) to 0.906 (MCMA2). The PIC values are very high, indicating that these loci are highly informative and suitable for genetic studies of sheep breeds (Table 5).

Tests of genotype frequencies for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), at each locus overall breeds, reveal significant departure from HWE (P > 0.001) (Table 5). G_{ST} and F-statistics for each locus overall

Breed	Gray	Zandi
of the teste	d breeds.	

Table 6. Per pair Fst values between all pairs

Breed	Gray	Zandi
Gray		
Zandi	0.013	
Karakul	0.013	0.015

 Table 7. Nei's genetic distances between the studied breeds.

Breed	Gray	Zandi
Gray		
Zandi	0.141	
Karakul	0.139	0.155

breeds are given in Table 5. The global F_{IS} , F_{ST} and F_{IT} are -0.19, 0.018 and -0.168, respectively. All markers had negative values of F_{IS}, showing an excess of heterozygotes. F_{ST} values of genetic differentiation and GST values of breed differentiation were similar and ranged from 0.003 (BMS332) to 0.042 (OARAE129). Per pair estimator of FST, which is the measure of differentiation among population, is 0.013 between Gray and Zandi, 0.013 between Gray and Karakul and 0.015 between Zandi and Karakul (Table 6). The calculated genetic distance matrix is shown in Table 7. The distance between Gray and Zandi, and Gray and Karakul was smaller than the distance between Zandi and Karakul. Nei' (1978) genetic distances among breeds are presented in Table 7, with the corresponding UPGMA dendrogram and neighbour-joining tree in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The smallest genetic distance (0.139) was estimated between Gray and Karakul. There is little differentiation between the pelt sheep breeds with Nei distances ranging from 0.139 to 0.155. The cluster analysis shows that Gray and Karakul breeds cluster independently from Zandi breed.

DISCUSSION

Recently, the preservation of unique, genetically distinct breeds of domesticated animals, especially indigenous, has received much attention. Knowledge and information on genetic diversity, population structure and genetic relationships between populations are absolute prerequisites for defining and accomplishing effective preservation strategies (Kusza et al., 2010). This study aimed to characterize the genetic diversity and structure of pelt sheep populations by using fifteen microsatellites. Over the past decade, numerous studies on genetic diversity in domestic livestock (mainly in small ruminants), based on the analysis of microsatellite loci, have been carried out worldwide. Investigation of genetic variation in Taleshi goat using microsatellite loci indicated substantial genetic variation based on their gene diversity and average number of alleles per locus (Mahmoudi and Babayev, 2009). Genetic characterization of Alpine sheep breeds was established on the basis of individual genotypes at microsatellite loci (Dalvit et al., 2008). Study of genetic relationships among bulgarian sheep breeds using microsatellite loci indicated a high level of variation in the tested breeds (Kusza et al., 2010). Arora et al. (2008) examines the genetic variability in Jalauni, an important sheep of northwestern arid and semi arid region of India, at 25 microsatellite loci. Also, genetic diversity and relationships within and among Magra, Marwari and Sonadi sheep breeds of India, were distinguished based on microsatellite markers (Arora et al., 2008).

In Iran, many studies were performed to evaluate the genetic diversity of Iranian sheep breeds. Esmail et al. (2007) used nineteen microsatellites to evaluate genetic variation within Baluchi sheep breed. Banabazi et al. (2007) studied the genetic variation within and between five Iranian sheep populations including Sanjabi, Kordi Kordistan, Kordi Khorasan, Mehraban and Moghani using six microsatellite Markers. Also, genetic variation among different ecotypes of the Iranian sanjabi sheep was investigated based on the analysis of microsatellite loci (Sharifi et al., 2009). In the present study, fifteen microsatellite loci were used to evaluate the genetic diversity within and between pelt sheep breeds reared in Iran. The fifteen microsatellites are all polymorphic in the three breeds. The total number of alleles was 122 at the 15 studied loci. Major differences between the three breeds were not observed. The average expected heterozygosity overall loci in Gray, Zandi and Karakul are 0.837, 0.830 and 0.831, respectively. High value of average expected heterozygosity within the breed could be attributed to the large allele numbers detected in the tested loci (Kalinwski, 2002). The average direct count of heterozygosity overall loci in each of the three sheep breeds is more than the expected heterozygosity.

All loci were derived from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p < 0.001) due to excess of heterozygote individuals than homozygote individuals, migration, high mutation rate in microsatellite and artificial selection in all breeds (Aminafshar et al., 2008). Deviation from HWE at microsatellites loci have, also been reported in various studies (Barker et al., 2001; Laval et al., 2000; El Nahas, 2008; Aminafshar et al., 2008; Sharifi sidani et al., 2009). It is known that a population is considered to be within HWE only when it is able to maintain its relative allele frequencies.

The global inbreeding coefficients F_{IS} (-0.19) and F_{IT} (-0.168) observed in the present study indicate an excess of heterozygotes and so it does not probably encounter problems that results from inbreeding depression. This result may explain the observed high value of direct count of heterozygosity in each breed and the deviation from HWE which were detected in all loci overall breeds.



Figure 1. UPGMA phylogenetic radial tree based on Nei's standard genetic distances of the three sheep breeds.



Figure 2. Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree based on Nei's standard genetic distances.

According to Hartl (1980), per pair F_{ST} value equals 0.05 is indicative for moderate differentiation between populations. The per pair FST values reported in the present investigation between all pairs of the tested breeds are less than 0.05 which may indicate a low differentiation between populations under investigation. The estimated F_{ST} , which corresponds to the proportion of genetic variability accounted for by differences among breeds, was 0.018. These results indicate that genetic diversity quantified by microsatellite markers shows very little differentiation among pelt sheep breeds. Our results are similar to those reported for other sheep breeds. where F_{ST} estimates range between 0.03 and 0.08 (Arranz et al., 1998; Alvarez et al., 2004; Rendo et al., 2004; Sodhi et al., 2006; Peter et al., 2007; El Nahas et al., 2008). The genetic similarity observed among three breeds is probably a result of migration among populations that may have a common origin and which have been selected mostly for morphological traits associated with the breed standard. Migration has a great effect on the reduction of genetic differentiation between popula-tions (Laval et al., 2000). Additionally, low genetic distance values (Ds = 0.139 - 0.155) supported high genetic similarity between these three breeds and were in similar range with those cited by Arranz et al. (1998) and Sodhi et al. (2006) for closely related Spanish sheep breeds (Ds = 0.21 - 0.36) and Nali and Chokla sheep (Ds = 0.229), respectively. In summary, the three breeds were found to be genetically and closely related to each other, although there was a significant geographical distance between the three populations.

In conclusion, the tested microsatellites, being all polymorphic in the three breeds, could be fruitfully used for the differentiation between breeds. The evaluation of genetic variations within and between pelt sheep breeds may be used as basis for the development of common breeding strategy for genetic improvement of these breeds.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez I, Royo LJ, Fernandez I, Gutierrez JP, Gomez E, Goyache F (2004). Genetic relationships and admixture among sheep breeds from Northern Spain assessed using microsatellites. J. Anim. Sci. 82: 2246-2252.
- Aminafshar M, Amirinia C, Torshizi RV (2008). Genetic diversity in buffalo population of guilan using microsatellite marker. J. Anim. Vet. Adv. 7(11): 1499-1502.
- Animal Science Research Institute of IRAN Tehran (2004). Draft Iran's Country Report On Farm Animal Genetic Resources. Ministry of Jihad-e-Agriculture.
- Arora R, Bhatia S, Sehrawat A, Maity SB, Kundu SS (2008). Genetic variability in Jalauni sheep of India inferred from microsatellite data. National Bureau of Animal Genetic Resources, P.O. Box 129, Karnal-132001, Haryana, India.
- Arranz JJ, Bayón Y, San Primitivo F (1998). Genetic relationships among Spanish sheep using microsatellites, Anim. Genet. 29: 435-440.
- Banabazi MH, Esmaeilkhanian S, Miraei Ashtiani SR, Moradi Shahrbabak M (2007). Gentic variation within and between five iranian sheep populations using microsatellite markers. (B), Isf. Univ. Technol., Isf., Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Agric. Nat. Res. 10: p. 4.
- Barker JSF, Tan SG, Moore SS, Mukherjee Tk, Matheson JL, Selvaraj OS (2001). Genetic variation within and relationship among populations of Asian goats (*Capra hircus*). J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 118: 213-233.
- Dalvit C, Sacca E, Cassandro M, Gervaso M, Pastore E, Piasentier E (2008). Genetic diversity and variability in Alpine sheep breeds. Small Rum. Res. 80: 45-51.
- El Nahas SM, Hassan AA, Abou Mossallam AA, Mahfouz ER, Bibars MA, Oraby HAS, de Hondt HA (2008). Analysis of genetic variation in different sheep breeds using microsatellites. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 7(8): 1060-1068.
- Esmail KS, Nejati JA, Afraz F, Daneshyar P, Ghanbari S (2007). Genetic variation among baluchi sheep population using microsatellite markers. J. (B), Isf. Univ. Technol., Isf., Iran. Sci. Tech. Agric. Nat. Res. 11: p. 41.
- Hall SJG, Bradley DG (1995). Conserving livestock breed biodiversity. Trends Ecol. Evol. 10: 267-270.
- Hartl D (1980). Principles of population genetics. Sinauer Associates Inc, Sunderland Massachussets, USA.
- Hedrick PW (2000). Genetics of Populations 2nd Ed, Boston: Jones and Bartlett.
- Kalinwski ST (2002). How many alleles per locus should be used to estimate genetic distances. Heredity, 88: 62-65.
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M (2004). MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. Briefings in Bioinform, 5: 150-163.

- Kusza SD, Dimov I, Nagy Z, Bõsze A, Jávor S, Kukovics (2010). Microsatellite analysis to estimate genetic relationships among five bulgarian sheep breeds. Genet. Mol. Biol. 33: p. 1.
- Laval G, Iannuccelli N, Legault C, Milan D, Groenen MAM, Giuffra E, Andersson L, Nissen PH, Jorgensen CB, Beeckmann P, Geldermann H, Foulley JL, Chevalet C, Ollivier L (2000). Genetic diversity of eleven European pig breeds. Genet. Select. Evol. 32: 187-203.
- Levene H (1949). On a matching problem in genetics. Ann. Math. Statist. 20: p. 91.
- Mahmoudi B, Babayev MS (2009). The investigation of genetic variation in Taleshi goat using microsatellite marker. Res. J. Biol. Sci. 4(6): 644-646.
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF (1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 16: p. 1215.
- Moradpour R (1993). Introduction to Karakul Shiraz, Organization of Jahad-e-Sazandegi of Fars province. (In Persian).
- Nei M (1972). Genetic distance between populations. Am. Nat. 106: 283-292.
- Nei M (1978). Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. Genetics, 89: 583-590.
- Ott J (2001). Program HET Version 1.8. Utility programs for analysis of genetic linkage. Rockefeller University.New York, NY, USA.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006). GENALEX 6 : genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol. Ecol. Note, 6: 288-295.
- Peter C, Bruford M, Perez T, Dalamitra S, Hewitt G, Erhardt, G, The Econogene Consortium (2007). Genetic diversity and subdivision of 57 European and Middle Eastern sheep breeds. Anim. Genet. 38: 37-44.
- Rendo F, Iriondo M, Jugo BM, Mazón LI, Aguirre A, Vicario A, Estonba A (2004). Tracking diversity and differentiation in six sheep breeds from the North Iberian Peninsula through DNA variation. Small Rum. Res. 52: 196-202.
- Sharifi sidani E, Amirinia C, Lavaf A, Farasati C, Aminafshar M (2009). Genetic variation among different ecotypes of the iranian sanjabi sheep. J. Anim. Vet. Adv. 8(6): 1173-1176.
- Sodhi M, Mukesh M, Bhatia S (2006). Characterizing Nali and Chokla sheep differentiation with microsatellite markers. Small Rum. Res. 65: 185-192.
- Tavakolian J (1999). An outlook toward the livestock and poultry genetic resources of Iran, Animal Sciences Research Institute, Karaj, Iran.
- Yeh FC, Boyle T, Yang R (1999). POPGENE version 1.31. A Microsoft window based freeware for population genetic analysis. University of Alberta, Edmonton.
- Zhang XY, Zhou ML, Zhang XH, Wu DJ (2009). Study on population genetic structure of Liangshan semi-wool sheep using microsatellite markers.