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ABSTRACT

Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo Linn.) is a vegetable crop often grown without fertilizer by peasant farmers in Nigeria. With the increased pressure on land resources for other competing uses, farmers have adopted the use of fertilizers to boost crop productivity. This study was carried out for the purpose of evaluating the influence of NPK fertilizer on fruit yield and fruit yield parameters of pumpkin. The experiment was carried out under open field conditions in 2010 for two seasons at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. The farm is located on latitude 07°28’N and longitude 04°33’E about 244-m above sea level. NPK 15:15:15 compound fertilizer was applied as ring/side dressing at the rates of (0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 kg/ha). The experiment was a randomized complete block design and was replicated six times. Each plot size was 10 m x 12 m and consisted of 7 rows. Alley was 3 m, while the plants were spaced 2 m x 2 m. Data were taken on yield and yield parameters such as: fruit height, fruit circumference, fruit fresh weight, fruit dry matter, fruit yield, seed number and seed weight. The fresh fruit yield was 21 t/ha and 7t/ha for early and late seasons, respectively. Fruits number/ha significantly (p=0.05) increased from 7000 in control to over 10,000/ha at fertilizer rates between 100 and 250 kg NPK/ha. Fruit weight also increased from 9 to 17t/ha between control and higher fertilizer rates. Seed yield from the application at 100 to 250 kg/ha were similar and significantly better than control and 50 kg/ha NPK application. Mean seed yield between 100-250 kg NPK was 460 kg/ha. The value was 37% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 57% higher than in control. Increasing fertilizer above 100 kg NPK/ha did not significantly (p=0.05) increase the fruit yield nor the seed yield.
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INTRODUCTION

Pumpkin fruits are rich in carotenoids, minerals and vitamins. Recent studies have shown that they are a veritable source of antioxidants. Antioxidants are required to boost the human body immunity against cancer and other deadly human diseases. Pumpkin is cultivated and consumed widely among the rural dwellers in southwest Nigeria where the mature fruits serve as food security during the dry season because of its long shelf-life.

With the increasing pressure on farm land for infrastructure development, limited land is available for this crop that requires large expanse of area for its cultivation. Pumpkin vines can spread beyond 15 meters from its stand and covers the land within 45 days of planting [1]. Hence, due to limited land resource, farmers now plant this crop on intensively cultivated lands. This practice has implication on the yield of the crop and expansion of its production. The plant is harvested at intervals for young foliage and emerging young fruits, this influences the nutrient mining of the soil. Farmers now use fertilizer to improve the yield of the crop due to its serial harvesting and the use of depleted soils.

Soil fertilization is one of the main factors increasing the yield of plants [2]. It affects the accumulation, mineralization and humification of organic matter added to the soil [3], and determines plant production potential [4]. The amount of fertilizer introduced into the soil, including mineral fertilizers affects the amount of mineral nitrogen available to the plants and the organic carbon content of the soil [5].

Siyag and Arora [6], on their studies on the effect of Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) on fruit yield and quality of sponge gourd (Luffa aegyptiaca) reported that 50 Kg N + 20 Kg P ha⁻¹ gave the maximum number of fruits and the greatest weight/plant. It has been studied that Potassium (K) in different forms positively influenced the plant yield and its chlorophyll contents [7]. Mobile phosphorus and potassium have also been reported to be important for the setting, development and storage of pumpkin fruits [8]. In the studies of effect of organic and mineral fertilization on yield and quality of C. pepo in Italy, using 250kg N, 280kg P₂O₅ and 250kg K₂O per hectare as one of the treatments, it was discovered that NPK fertilization markedly influenced yield and quality of pumpkin. In another study, 500kg/ha of N: P: K – 10:10:20 was used as a treatment. Fertilization rate at the level of 500-700kg/ha is recommended for Pumpkins in the temperate region [8, 9].

Information on fertilizer requirement for C. pepo in Southwestern Nigeria is scanty. It was reported by Martinetti and Paganini [10] that NPK fertilization markedly influenced yield and quality of pumpkin. The three major fertilizer elements known to be deficient in most Nigerian soils due to intense pressure on land as a result of continuous cropping are N, P and K. [11]. In the tropics, especially in Nigeria, there is no documented knowledge on NPK fertilizer requirement for yield of C. pepo. This
study thus aimed at evaluating the effect of NPK fertilizer on the fruit yield of *C. pepo*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Field experiment was carried out during the early cropping season (May to August) and late cropping season (August to November) of 2010, at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. The experiment commenced on the 17th of May by planting two seeds of pumpkin at a spacing of 2 m by 2 m and later thinned after two weeks to one seedling per stand to give a population of 42 plants per plot and 2,500 plants per ha, plot size being 10 m by 12 m. The treatments used were NPK fertilizer 15:15:15 fertilizer rates at 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250 kg/ha. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with six replicates. The NPK fertilizer was added in two equal halves at 2 weeks after planting (WAP) and 6 WAP. Insecticide (lambda-cyhalothrin) was applied fortnightly from 6 to 10 WAP. Post-emergence herbicide, glyphosate was applied at the rate of 200 ml / 15litre at 4 and 7 WAP for weed control.

Data were recorded from 4 rows in the plot for the number of fruits, fresh fruit weight, fruit height, fruit girth and fruit dry matter. Fruit height and fruit girth were measured using a metre rule. Seed weight and number of seeds per fruit were also recorded. The fruit weight and number of fruits per plot determined the fruit yield per plot. Fruit yield was calculated thus:

\[
\text{Fruit yield (tons /ha)} = \frac{\text{fruit weight /plot (kg) x 10,000m}^2}{\text{Area of plot (m}^2) x 1,000\text{kg}}
\]

All data were subjected to combined analysis of variance [12]. Means squares, where significantly different, were separated using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of probability. Regression analysis was performed for traits that had significant seasons and fertilizer mean squares.

**RESULTS**

**Fruit yield and yield components as influenced by NPK fertilizer**

Table 1 showed that the mean square (MS\(^2\)) contribution of fertilizer to variations in yield and yield components was significant except in number of seeds per fruit. The MS\(^2\) percentage contribution of fertilizer was higher than that of the season x fertilizer interactions in all the traits measured and especially in fruit dry weight (g/plant) and 100 seed weight when compared with seasons effect.

Fertilizer influence on the whole fruit parameters in Table 2 showed that the application of NPK fertilizer at rates above 100 kg/ha will not significantly increase the harvested number of fruits, fruit fresh and dry weights, fruit length or circumference. There were significant differences in the traits measured between the
control and 100 kg NPK fertilizer rates. In the same traits, the application of 250 Kg NPK does not confer any advantage when compared with that at 100 kg NPK rate. For example, mean fruit number between 100-250 kg NPK was 11795 per hectare. The value was 22% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 40% higher than in control. Mean fruit yield between 100-250 kg NPK was 16.18 tons/ha. The value was 28% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 44% higher than in control. Mean fruit dry matter between 100-250 kg NPK was 441.2 g/fruit. The value was 29% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 44% higher than in control. Mean fruit length between 100-250 kg NPK was 17cm/fruit. The value was 14% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 16% higher than in control. Mean fruit circumference between 100-250 kg NPK was 53 cm/fruit. The value was 12% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 16% higher than in control.

Table 3 shows that numbers of seeds per fruit were similar between the fertilizer rates and it ranged from 336 to 356. Although, seed yield increased with fertilizer rates, seed yield from the application at 100 to 250 kg/ha were similar and performed better (p=0.05) than the control and 50 kg/ha NPK application rate. Mean seed yield between 100-250 kg NPK was 460 kg/ha. The value was 37% higher than at 50 kg NPK and 57% higher than in control. Number of seeds per fruit was not influenced by fertilizer. Seeds/fruit range from 337 to 356 at different fertilizer rates and between seasons it was 329 to 362. Fertilizer improved the 100 seeds weight significantly. The control had the lowest seed weight (99.5 g/100g seed) while the application of 100-250 kg NPK increased seed weight to between 100.2 and 100.4.

### Interactive effect of season and fertilizer on some yield and yield traits

Figure 1 shows that fruit number was higher across the fertilizer levels in the early season than in the late season. The response of fruit number fitted into quadratic equation with R² ranging from 0.88 to 0.93. Fruit number generally increased from the control to reach the optimum number of 15750 and 7000 per hectare for early and late seasons respectively at 200 kg/ha of NPK (15:15:15) fertilizer application. The fruit number in control ranges from 5000 to 10000 /ha.

Figure 2 shows that fruit yield was higher across the fertilizer levels in the early season than in the late season. The response of fruit yield fitted into quadratic equation with R² ranging from 0.83 to 0.94. Fruit yield generally increased from the control (zero fertilizer) to reach the optimum yield of 24 and 9 tons/ha.

Figure 3 shows that fruit circumference was higher across the fertilizer levels in the early season than in the late season. The response of fruit circumference fitted into a quadratic equation with R² ranging from 0.83 to 0.91. Fruit circumference generally increased from the control (zero fertilizer) to reach the optimum circumference of 57 cm and 47 cm for early and late seasons respectively at 200 kg/ha of NPK (15:15:15) fertilizer application.
Figure 4 shows that seed yield was higher across the fertilizer levels in the early season than in the late season. The response of seed yield fitted into quadratic equation with significant $R^2$ ranging from 0.87 to 0.91. Seed yield generally increased from the control (zero fertilizer) to reach the optimum yield of 690 and 230 kg/ha for early and late seasons respectively at 200 kg/ha of NPK (15:15:15) fertilizer application. The values are similar to those of 100, 150 and 250 kg/ha of NPK per hectare.

$$y_{es} = -0.169x^2 + 69.52x + 10216$$
$$R^2 = 0.931$$

$$y_{ls} = -0.063x^2 + 26.84x + 4368$$
$$R^2 = 0.881$$

Figure 1: Number of fruits as affected by Season x NPK fertilizer
Figure 2: Fruit yield of pumpkins as affected by Season x NPK fertilizer

\[ y_{E} = -0.000x^2 + 0.100x + 14.62 \quad R^2 = 0.830 \]

\[ y_{L} = -0.000x^2 + 0.051x + 3.819 \quad R^2 = 0.941 \]
Figure 3: Fruit circumference as affected by Season x NPK fertilizer

\[ y_{ES} = -0.000x^2 + 0.111x + 45.04 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.83 \]

\[ y_{LS} = -0.000x^2 + 0.072x + 43.51 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.913 \]
DISCUSSION

The dependence of fruits number in Cucurbits on radiation, season and fertility had earlier been reported [13, 14]. The findings of Adebooye and Oloyede [15] in their studies on *Trichosanthes cucumerina* L., a member of the same family (Cucurbitaceae) corroborate this study. They found that the number of marketable fruits and overall fruit yield increased to a certain level with Phosphorus fertilizer application. The optimum NPK level in this study was 100 kg/ha where the fruit yield and its components were higher than all other levels. Beyond this level there was no additional significant effect of NPK on yield of *C. pepo*.

Fruit weight was higher with application of fertilizer; however, the addition of fertilizer beyond 100 kg/ha did not increase fruit yield significantly. There was a depression of fruit number and fruit weight in a study on pumpkin in Lithuania due to increased fertilizer and this agreed with this study [10, 16]. Although due to different climatic conditions, soil type and soil native nutrient, NPK recommendations for optimum fruit yield may be as high as 250-500 kg NPK/ha; but in this study 100 kg NPK/ha was optimum.
kg/ha of NPK fertilizer was adequate. Hossain et al., [17] and Kraup et al. [18] even recommended a lower rate of nitrogen (50 kg N/ha) for optimum yield performance in pumpkin. Paschold et al. [19] reported that excessive nitrogen supply produced less vigorous spears of Asparagus, while nitrogen deficiency reduced Asparagus quality. Heavy application of phosphorus has been found also to depress the fruit yield of tomato fruits [20]. Oloyede and Adebayo [13] also found out that there was reduction in fruit yield of snake tomato (Trichosanthes cucumerina L.), which belongs to the same family Cucurbitaceae as the concentration of phosphorus application increased.

Fruit yield per hectare in cucumber was found to be influenced positively by the application of lower concentrations of maleic hydrazide, either alone or in combination with ethephon [21, 22]. A similar trend was observed by Bhat et al. [23] who reported maximum fruit yield in watermelon with an application of maleic hydrazide at 100 ppm. The yield increased from 7.22 tons/ha in the control to 13.1 tons/ha in the treatment with 100 ppm maleic hydrazide and 100 ppm ethephon, resulting in an additional return which increased the income from treated plots. The high return was clearly due to the maximum fruit yield per hectare in the treatment.

Seeds form a major part of the diet of Nigerians and Africans as a whole. Pumpkin seeds are used alone as food thickeners or in combination with leafy vegetables [24]. The seeds are a good raw material for the production of oil used in food preparation and in medicine [25]. According to Oloyede et al. [24], the seeds contain about 60% fats, 27% protein and 90% antioxidant activities when moderate fertilizer is applied. Oil-cake fats from pumpkin seeds have been found also to contain large amounts (almost 60%) of omega-3 acids which is twice that of cod liver oil [26].

Seed yield of pumpkin is directly proportional to the size of its fruits. At 100-250 kg NPK/ha seed yield obtained were higher compared to the control and at 50 kg NPK/ha. The heavier the fruit the higher the seed yield per hectare. This is of great advantage when the crop is being cultivated for seeds. However, neither seasons nor fertilizer application had influence on the number of seeds per fruit. This means that the trait is genetic. Ekpedeme et al. [27] had reported an average seed yield of 2-4 t/ha in pumpkin. In this experiment, the application of 100 kg/ha of NPK 15:15:15 fertilizer yielded, 700 kg/ha and 300 kg/ha of seeds for early and late seasons, respectively. This showed that the potential seed yield of pumpkin needs to be enhanced by looking into other areas of agronomy and physiology of the crop or in breeding the crop for higher seed yield in rainforest ecology.

CONCLUSION

Fruit and seed yield of pumpkin was optimal at 100 kg/ha, the number and the size of fruits were higher at this rate than other NPK levels. This, therefore, suggests that 100 kg/ha NPK fertilizer rate is the optimum NPK level for C. pepo at the location where
this experiment was conducted. This indigenous fruit vegetable if harnessed has potential to reduce hunger, alleviate poverty and enhance food and nutrition security.

Pumpkin fruit has been found to be rich in carbohydrate, protein and antioxidant activities. It is not as bulky as yam and as a result comparable to Irish potato and can be used as breakfast. Moreover, the production is less labour intensive and more profitable compared to yam and many other staples. For instance, 2 kg of pumpkin fruit cost $1 in Nigeria. If fertilizer is not used, 9.1 tons/ha will be produced, the value of crop will cost $4,550. Assuming every other variables are fixed; 100 kg/ha fertilizer application cost $125. At this optimal fertilizer level, 17 tons of fruit is produced, this will be sold for $8,500. If the cost of fertilizer is deducted, $8,375 will be left. Hence, fertilizer application at 100 kg/ha can increase the profit of pumpkin production by approximately 100%.

Further studies on the improvement of this useful but underutilized crop are a worthwhile effort to broaden the food base and widen the income base of the African populace.
Table 1: Means Squares from the combined analysis of variance for fruit yield and yield components of pumpkin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>No of fruits/ha (n)</th>
<th>Fruit weight (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Fruit length (cm)</th>
<th>Fruit circumference (cm)</th>
<th>Fruit dry matter (g/fruit)</th>
<th>No of seed/fruit (n)</th>
<th>100 seeds weight (g)</th>
<th>Seed yield (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1361767578**</td>
<td>3553.95**</td>
<td>20.69**</td>
<td>310.83**</td>
<td>7854*</td>
<td>19933</td>
<td>19110</td>
<td>2199321**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep within season</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4551953</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>3124</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>13496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47981641**</td>
<td>116.52**</td>
<td>20.67**</td>
<td>180.31**</td>
<td>87626**</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>29006**</td>
<td>161399**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season*Fertilizer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8837891**</td>
<td>15.81*</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>11.61**</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>2664</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>33955**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled error</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1340078</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>2430</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>35100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = significant at 0.05 level of probability

** = significant at 0.01 level of probability
Table 2: Fruit yield and yield parameters of pumpkin as influenced by NPK fertilizer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPK level (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Fruit number (n/ha)</th>
<th>Fruit Dry matter/fruit (g)</th>
<th>Fruit weight (tons/ha)</th>
<th>Fruit length (cm)</th>
<th>Fruit circumference (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>7094c</td>
<td>248.5c</td>
<td>9.13c</td>
<td>14.3b</td>
<td>44.6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>9198b</td>
<td>312.4b</td>
<td>11.70b</td>
<td>14.7b</td>
<td>46.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>11938a</td>
<td>438.5a</td>
<td>16.97a</td>
<td>17.1a</td>
<td>53.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>11792a</td>
<td>437.8a</td>
<td>15.67a</td>
<td>16.8a</td>
<td>52.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>11719a</td>
<td>444.0a</td>
<td>16.10a</td>
<td>17.0a</td>
<td>52.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>11729a</td>
<td>444.5a</td>
<td>15.97a</td>
<td>17.2a</td>
<td>52.8a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same letter in each column are not significantly different at 5% level of Probability using Duncan’s Multiple range test
Table 3: Seed yield of Pumpkin as influenced by NPK fertilizer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPK level (kg/ha)</th>
<th>No of seeds/fruit</th>
<th>100 seeds weight (g)</th>
<th>Seed yield (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>337a</td>
<td>9.95c</td>
<td>199c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>334a</td>
<td>9.99b</td>
<td>290b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>353a</td>
<td>10.02a</td>
<td>484a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>337a</td>
<td>10.03a</td>
<td>455a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>353a</td>
<td>10.04a</td>
<td>442a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>356a</td>
<td>10.04a</td>
<td>460a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with the same letter in each column are not significantly different at 5% level of Probability using Duncan’s multiple range test.
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