Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Gram-negative bacterial uropathogens in a tertiary hospital, southwest Nigeria
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**Abstract:**

**Background:** Increasing rates of antibiotic resistance have made it necessary to regularly monitor antibiotic susceptibility patterns of gram negative bacterial uropathogens in order to optimize antibiotic therapy for urinary tract infections. The aim of this study was to analyze the antibiotic susceptibility patterns of Gram-negative bacterial uropathogens in Babcock University Teaching Hospital, Ilishan-Remo, southwest Nigeria.

**Methodology:** This study was a retrospective review of the Medical Microbiology Laboratory records of the hospital to analyze the in vitro antibiotic susceptibility patterns of Gram-negative urinary bacterial isolates between May 2016 and April 2022. The bacteria were isolated and identified from routine urine samples using standard bacteriological methods. In vitro antibiotic susceptibility test (AST) to amoxicillin-clavulanate, piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, nitrofurantoin, ciprofloxacin and meropenem was routinely performed by the modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion test and susceptibility break points determined using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.

**Results:** A total number of 3,549 urine samples were processed during the period of review, and 808 (22.8%) samples yielded positive bacterial cultures. Of the 808 isolates, 604 (74.8%) were Gram-negative bacteria. The most frequently isolated Gram-negative bacteria were *Escherichia coli* (41.9 %) and *Klebsiella* spp (27.5%) while *Pseudomonas* spp and *Proteus* spp accounted for 4.3% and 1.0% of all isolates respectively. Meropenem had the highest in vitro antibacterial activity (74.3% to 90.3% of isolates were sensitive) for all isolates. Overall, *E. coli, Klebsiella* spp., and *Proteus* spp. showed high resistance rates to amoxicillin-clavulanate (65.3% to 97.1%).

**Conclusion:** Effective antimicrobial stewardship measures must be in place in order to ensure the appropriate use of antibiotics for treating urinary tract infections.
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Résumé:

Contexte: L’augmentation des taux de résistance aux antibiotiques a rendu nécessaire la surveillance régulière des schémas de sensibilité aux antibiotiques des uropathogènes bactériens à Gram négatif afin d’optimiser l’antibiotithérapie des infections des voies urinaires. Le but de cette étude était d’analyser les profils de sensibilité aux antibiotiques des uropathogènes bactériens à Gram négatif à l’hôpital universitaire de Babcock, à Ilishan-Remo, dans le sud-ouest de la Nigeria.

Méthodologie: Cette étude était un examen rétrospectif des dossiers du laboratoire de microbiologie médicale de l’hôpital pour analyser les schémas de sensibilité aux antibiotiques in vitro des isolats de bactéries urinaires à Gram négatif entre mai 2016 et avril 2022. Les bactéries ont été isolées et identifiées à partir d’échantillons d’urine de routine à l’aide de méthodes bactériologiques classiques. Un test de sensibilité antibiotique in vitro (AST) à l’amoxicilline-acide clavulanique, à la pipéracilline-tazobactam, à la céftazidime, à la ceftriaxone, à la ceftazidime, à la nitrofurantoiné, à la ciprofloxacine et au méropénème a été systématiquement effectué par le test de diffusion sur disque de Kirby-Bauer modifié et les seuils de sensibilité ont été déterminés en utilisant les directives de l’Institut des Normes Cliniques et de Laboratoire (CLSI).

Résultats: Au total, 3,549 échantillons d’urine ont été traités au cours de la période d’examen, et 808 échantillons (22,8%) ont produit des cultures bactériennes positives. Sur les 808 isolats, 604 (74,8%) étaient des bactéries Gram-négatives. Les bactéries Gram-négatives les plus fréquemment isolées étaient Escherichia coli (41,9%) et Klebsiella spp (27,5%) tandis que Pseudomonas spp et Proteus spp représentaient respectivement 4,3% et 1,0% de tous les isolats. Le mélorigène avait l’activité antibactérienne in vitro la plus élevée (74,3% à 90,3% des isolats étaient sensibles) pour tous les isolats. Dans l’ensemble, E. coli, Klebsiella spp et Proteus spp ont montré des taux de résistance élevés à l’amoxicilline-acide clavulanique (65,3% à 97,1%).

Conclusion: Ces programmes efficaces de gestion des antimicrobiens doivent être en place afin d’assurer l’utilisation appropriée des antibiotiques pour le traitement des infections des voies urinaires.

Mots clés: infections des voies urinaires; uropathogènes; résistance aux antibiotiques; Escherichia coli

Introduction:

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are common infections in both the community and hospital setting (1). In 1997, UTIs accounted for about 7 million visits to outpatient clinics, 1 million visits to emergency departments, and 100,000 hospitalizations annually in the United States of America (2). About 35% of healthcare associated infections are UTIs and UTIs are the second most common cause of bacteremia in hospitalized patients (3,4). UTIs also exert adverse economic impacts on patients (5), for example, the annual cost to the health care system of the United States attributable to community-acquired UTI alone is estimated to be approximately $1.6 billion (6).

Majority of UTIs are caused by bacteria (7). Amongst bacteria Gram-negative bacteria are the most prevalent uropathogens (7), and, of these, Escherichia coli accounts for 70% to 90% of cases (8). Also, the management of UTIs, particularly uncomplicated UTIs, has involved the use of antibiotics such as amoxicillin-clavulanate, nitrofurantoin, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and others. (9). However, increasing rates of antibiotic resistance are making many of these antibiotics ineffective resulting in considerable morbidity, mortality and increased healthcare costs (10). In addition, the rates of antibiotic resistance are higher in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) with high levels of inappropriate antibiotic use (11).

Studies conducted in Nigeria have shown high rates of antibiotic resistance among Gram-negative uropathogens (12,13) and this is associated with higher morbidity and mortality (14). The problem posed by antibiotic resistance is further worsened by the paucity of research and development in new antibiotics and lack of access to effective antibiotics in Africa and other developing parts of the world (15).

It is therefore imperative to ensure regular institutional and national surveillance of antibiotic susceptibility patterns of common bacterial uropathogens, to improve clinical decision making and optimize antibiotic use (16). The objective of this study therefore was to analyze the bacterial and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of Gram-negative bacterial uropathogens in Babcock University Teaching Hospital, with the aim of providing data that will guide empiric antibiotic therapy of UTIs in the hospital.

Materials and method:

Study setting:

The study was conducted in the department of medical microbiology and parasitology, Babcock University Teaching Hospital, a 240-bed tertiary centre located in Ilishan-Remo, Ikenne Local Government, southwest Nigeria. The hospital is dedicated to teaching, research and specialist services and serves Ogun State and neighboring States in southwest Nigeria.

Study design:

This was a retrospective study that involved a review of the medical microbiology laboratory records to analyze the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of Gram-negative bacterial urinary isolates obtained between May,
2016 and April, 2022.

**Isolation and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates:**

Routine processing of urinary samples in the laboratory during the period of the review involved macroscopic and microscopic examination. Subsequently urinary samples were inoculated into Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) and Blood agar plates and incubated aerobically at 35-37°C for 18-24 hours.

Isolates were identified by conventional biochemical tests and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was performed using the modified Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. The susceptibility break points were determined using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (17).

**Data analysis**

Data analysis was done using IBM SPSS software version 20.0 Descriptive statistics were used to analyze isolates based on frequency and AST patterns.

**Ethical considerations:**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Babcock University Health Research and Ethics Committee (Number: BUHREC 679/21). As data were retrospectively obtained from the laboratory records and did not involve contact with patients nor recruitment of patients, informed consent was not deemed necessary. However, privacy and confidentiality of patients’ data were protected in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Results:**

In the 6-year period under consideration, a total number of 3,549 urine samples were processed in the medical microbiology laboratory and 808 (22.8%) samples yielded positive cultures. Of the 808 isolates, 604 (74.8%) were Gram-negative bacteria (Table 1). The most frequently isolated Gram-negative bacteria were *Escherichia coli* (56.1 %) and *Klebsiella* spp (36.8%) while *Pseudomonas* spp and *Proteus* spp accounted for 5.8% and 1.3% of isolates respectively (Fig 1).

Most (90.3%) of the *E. coli* isolates were sensitive to meropenem (Table 2). In addition, *E. coli* isolates also showed sensitivity of 61.1% and 58.4% to ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin respectively (Table 2). The *E. coli* isolates however exhibited resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate (69.6%), ceftriaxone (49.9%), piperacillin-tazobactam (46.9%), ceftazidime (44.5%) and ciprofloxacin (31.6%) (Table 2).

Furthermore, the *Klebsiella* isolates exhibited sensitivity of 82.9% to meropenem but resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate (65.3%), piperacillin-tazobactam (55.0%), ciprofloxacin (41.9%), ceftazidime (40.5%) and nitrofurantoin (40.5%) (Table 2). However, *Pseudomonas* spp showed sensitivity of 74.3% and 57.1% to meropenem and piperacillin-tazobactam respectively but showed resistance to piperacillin-tazobactam (42.9%), ciprofloxacin (42.9%) and ceftazidime (40%), (Table 2). Also, *Proteus* spp exhibited resistance to ceftriaxone (87.5%), amoxicillin-clavulanate (75.0%) and ceftazidime (62.5%) but sensitivity of 87.5% to meropenem and 50.0% to ciprofloxacin (Table 2).

**Table 1: Frequency of bacteria uropathogens in Babcock University Teaching Hospital, Ilishan Remo, Nigeria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbial isolate</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>339</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em> spp</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em> spp</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Proteus</em> spp</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gram positive</em></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fungi (Candida spp)</em></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>808</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion:**

Gram-negative isolates were the most frequently (74.8%) isolated bacteria in this retrospective study. These findings are similar to previous studies done in India (18) in which Gram-negative isolates accounted for 90.32% of isolates. The most frequently isolated Gram-negative isolate was *E. coli* (56.1%). Again, this finding is similar to other studies done in Bangladesh (19), Chad (20), Ethiopia (21) and India (22) in which *E. coli* was the most frequently isolated Gram-negative bacteria from urinary tract infections.
Our study showed that meropenem had the highest in vitro antibacterial activity (isolates sensitivity of 74.3% to 90.3%) for all isolates. This is similar to a study done in Somalian in which 95.9% of urinary tract isolates were sensitive to meropenem (23). In another study from Japan, 100% of the Gram-negative urinary tract isolates were sensitive to meropenem (24). The highest sensitivity to meropenem was shown by E. coli (90.3%) while the lowest sensitivity was shown by Pseudomonas spp (74.3%). Similarly in a study from the USA, E. coli isolates from the urinary tract showed a sensitivity of ≥ 99.4% to meropenem (25). Overall, E. coli, Klebsiella spp and Proteus spp isolates showed high resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate (65.3% to 97.1%). However, in a study from the Czech Republic, lower resistance rates to amoxicillin-clavulanate of 12.6%, 14.3% and 38.8% were respectively reported for Proteus spp, E. coli and Klebsiella spp (26).

The high sensitivity to meropenem should not encourage its use as a first line antibiotic to treat UTIs. This is because meropenem is an antibiotic on the Watch Group of the AWaRe antibiotic categorization by the World Health Organization (WHO) for purpose...
of antimicrobial stewardship (27). Antibiotics in this group have higher resistance potential and should be prioritized as key targets of antibiotic stewardship programs and monitoring (27). Since meropenem is intravenously administered, this makes it a wrong choice for outpatients with uncomplicated UTIs. Therefore, the use of meropenem as a first line empiric antibiotic agent for treating UTIs should be discouraged in order to avoid the emergence of resistance.

However, the urinary isolates in this study have shown high resistance to most of the antibiotics that can be administered orally such as amoxicillin-clavulanate, nitrofurantoin and ciprofloxacin. It is therefore expedient for the hospital’s antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) program to regularly monitor local antibiotic susceptibility patterns of urinary isolates and also draw up guidelines for empiric antibiotic therapy for UTIs. Furthermore, strict infection prevention and control (IPC) protocols should be adhered to, in order to prevent the spread of multidrug resistant pathogens in the hospital.

The limitations of the study included the fact that it was laboratory based and did not involve review of the medical records of patients, therefore, correlation of our in vitro findings with clinical UTIs was not possible.

Conclusion:
Rising rates of antibiotic resistance have made it necessary to regularly monitor antibiotic susceptibility patterns of Gram-negative bacterial uropathogens. This will help to optimize antibiotic therapy for UTIs. In addition, strict IPC protocols must be in place to prevent the spread of multidrug resistant pathogens in the hospital.
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