

Afr. J. Biomed. Res. Vol. 25 (September, 2022); 285 - 289

Research Article

Effects of Ketofol Intravenous Anaesthesia Versus Isoflurane on Emergence Agitation in Children Undergoing Cataract Extraction

Ojediran O.S. and *Eyelade O.R.

Department of Anaesthesia, University College Hospital, Ibadan Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Emergence agitation is a common post-operative complication in children following the use of inhalational anaesthetic agents. The study was designed to evaluate the effects of Ketofol TIVA and isoflurane on the incidence and severity of Emergence Agitation (EA) in children who had cataract surgery. Ninety-four patients between the ages of two and six years with American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) physical status 1 or 11 undergoing elective cataract surgery under general anesthesia were randomised into two groups of 47 patients each. Induction of anaesthesia was with 2-3 mg/kg of propofol in both groups. One group of patients (group A) was maintained with ketofol TIVA in the ratio of 1:10 (1mg/kg/hr of ketamine and 10mg/kg of propofol) while the second group was maintained on 1-1.5 MAC of isoflurane. The incidence and severity of Emergence Agitation (EA) were assessed in the first one hour of the post-operative period using the Paediatric Emergence Delirium (PAED) scale. The emergence, extubation and recovery time were also assessed every 5 minutes and compared using Fisher's exact test. The complications related to the two techniques of maintenance of anaesthesia in the first hour of the PACU stay were reported. he two groups had similar age and weight characteristics. In group A, 2 patients (4.3%) had EA while 10 patients (21.3%) had same in group B (p<0.005) from baseline time (T0) to 15 minutes postoperatively (T15). The median PAED score was statistically significant at T0 (p = 0.004). There was no statistical difference between the emergence, extubation and recovery times. Retching, vomiting and laryngospasm in both groups were not statistically significant. Ketofol TIVA in the ratio of 1:10 reduced the incidence and severity of EA following cataract extraction in the first one hour of the post-operative period when compared with isoflurane as maintenance anaesthetics.

Keywords: Ketofol (TIVA), Isoflurane, Emergence agitation, Children, Cataract surgery.

*Author for correspondence: Email: dryinka@yahoo.com; Tel: + 2348061671076

Received: March 2022; Accepted: June 2022

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajbr.v25i3.1

INTRODUCTION

Emergence agitation (EA) or emergence delirium (ED) is a common post-operative anaesthetic complication in children recovering from general anaesthesia. It was first described by Eckenhoff in 1960 (Eckenhoff *et al*,1961). Despite the awareness of this post anaesthetic problem for the past 50 years, it is still a subject of interest in paediatric anaesthesia. The incidence in children is as high as 80% (Kuratani and Oi, 2008). EA is characterised by mental confusion, disorientation, irritability, inconsolability, excessive crying, restlessness, pulling out of wound dressing, intravenous access and other attached monitoring equipment (Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003). This is associated with increased anxiety and concern in the parents/guardians as regard the clinical condition of their children. The attending health care giver can also be a victim of assault ranging from

scratch marks on the body to human bite, while the child can be self-injured.

EA is self-limiting, sometimes lasting about 3 - 45 minutes, and resolving spontaneously in most cases (Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003). It has also resulted in prolonged Post Anaesthesia Care Unit (PACU) stay and reports of adverse events such as increased bleeding and pain from surgical site have also been documented (Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003). The exact cause is unknown but the major factor that has been associated with the occurrence of EA following general anaesthesia in children is the use of volatile-based anaesthetic agents (Eckenhoff *et al*,1961; Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003; Smessaert, Schehr and Artusio, 1960).

There is paucity of data about the incidence of EA with the use of isoflurane in West African sub region. Other factors that has been implicated as causes of EA include postoperative pain even though EA may be seen in children undergoing non-painful procedures under sevoflurane anaesthesia (Isik *et al*, 2006), pre-school children, preoperative anxiety, otolaryngology and ophthalmic procedures (Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003).

Ketamine is an intravenous anaesthetic agent with good hypnotic, sedative and analgesic property. Its analgesic property can be used as an adjunct to propofol TIVA. Propofol in combination with ketamine ("ketofol") has good sedating, analgesic and stable haemodynamic effects (Amornyotin, 2014). Ketofol has been mainly used for procedural sedation in children and adult (Akanmu *et al*, 2014; Lemoel *et al*, 2017). There is a dearth of literature on the use of ketofol TIVA for maintenance of anaesthesia for the purpose of preventing EA, although both drugs (ketamine and propofol) have been used separately as boluses given at the end of sevoflurane anaesthesia for the prevention of EA (Rizk and Samir 2014).

Considering the good properties of ketofol, the aim of this randomised controlled study is to compare the effects of maintenance of anaesthesia with ketofol TIVA versus isoflurane anaesthesia on the incidence and severity of EA in children undergoing cataract extraction in our environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Intraoperative care: The study was a prospective single blind (research assistants) randomised trial of two groups of children undergoing cataract extraction.

Ethics Committee Approval: Approval of the University of Ibadan/University College Hospital (UI/UCH) Ethics Committee was obtained and written informed consent was obtained from parent/guardian.

Participants selection: Paediatric patients aged 2 - 6 years scheduled to have cataract surgery and whose parents/guardian had given informed consent for the procedure were assessed for eligibility and declared fit for the procedure.

Procedure: The attending anaesthetist and the patient were not blinded to the technique of anaesthesia. All the patients were induced with 2 to 3mg/kg of propofol. Baseline vital signs including non-invasive blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, 5-lead ECG and peripheral oxygen saturation were obtained. In addition to standard monitoring the disposable Bispectral index (BIS) sensor (Aspect medical system, VISTA MA USA) was applied to the patient's forehead before induction of anaesthesia and this was connected to a BIS monitor model A-2000 TM to monitor the depth of anaesthesia or hypnotic state of the patient. All the patients were given anti-emetic prophylaxis using 0.1 mg/kg of dexamethasone and preoxygenated through a face mask via Ayre' T piece with Jackson Ree's modification or Bain's circuit if the child weighed more than 25kg.

Anaesthesia was maintained in group A with ketofol using McFarlan infusion dose regimen (15 mg/kg/h for 15 min, 13 mg/kg/h for 15 min, 11 mg/kg/h for 30 min) and infusion rates were decreased by 90% of the ratio 1:10. The study medication was prepared by the same anaesthetist for

each of the patients. Group B patients was maintained with 1-1.5 MAC of isoflurane.

Muscle relaxation was achieved with pancuronium at 0.1mg/kg. The airway was secured with appropriate size endotracheal tube after the confirmation of correct placement of the tube. Patients in Group A were maintained on ketofol (1mg/kg/hr of ketamine) and propofol 10mg/kg/hr (ratio 1:10) infusion through a B Braun infusion (GSA DIA MEDICAL USA) pump using McFarlan infusion dose regimen. Those in Group B were maintained on 1- 1.5 minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of isoflurane in 100% oxygen. All the patients were mechanically ventilated via a closed-circuit breathing system.

Haemodynamic parameters such as blood pressure, heart rate and oxygen saturation were monitored continuously and recorded at an interval of 5 minutes. Depth of anaesthesia was also monitored and the target BIS value was 40-60. BIS value above 60 was regarded as awareness under anaesthesia and this was managed with administration of bolus doses of ketofol infusion in group A, and increase in the fractional inspired concentration of isoflurane in group B. At the end of the procedure, the ketofol infusion or isoflurane was discontinued and residual neuromuscular blockade was reversed with 0.05mg/kg of neostigmine in addition to an anticholinergic agent (0.01mg/kg of atropine). Suctioning under direct vision was done and tracheal extubation was done. The intravenous access of group A (Ketofol) was flushed with 10mls of sterile water before going into the recovery room to avoid bias by the observer.

Post-operative care: Patient were transferred with oxygen to the recovery room when they have fully recovered from anaesthesia and were allowed to have contact with one of the parents or care giver during the period of stay in the PACU. All the patients were assessed for post-operative emergence agitation every 5 minutes for the first one hour after surgery by the research assistant using the Paediatric Anaesthesia Emergence Delirium (PAED) scale. The primary outcome variable was a PAED score of greater than 10. Secondary outcomes were intraoperative haemodynamic changes (heart rate, blood pressure, and mean arterial blood pressure), postoperative recovery profile (time of recovery, emergence and extubation time and occurrence of vomiting). Intravenous paracetamol 15mg/kg 8 hourly was given for post-operative analgesia. The time of readiness for discharge was assessed using modified Aldrete scoring system.

Data collection: Data was collected using a data collection proforma designed for the purpose of the study. All the participants' bio-data, intraoperative haemodynamic were recorded. The primary outcome variable was a PAED score of greater than 10. Secondary outcomes were intraoperative haemodynamic changes (heart rate, blood pressure, and mean arterial blood pressure), postoperative recovery profile (time of recovery, emergence and extubation time and occurrence of vomiting).

Data analysis: Block randomisation was performed using computer generated table of random numbers with an online software. The calculated sample size was randomly divided

into an equal group of two. Consecutive patients were allocated to the group they belong in order of enlistment.

Qualitative variables was represented using tables and charts in frequency and percentages while quantitative data was presented in descriptive format (mean and standard deviation). Test of associations for categorical variables was done using Chi square test while Fisher s exact test was used when the expected value of a single is less than five(5). To test the difference between means and median between the two group, an independent sample t -test and a non-parametric independent t-test (Mann-Whitney U) was performed. The level of significance was declared when p-value was found to be less than 0.05.

RESULTS

One hundred ASA I and II children aged between 2-6 years were eligible and approached for the study, out of which six of the patients were excluded from the study because the patients/ guardians refused to give consent. Therefore, data from 94 patients (47 in each group) were analysed.

Table 1:

Demographic and clinical data of the patients				
	Variable	Group A (Ketofol) N=47 n (%)	Group B (Isoflurane) N=47 n (%)	P- value
Age (years) (mean±SD)		5.2±0.9	5.2± 1.0	#0.833
Gender	Male	33 (70.2)	30	^0.510
•	Female	14 (29.8)	17	
Weight (Kg) (mean±SD)		18.9±3.9	19.2± 4.9	#0.522
Indication for Surgery	Trauma cataract	26 (55.3)	27 (57.4)	^0.977
	Congenital cataract	3 (6.4)	3 (6.4)	
•	Developmental cataract	18 (38.3)	17 (36.2)	
Duration of Surgery (mins) (mean±SD)		41.2±6.9	43.6 ±11.7	#0.241
Duration of (mins) (mea	Anaesthesia	70.6±12.	68.4± 16.1	# 0.461

#Independent T-test, ^ Chi-square test, f Fisher's exact test

Demographic and Clinical Data of the Patients: The sociodemographic characteristics of the patients showed a similar mean age of 5.2±0.9 years and 5.2±1.0 years in group A and B group respectively. There were 33 males and 14 females in the group A compared to 30 males and 17 females in Group B (p=0.51). The mean weight was 18.9±3.9kg and 19.2±4.9kg in group A and group B respectively and this was not statistically significant. All the patients in group A were ASA 1, while one patient in group B was ASA class II.

Indication for surgery in the two groups were similar with trauma to the eye constituting over 50% in both groups, less

than a tenth had congenital cataract (6%) while developmental cataract was the indication in about 30% of the study population. The proportion of children with previous exposure to surgery and anaesthesia, though higher in group A (14.9%) compared to group B, was not statistically significant (p = 0.336). Mean duration of surgery was 41.2 \pm 6.9 minutes in group A and 43.6 \pm 11.7 minutes in group B (p = 0.241). Also, the mean duration of anaesthesia was 70.6 \pm 12.6 minutes in group A and 68.4 \pm 16.1 minutes in group B (p = 0.461) as shown in Table 1.

Comparison of Emergence Agitation: On arrival in PACU (T0), the overall incidence of emergence agitation was 12.8% (12/94) and distributed as 2 (4.3%) patients in group A and 10 (21.3%) patients in group B, p = 0.013 (Table 2). At T5 minutes, the 2 patients in group A observed to have agitation at T0 were still agitated and same was observed in the 10 patients in group B who were still agitated, this was statistically significant (p=0.013). At T10 minutes, one of the 2 patient in group A and 6 patients in group B still had agitation, though this was not statistically significant, p=0.111. At T15 minutes, one patient in each group still had agitation, p=1.0. From T20 to T60, emergence agitation had resolved in the 12 patients observed initially at T0. (Table 2).

Table 2: Comparison of emergence agitation (PAED score >10)

Time (mins)	Group A (Ketofol)	Group B (Isoflurane)	Total	P- value
Т0	2 (4.3)	10 (21.3)	12	0.013*
T5	2 (4.3)	10 (21.3)	12	0.013*
T10	1 (2.1)	6 (12.8)	7	0.111 ^f
T15	1 (2.1)	1 (2.1)	2	1.000 ^f
T20-T60	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-	NA

T0 = Baseline (Arrival at PACU); T5 = 5 minutes in the PACU T10 = 10 minutes in the PACU; T15 = 15 minutes in the PACU T20 – T60 = 20 – 60 minutes in the PACU

Severity of Emergence Agitation and Comparison of PAED Score in the 2 groups: Severe emergence agitation measured with PAED score of >15 was observed only in patients in group B. At T0, there were three patients with severe agitation and this reduced to one patient at T5 while no patient had continued agitation after T5 (Table 3).

Severity of emergence agitation (PAED score >15)

Time (mins)	Group A (Ketofol)	Group B (Isoflurane)	Total	p-value
Т0	0 (0.0)	3 (6.4)	3	0.242 ^f
T5	0 (0.0)	1 (2.1)	1	1.000 f
$T_{10} - T_{60}$	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0	NA

N= Number of patients with PAED score >15, fF isher's exact test, NA- Statistics not applicable

Recovery Characteristics: The mean emergence time was 5.9 and 5.1 minutes in group A and group B respectively, p = 0.058. The mean time to extubation was of 7.6 ± 3.1 minutes in

group A and 6.8±4.4minutes in group B, P=0.301 while the recovery time was 30.3±10.6minutes and 26.5±13.5minutes in group A and B respectively, p=0.136 (Table 5).

Table 4: Comparison of PAED score in the two groups

Time (mins)	Group A (Ketofol) Median(IQR)	Group B (Isoflurane) Median(IQR)	P- value
T0	9(1)	9 (2)	0.004*
T5	9 (2)	9 (3)	0.308
T10	8 (3)	8 (4)	0.966
T15	6 (0)	6 (9)	0.758
T20-T60	0 (0)	0 (0)	NA

^{*}Statistical significance at p<0.05, Mann-Whitney U, NA- Statistics not applicable

Table 5: Recovery characteristics

Variables		Group A (Ketofol) Mean (SD)	Group B (Isoflurane) Mean (SD)	P- value
Emergence (mins)	time	5.9 (3.1)	5.1 (4.2)	0.058
Extubation (mins)	time	7.6 (3.1)	6.8(4.4)	0.301
Recovery (mins)	time	30.3 (10.6)	26.5 (13.5)	0.061

^{*}Statistical significance at p<0.05, Mann-Whitney U, NA- Statistics not applicable.

DISCUSSION

The main finding in this study was a reduction in the incidence and severity of EA when low dose ketamine and propofol combination was used for maintenance of anaesthesia as compared to isoflurane in children who had cataract surgery. Ketofol has been documented by many authors to be more effective in reducing the incidence and severity of EA in both children and adult when compared to inhalational agent (Rizk and Samir 2014; Metainy and Saber 2016; Cravero, Surgenor and Whalen 2000). EA typically occurs in children following rapid recovery from the effect of inhalation anaesthetic agent hence, the suggested mechanism for the beneficial effect of ketofol is the sedating and analgesic effect of ketamine in combination with the sedating effect of propofol in the immediate post-operative period.

The overall incidence of emergence agitation was 12.8% in this study, this falls within the established range of 2-80% incidence reported generally in children with the use of different anaesthetic agents (Kuratani and Oi 2008, Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003). Inhalational agents have been the main implicating factor in the pathogenesis of EA. The exact mechanism responsible for EA is not fully understood however, rapid wash out or recovery as evidence by the occurrence of abnormal EEG changes has been implicated with the use of sevoflurane. The appearance of similar EEG changes has also been documented with the use of isoflurane.

Isoflurane is a widely used inhalational agent in anaesthesia because it is relatively cheap, available and has relatively low blood-gas partition coefficient of 1.4 when compared to sevoflurane with blood gas solubility of 0.65. Agents with high blood - gas partition coefficient are highly soluble with greater uptake by the pulmonary circulation but a slower increase in alveolar partial pressure (Khan, Hayes and Buggy 2014). This results into prolonged induction and recovery from anaesthesia. Rapid recovery from sevoflurane anaesthesia because of its low blood gas solubility coefficient has been reported as one of the reasons for the high incidence of EA found with the use of this agent. The relative lower incidence of agitation observed with isoflurane in this study conforms to its high blood gas solubility when compared to sevoflurane resulting into gradual recovery from anaesthesia. In a study by Biricik et al (2018), ketofol TIVA in different ratios (1.5, 1:67 and 1:10) were compared in children who underwent adenotonsillectomy and the findings showed that the lowest incidence of EA was in the group that had ketofol ratio 1:10. The difference in the incidence of agitation reported by Biricik et al (2018) when compared to the incidence in the present study may be attributed to the type of surgery. Adenotonsillectomy has been reported to be associated with high incidence of emergence agitation compared to ophthalmic procedures because of the feeling of suffocation being experienced by these children post operatively (Voepel-Lewis, Malviya and Tait, 2003). Also, low dose ketamine in combination with propofol (Ketofol ratio 1:10) is effective to lower incidence of EA as observed in this study because it ensured adequate post-operative sedation and analgesia with good recovery parameters.

The cut off point for the severity of EA in this study was PAED score of >15. The higher the PAED score the greater the intensity and severity of the agitation. Severe form of EA was found more in the isoflurane group when compared to the ketofol group as evidence by the highest PAED score seen in the isoflurane group. This is similar to the findings of Chandler et al (2013) who also reported the highest PAED score with sevoflurane as compared to the propofol remifentanil group. Singh et al (2012) compared the incidence and intensity of EA following the use of sevoflurane (40%), desflurane (28%), and isoflurane (16%) in children and reported that there was no statistically significance difference in severity of EA in the three agents but isoflurane group had the least incidence of agitation. This may be attributed to the high blood -gas solubility coefficient of isoflurane when compared to these agents.

The time of emergence from anaesthesia and extubation may be important to improve the operating room efficiency. Prolong emergence and extubation time may decrease the rate of turnover of patients in the operating room. This may also negatively affect any positive effect that would have been gained from rapid emergence from the effect of the anaesthetic agent. The emergence time was found to be non-significantly higher in ketofol group, 5.9 (3.1) than in isoflurane group 5.1(4.2). Contrary to this, Mahajan *et al* (2009) reported a longer emergence time of 8.2 with ketofol (ratio 1:4) TIVA in adult patients undergoing short elective procedures. The concentration of ketamine in combination with propofol (ratio

1:10) used in this study achieved a faster emergence that is comparable to the time of emergence from isoflurane.

The extubating time in ketofol group, (7.6(3.1)) was non significantly longer than in isoflurane group, 6.8(4.4). The sedative effect of both propofol and ketamine may be the reason for this finding. Similar to this observation, Schraag et al (2018) in a systematic review and meta-analysis of TIVA with propofol versus inhalational agent reported a nonclinically relevant longer time to extubation in propofol when compared to inhalational agent. Also, Biricik et al (2018) reported the extubation time with ketofol TIVA (ratio 1:10) to be 254.3±92.7s which is not so much different from the extubation time observed with the ketofol group in this study. The slight difference may be due to procedure related factors. However, Jalili et al (2019) reported a longer extubation time of 9.8 minutes with ketofol (ratio 1:4) when compared to the finding in this study with ketofol (ratio 1:10). The high concentration of ketamine in combination with propofol may prolong the emergence and extubating time.

The participants in the ketofol group experienced a non-statistically significant longer recovery time when compared to the isoflurane group. This may be attributed to the hypnotic, sedative and analgesic effect of the intravenous anaesthetic agent. which result into slower recovery from anaeshesia. Rapid wash out of the inhalational agent from the alveolar and central nervous system explain the shorter recovery time observed with the use of the inhalational agents. However, the recovery time reported by Biricik *et al* (2018) with ketofol is similar to what was observed in the ketofol group in this study because the same dosage of ketofol was used. When patient stay longer than usual in the recovery room, there could be possibility of increasing nursing care during this period, this can have a significant impact in improving the efficiency and turnover rate per day of the operating suite.

In conclusion, the results obtained from this study on emergence agitation in children undergoing cataract extraction with the use of ketofol and isoflurane shows that the ketofol group had a reduced incidence and severity of EA when compared with isoflurane. This was as a result of the combined sedating and analgesic effect of ketofol. The patient in the ketofol group also had a better recovery profile than the isoflurane group.

REFERENCES

Akanmu, O.N., Adekola, O.O., Oridota, S.E., Ogundun, O.B., Akinsulire, A.O., & Temiye, E.O., (2014). The use of ketofol for procedural sedation in paediatric bone marrow aspiration and intrathecal chemotherapy in Children. *Highland Medical Research Journal* 14; 99-102.

Amornyotin, S. (2014) Ketofol: A Combination of Ketamine and Propofol. *Journal of Anesthesia and Critical Care*: Open Access 1(5): 00031.

Biricik, E., Karacaer, F., Güleç, E., Sürmelioğlu, Ö., Ilgınel, M., & Özcengiz, D. (2018). Comparison of TIVA with different combinations of ketamine-propofol mixtures in pediatric patients. *Journal of Anesthesia*, 32(1), 104–111.

- Chandler, J.R., Myers, D., Mehta, D., Whyte, E., Groberman, M.K., Montgomery, C.J., & Ansermino, J.M. (2013). Emergence delirium in children: a randomized trial to compare total intravenous anesthesia with propofol and remifentanil to inhalational sevoflurane anesthesia. *Pediatric Anaesthesia* 23(4):309-15.
- Chen, J., Li, W., Hu, X., & Wang, D. (2010). Emergence agitation after cataract surgery in children: a comparison of midazolam, propofol and ketamine. *Pediatric Anaesthesia* 20(9):873-9.
- Cravero, J., Surgenor, S., & Whalen, K. (2000). Emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia and no surgery. A comparison with halothane. *Pediatric Anaesthesia* 10:419-424.
- Eckenhoff, J.E., Kneale, D.H., & Dripps, R.D. (1961). The incidence and etiology of postanesthetic excitement. A clinical survey. *Anesthesiology* 22:667-73.
- **Isik, B., Arslan, M., Tunga, A. D., & Kurtipek, O. (2006).** Dexmedetomidine decreases emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 16(7), 748-753.
- **Jalili, S., Esmaeeili, A., Kamali, K., & Rashtchi, V. (2019).** Comparison of effects of propofol and ketofol (Ketamine-Propofol mixture) on emergence agitation in children undergoing tonsillectomy. *African Health Sciences* 19(1):1736-1744.
- **Khan, K.S., Hayes, I., & Buggy, D.J.** (2014). Pharmacology of anaesthetic agents II: Inhalation anaesthetic agents. Continuing Education in Anaesthesia, Critical Care and Pain 14(3): 106-111.
- **Kuratani**, N. & Oi, Y. (2008). Greater incidence of emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane anesthesia as compared with halothane: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesthesiology* 109(2):225-32.
- Lemoel, F., Contenti, J., Giolito, D., Boiffier, M., Rapp, J., Istria, J., Fournier, M., Ageron, F.X., & Levraut, J. (2017). Adverse events with ketamine versus ketofol for procedural sedation on adults: A double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 24(12):1441-1449.
- Mahajan, R., Swarnkar, N., & Ghosh, A. (2009). Comparison of ketamine and fentanyl with propofol in total intravenous anaesthesia: a double blind randomized clinical trial. *The Internet Journal of Anesthesiology* 23(2):6434.
- Metainy, S.E. & Saber, R. (2016). Ketofol versus sevoflurane for maintenance of anaesthesia in paediatric cardiac catheterization: A prospective double blind study. *Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia* 32;249-254.
- **Rizk, S.N. & Samir, E.M. (2014).** Use of ketofol to control emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. *Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia* 30(1): 13-19.
- Schraag, S., Pradelli, L., Alsaleh, A.J.O., Bellone, M., Ghetti, G., Chung, T.L., Westphal, M., & Rehberg, S. (2018). Propofol versus inhalational agents to maintain general anaesthesia in ambulatory and in-patient surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Anesthesiology* 18(1):162.
- Singh, R., Kharbanda, M., Sood, N., Mahajan, V., & Chatterji, C. (2012). Comparative evaluation of incidence of emergence agitation and post-operative recovery profile in paediatric patients after isoflurane, sevoflurane and desflurane anaesthesia. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia* 56(2):156-61.
- Smessaert, A., Schehr, C.A., & Artusio, J.F. Jr. (1960). Observations in the immediate postanaesthesia period. II. Mode of recovery. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 32:181-5.
- Voepel-Lewis, T., Malviya, S., Tait, A.R. (2003). A prospective cohort study of emergence agitation in the pediatric postanesthesia care unit. *Anesthesia Analgesia* 96(6):1625-1630.