

## ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Acute Pelvic Inflammatory Disease in Cameroon: A Cross Sectional Descriptive Study

Elie Nkwabong\*<sup>1</sup> and Madye A.N. Dingom<sup>2</sup>

MD, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University Teaching Hospital/ Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Yaoundé (Cameroon)<sup>1</sup> and MD, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Yaoundé (Cameroon)<sup>2</sup>

\*For Correspondence: E-mail: [enkwabong@yahoo.fr](mailto:enkwabong@yahoo.fr); Phone: (+237) 699663843

## Abstract

This cross-sectional descriptive study, aimed at identifying the sociodemographic characteristics of women diagnosed with acute pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), as well as the microorganisms isolated, was carried out between October 1st, 2013 and March 31st, 2014 in two major hospitals in Yaoundé, Cameroon. Seventy women diagnosed with acute PID were recruited. The main variables recorded were maternal age, occupation, marital status, number of current sexual partners, the clinical presentation at admission and the microorganisms identified. Data were analyzed using SPSS 20.0. Mean maternal age was  $29.0 \pm 7.7$  years. Students were more represented (37.1%), 58.6 % were single, 64.3% had  $\geq 2$  sexual partners. The most frequent signs and symptoms were abnormal vaginal discharge (100%), adnexal tenderness (97.1%), cervical motion tenderness (94.3%) and fever  $\geq 38.3^\circ\text{C}$  (82.9%). No microorganism was isolated in 20% of cases, especially among women who underwent intra-uterine procedures. The most frequent microorganisms were genital tract mycoplasmas (54.3%). Acute PID is common among young, single women with multiple sexual partners. The micro-organisms frequently responsible for acute PID were genital tract mycoplasmas, whose identification should be included among routine tests for women with suspected acute PID in the hospitals. (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2015; 19[4]: 87-91).

**Keywords:** Acute pelvic inflammatory disease- Patients' sociodemographic profile- Clinical presentation- Microorganisms isolated

## Résumé

Cette étude transversale descriptive, dont le but était d'identifier les caractéristiques sociodémographiques des femmes souffrant d'une maladie inflammatoire du pelvis (MIP) aigue, ainsi que les micro-organismes isolés, a été réalisée du 1<sup>er</sup> octobre 2013 au 31 mars 2014 dans deux grands hôpitaux de Yaoundé (Cameroun). Soixante-dix femmes souffrant d'une MIP aigue ont été recrutées. Les principales variables enregistrées étaient l'âge maternel, la profession, le statut marital, le nombre de partenaires sexuels actuels, la présentation clinique à l'admission et les micro-organismes isolés. Les données ont été analysées grâce au logiciel SPSS 20.0. L'âge maternel moyen était de  $29,0 \pm 7,7$  ans. Les élèves et étudiantes étaient les plus représentées (37,1%), 58,6% étaient célibataires, 64,3% avaient  $\geq 2$  partenaires sexuels. Les signes et symptômes les plus fréquents étaient les écoulements vaginaux anormaux (100%), la sensibilité des annexes (97,1%), la douleur à la mobilisation du col (94,3%) et la fièvre  $\geq 38,3^\circ\text{C}$  (82,9%). Aucun micro-organisme n'a été isolé dans 20% de cas, surtout chez les femmes qui ont subi des procédures intra-utérines. Les micro-organismes les plus fréquents étaient les mycoplasmes génitaux (54,3%). La MIP aigue est fréquente chez les femmes jeunes et célibataires qui ont de multiples partenaires sexuels. Les micro-organismes les plus rencontrés étaient les mycoplasmes génitaux dont l'identification devrait être systématique chez les femmes atteintes de MIP aigue (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2015; 19[4]: 87-91).

**Mots-clés:** micro-organismes aux maladies sociodémographiques inflammatoires pelviennes aiguës, Profil du patient, présentation cliniques, microorganismes isolés

## Introduction

Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is defined as the inflammation of the upper genital tract including the uterus, fallopian tubes, the ovaries and the pelvic peritoneum<sup>1,2</sup>. The incidence of acute PID has decreased in many countries<sup>3</sup>, though its true

prevalence is not well known because the majority of cases are subclinical<sup>1,3</sup>. According to previous studies, its incidence varies between 0.28% and 1.67% worldwide<sup>4,6</sup>. In Africa, especially in sub-Saharan countries, the incidence is not well known and might be higher than these rates mentioned above.

The inflammation observed in PID results from infection, mostly bacterial<sup>1</sup>. The micro-organisms responsible can be sexually transmitted (Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoea)<sup>7,8</sup> or not (Streptococcus specie, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, Staphylococcus sp...)<sup>2,9</sup>. It is estimated that about 10% of women infected by Chlamydia trachomatis subsequently develop PID<sup>8</sup>. More recently, genital tract mycoplasmas, especially Mycoplasma genitalium, have been implicated as a cause of acute PID<sup>10,11</sup>. Most often, many microorganisms are simultaneously involved<sup>9,10</sup>. The other organisms invade the tissues when the most virulent ones have either begun to destroy tissues or shift vaginal flora to an aerobic state as is the case for bacterial vaginosis.

Risk factors for PID are multiple sexual partners, single status, lower socioeconomic status, young age (<30 years), intra uterine contraceptive device, endometrial biopsy, curettage, hysteroscopy and hysterosalpingography<sup>4,12</sup>.

Complications of acute PID include the evolution towards tubal damage resulting in tubal infertility, ectopic pregnancy and chronic pelvic pain<sup>1,13-15</sup>. Although the incidence of PID has decreased in some countries, the incidence of infertility has not, due to the fact that the majority of cases are subclinical<sup>3</sup>. Consequently, acute PID should be diagnosed and treated early enough to avoid the above mentioned complications.

Knowing the sociodemographic profile of women as well as the common microorganisms involved in acute PID might help us better identify the group that should be targeted, in order to reduce the complications associated with this disease, given that some cases of acute PID are subclinical.

In our country, no study has been carried out on acute PID, hence, this one aimed at identifying the sociodemographic profile and clinical presentation of women with acute PID, as well as the microorganisms responsible.

## Methods

This cross sectional descriptive study was conducted between October 1st, 2013 and March 31st, 2014 in the gynaecologic units of the University Teaching Hospital and the Central Hospital, both of Yaoundé (Cameroon).

All women diagnosed with acute PID were recruited. Diagnosis of acute PID was clinical and based on the CDC 2002 criteria: presence of

uterine/adnexal tenderness associated with cervical motion tenderness in absence of other identifiable causes, with or without other symptoms like abnormal vaginal discharge or temperature  $\geq 38.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

When patients were received, an informed consent was obtained from each of them, the symptoms were recorded, the temperature was taken at the axilla and adjusted upward by  $0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the abdomen and pelvis were examined, followed by speculum and bimanual vaginal examination. When the clinical diagnosis of acute PID was entertained, a cervicovaginal swab was performed; then culture and specific tests for the identification of Neisseria gonorrhoea, staphylococcus, streptococcus, mycoplasmas, and other germs were performed. A direct immunofluorescent test was done for the diagnosis of chlamydia trachomatis.

In our study, we wanted to know the socio-demographic and clinical presentation of women diagnosed with acute PID, as well as the microorganisms cultured. For each case of confirmed acute PID, the variables recorded anonymously and with confidentiality by the principal investigator on a pre-established questionnaire were: maternal age, occupation, educational level, marital status, number of current sexual partners (within the last two months), the gynaecologic procedure performed within the last month, the clinical presentation on admission and the microorganism(s) identified.

Our minimal sample size of 67 patients was calculated using the following formula for descriptive studies  $N = P(1-P) Z_{\alpha}^2 / D^2$  where  $Z_{\alpha} = 1.96$  corresponds to a confidence level of 0.05,  $D = 0.05$  is the degree of precision and assuming that the prevalence of acute PID (P) might be around 4.5% in Yaoundé.

Our study adhered to the STROBE guidelines for observational studies. This study received approval from the ethics committees of the University Teaching Hospital and of the Central Hospital, all in Yaoundé (Cameroon).

Data were analysed using SPSS 20.0. The results are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) for quantitative data and frequencies for qualitative data.

## Results

We had a total of 70 patients with acute PID out of 1344 women who were seen for gynaecologic problems giving a hospital based prevalence of PID

of 5.2% (or 52/1000). Maternal ages ranged from 15 to 48 years with a mean of  $29.0 \pm 7.7$  years. Table 1 shows distribution of maternal age. Concerning marital status, 41 women (58.6 %) were single as against 29 married women (41.4%).

**Table 1:** Distribution of Maternal Age

Maternal age (years)	Number (%)
<20	5 (7.1)
20-24	19 (27.2)
25-29	17 (24.3)
30-34	12 (17.1)
35-39	7 (10)
$\geq 40$	10 (14.3)
Total	70 (100)

Regarding occupation, students were more represented (26 or 37.1%), followed by housewives (20 or 28.6%) then women working in the informal sector (14 or 20%). Civil servants and jobless women represented 7.1% each (5 cases). Analysis of educational level showed that 38 women (54.3%) reached secondary school, 18 (25.7%) have been to University while 14 (20%) had primary school education.

Concerning the number of current sexual partners, 35.7% (25 women) had only one sexual partner while 40.0% (28 women) had two sexual partners, 18.6% (13 women) three sexual partners, and 4 women (5.7%) four partners or more.

Surgical procedures done within one month before the development of acute PID that might have favoured the development of the disease were present in 20 women (28.6%) and included dilatation and curettage or aspiration (12 cases), hysterosalpingography (7 cases) and hysteroscopy (one case).

The clinical presentation on admission revealed that many patients had more than one symptom. A temperature  $\geq 38.3^\circ\text{C}$  was present in 58 women (82.9%); there was cervical motion tenderness in 66 women (94.3%) and adnexal and/or uterine tenderness in 68 cases (97.1%) Table 2.

**Table 2:** Clinical Presentation at Admission

Signs and symptoms	Number (%)
Abnormal vaginal discharge	70 (100)
Adnexal/uterine tenderness	68 (97.1)
Cervical motion tenderness	66 (94.3)
Fever ( $\geq 38.3^\circ\text{C}$ )	58 (82.9)
Fatigue	37 (52.9)
Headache	22 (31.4)
Diarrhoea	19 (27.1)
Vomiting	13 (18.6)

Laboratory tests isolated no micro-organism in 14 women (20%). All these women underwent intra-uterine procedures. At least one micro-organism was cultured in 56 women (80%), including six women who had intra-uterine manipulations. Among these 56 women, 44 (78.6%) had polymicrobial infection ( $\geq 2$  germs isolated). The results revealed that genital tract mycoplasmas were the most encountered micro-organisms Table 3.

**Table 3:** Distribution of Micro-Organisms Isolated\*

Micro-organisms isolated	Number (%)
Genital tract mycoplasmas**	38 (54.3)
Chlamydia trachomatis	26 (37.1)
Gardnerella vaginalis	10 (14.3)
Trichomonas vaginalis	8 (11.4)
Streptococcus sp	4 (5.7)
Staphylococcus aureus	2 (2.9)
Neisseria gonorrhoea	1 (1.4)

\*Some patients had more than one microorganisms isolated

\*\*Mycoplasma hominis and Ureaplasma urealyticum

## Discussion

The prevalence of PID in our study (5.2% of subjects) is higher than those of 1.12% noticed among US armed forces<sup>1</sup> and 0.28%-1.67% found in UK<sup>4,6</sup>. Our incidence might even be higher than what was found, given that not all women attend hospitals when they are sick. This high rate in our series might be explained by the fact that some women have to depend on their partner(s), given the high rate of underemployment and poverty in our country. That might be a reason for which the majority of them (64.3%) had many sexual partners.

The mean maternal age observed in our series (29.0 years) was close to that of 30 years noticed in Nigeria<sup>2</sup>. The age group between 20 and 29 years was more represented in our series (51.5%), certainly because this consisted of students, who were more affected by acute PID (37.1%). This is different from what was observed in USA where armed forces were more affected<sup>16</sup>.

PID was more encountered among single women (58.6%). This has also been observed elsewhere<sup>17</sup>. Single women are more predisposed to have many sexual partners, especially if they had little or no income such as students. Some studies in mixed race populations found that black women were more affected by acute PID than caucasian<sup>16, 18</sup>.

The majority of patients in our series had secondary school education (54.3%). This can be explained by the fact that students were more

represented. Our study showed that 28.6% (20 cases) of PID were preceded by intra-uterine manipulations, as observed elsewhere<sup>19</sup>. Therefore, aseptic techniques and prophylactic antibiotics should be utilised during intra-uterine procedures. In six of our 20 cases that underwent intra-uterine manipulations, a microorganism was isolated. Some authors proposed that screening for Chlamydia and Neisseria gonorrhoea should be done prior to intra-uterine procedures<sup>19</sup>.

The most frequent symptoms were abnormal vaginal discharge (100%) and spontaneous pelvic pain (64.3%). But on palpation, up to 97.1% of women had adnexal and/or uterine tenderness. Some authors found that the most common sign was pelvic pain (88.9%). They also found that 77.8% of their patients had at least one of the three minimal requirements for the diagnosis of PID<sup>20</sup>.

On physical examination, the other more frequent signs were cervical motion tenderness (94.3%) and fever (82.9%). In other series, cervical motion tenderness was present in 75% and adnexal tenderness in 34% of patients<sup>21</sup>. In two of our patients (2.9%), there was neither adnexal/uterine tenderness nor fever, but only slight cervical motion tenderness. Hence, practitioners should carefully look for this sign when examining women in order to diagnose subclinical acute PID.

In our series, the most frequently encountered microorganisms were genital tract mycoplasmas (54.3%), in contrast with other series where the most frequent pathogen was Chlamydia trachomatis<sup>21</sup>. Genital tract mycoplasmas were thought not to be pathogenic, but recent studies found that they can be responsible for urethritis in men, cervicitis and PID in women<sup>10,11</sup>. In our settings, many women with pelvic infection have their complaints resolved when genital tract mycoplasmas are identified and treated. This shows that screening for genital tract mycoplasmas should be as frequent as screening for chlamydia since some negative cases for chlamydia might be positive for genital tract mycoplasmas. Similarly, bacterial vaginosis has also been implicated in acute PID and should be managed appropriately<sup>22</sup>.

The fact that no microorganism was isolated in 20% of our patients might suggest that either the microorganisms were no more present on the cervix, though the abnormal vaginal discharge present in all women, or the disease resulted from genital tract contamination during intra-uterine procedures.

Prompt and correct treatment should be carried out even among less symptomatic women to prevent the known complications of PID.

Some limitations of our study include the reliability of some answers given by women, although there was confidentiality and anonymity. For instance, the women might not have mentioned the correct number of their sexual partners.

## Conclusion

This study showed that acute PID is usually observed among young single women with multiple sexual partners. These women should be targeted and regularly screened for the various sexually transmissible infections. The micro-organisms frequently responsible for acute PID in our series were genital tract mycoplasmas. Therefore, screening for these germs should be included among the routine tests done in women diagnosed with acute PID. Cases of acute PID preceded by intra-uterine procedures reminds us that adequate asepsis should be observed during these procedures.

## Contribution of Authors

Conception and study design: E.N.; Collection and analysis of data: M.A.N.D.; Preparation of the manuscript: E.N.; All authors approved the manuscript.

## References

1. Rohrbeck P. Pelvic inflammatory disease among female recruit trainees, active component, U.S. Armed Forces, 2002-2012. *MSMR* 2013; 20(9): 15-8.
2. Spencer TH, Umeh PO, Irokanulo E, et al. Bacterial isolates associated with pelvic inflammatory disease among female patients attending some hospitals in Abuja, Nigeria. *Afr J Infect Dis* 2014; 8(1): 9-13.
3. Wiesenfeld HC, Hillier SL, Meyn LA, Amortegui AJ, Sweet RL. Subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease and infertility. *Obstet Gynecol* 2012; 120(1): 37-43.
4. French CE, Hughes G, Nicholson A, et al. Estimation of the rate of pelvic inflammatory disease diagnoses: trends in England, 2000-2008. *Sex Transm Dis* 2011; 38: 158-62.
5. Reekie J, Donovan B, Guy R, et al. Hospitalisations for pelvic inflammatory disease temporally related to a diagnosis of Chlamydia or gonorrhoea: a retrospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 2014; 9(4): e94361.
6. Oroz C, Bailey H, Hollows K, Lee J, Mullan H, Theobald N. A national audit on the management of pelvic inflammatory disease in UK genitourinary medicine clinics. *Int J STD AIDS* 2012; 23(1): 53-4.
7. Davies B, Turner K, Ward H. Risk of pelvic inflammatory

- disease after Chlamydia infection in a prospective cohort of sex workers. *Sex Transm Dis* 2013; 40(3): 230-4.
8. Herzog SA, Althaus CL, Heijne JC, et al. Timing of progression from Chlamydia trachomatis infection to pelvic inflammatory disease: a mathematical modelling study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2012; 12: 187.
  9. Schindlbeck C, Dziura D, Mylonas I. Diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID): intra-operative findings and comparison of vaginal and intra-abdominal cultures. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2014; 289(6): 1263-9.
  10. Sweet RL. Pelvic Inflammatory Disease: Current Concepts of Diagnosis and Management. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2012; 14(2): 194-203.
  11. McGowin CL, Anderson-Smiths C. Mycoplasma genitalium: an emerging cause of sexually transmitted disease in women. *PLoS Pathog* 2011; 7(5): e1001324.
  12. Maget V, Gromez A, Roman H, Verspyck E, Marpeau L. [Pelvic inflammatory disease and intrauterine contraceptive device. Monocentric continuous study of 70 cases over 5 years]. [Article in French]. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 2013; 41(7-8): 437-8.
  13. Kielly M, Jamieson MA. Pelvic inflammatory disease in virginal adolescent females without tubo-ovarian abscess. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol* 2014; 27(1): e5-7.
  14. Zhao WH, Hao M. Pelvic inflammatory disease: a retrospective clinical analysis of 1,922 cases in North China. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2014; 77(3): 169-75.
  15. Abrao MS, Muzii L, Marana R. Anatomical causes of female infertility and their management. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2013; 123 Suppl 2: S18-24.
  16. Armed Forces Health Surveillance Center. Acute pelvic inflammatory disease, active component, U.S. Armed Forces, 2002-2011. *MSMR* 2012; 19(7): 11-3.
  17. Xholli A, Cannoletta M, Cagnacci A. Seasonal trend of acute pelvic inflammatory disease. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2014; 289(5): 1017-22.
  18. Goyal M, Hersh A, Luan X, Localio R, Trent M, Zaoutis T. National trends in pelvic inflammatory disease among adolescents in the emergency department. *J Adolesc Health* 2013; 53(2): 249-52.
  19. Sufrin CB, Postlethwaite D, Armstrong MA, Merchant M, Wendt JM, Steinauer JE. Neisseria gonorrhoea and Chlamydia trachomatis screening at intrauterine device insertion and pelvic inflammatory disease. *Obstet Gynecol* 2012; 120(6): 1314-21.
  20. Crittle KN, Peipert JF. Diagnosis and treatment of pelvic inflammatory disease: a quality assessment study. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014; 123 Suppl 1: 26S.
  21. Woods JL, Scurlock AM, Hensel DJ. Pelvic inflammatory disease in the adolescent: understanding diagnosis and treatment as a health care provider. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2013; 29(6): 720-5.
  22. Taylor BD, Darville T, Haggerty CL. Does bacterial vaginosis cause pelvic inflammatory disease? *Sex Transm Dis* 2013; 40(2): 117-22.