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Sixteen years ago, the target of a 75% reduction in the global maternal mortality ratio between 1990 and 2015 was specified as an indicator for the Millennium Development Goal (MDG) 5 to improve maternal health. Analysis by the UN Maternal Mortality Estimation Inter-Agency Group reports an estimated global maternal mortality ratio of 216 per 100,000 live births (80% Uncertainty Interval 207–249) in 2015. Despite a marked reduction in many countries between 1990 and 2015, the maternal mortality ratio is still alarmingly high in many places, notably in sub-Saharan Africa, where many countries still have more than 500 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births.

The 1990 and 2015 Maternal Mortality Ratio estimates for Nigeria have been quoted as 1,350(893-1820UI) and 814(596-1180UI) respectively. However, the 2013 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey reported an estimate of 576 (Confidence Interval 500-562). Critics argue that these estimates are inconsistent and that Nigeria has failed to meet the MDG 5 target MMR of 300/100,000 live births calculated by ‘Countdown to 2015’. As well as marking the end of the MDG era, 2015 also saw a new set of development targets proposed in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which are to be achieved over the next 15 years. The 2030 SDG target 3.1 is to reduce the global maternal mortality ratio to less than 70 per 100,000 live births, with no country exceeding twice that level.

One major reason why some countries made little or no progress towards achieving MDG 5 is the lack of reliable data on which to measure progress and initiate action. Unfortunately, countries with the highest burden of maternal morbidity and mortality have the least reliable data on such health indicators. In most low-income countries, due to lack of complete and accurate civil registration systems, MMR estimates are based on data from a variety of sources including censuses, household surveys, reproductive age mortality surveys, and verbal autopsies. For instance, in Nigeria, there are no population-based data on maternal deaths and the vital registration system is currently unable to provide reliable estimates. The country relies on estimates derived from statistical modelling by international agencies, which are often insufficient to assess the quality of care, monitor trends or determine national health system priorities. Maternal mortality estimation is difficult. Household surveys and hospital studies have data quality problems and are not even available in many parts of Nigeria. National censuses are few and far between and they only give retrospective data over a relatively long time period.

It is likely that there are more maternal deaths than reported. This is supported by a 1991 WHO statement that data used in most analyses were obtained from hospital records. In Nigeria, only 35% of women deliver in hospital. It can, therefore, be argued that these hospital records may show only the incidence of maternal deaths that occurred in hospitals. It is suggested that many women die at home and their deaths are not reported. The Demographic and Health Surveys Program uses the sisterhood method for Maternal Mortality estimations. This household questionnaire based method remains the major source of empirical data on maternal mortality in low-income countries, although it also presents notable limitations. All deaths with unknown status about pregnancy are in fact classified as non-maternal and almost half of the surveys have more than 10% missing responses for this variable. This assumption introduces a significant underestimation bias that requires statistical adjustment. More importantly, sisterhood methods...
measure the situation approximately 12 years retrospectively. The surveys are infrequent, the last one having been done in 2013. The next survey is not scheduled until 2018. It gives retrospective data over a long time period and does not account for intra-country variations.

The Nigerian Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS)\(^1\) estimated the Nigerian Maternal Mortality Ratio as 1100/100,000 in 2005 whereas the National Demographic Health Survey\(^2\) reported Maternal Mortality Ratio was 545/100,000 live births in 2008 and 576/100,000 in 2013. It is very unlikely that these differences between the two surveys were accurate and very probably arose from differences in methodology. In the few parts of Nigeria, where verbal autopsies are employed as an instrument for data collection, the challenge is that critical information on victims required to produce a comprehensive report is often omitted\(^3\).

**Implications of lack of credible data**

The absence of statistics has immediate implications for policy makers. Without reliable information, government officials who allocate resources for health budgets are essentially working in the dark. The low quality of statistics results in adverse outcomes, such as underfunding and poor monitoring of many development programmes\(^4\). Lack of credible data also affects other forms of public statistics vital to Nigeria’s survival, development and economy. For example, national and international trade and finance will be inefficient. Regarding water supply, the analysis of many household surveys produces a single national estimate of access to safe water in rural areas, but does not show how it varies between districts\(^5\). In distribution of farm subsidies for agriculture, a database can reveal how a small group of wealthy farmers can capture the vast majority of subsidy funds. Absence of quality data on maternal mortality for low-income countries and the use of diverse research methods in estimating the levels of maternal mortality make adequate monitoring of the trends and comparisons across countries difficult and complicated\(^6\). The absolute number of maternal deaths emphasizes the magnitude rather than the risk of maternal death within a given population.

The number of maternal deaths is a self-evident measure that gives a clear indication of the public health impact of maternal mortality which could serve as reference points for health advocates and policy makers\(^7\). The Commission on Information and Accountability for Women’s and Children’s Health\(^8\) established in 2011 “to determine the most effective international institutional arrangements for global reporting, oversight and accountability on women’s and children’s health,” stated that “by 2015, all countries (are expected to) have taken significant steps to establish a system for registration of births, deaths and causes of death and have well-functioning health information systems that combine data from facilities, administrative sources and surveys.”\(^9\) This has failed to be actualised in many low-income countries.

A 2014 UN report “A World that Counts”\(^10\) suggests mobilizing a data revolution for sustainable development. The UN Secretary-General’s High-level Panel of Eminent Persons report\(^11\) has called for positioning Civil Registration Vital Statistics (CRVS) as part of the data revolution. There’s a reason for the saying “What counts gets counted and what’s counted counts”. Measurement matters, and with an agenda as big as the SDGs the indicators become that more crucial.

**Limitations of the MDG 5: implementation and enforcement**

Availability and reliability of data have been the most often reported challenges with regards to implementation of the MDGs and subsequently in the interpretation of progress reports\(^12,13\). Attaran\(^14\) explained further that the health-related baselines from 1990 were often based on unreliable household surveys with no birth and death registries, health records or health statistics. This applies to Nigeria where data is inaccurate on numbers of maternal deaths on a continuous basis as CRVS is still not in place. The Millennium Development Goals Report released by the United Nations in 2015 stated “data gaps remain... poor data quality, lack of timely data and unavailability of disaggregated data... are among the major challenges [to MDG monitoring]. In short, poor (or non-existent) data will keep us from
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quantifying the impact of many MDGs”. It has also been argued that the MDG 5 Goal was unfair to Africa right from inception and doomed to failure. “Africa was said to be failing the goal of reducing maternal mortality by two-thirds, but there were no reliable data on maternal mortality trends “even when there are no reliable data, Africa was still said to be failing”.26

**Historical perspective of registration systems and vital statistics in Nigeria**

Ayeni27 in 1971 and Umoh28 in 1972 reported that there was a system of vital registration in operation in Lagos City and Katsina province. Rehan and Tafida29,30 also made use of vital statistics to publish sentinel articles on certain aspects of perinatal biodata in Katsina because they could examine records of a reliable system of birth and death registration which had been operating for 25 years31. How did they do it? In Katsina province, the local religious leaders, the ‘Imams’, perform all weddings, burials and naming ceremonies. They were directed to keep records of all these events in their respective villages. They make returns of these events to the District Head (traditional ruler) every month, who transcribes the crude data and sends a consolidated return to local government authorities at provincial headquarters31. It can be seen that some measure of success was achieved by committed individuals. Progress has lost momentum. This could have formed a template for civil registration and the collection of health related information in rural areas. Unfortunately, Nigerians have allowed themselves to fall back on the use of estimates in computing maternal and perinatal statistics32.

The reasons why the system in the Northern part of the country were not taken to scale at national level can be argued to be multifactorial. There has been a lack of political will and lack of funding of the primary health care system i.e. the Community level. There has been a disconnect between the Primary, Secondary and Tertiary health tiers in terms of data generation due to lack of a concerted effort. Official data can only be obtained from hospital service records where these exist, but in many parts of the country, only few women have access to health facilities for deliveries. Therefore it has become necessary to conduct surveys to collect data.

**Present situation in Nigeria towards data generation**

The Abiye (Safe Motherhood) project in Ondo State, Nigeria was started in 2009. Underpinning the program has been consistent emphasis on data collection. It began with extensive baseline surveys at the community level. A Law has been passed mandating reporting of maternal death irrespective of where death occurred. The importance of having solid data and the need for accurate record keeping and reporting has been emphasized. Mobile phones and “smart cards” that store a woman’s basic information and health record greatly facilitate data collection and analysis across facilities. A maternal audit system, the Confidential Enquiry into Maternal Deaths in Ondo State (CEMDOS) is operational and three annual reports (2012-2015) have been published33. This has laid the foundation for accurate measurement of maternal mortality rate in Ondo State. It provides a positive preliminary model of how data collection, technology and innovation, efficient use of resources, and mechanisms of accountability-backed up by sustained political will, can come together in a comprehensive strategy that is yielding results34. As a promising home-grown “effort to improve maternal health outcomes, the program has been called “a role model and a benchmark for the African continent in tackling infant and maternal mortality ratio”35.

Maternal Death Reviews (MDRs) are operating and the Women’s Health Action Research Centre (WHARC) have been a champion of the initial efforts in Nigeria are involved with maternal death audits. MDSR are in the process of being instituted in many Nigerian states with great contributions by the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Nigeria (SOGON). The concept is based on the Beyond the numbers Guide (2004)36 in which a key statement was “the information that countries need to address maternal mortality goes beyond just measuring the level of the problem”. However, twelve years later
Nigeria still does not have accurate data on numbers of maternal deaths for monitoring and evaluation. Without a baseline, we cannot measure accurately.

**The SDGs: Power of baseline data—What’s our Baseline?**

Some critics have argued that the SDGs are not measurable. This is a problem, they say, because for goals to be meaningful, you need a baseline to understand where things are starting and at least one other data point to assess progress. How important are baselines? We need to start somewhere. In order to track progress towards the MDGs, the UN’s website on the MDG indicators provided information about how many data points each indicator have. Two data points is the bare minimum to know if there has been progress towards an indicator. Without at least two data points, we don’t know whether things are improving, stagnating, or deteriorating. The MDG database reports that for maternal mortality ratios, only 14% of low-income countries have two observations. How confident can we be that there has been progress towards the MDGs with so little information?

**The way forward: Data collection and management**

There should be a paradigm shift towards counting maternal deaths throughout Nigeria as a baseline. In agreement with Harrison, we should start from reality, which means actual counts of births and deaths on a continuous basis and in a continuous manner, not ad-hoc estimates of population data. The use of estimates is a poor substitute for compulsory civil registration. The three tiers of health care must go back to basics which is existence of data tools. There must be a man power organization created, responsible for data generation and transmission. The chief executives of state agencies and institutions in the health sector should be made to come together to serve as a data generation/user working group. Together they will have the imperative of ensuring that baseline data from every sector and the three tiers of government will be made to flow together on a continuous basis. After collection, it is transmitted to the Ministry of Health and a Health Management system will be discussed and ensured. On this basis we can expect a unified approach to data generation.

Since the majority of births in Nigeria occur in the rural areas, data should be collected for a baseline at the community level in all Nigerian States using the Ondo State experiment as a template. Community health workers can record all maternal deaths by Rapid SMS with mobile phones. This data can be updated on the RapidSMS dashboard which is accessible over the web. Although it is easier to register births than deaths, RapidSMS is already being used in most states of Nigeria for registration of births and also for registration of pregnant women, so accurate recording of deaths can also be achieved. Data can also be collected through face to face interviews and online. All the data can be aggregated into a single national database open to all and the data can be disaggregated, for example by age and level of education. We can then define maternal deaths. If we have a baseline we have an accurate starting point and for the SDG goal on Maternal Health to be meaningful, at least one other data point to assess progress should be measured for evaluation. If we do not have a baseline, we cannot proceed to measurement and evaluation. Nigeria will then have an ongoing nation-wide data collection system of Civil Registration and Vital Statistics. Political will is imperative to drive the process of transforming maternal health care in Nigeria and will be required to scale up the current programme in Ondo State to the national level.

**Conclusion**

For the next 15 years, we must learn from our MDG experiences to meet the SDGs incorporating lessons learned from the past 15 years into plans and programs at the local and national levels. A key MDG takeaway must be that timely, accurate, and shareable data are necessary to ensure effective, innovative, and concerted interventions. In light of the “Data Revolution” movement that seeks to remedy data gaps, particular emphasis must be placed on Data baselines: without accurate knowledge of the current state-of-play, creating achievable goals let
alone monitoring and evaluation metrics – becomes impossible.
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