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Abstract:

Background: Staphylococcus aureus is one of the species of bacteria most frequently isolated from medical devices. The ability to produce biofilm is an important step in the pathogenesis of these staphylococci infection, and biofilm formation is strongly dependent on environmental conditions as well as antibiotics and disinfectants used in the treatment and prevention of infections.

Methodology: In this study, 28 S. aureus isolated from medical devices at the University Hospital Center of Sidi Bel Abbes in Northwestern Algeria were tested for biofilm formation by culture on Red Congo Agar (RCA). The tube method (TM) and tissue culture plate (TCP) techniques were also used to investigate the effect of penicillin, ethanol and betadine on pre-formed biofilm.

Results: Nineteen S. aureus isolates produced biofilm on the RCA and 7 produced biofilms by the tube method, 2 of which were high producer. In addition, 9 S. aureus isolates produced biofilm on polystyrene micro-plates, and in the presence of penicillin and ethanol, this number increased to 19 and 11 biofilm producing S. aureus isolates respectively. On the other hand, no biofilm was formed in the presence of betadine.

Conclusion: It is important to test for biofilm formation following an imposed external constraint such as disinfectants and antibiotics in order to develop new strategies to combat bacterial biofilms but also to better control their formation.
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Abstrait:

Contexte: *Staphylococcus aureus* est l’une des espèces de bactéries les plus fréquemment isolées des dispositifs médicaux. La capacité de produire du biofilm est une étape importante dans la pathogenèse de ces infections à staphylocoques, et la formation de biofilm dépend fortement des conditions environnementales ainsi que des antibiotiques et des désinfectants utilisés dans le traitement et la prévention des infections.

Méthodologie: Dans cette étude, 28 *S. aureus* isolés à partir de dispositifs médicaux au Centre hospitalier universitaire de Sidi Bel Abbès dans le nord-ouest de l’Algérie ont été testés pour la formation de biofilm par culture sur gélose rouge du Congo (RCA). La méthode des tubes (TM) et les techniques de plaques de culture tissulaire (TCP) ont également été utilisées pour étudier l’effet de la pénicilline, de l’éthanol et de la bétabidine sur le biofilm préformé.

Résultats: Dix-neuf isolats de *S. aureus* ont produit un biofilm sur le RCA et 7 ont produit des biofilms par la méthode des tubes, dont 2 étaient très productifs. De plus, 9 isolats de *S. aureus* ont produit du biofilm sur des microplaques en polystyrène, et en présence de pénicilline et d’éthanol, ce nombre est passé à 19 et 11 isolats de *S. aureus* producteurs de biofilm respectivement. En revanche, aucun biofilm ne s’est formé en présence de bétabidine.

Conclusion: Il est important de tester la formation de biofilm suite à une contrainte externe imposée comme les désinfectants et les antibiotiques afin de développer de nouvelles stratégies pour lutter contre les biofilms bactériens mais aussi pour mieux contrôler leur formation.
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Introduction:

*Staphylococcus aureus* est un des agents les plus fréquemment responsables d'infections, qu'elles soient acquises à l'hôpital ou communautaires. Cette bactérie est responsable de divers types d'infections, y compris les infections nosocomiales et communautaires. Elle a également été impliquée dans la formation de biofilms, qui sont des structures microbiantes complexes formées par des microorganismes émotionnels et des substances extracellulaires, qui peuvent résister à l'immunité humaine et aux traitements antibioitiques. La compréhension de la formation de ces biofilms est donc essentielle pour développer des stratégies de prévention et de traitement appropriées.

Materials and methods:

Study setting and bacterial isolates

The *S. aureus* strains used in this study were isolated from medical devices at the Departments of Reanimation, Urology and Internal Medicine of the University Hospital Center (CHU), Sidi Bel Abbes, a city located in northwestern Algeria.

Isolation/identification of *S. aureus* isolates

After ablation of the medical devices, the microbiological analysis was carried out using the "Brun-Buisson" technique which consists of rinsing the catheter lumen with saline solution and vortexing this content out through its intravascular end for culture on Chapman agar medium for selective growth isolation of staphylococci. Identification of *S. aureus* was done by conventional methods including colony morphology, Gram stain reaction, catalase production, and coagulate assay, and by the API STAPH system (Bio Mérieux®, France).

Detection of biofilm formation by Red Congo Agar (RCA) method

The Congo Red test was performed as previously described by Freeman et al., which is based on the ability of the Congo Red dye to directly interacts with certain polysaccharides, forming colored complexes. The medium consisted of Brain Heart infusion broth (BHI, 37g/L), sucrose (50g/L), agar no. 1 (10g/L) and Congo Red stain (0.8g/L). The freshly prepared Congo Red agar plates were inoculated and incubated aerobically for 24 to 48 h at 37°C.
Biofilm producers form black colonies on CRA, while non-producers formed red colonies.

**Detection of biofilm formation by tube method**

This technique, developed in 1982 by Christensen et al., (11) provides a qualitative assessment of the biofilm formation. From a young culture of 24h, a colony is grown in 10mL brain heart infusion broth (BHIB) supplemented with 2% sucrose. After incubation at 37°C for 24hours, the tubes were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at pH7.3, and then dried. Each tube was then stained with crystal violet (0.1%) for 5 minutes. Once the dye is removed, the tubes were washed with distilled water and allowed to dry. Biofilm is considered formed when a visible film doubles the wall of the tube as well as its bottom. The formation of a ring at the liquid interface is not indicative of biofilm formation (11).

**Detection of biofilm formation by Tissue Culture Plate (TCP) method**

Quantitative determination of biofilm formation in 96-well microplates was performed according to Christensen et al., (10) with slight modification by extending the incubation time to 48 hours. After culturing the bacterial strains in the BHIB medium and incubating for 18h at 37°C, the mixture was diluted 1/100 in fresh BHIB medium. The wells of a 96 microtiter plates were then filled with 0.2ml of this dilution and incubated at 37°C. The microplate wells were washed 3 times with distilled water, dried an inverted position, and stained with 0.5% (p:v) crystal violet solution. The adherent cells were resuspended in 95% ethanol solution and the absorbance measured at 540nm using an ELISA autoreader (Model 680, Biorad, UK). The isolates were then classified into three categories as: (a) non adhering, with an optical density less than 0.120; (b) weakly adhering, with an optical density greater than 0.120 but less than or equal to 0.240 and (c) strongly adhering, with an optical density greater than 0.240.

**Effects of antiseptics and antibiotics on biofilm formation using the TCP technique**

The antiseptics tested in this study were the main ones used at the Hospital University Center of Sidi Bel Abbes, which are polyvidone iodine (PVPI), marketed as 10% Betadine® (Laprophan Laboratory) and 70% ethyl alcohol prepared at the laboratory of the hospital pharmacy of the University Hospital. The antibiotic tested was penicillin G (1 million unit) which is marketed by SAIDAL laboratories.

After forming a 48-hour young biofilm by the TCP technique (as previously described), the 96-well microplate was rinsed 3 times with distilled water and dried. Then, Penicillin G (1 million unit), betadine 10% (an iodinated derivative) and 70% ethyl alcohol were added to the biofilm. The microplate was incubated for 24 hours. After incubation, the wells of the microplate were carefully rinsed, dried and stained with crystal violet according to the standard technique. The optical density (OD) was measured at 490 nm by the ELISA autoreader.

**Results:**

**Biofilm formation by the different methods**

A total of 28 *S. aureus* isolates were identified by conventional biochemical test and the API 20 Staph identification. Nineteen of the 28 *S. aureus* isolates produced biofilm (slime) by the CRA method, showing black colonies with dry crystalline consistency from production of exopolysaccharide that reacted with the Congo Red dye. By the tube method, only 7 *S. aureus* isolates produced biofilm, of which 2 were high producers (Table 1). The quantitative determination of biofilm formation by the TCP using the BHIB growth medium (Fig 1) shows that only 9 *S. aureus* isolates produced biofilm, with 7 of them were low producers and 2 high producers (Table 1).

**Effects of antiseptics and antibiotic on biofilm formation by TCP method**

Eleven *S. aureus* isolates produced biofilm in the presence of ethanol (70% ethyl alcohol) with 3 high and 8 moderate biofilm producers while 19 *S. aureus* isolates produced biofilm in the presence of penicillin (1mu) with 8 high and 11 moderate biofilm producers (Table 1 and Fig 1). On the other hand, no *S. aureus* isolate formed biofilm in the presence of betadine.

**Discussion:**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the most common microorganisms responsible for infections of foreign body such as central venous catheters, mechanical heart valves and urinary catheters. Their major virulence factors are the ability to produce an extracellular matrix and form biofilm, which makes clinical treatment extremely difficult (12). Early detection of staphylococcal biofilms may be one of the essential steps for the prevention and treatment of infections of medical devices (13).

The finding of this study revealed that 19 of the 28 (67.9%) *S. aureus* isolates produce biofilm (slime) by culture on Congo Red agar, which agrees with 60.8% reported by Arciola et al., (14). Biofilm (slime) production give the appearance of black colo-
Table 1: Results of biofilm formation by *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from medical devices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Medical device</th>
<th>BHIB</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
<th>Penicillin</th>
<th>Betadine</th>
<th>TM</th>
<th>Production of biofilm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>Urology</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S18</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S20</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S21</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S22</td>
<td>Intensive care</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S23</td>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S24</td>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25</td>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>U.C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S26</td>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S27</td>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S28</td>
<td>Internal Medicine</td>
<td>C.V.C</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.V.C: central venous catheters, U.C: urinary catheters, (+): biofilm formation average; (-): non biofilm formation

Fig 1: Biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains on BHIB, ethanol 70%, Penicillin and betadine 10%. Adherent bacterial biofilms were stained with Crystal violet as described in Materials and methods. A strain was considered biofilm-positive, if its OD was higher or equal to 0.120; *p* < 0.05 (t-test). Data are representative of 3 replicate experiments.

nies on Congo Red agar and is mainly due to the production of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) that reacts with the culture medium. Described for the first time in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* by Mack et al., (15), the PIA-encoded by *ica* locus, is
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Based on our 2013 results (2) and those of others in the literature, it has been found that the expression of ica operon depends on environmental conditions such as growth media composition, temperature, osmolarity, the presence of oxygen and sub-inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. High concentrations of NaCl also increase biofilm formation by strongly inducing ica operon expression in staphylococci. The presence of divalent cations such as calcium and magnesium, increases the production of polysaccharides, which leads to amplification of biofilm formation. In staphylococci, the expression of the ica ADBC genes can also be influenced by other environmental conditions such as oleic acid and iron limitation (12,26,27).

On the other hand, the resistance of biofilm-forming bacteria to antibiotics and disinfectants is well acknowledged. According to some authors, resistance is attributed to factors such as bacteria physiology, power of matrices, and other factors (28). Repeated exposure to disinfectants and antibiotics can generate some physiological adaptations that further delay the subsequent tolerance of the biofilm. When a community of adherent bacterial cells was subjected to antibiotics and disinfectants, only a few were able to resist them (33). On the other hand, in the presence of betadine (polyvidone iodine), the optical density decreases in all the isolates and none of them was able to form a biofilm.

The results of Essayagh et al., (34) agrees with ours that polyvidone iodine (PVPI) is the best of antiseptics studied. In fact, only 6 (4.6%) out of the 130 strains tested in their study could resist PVPI that was available at the pharmacy while 40 (30.7%) were resistant to iodinated alcohol and 20 (15.4%) to 70% alcohol. Chemical analysis has previously confirmed this finding (35). Indeed, PVPI is a stable molecule consisting of an iodine complex and a watersoluble organic agent that slowly transports and releases iodine. This structure makes the PVPI less irritating and allergenic, and more stable over time while iodized alcohol and 70% ethyl alcohol are stable only over fifteen days and one month respectively after the date of their preparations (35, 36).

Conclusion:

S. aureus isolates exposed to clinically relevant concentrations of ethanol increase biofilm formation, however, no strain formed biofilm in the presence of betadine. Future research should determine the impact of our findings on various alcohol preparations used in the management and prevention of clinical infections caused by biofilm forming staphylococci.
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