Full Length Research Paper

# Application of *Trichoderma harziunum* T22 as a biofertilizer supporting maize growth

# Samia Ageeb Akladious\* and Salwa Mohamed Abbas

Biological Science and Geology Department, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Roxy Cairo, Egypt.

## Accepted 8 March, 2012

This study was conducted to investigate the effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* strain (T22) on the growth and development of maize (*Zea mays*) plants. *T. harzianum* was applied to the grains in two different treatments. The first one was performed by inoculating the soil with the air dried growth of the tested fungus in three different levels (2, 4 and 6 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) before sowing. The second treatment was conducted by treating the seeds with different concentrations of metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* (100, 200 and 300  $\mu$ l) before sowing time. We compared these two types of treatments to determine which one has more benefit for improving the growth of maize plants. Results obtained revealed that the application of *T. harzianum* caused increases in all measured parameters which include growth parameters, chlorophyll content, starch content, nucleic acids content, total protein content and phytohormones content of maize plants, when applied to the soil or the seeds but the magnitude of these increases was much more pronounced in case of plants developed from seeds treated with various concentrations of metabolic solution of *T. harzianum*. The sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacryalmide gel electrophresis (SDS-PAGE) electrophoretic pattern of proteins extracted from maize leaves revealed the appearance of newly protein bands. It was concluded that the uses of *T. harzianum* improves the growth and development of maize plants.

**Key words:** *Trichoderma*, maize, growth-promoting effect, resistance response, inoculum, phytohormones, protiens (SDS-PAGE).

# INTRODUCTION

Trichoderma harzianum is a fungal genus found in many regions of the world. These fungi are ubiquitous in a wide variety of environments, showing up in soil, forests, wood, and paper, among other locations (Harman et al., 2004a). These fungi grow as symbiotic relationships with plants and they promote abundant root growth so that they have plenty of roots to grow. Trichoderma species have been widely studied for their capacity to enhance plant growth, produce antibiotics, parasitize other fungi and compete with deleterious plant microorganisms so that they are used as biofertilisers and biological agent (Adams et al., 2007; Bais et al., 2006). Recently, several attempts have been undertaken to apply Trichoderma spp. as bio stimulants of seedling establishment, enhancement of plant growth and elicit plant defense (Shanmugaiah et al., 2009; Celar and Valic, 2005). Until

recently, these traits were considered to be the basis for an indication of how *Trichoderma* exert beneficial effects on plant growth and development. However, it is becoming increasingly clear that certain strains also have substantial direct influence on plant development and crop productivity (Harman, 2006).

*T. harzianum* may be used as alternative to the chemicals to suppress the wilt pathogen and raise the yield of tomato (Mushtaq and Upadhyay, 2011). Chlorophyll content increased when seed was coated with *T. harzianum* T969 (Rasool et al., 2011). Also some species of *Trichoderma* have the ability to promote plant growth, as a result of different mechanisms, such as solubilization of phosphates, micronutrient and minerals, such as Fe, Mn and Mg that have important role in plant growth as well as indirectly with the control of the major and minor root infesting pathogens in rhizosphere, and improve nutrient uptake (Hoyos-Carvajal et al., 2009), as well as improve plant defense level against biotic and/or abiotic stress (Mastouri et al., 2010).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: samiapola@yahoo.com

This study aims to find if *Trichoderma* sp. had additional and promoting effects on vegetative growth through the analysis of some indicating factors, such as germination rate, root elongation, plant height, fresh weight of the plants and some physiological and biochemical changes on maize plants.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant material

Grains of maize cultivar, *Zea mays* L. used in this investigation was obtained from the Agricultural Research Center (ARC), EI-Dokkey, Giza, Egypt.

#### **Fungal preparation**

*T. harzianum* strain (T22) isolates selected for this study were obtained from NRRL (Agricultural Research Service Culture Collection) United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), New Orleans, Louisiana 70179. Cell suspensions of *T. harzianum* strain 22 were prepared by culturing the fungus in Czapek broth medium at 25°C for 7 days. The resulting culture was filtered through cheesecloth to separate mycelial fragments, washed by centrifugation (10,000 rpm for 15 min).

The *Trichoderma* was applied to the seeds in two different methods:

(1) In the first one the *Trichoderma* mycelia was air dried for 72 h and inoculated in loam based garden soil in concentrations of 2, 4 and 6 g of the powdered culture / kg of the soil before sowing time. (2) In the second method, maize seeds were treated with the metabolic solution (as the culture supernatant of the fungus) in concentrations of 100, 200 and 300  $\mu$ l for one hour before sowing.

#### **Experimental condition**

Maize grains were surface sterilized by immersing in 70% ethanol for 2 min and then in 0.2% sodium hypochlorite (NaoCl) for 3 min. They were washed for several times with sterile distilled water then divided into three groups as follows:

(1) The 1<sup>st</sup> group was left without any treatments and served as the control plants (5 pots).

(2) The  $2^{nd}$  group represented the seeds grown in soil inoculated with the air dried mycelia of *T. harzianum* (5 pots/ level).

(3) The  $3^{rd}$  group represents the treated seeds soaked in 100, 200 and 300  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution (as the culture supernatant of *T. harzianum*) for one hour before sowing (5 pots/ concentration).

Fifteen seeds were placed into 30 cm diameter clay pots, each filled with about 4 kg of loam based garden soil. The seeds were sown at 2 to 3 cm depth in each pot and when seedlings growth became (~7 old days), the seedling density was reduced to 12 seedlings /pot. The pots were watered every 48 h with equal amount of water. When the developed plants reached 40 days old, 5 plants were carefully uprooted from the soil of each treatment; samples were collected for the following analyses:

#### Growth parameters

Plant height, number of leaves, leaf area, fresh weight and dry

matter of shoots and roots were determined for each treatment.

#### Photosynthetic pigments

Chlorophyll a, b, and carotenoids were extracted from the leaves and estimated by the method of Metzner et al. (1965).

#### Starch content

Starch content was assessed by colorimeter using iodine solution in potassium iodide (Magel, 1991).

#### Total RNA and DNA

Total RNA and DNA were estimated by the method of Schmidt and Thannhauser (1945).

#### Total protein

Total protein was done in plant extracts by the method of Lowry et al. (1951).

#### Endogenous phytohormones

The method of extraction was essentially similar to that adopted by Shindy and Smith (1975). To estimate the amounts of acidic hormones [indole acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and abscisic acid (ABA)], the plant hormone fractions and standard ones were methylated according to Vogel (1975) to be ready for GC analysis.

#### SDS-PAGE protein

SDS-PAGE protein was determined according to the method of Laemmli (1970).

#### Tissue processing for electron microscope studies

Maize leave samples  $(2 \text{ mm}^3)$  were fixed by immersion in 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 m sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2) for 2 h at room temperature and post-fixed with 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide in the same buffer for 1 h at 4°C. Samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series and embedded in Epon 812 (JBEM Chemicals Pointe-Claire, Quebec, Canada). Thin sections (0.7 mm), cut from the Epon-embedded material using glass knives, were mounted on glass slides and stained with 1% (v/v) aqueous toluidine blue prior to examination with an Axioscope microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). For each treatment, an average of five samples from three different leaves were investigated and examined under the electron microscope.

### RESULTS

#### **Growth parameters**

Our results highlight the important role of *T. harzianum* on plant growth promotion. The positive effects of *T. harzianum* in both applications methods (either as soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* or treating the seeds

| Treatments                | Conc.  | Plant height<br>(cm) | Root length<br>(cm) | No. of leaves/<br>plant | Area of<br>leaves (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | Fresh weight of shoots (g) | Dry weight of shoots (g) | Fresh weight of roots (g) | Dry weight of roots (g) |
|---------------------------|--------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Control                   |        | 23.00± 0.82          | 33.67 ± 1.74        | $7.00 \pm 0.73$         | 566 ± 1.31                           | 21.74 ± 2.11               | $2.52 \pm 0.70$          | 4.23 ± 0.53               | $1.42 \pm 0.44$         |
| Plants grown in soil      | 2      | 21.67** ± 1.15       | 31.33** ± 0.58      | 6.33** ± 0.86           | 504** ± 0.92                         | 19.63** ± 1.93             | 2.25** ± 0.65            | 3.77** ± 0.36             | 1.29** ± 0.31           |
| inoculated with air dried | 4      | 22.51** ± 1.31       | 35.33** ± 0.86      | 6.67** ±0.93            | 604** ± 1.53                         | 20.92** ±1.65              | 2.41** ± 0.58            | 4.12** ± 0.44             | 1.38** ± 0.23           |
| mycelia (g /kg soil       | 6      | 24.67** ± 1.02       | 41.00** ± 0.77      | $7.00 \pm 1.00$         | 650** ± 0.95                         | 22.20** ± 1.42             | 3.07** ± 0.94            | 4.57 ** ± 0.32            | 1.50** ± 0.56           |
|                           | 5%     | 0.16                 | 0.51                | 0.04                    | 7.65                                 | 0.14                       | 0.04                     | 0.04                      | 0.01                    |
| L.S.D. at:                | 1%     | 0.22                 | 0.73                | 0.06                    | 10.99                                | 0.20                       | 0.06                     | 0.06                      | 0.02                    |
| Plants developed from     | 100 µl | 26.67** ± 0.92       | 50.67** ± 1.52      | 7.69** ± 0.58           | 997** ± 1.16                         | 28.12** ± 1.80             | 8.70** ±0.75             | 8.70 ** ± 0.51            | 1.70** ± 0.40           |
| seeds treated with        | 200 µl | 26.00** ± 1.12       | 49.67** ± 1.15      | 7.33** ± 0.87           | 972** ± 1.35                         | 26.60** ± 1.65             | 7.38** ± 0.69            | 7.38 ** ± 0.47            | 1.66** ± 0.51           |
| culture supernatant       | 300 µl | 26.00** ± 1.00       | 42.33** ± 1.62      | $7.00 \pm 0.67$         | 726** ± 0.98                         | 25.83** ± 1.51             | 6.49** ± 0.80            | 4.99 ** ± 0.37            | 1.51** ± 0.36           |
|                           | 5%     | 0.20                 | 0.97                | 0.04                    | 25.54                                | 0.34                       | 0.33                     | 0.26                      | 0.02                    |
| L.S.D. at:                | 1%     | 0.29                 | 1.40                | 0.06                    | 36.73                                | 0.49                       | 0.47                     | 0.37                      | 0.02                    |

Table 1. Effect of different experimental treatments of Trichoderma harzianum on growth parameters of maize plant (Zea mays).

Means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3) of measurements on each three plants,\*\*highly significant change.

with metabolic solution of T. harzianum prior to sowing) on growth promotion and vigor were observed as early as shown in Table 1 and Figures 1,2 and 3. All growth parameters of maize plant which includes: plant height, root length, number of leaves/ plant, area of leaves, fresh and dry weights of shoots and roots were increased as compared to the control plants. Also, results showed that as Trichoderma concentrations increase in soil, all growth parameters were increased with the exception of the lowest concentration (2 g/kg) which caused slight decreases in the measured growth parameters.

## Photosynthetic pigments

Results showed significantly higher values in the content of plant photosynthetic pigments in both

applications of *T. harzianum* (Table 2). The magnitude of such increases were much more pronounced in plants grown from the seeds treated with the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* especially by using the lower concentration (100  $\mu$ l). On the other hand, application of *T. harzianum* to the soil in concentrations of 2 and 4 g/kg caused decreases in this content in comparison to control but the same content was gradually increased as the concentration of *T.richoderma* was increased in the soil from 2 to 6 g/kg. Results show also that the content of chlorophyll (b) was decreased by inoculation of the soil with all concentrations of *T. harzianum*.

## Starch content

Results indicate that T. harzianum highly signi-

ficantly increased the starch content in roots and shoots of maize plants by using both applications of *T. harzianum* as compared to control (Table 3). It is obvious from the result that the response of shoots to application of *Trichoderma* is higher than roots in comparison to untreated plants, moreover, the content of starch reached the highest values in the shoots of plants produced from the seeds treated with *T. harzianum* prior to sowing. On the other hand, the same content recorded the lowest values as a result of inoculation of the soil with 2 and 4 g/kg.

## Total protein content

Data represented in Table 3 showed the effect of *T. harzianum* applications on total protein content of roots and shoots of maize plants, the total



**Figure 1.** Control plants (A); Plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (2 g/kg soil) (B); Plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (4 g/kg soil) (C); Plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (6 g/kg soil) (D).



**Figure 2.** Control plants (A); Plants developed from seeds treated with 100  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* (E); Plants developed from seeds treated with 200  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* (F); Plants developed from seeds treated with 300  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* (G).



**Figure 3.** Enhanced shoot development of plants developed from seeds treated with 100, 200 and 300  $\mu$ L of the metabloic solution of T. *harzianum* 

| Table 2. Effect of different experimental treatments of | Trichoderma harzianum on the contents of photosynthetic pigments (mg/g FW) of the |
|---|---|
| leaves of maize plants (Zea mays).                      |   |

| Treatments                      | Conc.  | Chl a           | Chl b          | Chl a+b        | Car           | Total pigments |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Control                         |        | $3.25 \pm 0.09$ | 3.57 ± 0.21    | 6.82 ± 0.16    | 2.85 ± 0.05   | 9.67 ± 0.27    |
| Plants grown in soil            | 2      | 1.97** ± 0.08   | 2.31** ± 0.09  | 4.28** ± 0.13  | 2.36**±0.07   | 6.64** ± 0.21  |
| inoculated with T. harzianum    | 4      | 3.10** ± 0.19   | 2.73 ** ± 0.05 | 5.82** ± 0.12  | 3.56 **± 0.05 | 9.38* ± 0.34   |
| (g /kg soil)                    | 6      | 3.37** ± 0.16   | 2.98** ± 0.10  | 6.35** ± 0.15  | 4.45**± 0.12  | 10.80** ± 0.43 |
| L.S.D. at:                      | 5%     | 0.08            | 0.07           | 0.14           | 0.11          | 0.22           |
| L.S.D. al.                      | 1%     | 0.11            | 0.09           | 0.20           | 0.16          | 0.31           |
| Plants developed from seeds     | 100 µl | 6.17** ± 0.07   | 4.30** ± 0.21  | 10.47** ± 0.16 | 6.23** ± 0.35 | 16.70** ± 0.59 |
| treated with metabolic          | 200 µl | 5.13** ± 0.05   | 4.50** ± 0.01  | 9.63** ± 0.08  | 5.31** ± 0.16 | 14.94** ± 0.42 |
| solution of <i>T. harzianum</i> | 300 µl | 4.62** ± 0.11   | 4.14** ± 0.10  | 8.76** ± 0.09  | 2.97 ± 0.13   | 11.73** ± 0.23 |
|                                 | 5%     | 0.15            | 0.05           | 0.19           | 0.24          | 0.41           |
| L.S.D. at:                      | 1%     | 0.22            | 0.07           | 0.28           | 0.35          | 0.59           |

Values listed are expressed in units of mg/g fresh weight; Means ± SD (*n*=3) of measurements on each three plants, \*\*highly significant change, \*significant change.

protein content was highly significantly increased by inoculation of the soil with 6 g/kg, whereas using the concentrations of 2 and 4 g/kg decreased this content as compared to the control plants. It is clear that the content of total protein in both roots and shoots were higher in plants grown from seeds treated with the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* prior to sowing than that of plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum*. The

maximum increase in such content was recorded by using 100  $\mu I$  of the metabolic solution.

## Nucleic acids content

The effects of both applications with *T. harzianum* on nucleic acids content of roots and shoots were

Table 3. Effect of different experimental treatments of *Trichoderma harzianum* on starch and total protein contents of maize plant (*Zea mays*).

| Tractments  | Cono   | Starch conte     | nt (mg/g DW)    | Total protein (mg/g FW) |                |  |
|---|--------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| Treatments  | Conc.  | Roots            | Shoots          | Roots                   | Shoots         |  |
| Control   |        | 204.05 ± 6.01    | 220.14 ± 7.21   | 11.34 ± 0.86            | 21.48 ± 0.25   |  |
|   | 2      | 206.70** ± 8.08  | 8.92 ** ± 0.51  | 19.84* ± 0.74           | 21.48 ± 0.25   |  |
| Plants grown in soil inoculated with <i>T. harzianum</i> (g /kg soil) | 4      | 218.14 ** ± 6.30 | 9.36 ** ± 0.50  | 20.59** ± 0.66          | 19.84* ± 0.74  |  |
| with T. Harzianum (g/kg soli)   | 6      | 232.44 ** ± 5.96 | 14.64 ** ± 0.24 | 22.55** ± 0.48          | 20.59** ± 0.66 |  |
|   | 5%     | 4.68             | 1.31            | 0.32                    | 0.14           |  |
| L.S.D. at:  | 1%     | 6.73             | 1.88            | 0.46                    | 0.21           |  |
| Plants developed from seeds   | 100 µl |                  |                 |                         |                |  |
| treated with metabolic solution                                       | 200 µl | 228.14** ± 5.70  | 262.13** ± 7.23 | 18.28** ± 0.37          | 26.37** ± 0.73 |  |
| of T. harzianum   | 300 µl | 225.12** ± 4.22  | 254.25** ± 8.10 | 17.62** ± 0.69          | 26.21** ± 0.74 |  |
|   | 5%     | 1.38             | 2.50            | 0.42                    | 0.30           |  |
| L.S.D. at:  | 1%     | 1.98             | 3.59            | 0.60                    | 0.43           |  |

Means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3) of measurements on each three plants, \*\*highly significant change.

**Table 4.** Effect of of different experimental treatments of *Trichoderma harzianum* on RNA and DNA contents of roots and shoots of maize plant (*Zea mays*).

| Treatmente                      | <b>C</b> an a | R               | NA             | DNA                  |               |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| Treatments                      | Conc.         | Roots           | Shoots         | Roots                | Shoots        |  |
| Control                         |               | $7.04 \pm 0.30$ | 8.71 ± 0.14    | 0.31 ± 0.30          | 0.35 ± 0.08   |  |
| Plants grown in soil            | 2             | 6.12** ± 0.19   | 7.62** ± 0.23  | 0.25** ± 0.21        | 0.30** ± 0.07 |  |
| inoculated with T. harzianum    | 4             | 6.94* ± 0.17    | 8.18** ± 0.28  | 0.29** ± 0.33        | 0.32** ± 0.03 |  |
| (g /kg soil)                    | 6             | 7.74** ± 0.41   | 8.99** ± 0.54  | 0.37** ± 0.62        | 0.39** ± 0.04 |  |
|                                 | 5%            | 0.08            | 0.07           | 0.01                 | 0.00          |  |
| L.S.D. at:                      | 1%            | 0.12            | 0.11           | 0.01                 | 0.01          |  |
| Plants developed from seeds     | 100 µl        | 9.09** ± 0.55   | 10.08** ± 0.34 | 0.41** ± 0.46        | 0.42** ± 0.05 |  |
| treated with metabolic          | 200 µl        | 8.88** ± 0.47   | 9.83** ± 0.51  | 0.37** ± 0.50        | 0.40** ± 0.06 |  |
| solution of <i>T. harzianum</i> | 300 µl        | 7.98** ± 0.24   | 9.27** ± 0.65  | $0.35^{**} \pm 0.44$ | 0.38** ± 0.04 |  |
|                                 | 5%            | 0.12            | 0.08           | 0.01                 | 0.00          |  |
| L.S.D. at:                      | 1%            | 0.17            | 0.11           | 0.01                 | 0.01          |  |

Values listed are expressed in units of mg/g dry weight, means ± SD (*n*=3) of measurements on each three plants, \*\*highly significant change.

represented in Table 4. The results demonstrate that the contents of nucleic acids were highly significantly increased as the concentration of T. harzianum was increased in the soil. Moreover, treatments of the seeds with various concentrations of metabolic solution of the used fungus prior to sowing caused increases in the contents of RNA and DNA of the roots and shoots of the produced plants particularly by using the lowest

concentration (100 µl).

## Endogenous phytohormone

Results shown in Table 5 revealed that, the contents of  $GA_3$  and IAA were significantly decreased as a result of inoculation of the soil with 2 and 4 g of *T. harzianum*.

| Tractimento   | Cono   | Levels of endogenous hormones |                |                   |  |  |  |
|---|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Treatments  | Conc.  | GA <sub>3</sub>               | IAA            | ABA               |  |  |  |
| Control   |        | 16.20 ± 0.34                  | 25.94 ± 0.41   | 7.24 ± 0.67       |  |  |  |
|   | 2      | 12.67** ± 0.25                | 18.55** ± 0.56 | 12.63** ± 0.59    |  |  |  |
| Plants grown in soil inoculated with <i>T. harzianum</i> (g /kg soil) | 4      | 14.28** ± 0.61                | 26.89* ± 0.72  | 9.16 ** ± 0.46    |  |  |  |
| with T. Harzianum (g/kg soli)   | 6      | 22.62** ± 0.59                | 35.46** ± 0.33 | $6.80^* \pm 0.52$ |  |  |  |
|   | 5%     | 0.54                          | 0.86           | 0.33              |  |  |  |
| L.S.D. at:  | 1%     | 0.78                          | 1.23           | 0.47              |  |  |  |
| Plants developed from seeds   | 100 µl | 31.58** ± 0.46                | 48.16** ± 0.76 | 3.59** ± 0.63     |  |  |  |
| treated with metabolic solution                                       | 200 µl | 29.27** ± 0.37                | 40.80** ± 0.62 | 4.84** ± 0.71     |  |  |  |
| of T. harzianum   | 300 µl | 23.18** ± 0.55                | 36.29** ± 0.54 | 5.29** ± 0.36     |  |  |  |
|   | 5%     | 0.85                          | 1.15           | 0.19              |  |  |  |
| L.S.D. at:  | 1%     | 1.23                          | 1.65           | 0.27              |  |  |  |

**Table 5.** Effect of of different experimental treatments of *Trichoderma harzianum* on contents of endogenous GA<sub>3</sub>, IAA and ABA of maize plant (*Zea mays*).

Values listed are expressed in units of mg/100 g fresh weight, means ± SD (*n*=3) of measurements on each three plants, \*\*highly significant change, \*significant change.

However, the same treatments increased the contents of ABA than that of untreated control plants. Application of 6 g of *T. harzianum* caused an increase in the contents of GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA accompanied by reduction in the content of ABA. Table 5 reveals also that treating the seeds with different concentrations of metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* prior to sowing significantly increased the contents of GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA, while activities of ABA were markedly decreased. Treatment with 100 µl was found to be more effective than other concentrations.

# Anatomical changes

It is obvious from our results (Figures 4 to 11) that the treatments with *T. harzianum* caused smaller and irregular lignification in vessel elements of the xylem in the transverse sections of the leaves of maize plants as compared to the control. This reduction in the amount of liginin was much more pronounced by using 100  $\mu$ l of the culture supernatant of *T. harzianum* since the walls of xylem vessel elements were thinner than those in the control; this result confirm that such treatment caused the highest morphological growth.

# Protein electrophoretic pattern


The changes in the protein electrophoretic pattern in maize leaves exposed to both applications of *T. harzianum* exhibit an increase in the number of protein bands as compared to the control, Table 6 and Figure 12. The maximum increases in the total number of protein bands was observed in plants developed from seeds

treated with 300 ul of metabolic solution prior to sowing (11 bands). On the other hand, the number of protein bands decreased to 8 bands in plants grown in soil inoculated with 4 g/kg as compared to the control (9 bands). Newly protein bands appeared in leaves of plants grown in soil inoculated with different concentrations of T. harzianum having the molecular weights of 29.62, 17.98 and 16.40 kDa. Simultaneously, the electropherograms of leaves of plants produced from the seeds treated with metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* showed the induction of 3 specific newly protein bands having the molecular weights of 29.62, 22.40 and 17.98 kDa respectively. Furthermore, protein bands with molecular weights of 119.9, 85.87, 72.93, 61.71, 44.71, 41.87 and 38.29 kDa were present in all treatments as well as in the untreated control. The results also revealed that both applications of T. harzianum improved the electrophoretic banding pattern of proteins, as well as the banding intensity of leaves as compared with the banding profile seen in the control plants.


# DISCUSSION

Fungi in the genus *Trichoderma* and rhizobacteria in the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, *Enterobacter* and others have evolved multiple mechanisms that result in the improvements in plant resistance to disease and plant growth and productivity (Shoresh et al., 2010).

Our results reveal that the *Trichoderma* treatments highly significantly increased the growth of maize plants as compared to the control, this may be attributed to that *Trichoderma*-treated plants were able to enhance nutrient



**Figures 4 to 7.** Transverse sections of *Zea mays* leaves. (4) Portion of a transverse leave section of the control plant showing the arrangement of vascular bundles and other leave tissues. (5) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (2 g /kg soil). (6) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (4 g /kg soil). (7) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (6 g /kg soil).




**Figures 8 to 11.** Transverse sections of *Zea mays* leaves. (8) Portion of a transverse leave section of the control plant showing the arrangement of vascular bundles and other leave tissues. (9) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants developed from seeds treated with 100  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* before sowing. (10) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants developed from seeds treated with 200  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* before sowing. (11) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants developed from seeds treated with 200  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* before sowing. (11) Portion of a transverse leave section of plants developed from seeds treated with 300  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* before sowing.

| Row     | Molecular      |         | -          | oil inoculate<br>n (g /kg soil) | Plants developed from seeds treated<br>with metabolic solution of <i>T. harzianum</i><br>Protein intensity (%) |        |        |        |
|---------|----------------|---------|------------|---------------------------------|--|--------|--------|--------|
| No.     | weight (kDa)   |         | Protein in | tensity (%)                     |  |        |        |        |
|         |                | Control | 2          | 4                               | 6  | 100 µl | 200 µl | 300 µl |
| 1       | 119.9          | 5.02    | 6.52       | 4.51                            | 6.18   | 6.69   | 6.62   | 6.13   |
| 2       | 85.87          | 5.25    | 8.77       | 7.36                            | 6.50   | 6.05   | 5.10   | 6.00   |
| 3       | 72.93          | 3.50    | 2.48       | 2.60                            | 2.55   | 2.94   | 3.48   | 2.94   |
| 4       | 61.71          | 5.51    | 5.52       | 6.13                            | 14.60  | 4.89   | 5.61   | 4.44   |
| 5       | 44.71          | 2.49    | 4.04       | 3.66                            | 3.80   | 3.66   | 2.92   | 4.25   |
| 6       | 41.87          | 2.62    | 2.28       | 2.65                            | 3.25   | 3.49   | 3.66   | 3.92   |
| 7       | 38.29          | 3.94    | 3.95       | 5.67                            | 5.25   | 4.13   | 3.93   | 4.64   |
| 8       | 31.62          | 3.67    |            | 5.17                            | 4.77   | 4.91   | 4.47   |        |
| 9       | 29.62          |         | 4.37       |                                 |  |        |        | 4.96   |
| 10      | 22.4           |         |            |                                 |  |        | 2.85   | 4.46   |
| 11      | 21.05          | 5.59    | 3.10       |                                 | 4.14   | 3.01   |        | 3.36   |
| 12      | 17.98          |         |            |                                 | 7.22   | 4.05   | 3.57   | 4.45   |
| 13      | 16.4           |         | 7.41       |                                 |  |        |        |        |
| Total n | umber of bands | 9       | 10         | 8                               | 10   | 10     | 10     | 11     |

**Table 6.** Electrophoretic pattern and protein bands intensity (%) of the protein in leaves of maize plants (*Zea mays*) subjected to different experimental treatments of *T. harzianum*.





**Figure 12.** Electrophoretic banding profiles of protein extracted from the leaves of maize plants (*Zea mays*) as influenced by different experimental treatments of *Trichoderma harzianum*.

## M: Marker protein.

Lane (1): Control

Lane (2): Plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (2 g /kg soil)

Lane (3): Plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (4 g /kg soil)

Lane (4): Plants grown in soil inoculated with *T. harzianum* (6 g /kg soil)

Lane (5): Plants developed from seeds treated with 100  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* 

Lane (6): Plants developed from seeds treated with 200  $\mu$ l of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* 

Lane (7): Plants developed from seeds treated with 300 µl of the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum*.

uptake, resulting in increasing root and shoot growth, and improving plant vigor to grow more rapidly and to enhance plant greenness, which might result in higher photosynthetic rates (Harman, 2006). Our results show that the increased growth response of plants caused by application of Trichoderma metabolites was more effective rather than other treatment, these results indicate that the method of Trichoderma introduction is also effective in the success of Trichoderma in seedling growth improvement (Harman et al., 2004). Improved plant growth might be due to increased solubility of insoluble plant nutrients by Trichoderma species (Kaya et al., 2009). Hexon et al. 2009 showed that Trichoderma spp. produced indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) that promoted lateral root formation in Arabidopsis thaliana. The root system is important for plant fitness because it provides anchorage, contributes to water use efficiency and facilitates the acquisition of mineral nutrients from the soil (Lopez et al., 2005a). Increased root size resulted into increased shoot size which translates into increased shoot biomass production indicating a beneficial effect of inoculation on plant growth and development. The enhanced plant growth by Trichoderma (T. harzianum) might be due to production of secondary metabolites which may act as an auxin like compound; these materials may lead to the development of the root system and an exploration of a large volume of soil (Vinale et al., 2008a, b). Development of the root system with production of some organic acids in the rhizosphere such as: gluconic, citric and/ or fumaric acids by Trichoderma which decrease soil pH, lead to increase solubility of the insoluble compound and an availability of micronutrient.

In this study, the results revealed that the *Trichoderma* treatments highly significantly increased photosynthetic pigments of maize plants as compared to control. Hexon et al. (2009) showed that *Trichoderma* spp. in *Arabidopsis thaliana* increased root size which resulted into increase in shoot size which translates into increase in the shoot biomass; these resulted in the increase of photosynthetic pigments. *Trichoderma*-T22 has been shown in maize to increase plant greenness (Mastouri, 2010; Shoresh et al., 2010).

The results obtained in this study revealed that starch content increased in both shoots and roots of maize plants treated with *T. harzianum* strain T22. Increased photosynthesis should have resulted in increased starch accumulation in seedlings (Michal and Gary, 2008). Shoots of *Trichoderma*-treated plants had higher starch contents. All of these data are consistent with the concept that in the presence of *T. harzianum* strain T22, energy metabolism via glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle is up-regulated. *Trichoderma* interaction with plant roots results in controlled activation of carbohydrate metabolic processes as well as enhancement of photosynthesis (Duncan et al., 2006). *T. harzianum* strain T22 on roots up-regulated maize proteins, the largest number of up-regulated proteins were involved in carbohydrate

metabolism with substantial increases in proteins involved in photosynthesis, as well as disease and stress resistance (Alfano et al., 2007).

The results obtained demonstrated that proteins content of shoots and roots of maize plants treated with T. harzianum T22 were increased, these results may attributed to Trichoderma spp. increase uptake of nitrates and other ions (Harman, 2000). Trichoderma spp. activity increase biological nitrogen fixation in soil and nitrogen uptake by plant (Dordas and Sioulas, 2008). T. harzianum could produce nitrogen oxide (NO) which is that coding for enzyme involved in L-arginine which is important for protein biosynthesis arginine biosynthesis (Gong et al., 2007). T. harzianum inoculums in soybean grown gave higher percentage of crude protein (Egberongbe et al., 2010). In addition, numerous proteins induced in response to Trichoderma were those involved in stress and defense responses (Michal and Gary, 2008). Many studies have demonstrated that application of Trichoderma increased grain protein content in Chickpea (Alfano et al., 2007).

Inoculation of soil with T. harzianum T22 increase nucleic acids content in shoots and roots of maize plants as well as in plants developed from seeds treated with the metabolic solution of *T. harzianum* T22. In tomato plants inoculated with Trichoderma hamatum, the expression of stress, cell wall and RNA metabolism were upregulated demonstrating related genes similarities of plant responses to T. Arabidopsis thaliana harzianum (Alfano et al., 2007). T. harzianum which alterate the genetic systems were up-regulated proteins were the transcription factors and nuclear proteins RNA polymerase (Guyomarc et al., 2006).

Auxins are important plant regulators involved in many growth and behavioral processes, including those activated by *Trichoderma* spp. (Contreras et al., 2009). Hexon et al. (2009) showed that *Trichoderma* spp. produced indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) that promotes lateral root formation. The enhanced plant growth by *Trichoderma* (*T. harzianum* strain T22, T39 and A6) might be due to the production of secondary metabolites which may act as an auxin like compound (Vinale et al., 2008a, b).

Seed germination of cucumber increased by application of *Trichoderma*, which may be due to hormonal secretion like gibberellins, auxins (Akhtar et al., 2007). *Trichoderma virens* is able to produce the indolic compounds indole-3acetic acid (IAA), and indole-3-ethanol, which may play roles in mediating plant growth promotion by this fungus. Also plant growth mechanisms of plant growth promoting fungi can be achieved by the production of plant growth regulators like auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinin (Hexon et al., 2009).

The decrease in the lignification which occurred in the vessels elements of the xylem confirm that *T. harzianum* is a very effective cellulolytic organism. These observations are in agreements with the finding of Gupta

et al. (2004) who reported *that T. viride* can degrade different side chains of lignin and bring about faster decomposition. Amouri and Gargouri (2006) reported that *T. harzianum* secrete higher amounts of cellulases which is the most efficient enzyme system for the complete hydrolysis of cellulosic substrates into its monomeric glucose.

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was employed to assess the relative amounts and banding patterns of protein samples. The results in our study showed differences in responses of *Z. mays* plants to *T. harzianum* strain T22 treatments. In addition, in maize leaves ten proteins were identified compared to control. Proteins that are produced by *Trichoderma* spp. within plant cells may be involvement in defense-related proteins in plants interacting with *Trichoderma* (Harman and Shoresh, 2007).

## Conclusion

*Trichoderma* isolates on plant growth and development have important economical implications such as shortening the plant growth period and time, as well as improving plant vigor to overcome biotic and/or abiotic stresses, resulting in increase plant productivity and yields. In addition, the reduction in lignification which was induced by *T. harzianum* strain T22 have a beneficial effect in enhancing fresh state of *Z. maize* stalks.

## REFERENCES

- Adams P, De-Leij FAAM, Lynch JM (2007). *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai 1295-22 mediates growth promotion of crack willow (*Salix fragilis*) saplings in both clean and metal-contaminated soil. Microb. Ecol. 54: 306-313.
- Akhtar K, Akhtar MW, Khalid AM (2007). Removal and recovery of uraniumfrom aqueous solutions by *Trichoderma harzianum*. Water Res. 41:1366-1378.
- Alfano G, Ivey MLL, Cakir C, Bos JIB, Miller SA, Madden LV, Kamoun S, Hoitink HAJ (2007). Systemic modulation of gene expression in tomato by *Trichoderma hamatum* 382. Phytopathol. 97:429-437.
- Amouri B, Gargouri A (2006). Characterization of a novel  $\beta$ -glucosidase from a Stachybotrys strain. Biochem. Eng. J. 32: 191-197.
- Bais HP, Weir TL, Perry L, Gilroy S, Vivanco JM (2006). The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 57: 233-266.
- Celar F, Valic N (2005). Effects of *Trichoderma* spp. and *Gliocladium roseum* culture filtrates on seed germination of vegetables and maize. J. Plant Dis. 112: 343-350.
- Contreras-Cornejo HA, Macias-Rodriguez L, Cortes-Penagos C, Lopez-Bucio J (2009). *Trichoderma virens*, a plant beneficial fungus, enhances biomass production and promotes lateral root growth through an auxindependant mechanism in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 149: 579-1592.
- Dordas C, Sioulas C (2008). Safflower yield, chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, and water use efficiency response to nitrogen fertilization under rainfed conditions. Ind. Crops Prod. 27: 75-85.
- Duncan KA, Hardin SC, Huber SC (2006). The three maize sucrose synthase isoforms differ in distribution, localization, and phosphorylation. Plant Cell Physiol. 47: 959-971.
- Egberongbe HO, Akintokun AK, Babalola OO, Bankole MO (2010). The effect of *Glomus mosseae* and *Trichoderma harzianum* on proximate

analysis of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill.) seed grown in sterilized and unsterilized soil. J. Agric. Extension Rural Dev. 2(4): 54-58.

- Gong X, Fu Y, Jiang D, Li G, Yi X, Peng Y (2007). L-Arginine is essential for conidiation in the filamentous fungus *Coniothyrium minitans*. Fungal Gen. Biol. 44: 1368-1379.
- Gupta SB, Tamraka DK, Tamrakar MP, T hakur K, Tedia AT, Keshry PK (2004). Effect of crop beneficial microbes on decomposition rate of different crop residues. J. Soil Crop, 14(1): 1-4.
- Guyomarc'h S, Benhamed M, Lemonnier G, Renou JP, Zhou DX, Delarue M (2006). MGOUN3: evidence for chromatin-mediated regulation of FLC expression. J. Exp. Bot. 57: 2111-2119.
- Harman GE (2000). Myth and dogmas of biocontrol changes in perceptions derived from research on *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22, Plant Dis. 84: 377-393.
- Harman GE, Petzoldt R, Comis A, Chen J (2004). Interaction between *Trichoderma harzianum* strain T-22 and maize inbred Mo17 and effects of these interactions on disease caused by *Phytium ultimum* and *Colletotrichum graminicola*. Phytopathology, 94: 147-153.
- Harman GE, Howell CR, Vitrebo A, Chet I, Lorito M (2004a). *Trichoderma* species opportunistic, arivulent plant symbionts. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2: 43-56.
- Harman GE (2006). Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. Phytopathology, 96: 190-194.
- Harman GE, Shoresh M (2007). The mechanisms and applications of opportunistic plant symbionts. In: Vurro M, Gressel J, eds, Novel Biotechnologies for Biocontrol Agent Enhancement and Management. Springer, Amsterdam, pp. 131-157.
  Hexon AC, Lourdes MR, Carlos CP, Jose LB (2009). *Trichoderma*
- Hexon AC, Lourdes MR, Carlos CP, Jose LB (2009). *Trichoderma virens*, a plant beneficial fungus, enhances biomass production and promotes lateral root growth through an auxin-dependent mechanism in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 149: 1579-1592.
- Hoyos-Carvajal L, Ordua S, Bissett J (2009). Growth stimulation in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) by *Trichoderma*. Biol. Control, 51: 409-416.
- Kaya C, Ashraf M, Sonmez O, Aydemir S, Tuna AL, Cullu MA (2009). The influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonisation on key growth parameters and fruit yield of pepper plants grown at high salinity. Scientia Hort. 121(1):1-6.
- Laemmli UK (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T<sub>4</sub>. Nature, 227: 680-686.
- López-Bucio J, Cruz-Ramírez A, Pérez-Torres A, Ramírez-Pimentel JG, Sánchez-Calderón L, Herrera-Estrella L (2005a). Root architecture. In: Turnbull C ed, Plant Architecture and Its Manipulation. Blackwell Annual Review Series. Blackwell Scientific Oxford, pp. 181-206.
- Lowry OH, Rosembrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ (1951). Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193(1): 267-275.
- Magel E (1991). Qualitative and quantitative determination of starch by colorimetric method. Starch / Stärke 43 (10): 384.
- Mastouri F (2010). Use of *Trichoderma* spp. to improve plant performance under abiotic stress. PhD. thesis, Cornell Univ. Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Mastouri F, Bjorkman T, Harman GE (2010). Seed treatments with *Trichoderma harzianum* alleviate biotic, abiotic and physiological stresses in germinating seeds and seedlings. Phytopathology, 100: 1213-1221.
- Metzner H, Rau H, Senger H (1965). Untersuchungen zur Synchronnisierbarkeit einzelner Pigmentmangel Mutanten von Cholrella. Planta, pp. 65-196.
- Michal S, Gary Harman E (2008). The Molecular Basis of Shoot Responses of Maize Seedlings to *Trichoderma harzianum* T22 Inoculation of the Root. Plant Physiol. 147: 2147-2163.
- Mushtaq A, Upadhyay RS (2011). Effect of Soil Amendment with *Trichoderma harzianum*, Chemicals and Wilt Pathogen on Growth and Yield of Tomato. J. Plant Pathol 41(1): 77-81.
- Rasool A, Behzad H, Abolfazl G (2011). Effect of *Trichoderma* isolates on tomato seedling growth response and nutrient uptake. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10(31): 5850-5855.
- Schmidt G, Thannhauser SJ (1945). A method for the determination of DNA, RNA and the phosphoproteins in animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem. 161: 83-89.

- Shanmugaiah V, Balasubramanian N, Gomathinayagam S, Monoharan PT, Rajendran A (2009). Effect of single application of *Trichoderma viride* and *Pseudomonas fluorences* on growth promotion in cotton plants. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 4(11): 1220-1225.
- Shindy WW, Smith O (1975). Identification of plant hormones from cotton ovules. Plant Physiol. 55: 550-554.
- Shoresh M, Mastouri F, Harman GE (2010). Induced systemic resistance and plant responses to fungal biocontrol agents. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 48: 21-43.
- Vinale F, Sivasithamparam K, Ghisalberti EL, Marra R, Woo SL, Lorito M (2008a). *Trichoderma*-plant-pathogen interactions. Soil Biol. Bioch. 40: 1-10.
- Vinale F, Sivasithamparam K, Ghisalberti EL, Marra R, Barbetti MJ, Li H, Woo SL, Lorito M (2008b). A novel role for *Trichoderma* secondary metabolites in the interactions with plants. Physiol. and Molecular Plant Pathol. 72: 80-86.
- Vogel AJ (1975). A Text Book of Practical Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed., English language. Book Society and Longman Group Ltd. pp. 843-845.