Full Length Research Paper

# Optimization of divalent cation in *Saccharomyces pastorianus* medium conditions for ethanol production

Okon, Anne Anthony<sup>1\*</sup> and Nwabueze, Titus U.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, P.M.B. 7267 Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria.

Accepted 17 August, 2009

Cassava starch fermentations were conducted in batch cultures to optimize the effect of divalent cations on ethanol production with *Saccharomyces pastorianus* using the central composite rotatable response surface design. Divalent cations used were magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>), zinc (Zn<sup>2+</sup>) and calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>). Maximum ethanol concentration of 11.12% v/v was obtained with cationic concentration combination of 64, 0.48 and 30 mg/l for Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, respectively, after 96 h of the fermentations. Minimum ethanol concentration of 7.53% (v/v) was obtained at a variable combination of 64, 0.48 and 76 mg/l for Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, respectively. Thus response surface methodology was used in a central composite design to optimize the process variables of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> in the fermentation medium, thereby increasing the ethanol production from 10.5% in the control to 11.12%. There were significant linear and quadratic effects of Zn<sup>2+</sup> as well as a significant (P ≤ 0.05) negative quadratic effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> on ethanol production, which are confirmed in the response surface plots.

Key words: Ethanol, *Saccharomyces pastorianus,* cassava starch, hydrolyzates, central composite design, response surface methodology.

# INTRODUCTION

Ethanol is an important industrial chemical with emerging potential as a biofuel to replace fossil fuels (Rakin et al., 2009). It is one of the largest volume organic chemicals that are industrially produced (Ratnam et al., 2005). Ethanol can be produced by fermentation of sugars from agricultural products or waste materials (Rakin et al., 2009), basically those that contain starch, sugar, or cellulose (Kumar, et al., 1998). Worldwide production of ethanol by fermentation in 2003 reached  $38 \times 10^9$  L per year. Biomass-derived fuels (biofuel) are carbon neutral, meaning that they do not add to the sum total of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Ethanol is increasingly being used as a substitute for fossil fuels in the transportation sector.

The importance of ethanol has prompted the use of

adjuncts by various authors. Nwabueze and Uchendu (2009) working on African breadfruit (Treculia africana) seed as adjunct in ethanol production, reported values of 5.79, 6.39 and 6.10% of ethanol with defatted breadfruit, full fat breadfruit and maize, respectively. Under very high gravity (VHG) conditions, maximum ethanol levels were about 16.8% (v/v) and 11% (v/v) for media containing malted and unmalted milled sorghum grain, respectively (Bvochora et al., 2000). The authors carried out the fermentation for 96 h using malted and unmalted milled sorghum grain from sorghum cultivars DC-75 and SV-2. It was further observed that although fermentation did not occur to completion, levels of ethanol obtained under VHG conditions were 3 times higher than the levels obtained under normal fermentation conditions. Giovani et al. (2009) reported that addition of banana changed the concentration of all-malt wort or weight of the extract resulting to an increase in ethanol production, with approximately 0.4 g/g ethanol yield. Lakkana et al. (2009)

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: annytony2002@yahoo.com.

reported that when sugarcane molasses was used as an adjunct, the juice under the same conditions gave the maximum ethanol concentration of 109.34 g/g.

In addition (Lakkana et al., 2009) reported that ammonium sulphate was not suitable for use as a nitrogen supplement in the sweet sorghum juice for ethanol production since it caused the reduction in ethanol concentration and yield for approximately 14% when compared to those of the unsupplemented juices. Any significant increase in ethanol yield by encouraging yeast functionality can be appreciated.

Metal ions play a role in the optimal functioning of yeasts which results in high ethanol yield. Among the cationic yeast nutrients, divalent cations such as magnesium, zinc and calcium, are involved in structural and enzymatic regulatory activities during growth and metabolism.

Magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) is essential for yeast growth, metabolism and fermentation. It is an essential cation in nucleic acid synthesis and a cofactor of more than 300 enzymes, including hexokinases, phosphofrutokinase, phosphoglycerate kinase, pyruvate kinase and enolase in glycolysis (Walker, 1994). Zinc (Zn<sup>2+</sup>) is also an essential micronutrient for yeast. Zinc plays a major role in yeast fermentative metabolism not only because it is essential for aldolase and ethanol dehydrogenase activity, but also because it can stimulate uptake of maltose and maltotriose in brewing yeast cells, thereby augmenting fermentation rates (Walker et al., 2006). Calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) requirement for yeast growth is very low (Youatt, 1993; Walker, 1994). However, calcium ions, Ca2+, are acknowledged to play a key role in the important process of flocculation in brewing fermentations.  $Ca^{2+}$  is known to protect the enzyme complex through its contribution to the buffer systems integrity. The presence of  $Ca^{2+}$  in fermentation media may also compete with essential divalent cations like Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Walker et al., 1996) and cause growth inhibittion at high concentrations (Salkutoglu and Slaughter, 1983).

Optimization of the cationic (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) nutrients required by yeast for fermentation is therefore very important for maximizing the yield and productivity and minimizing the production cost. Optimization by the classical method: a single dimensional search involving changing one variable while fixing the others at a certain level is laborious and time consuming, especially when the number of variables is large (Ratnam et al., 2005). The solution lies with the application of response surface methodology (RSM), which economizes experimental points by compressing them to far less experimental data (Nwabueze, 2007). It relates product properties to process variables and then describes the interactions between them to give response changes magnitude and direction.

The objective of this research is to optimize the

divalent cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) concentrations in yeast medium fermentation of cassava starch hydrolyzates for ethanol production.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Cassava

About 50 kg of tubers of TMS 98/0581, a genetically developed cassava mosaic disease (CMD) variety were obtained from the National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike, Nigeria. TMS 98/0581 is one of the recently developed varieties grouped as best for industrial purpose, with high dry matter (Dixon et al., 2005).

#### Yeast

*Saccharomyces pastorianus*, a lager brewing strain, was obtained from Champion Breweries Plc., Uyo, Nigeria.

#### Enzymes

Termamyl, Fungamyl and Amyloglucosidase (AMG) were obtained from Champion Breweries Plc., Uyo, Nigeria.

#### Micronutrients

Salts of ammonium sulphate  $((HN_4)_2SO_4)$  and the divalent cations: MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O; ZnSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O; CaCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, were also obtained from Champion Breweries Plc., Uyo, Nigeria.

#### Raw material preparation

About 50 kg of freshly harvested cassava tubers were peeled, washed and processed into starch according to the method descrybed by IITA (1990). The starch was dried to about 10% moisture content stored in low density polythene bag and sealed with an impulse sealer (300H, England) to prevent re-absorption of moisture.

#### Mashing process

Mashing is a process of enzymatic degradation (hydrolysis) of starch to fermentable sugars. The mash was prepared at a ratio of 1:4 (IITA, 2005). About 250 g of starch was dispersed in 1 L of distilled water, stirred with a glass rod to obtain a uniform mixture and heated. The heating was performed in a thermostatic water bath (TR24-A22BX CBOOO, England) with a stirrer. The temperature of the mash was raised to 60 °C at which the particles of starch hydrate and swell and at higher temperature begin to gelatinize, making them susceptible to enzymatic hydrolysis (Kumar et al., 1998; Hough et al., 1982).

The mixture was then treated with enzymes in 2 steps, liquefaction and saccharification (Rakin et al., 2009). 2 ml of Fungamyl and Termamyl,  $\alpha$ -amylase (endo-enzymes) enzymes were added to the mash and heated to 90 - 95°C for 20 min with continuous stirring. This is to liquefy the starch and break it down to dextrins and oligosaccharides. The liquefied mash was cooled to 60°C and 2 ml of amyloglucosidase (AMG) were added and heated to 75°C

Independent process variables (mg/L)		Coded variable levels					
		Corner points		Centre point	Star p	tar points	
		-1.682	-1	0	+1	+1.682	
X <sub>1</sub>	Mg	35	64	107	150	179	
X2	Zn	0.24	0.30	0.39	0.48	0.54	
X <sub>3</sub>	Ca	14.31	30	53	76	91.69	

Table 1. Central composite design for a three-variable, 5 level divalent cation combinations.

Where -1.682 = lower corner point; -1 = higher corner point; 0 = centre point; +1 = lower star point; +1.682 = higher star point. The 3 variables (X<sub>1</sub> to X<sub>3</sub>), 5 level combinations (- 1.682 to +1.682) produced 15 divalent cation combinations (3 x 5). The centre point (107, 0.39 and 53 mg/L) was replicated 5 times generating a total of 20 experimental runs.

with continuous stirring for 45 min for starch-saccharification. The temperature of the mash was brought down to  $30\,^\circ$ C and tested for complete saccharification.

#### **Fermentation process**

Fermentations were performed with *S. pastorianus*, a larger brewing strain (726 x  $10^6$  cells/ml, 98.78% viability). About 6 g was weighed, a starter inoculum prepared and inoculated into the sterile hydrolyzates (500 ml). To each medium were added divalent cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) in combinations given in Table 1 and free yeast cells. The fermentors were swirled to obtain a uniform mixture. Batch fermentations were conducted in an anaerobic condition at laboratory temperature and the samples analyzed at 24 h interval. Fermentation continued until a maximum alcohol yield was obtained.

#### Analytical method

Ethanol concentrations of the fermenting hydrolyzates were determined using an Anton Paar GMBH Alcolyzer Plus (COM 1, Austria, Europe). The samples were drawn into a flask sealed, shaken and released to degas. The degassed samples were filtered through folded Whatman filter paper (1 Qualitative, 10 cm, England) and the funnels covered immediately with a watch glass. The samples were swirled very well (to bring back any condensation of ethanol into the solution) and 50 ml filled into the sample vial and placed into the magazine of the sample changer (SP-1 m). The sample changer is a part of the sophisticated beer analyzing system of the Alcolyzer Plus. Ethanol concentration was displayed at 20 °C by the instrument after measurement.

#### Ethanol distillation

At the end of fermentation, the hydrolyzates were filtered for distillation (recovery of ethanol). A 100 ml distillation flask (Clearfit 34/36, England) was filled with the fermented sample, placed in an electric heater and connected to a Clearfit distillation apparatus (KSH 4/33, England) with thermometer. Ethanol was distilled off at the temperature of 78.5 °C (Okwu and Eneboachi, 2002).

#### Experimental design for optimization

A central composite rotatable response surface design for a three-

variable, 5 level combinations coded -1, -1.682, 0, 1, 1.682 (Table 1), as modeled and used in literature (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980; Nwabueze, 2007) was used for the optimization of the divalent cations for ethanol production from cassava starch hydrolyzates. Magnesium (X<sub>1</sub>, mg/L), Zinc (X<sub>2</sub>, mg/ L) and calcium (X<sub>3</sub>, mg/L) were chosen as the independent variables at 5 levels of concentration as shown in Table 1. A total of 20 experimental runs were performed for the optimization of the cations for fermentation. This included 5 replicates at the centre point in the design which makes the reproducibility of data possible.

#### Statistical analysis

Data obtained from the fermentation experiments were statistically regressed using Stagraphic Computer Software (STATISTICA) to test the significance (accepted at 5% probability level) of main and interactive effects of the cations (Nwabueze, 2007). Three-dimensional response surface plots were made with MATLAB 7.1.0246 (R14) GIBSOFT software. The statistical design (multivariate regression analysis) with the model fitted to each set of data was as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} Y = & \beta_{0} & + & \beta_{1}X_{1} & +\beta_{2}X_{2} & +\beta_{3}X_{3} & +\beta_{11}X_{1}^{2} & +\beta_{22}X_{2}^{2} & +\beta_{33}X_{3}^{2} & +\beta_{12}X_{1}X_{2} \\ +\beta_{13}X_{1}X_{3} & +\beta_{23}X_{2}X_{3} & + \epsilon & (1) \end{array}$ 

Where Y = dependent response variables (ethanol).  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \dots \beta_{23} =$  estimated regression coefficients.  $X_1, X_2, X_3 =$  independent variables in the model (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and  $\epsilon$  = random error.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 2 shows a progressive increase in ethanol production which peaked at 96 h of the 120 h fermentation irrespective of divalent cation combinations. Highest ethanol production of 11.12% v/v was obtained from cationic variable combinations of 64, 0.48 and 30 mg/l  $(Mg^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Ca^{2+})$ , respectively at 96 h fermentation. High concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$  and low  $Ca^{2+}$  seems to favor alcohol production as shown in the experimental data. Yeast exhibits a high affinity for  $Mg^{2+}$  and increase in  $Mg^{2+}$  is essential for yeast growth, metabolism and fermentation (Walker et al., 1996; Smith and Walker, 2000).

S/N	Variables			Responses (ethanol %, v/v)					
5/N	Х <sub>1 (</sub> Мg)	X <sub>2</sub> (Zn)	X <sub>3</sub> (Ca)	0	24	48	72	96	120
1	64	0.30	30	0.24	2.09	5.16	9.96	10.50	10.42
2	64	0.30	76	0.25	2.58	5.82	9.60	10.88	10.86
3	64	0.48	30	0.26	3.02	6.54	9.96	11.12	11.10
4	64	0.48	76	0.23	2.00	5.06	7.34	7.53	7.50
5	150	0.30	30	0.25	2.98	6.48	9.72	11.04	11.00
6	150	0.30	76	0.26	2.51	5.83	9.98	10.98	10.96
7	150	0.48	30	0.24	2.37	6.08	9.76	10.96	10.92
8	150	0.48	76	0.25	2.31	5.66	9.49	10.80	10.76
9	179	0.39	53	0.24	2.51	5.80	9.58	10.89	10.86
10	35	0.39	53	0.25	2.30	5.67	9.39	10.70	10.67
11	107	0.54	53	0.25	3.00	6.01	9.68	10.93	10.90
12	107	0.24	53	0.24	2.34	5.08	8.84	9.42	9.40
13	107	0.39	91.69	0.24	2.50	5.86	9.39	10.45	10.42
14	107	0.39	14.31	0.23	2.04	5.27	7.36	7.98	7.93
15	107	0.39	53	0.26	2.02	5.38	9.25	10.42	10.40
16	107	0.39	53	0.26	2.04	5.39	9.27	10.40	10.39
17	107	0.39	53	0.27	2.03	5.38	9.28	10.41	10.40
18	107	0.39	53	0.26	2.05	5.38	9.26	10.40	10.38
19	107	0.39	53	0.26	2.02	5.39	9.25	10.42	10.40
20	107	0.39	53	0.25	2.04	5.38	9.26	10.41	10.40
С	-	-	-	0.24	2.98	5.42	8.24	10.25	10.22

**Table 2.** Effect of the divalent cations concentration combinations on the ethanol production of the fermenting cassava starch hydrolyzates.

Where C = control medium without cation combinations.

 $Zn^{2+}$  is an essential micronutrient and has stimu-lating effect in yeast metabolism. The control medium without divalent cations had a maximum ethanol produc-tion of 10.25% at the end of the same 96 h period of fermentation.

Alcohol production increased with high concentrations of  $Zn^{2+}$  (0.30 - 0.48 mg/L). Desmartez (1993) stated that 0.45 mg/L concentration of  $Zn^{2+}$  promoted fermentation and consequently alcohol production. Ca<sup>2+</sup> requirement for yeast growth, metabolism and alcohol production are low (30-76 mg/L) in this work as Walker (1994) and Youatt (1993) also observed in their research.

Minimum ethanol production was 7.53 from the cationic concentration combinations of 64, 0.48 and 76 mg/L for  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ , respectively, at the end of the 96 h period of fermentation. It was observed that in the optimum ethanol production, concentration of  $Ca^{2+}$  was higher than  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  exhibited its inhibitory/antagonistic effect on  $Mg^{2+}$  and consequently on the Mg-dependent processes and yeast growth (Walker et al., 1996). And in very low concentrations,  $Ca^{2+}$  cannot successfully buffer the fermenting medium.  $Ca^{2+}$  is known to protect the enzyme complex through its contribution to the buffer systems integrity (Walker, 2000). Walker et al. (1996)

showed that by altering the  $Mg^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  ratio in favor of  $Mg^{2+}$ , alcohol production by yeast increased. However, it is interesting to note that the main effect of  $Ca^{2+}$  was not significant for a high level of  $Mg^{2+}$  in the fermentation medium, which indicates that yeast has a higher affinity for  $Mg^{2+}$  than for  $Ca^{2+}$ . This finding supports the views of Saltokoglu and Slaughter (1983), Walker et al. (1996) and Chandrasena et al. (1997).

The estimated regression coefficients (Table 3), showed a significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) negative quadratic effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> on ethanol. This is probably because Ca<sup>2+</sup> antagonizes uptake of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and blocks essential Mg-dependent metabolic processes, thus, causing growth inhibition at high concentrations (Saltokoglu and Slaughter, 1983; Walker et al., 1996). The resultant polynomial equation after removing the non-significant terms is:

$$E = 0.23741 - 0.00001 X_3^2$$
 (2)

Where *E* = ethanol;  $X_3 = Ca^{2+}$  and  $X_3^2 =$  quadratic order.

The response surface plot of interaction of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> at 0 h period of fermentation showed a significant (P  $\leq$  0.05) quadratic effect of Ca<sup>2+</sup> on ethanol concentration

Source	Coefficient	Standard error	df	P-value
Regression on constant	0.23741	0.01043		
X <sub>1</sub>	-0.00019	0.00043	1	0.6706
X <sub>2</sub>	0.03893	0.14941	1	0.7992
X <sub>3</sub>	0.00085	0.00082	1	0.3234
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>1</sub>	-0.000001	0.000001	1	0.3849
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub>	0.00025	0.00084	1	0.7685
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	0.000007	0.000004	1	0.0831
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>2</sub>	-0.04202	0.26779	1	0.8782
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	-0.00086	0.00161	1	0.6060
X <sub>3</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	-0.00001	0.000005	1	0.0446
R <sup>2</sup>	0.4895			

 Table 3. Estimated regression coefficient for ethanol produced from fermented cassava starch hydrolyzates at 0 h.

Where  $X_1 = Mg^{2+}$ ,  $X_2 = Zn^{2+}$  and  $X_3^{2+} = Ca^{2+}$ ;  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ = linear orders;  $X_1X_1$ ,  $X_2X_2$  and  $X_3X_3$  =quadratic orders and  $X_1X_2$ ,  $X_1X_3$ ,  $X_2X_3$  = interaction orders.



Figure 1. Response surface plot for ethanol at 0 h using Mg and Ca as process variables.

(Figure 1). The multiple regression model developed from the data explained 48.95% of the variation at this period of fermentation.

At 24 h, there was a significant linear and quadratic effect of  $Zn^{2+}$  on ethanol production (Table 4). This implies that  $Zn^{2+}$  is essential for fermentative metabolism and consequently alcohol production. Similar effect was reported by Chandrasena et al. (1997). Walker et al. (2006) also reported the importance of  $Zn^{2+}$  and its stimulatory effect on the rate of fermentation and alcohol

production. The resultant polynomial after removing the non significant (P > 0.05) terms becomes:

$$E = 3.00168 - 9.60716X_2 + 24.69284X_2^2$$
(3)

Where E = ethanol;  $X_2 = Zn^{2+}$ ;  $X_2$  = linear order and  $X_2^2$  = quadratic order.

The response surface plot of the interaction between  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  shows the quadratic effect of  $Zn^{2+}$  on ethanol

Source	Coefficient	Standard error	df	P-value
Regression on constant	3.00168	0.28954		
X <sub>1</sub>	0.00603	0.01205	1	0.6266
X <sub>2</sub>	-9.60716	4.14761	1	0.0408
X <sub>3</sub>	0.01640	0.02283	1	0.4877
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>1</sub>	0.00007	0.00004	1	0.1338
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub>	-0.04566	0.02345	1	0.0774
X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	-0.00001	0.00010	1	0.8984
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>2</sub>	24.69284	7.43374	1	0.0068
X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	-0.07829	0.04474	1	0.1080
X <sub>3</sub> X <sub>3</sub>	0.00014	0.00014	1	0.3467
R <sup>2</sup>	0.6492			

 Table 4. Estimated regression coefficient for ethanol produced from fermented cassava starch hydrolyzates at 24 h.

Where  $X_1 = Mg^{2_+} X_2 = Zn^{2_+}$  and  $X_3^{2_+} = Ca^{2_+}$ ;  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3 =$  linear orders;  $X_1X_1, X_2X_2$  and  $X_3X_3 =$  quadratic orders and  $X_1X_2, X_1X_3, X_2X_3 =$  interaction orders.



Figure 2. Response surface plot for ethanol at 24 h using Zn and Ca as process variables.

concentration (Figure 2). The multiple regression model developed from the data explained a variation of 64.92% at this period of fermentation.

## Conclusion

A progressive increase in ethanol production using *S. pastorianus* peaked at 96 h of the 120 h fermentation irrespective of divalent cationic combinations. At the end of 96 h fermentation the control (no cation) recorded a maximum ethanol value of 10.25% while the experiential

media recorded a range of 7.53% (4, 64 and 0.48) to 11.12% (64, 0.48 and 30 mg/L) for  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Ca^{2+}$  combinations, respectively. The response surface methodology took into consideration, effects of each cation as well as their interactions which conventional process methods lack, in determining appropriate divalent cation combination for maximum ethanol production in cassava hydrolyzate medium. Interaction of high concentrations of  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  favored ethanol production while interaction of high concentrations of  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  produced minimum ethanol at the end of the fermentation.

#### REFERENCES

- Berg C (2003). World ethanol, A qualitative analysis, In: world ethanol 2003 conference, London UK. November 5, th edition, Lichts F. O. Agra Europe (London) Ltd.
- Bvochora JM, Read JS, Zvauya R (2000). Application of very high gravity technology to the cofermentation of sweet stem sorghum juice and sorghum grain. Ind. Crops Prod. 11(1): 11-17.
- Chandrasena G, Walker GM, Staines HJ (1997). Use of response surface to investigate metal ion interactions in yeast fermentations, J. Am. Soc. Brewing Chemists, 55: 24-29
- Desmartez B (1993). The suitability of some sugar preparations for the production of beer with high alcohol content. Cerevisiae Biotechnol. 18: 9-10.
- Dixon AGO, Okechukwu RU, Akoroda M, Ilona P, Ogbe F, Mkumbira J, Ssemakula G, Sanni L, Lemchi J, Okoro E, Ezedinma C, Patino M, Tarawali G, Maziya-Dixon B, Goteloma C (2005). New cassava variety Flyer-TMS 98/0581, IITA Integrated Cassava Project. Ibadan, Nig. pp: 1-6.
- Giovani BMC, Daniel PS, Camila VB, António AV, José AT, Maria das Graças AF, João BS (2009). Banana as Adjunct in Beer Production: Applicability and Performance of Fermentative Parameters. J. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 155(1-3): 53-62. DOI: 10.1007/s12010-008-8458-y
- Hough JS, Briggs DE, Stevens R, Young T (1982). Pure culture practice and brewing yeast propagation, In Malting and Brewing Science, Vol. 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Chapman and Hall, London, p. 624.
- IITA (1990). Cassava in Tropical Africa: A reference manual, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. pp: 87-120.
- IITA (2005). Ethanol: Ethanol from Cassava. http://www.cassavabiz.org /postharvest/ethanol01.htm. Accessed 10/3/2008
- Kumar JV, Shahbazi A, Mathew R (1998). Bioconversion of solid food wastes to ethanol, The Analyst, 123: 497-502.
- Lakkana L, Sunan N, Penjit S, Preekamol K, Pattana L (2009). Ethanol production from sweet sorghum juice using very high gravity technology: Effects of carbon and nitrogen supplementations Bioresour. Technol. 100(18): 4176-4182. doi:10.1016/j.biortech. 2009.03.046
- Nwabueze TU (2007). Nigeria solubility index and amino acid composition of African Breadfruit (*T. Africana*) blends, Nig. Food J. 25(1): 23-35.
- Nwabueze TU, Uchendu B (2009). African Breadfruit (*Treculia Africana*) Seed as Adjunct in Ethanol Production. Afr. J. Biotechnol. (in press).

- Okwu DE, Eneboachi PO (2002). Production of ethanol (industrial alcohol) from cassava starch, J. Sustain. Agric. Environ. 1(2): 258-263.
- Rakin M, Mojovic L, Nikolic S, Vukasinovic M, Nedovic V (2009). Bioethanol production by immobilized *Sacharomyces cerevisiae* var. ellipsoideus cells, Afr. J. Biotechnol. 8(3): 464-471.
- Ratnam BVV, Subba Rao S, Damodar Rao M, Narasimha Rao M, Ayyanna C (2005). Optimization of medium constituents and fermentation conditions for the production of ethanol from palmyra jaggery using response surface methodology, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 21: 399-404.
- Saltokoglu A, Slaughter JC (1983). The effect of magnesium and calcium on yeast growth, J. Institute Brewery, 83: 81-83.
- Smith GD, Walker GM (2000). Fermentation performance of Mgpreconditioned yeast. In: Brewing yeast fermentation performance, Smart KA Ed., Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. pp: 92-95.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1980). Statistical methods, 7<sup>th</sup> ed. IOWA State University Press, Ames, 1A, p. 217.
- Walker GM (1994). The roles of magnesium in Biotechnology, Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 14: 311-354.
- Walker GM (2000). The role of metal ions in optimizing yeast fermentation performance, In: Nutrition aspects II. Synergy between yeasts and bacteria. Lalleman Technical Meeting 2000 Perugia, Italy.
- Walker GM, Birch R, Chandrasena G, Maynard AI (1996). Magnesium, calcium and fermentative metabolism in industrial yeast, J. Am. Soc. Brewing Chem. 54:13-18.
- Walker GM, DeNicola R, Anthony S, Learmonth R (2006). Yeast metal interactions: Impact of brewing and distilling fermentations. In: Proceedings of the Institute of Brewing and Distilling Asia Pacific Sect. 2006 Convention, Hobert, Tasmania, pp: 1-19.
- Youatt J (1993). Calcium and microorganisms. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 19: 83-97.