REVIEW ARTICLE

The Threat of Aerobic Vaginitis to Pregnancy and Neonatal Morbidity

Eveline Kaambo and Charlene W J Africa*

Microbial Endogenous Infections Studies, Department of Medical Biosciences, University of the Western Cape, Bellville, South Africa

*For Correspondence: E-mail: cafrica@uwc.ac.za

Abstract

Aerobic vaginitis (AV) is an endogenous opportunistic infection brought about by the disruption of the normal vaginal microbiota. Its early diagnosis and treatment during pregnancy may reduce the risk of negative pregnancy outcomes. The aim of this review was to report on the aerobic bacteria most prevalent in AV and to provide evidence of the threat of untreated AV on pregnancy outcomes. More than 300 papers on preterm delivery were extracted from several research domains and filtered to include only AV-associated bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* and Group B streptococci and their association with adverse pregnancy outcomes. Due to the diverse sample groups, study techniques and outcomes, a meta-analysis was not conducted. The review revealed that the association of AV with adverse pregnancy outcomes has not been as widely researched as bacterial vaginosis (BV) and needs further investigation. Furthermore, the frequent misdiagnosis of AV coupled with the emerging antimicrobial resistance associated with bacteria implicated in AV and neonatal nosocomial infections pose a problem for prophylaxis and treatment to reduce the risk of maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. (*Afr J Reprod Health 2017*; 21[2]: 109-118).

Keywords: Aerobic vaginitis; pregnancy risks; antimicrobial resistance

Résumé

La vaginite aérobie (VA) est une infection opportuniste endogène provoquée par la perturbation du microbiota vaginal normal. Son diagnostic précoce et son traitement pendant la grossesse peuvent réduire le risque de résultats négatifs de grossesse. L'objectif de cette revue était de faire un rapport sur les bactéries aérobies les plus répandues en VA et de prouver la menace de la VA non traitée sur les résultats de la grossesse. Plus de 300 articles sur l'accouchement prématuré ont été tirés de plusieurs domaines de recherche et filtrés pour inclure uniquement des bactéries associées à la VA telles que *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli* et streptocoques du groupe B et leur association avec des résultats de grossesse indésirables. En raison des divers groupes d'échantillons, des techniques d'étude et des résultats, une méta-analyse n'a pas été menée. L'examen a révélé que l'association de la VA avec les résultats de grossesse défavorisés n'a pas été aussi largement étudiée que la vaginose bactérienne (VB) et doit faire l'objet d'une enquête plus approfondie. En outre, le diagnostic erroné de la VA y compris la résistance antimicrobienne émergente associée à une bactérie impliquée dans les infections à vent et nonnéomatologiques constitue un problème pour la prophylaxie et le traitement afin de réduire le risque de morbidité et de mortalité maternelle et néonatale. (*Afr J Reprod Health 2017; 21[2]: 109-118*).

Mots clés: vaginite aérobie, risques de grossesse, résistance antimicrobienne

Introduction

Despite the advances in prenatal care and increased public awareness, adverse pregnancy outcomes still present a major public health problem worldwide. The human vagina is colonised by a very diverse microbiota which plays a protective role in health but when disrupted, can impact negatively on the

reproductive health of women, particularly during pregnancy¹. The increasing incidence of preterm delivery² and other complications of pregnancy such as intra-amniotic infection³ and chorioamnionitis⁴ not only affect the mother, but her foetus as well². Although vulvovaginal conditions such as *Candida* vaginitis, bacterial vaginosis and trichomoniasis are commonly identified and diagnosed, less is known about

aerobic vaginitis (AV). AV has been described as a disruption of the normal vaginal microbiota with a reduction in hydrogen peroxide-producing lactobacilli in a mainly facultatively anaerobic microbiota, accompanied by an increased vaginal pH, signs of inflammation with leukocyte infiltration⁵⁻⁹. AV differs distinctly from bacterial vaginosis (BV) in its clinical features and host response with BV being a condition brought about by an imbalance and shift of the normal vaginal flora in favour of anaerobic bacteria with no signs of inflammation⁶.

Although the association of BV with pregnancy outcomes has been extensively studied, not much has been published pertaining to the epidemiology and aetiology of AV and its influence on pregnancy outcomes. Little is known about treatment success in reducing adverse pregnancy outcomes in mothers with AV infection although it is suspected that treatment failure may be largely attributed to the emerging drug resistance of AV-associated bacteria.

The aim of this review is to report on the frequency of AV-associated bacteria in pregnancy and to provide evidence of the influence of untreated AV infection on pregnancy outcomes and neonatal morbidity.

Methods

Using PubMed, WSI, Research Gate, Google Scholar and World of Science, > 300 papers were screened using the keywords "preterm, vaginal endogenous infections, maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality". For this review, only papers published between the years 2000 and 2016 were included which described bacteria commonly associated with AV (Escherichia coli, Group B streptococci, Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis) and known to pose a risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes and subsequent neonatal infection. Papers published before the year 2000 were excluded on the basis that AV was an observed but uncategorized condition in 2000 with the diagnostic criteria for AV only formally proposed in 2002 by Donders et al⁶. Excluded from the search were adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes due to exogenous infections and other endogenous infections such as BV.

Because of the diverse sample groups, techniques and outcomes, a meta-analysis was not conducted.

Diagnosis and treatment of AV

The appropriate diagnosis and distinction between AV and BV is crucial to their treatment and may reduce preterm birth¹¹. The diagnosis of AV is based on wet mount microscopy, showing vaginal smears deficient in lactobacilli, with the presence of cocci or coarse bacilli, parabasal epithelial cells, and toxic leucocytes^{9,12}. Similar to the Nugent scoring system used for grading BV, a composite AV score is obtained by grading each of the above as normal or slight (0), moderate (1) or severe $(2)^{5,9}$. The number of points establishes the composite AV score, with the maximum score being 10⁵. Furthermore, lactobacillary grades (LBG) may range from I (increased lactobacilli, decreased cocci), through II (reduced lactobacilli with a mixed background microbiota) and III (mixed bacteria in the absence of lactobacilli)^{5,13}. Parabasal cells are considered a sign of severe epithelial inflammation encountered only in moderate or severe forms of AV such as in desquamative inflammatory vaginitis¹². This, inflammatory reaction along with the thinned vaginal mucosa are thought to contribute to the symptoms of AV⁵.

Although widely accepted as efficient in diagnosing AV, the above method is timeconsuming, only employs a microscopic examination and is often adapted according to available resources¹⁴. A commercial kit developed in China (Beijing ZhongSheng JinYu Diagnosis Technology Co., LTD, Beijing, China) demonstrated an ability to diagnose AV with accuracy and sensitivity of 90% and has been proposed as a rapid alternative for the diagnosis of AV in China¹⁵. AV is diagnosed if the diagnostic strip tests indicate a colour change to measure hydrogen peroxide concentration (reflecting the status of lactobacilli), leucocyte esterase activity (presence of inflammation), β-glucuronidase activity (the presence of E. coli and GBS) and S. coagulase (detecting aureus) thereby eliminating the use of microscopy in the often overcrowded outpatient clinics.

Because of differences in their microbial

aetiology, the prophylaxis and treatment of AV and BV differ. Metronidazole is commonly used for the treatment of BV¹² and because AV does not respond well to metronidazole, clindamycin is considered to be a better choice for treating pregnant women with an unconfirmed diagnosis of abnormal vaginal microbiota because it is able to eradicate both AV and BV-associated bacteria¹⁶. Topical application of kanamycin has also been reported¹⁷ as well as the use of probiotics¹⁸. Conventional treatment for AV consists of a course of broad-spectrum antibiotics that target anaerobic and aerobic bacteria such as the aminoglycoside, kanamycin^{5,19} and the quinolone, moxifloxacin¹⁹, both of which are proven to be effective.

Maternal and neonatal risk posed by AV infection during pregnancy

The presence of an abnormality in the vaginal microbiota in early pregnancy is considered to be one of the most significant risk factors for preterm delivery, preterm pre-labor rupture of membranes (PPROM) and low birth weight^{5,11}. The inability to diagnose subclinical ascending uterine infection may result in foetal infection with a neonatal mortality rate of 25%-90% due to congenital neonatal sepsis¹⁰.

During pregnancy the vaginal biofilm, conditioned by high estrogen levels, has a good supply of glycogen and an elevated proportion of lactobacilli, reported to constitute > 90% of the microorganisms present in the vagina during childbearing age¹⁹. The increased concentrations of circulating estrogens, accompanied by increased numbers of parabasal cells yields infrequent reports of AV in pregnancy⁵. However, there is a clear indication that, even when asymptomatic, AV may represent a risk factor for preterm delivery^{20,21} and other complications of pregnancy such as ascending chorioamnionitis and PPROM^{5,22,23}.

In AV, the concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines, interleukin – 1b (IL-1b), interleukin – 6 (IL-6) and interleukin – 8 (IL-8), are inversely proportional to LBG^5 , and because these cytokines have been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, patients with AV may similarly be at increased risk of delivering

preterm^{5,13}. IL-1b demonstrates an eight fold increase in AV compared to bacterial vaginosis (BV), while IL-6 increases fivefold in those diagnosed with AV7,13 and is a well-recognized marker for bacterial amnionitis and imminent term and preterm delivery¹³. IL-8 is considered to play a significant role in neonatal infections with elevated serum concentrations of IL-8 observed within the first 24 hours in severe cases of necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC)²⁴. NEC described as the most serious gastrointestinal disorder in newborn infants with an incidence of 10 - 15% in those with very low birth weight²⁵. Thus, the host response to AV contributes significantly to both maternal and neonatal morbidity.

At birth, an infant's gastrointestinal tract is sterile. However it rapidly becomes colonized with organisms from the mother and the local environment, with enterococci and members of the family *Enterobacteriaceae* being the predominant organisms found in the stools during the first days of life in full-term infants^{26,27}. In the preterm neonates, especially those with a very low birth weight, other factors such as the underdeveloped crucial functions, the mode and environment of delivery, as well as feeding and drug therapy regimens may influence the biodiversity of the intestinal flora and increase the risk of gastrointestinal disease such as NEC²⁷.

Early onset neonatal sepsis (EOS) occurs within the first few days of life and remains an uncommon but significant cause of morbidity and mortality among very low birth weight (VLBW) infants^{28,29}, while late onset neonatal sepsis (LOS) occurs between 4-7 days of life and is associated with the morbidity and mortality of hospitalized neonates worldwide due to invasive interventions, prolonged ventilation and prolonged stay in neonatal intensive care units as a consequence of prematurity and VLBW³⁰.

Organisms commonly implicated in AV and associated with negative pregnancy and neonatal outcomes include *Escherichia coli*, Group B streptococci (also known as *Streptococcus agalactiae* and referred to as GBS for the remainder of this review), *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*^{18,31,32}, and when acquired during the perinatal period, may be

associated with a high neonatal mortality due to septicemia, meningitis or pneumonia^{32,33}.

Escherichia coli (E. coli)

E.coli accounts for 80 to 90% of AV infections in pregnant women³¹ and is frequently isolated as the sole organism⁵. E. coli has also been more frequently reported in women who deliver preterm term^{34,35}. than those delivering full physiologic changes along with reduced immunological status during pregnancy believed to predispose women to urinary tract infections (UTI) with E. coli being the most commonly associated pathogen. Specific virulence determinants in uropathogenic strains of E. coli are with invasive associated infection pyelonephritis in pregnancy by facilitating their adherence uroepithelial to cells, thereby preventing bacteria from urinary lavage and allowing for multiplication and tissue invasion³¹.

Some studies have reported an emerging trend of *E. coli* predominating in early neonatal sepsis following prophylaxis for GBS colonization during pregnancy³⁶⁻⁴¹. Even though the role of *E. coli* in vaginitis is particularly controversial, it is known to alter the micro-environment¹⁹ and remains one of the most common causes of neonatal sepsis, often being recovered from placental tissues in chorioamnionitis⁵. Neonates born preterm are more likely to develop neonatal sepsis and meningitis caused by *E. coli* compared with neonates born at term^{28,29}.

A study by Bizzaro *et al.*⁴² revealed that there were significant increases in both EOS and LOS which could be attributed to *E. coli*. A significant increase in ampicillin-resistant *E. coli* was reported in very low birth weight infants with *E. coli* EOS, while in *E. coli* LOS, no significant change in antimicrobial susceptibility was reported⁴².

Group B Streptococci (GBS)

GBS exists as normal microbiota in the female genital tract and anal areas of healthy adults⁴³⁻⁴⁶, with an estimated one out of three women being a carrier of GBS. The gastrointestinal tract serves as the natural reservoir for GBS and is expected to be

the source of vaginal colonization^{23,43}.

Pregnant women who are colonized with GBS might develop infections of the urinary tract, bacteremia, chorioamnionitis, and postpartum endometritis^{23,47,48}, thus increasing the risk of PTD, PPROM and perinatal transmission⁴⁹⁻⁵¹, resulting in neonatal sepsis and meningitis 45,52,53. A prevalence of 7 - 25% GBS colonization in AV has been reported in women between 35 and 37 weeks of gestation⁵⁴, with intrauterine infection associated with the ability of GBS to ascend from the lower genital tract and colonize the upper genital tract⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. In the newborn, GBS infection may be congenital or acquired 22, 57 and remains the foremost cause of neonatal mortality and morbidity in the world despite a recent decline in occurrence^{48,52,58,59}

GBS early-onset disease (EOD) occurs at the age of 0-6 days and has been associated with the presence of GBS in the vagina of the mother with transmission thought to take place vertically through aspiration of infected amniotic fluid or passage through the birth canal⁶⁰⁻⁶², while lateonset disease (LOD), occurs at age between 7 and 90 days 48,62,63 with the source of infection attributed to community or nosocomial acquisition⁶². However, there is evidence that in several infants with LOD, the GBS causing the infection share identical serotypes as the GBS isolated from their mothers, suggesting a maternal source^{48,62}.

Intrapartum colonization is strongly associated with approximately 4% of neonatal fatalities and serious morbidities including sepsis, pneumonia, meningitis, illness and death in infants, as well as long-term disabilities such as loss of hearing, impaired vision, developmental problems, cerebral palsy, osteomyelitis and septic arthritis ^{22,58}.

Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus)

The rate of vaginal carriage of *S. aureus* has been reported to be 4% - 22% of the vaginal microbiota of pregnant women^{64,65}, with the presence of lactobacilli known to reduce *S. aureus* virulence⁸. The risk factors for *S. aureus* colonization in pregnancy and the association between maternal colonization and infant infections are not very well

defined⁶⁴.

S. aureus accounts for > 90 % of lateonset sepsis in neonates. Late-onset sepsis is noted to be four times higher in very low birth weight (VLBW) infants⁶⁶. Imperative factors that are considered to increase the risk for neonates due to S. aureus colonization include breastfeeding, number of household members, prematurity, low birth weight and the duration of antibiotic or ventilator days⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹.

Traditional antibiotic therapy, even though highly effective, has led to the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains, such as methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA)⁷⁰. Community-acquired MRSA infections have been reported to occur in neonates and pregnant women or postpartum women, as a result of vaginal colonization of S. *aureus* during pregnancy⁷⁰, with a prevalence of 0.5% - 10% and in some areas, higher rates of colonization have been reported^{64,68,71}.

The factors that contribute to *S. aureus* rectovaginal colonization in pregnant women are not well understood, but it is believed to include black race^{64,72}. MRSA is noted as a significant pathogen in neonatal intensive care units⁶⁷, with one study reporting 1.8% of neonates colonized with MRSA and 16 of 50 (32%) of these colonized neonates developing MRSA infection⁶⁹.

Enterococcus faecalis

Lancefield group D streptococci include the enterococci now classified in a separate genus from other streptococci and non-enterococcal group D streptococci. Group D enterococci and non-enterococci are part of the genital tract, but are a common cause of urinary tract infections, bacterial endocarditis, abdominal subacute infections 73,74 and wound abscesses. predominance of asymptomatic genital infection in pregnant women has been reported in the literature⁷⁵, with enterococci causing life threatening infections in preterm infants and other immuno-compromised patients⁷³.

The enterococcal species which are considered to be significant pathogens for humans are *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*. These organisms are likely to affect patients who are elderly or whose normal microbiota has been altered by

antibiotic treatment⁷³. Furthermore, in neonates, *E. faecalis* is associated with 6% mortality rate in early onset septicaemia (EOS) which increases to 15% in late-onset (LOS) infections, whilst in general it is implicated in 7% to 50% of fatal cases⁷⁴.

Enterococci have previously been reported to be harmless inhabitants of the gastrointestinal tract flora initially, and are included among the main causes of health care—associated infections⁷⁶, being recorded as the second most common cause of nosocomial urinary tract infections 77. E. faecalis is considered to increase tst expression leading to increased production of toxic shock syndrome toxin-1 (TSST-1) thus increasing the virulence of S. aureus. Enterococci are believed to be difficult to treat because of their intrinsic resistance to antibiotics including beta – lactams aminoglycosides which are frequently used to treat infections due to Gram-positive cocci^{74,78}. Resistance to trimethoprim, gentamycin and vancomycin have also been reported^{79,80}.

An association was found between increased enterococcal colonization and prematurity⁷³, with preterm birth neonates who carry *E. faecalis* being more likely to be suffering from NEC than neonates born at term^{24, 25}. The presence of *E. faecalis* in the amniotic fluid considerably increases the risk of histological inflammation of the placenta (OR 10.7, 95% CI 1.27–89.9) and also increases the risk for bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) and NEC⁸¹.

E. faecalis is described as one of the first colonizers that could suppress the inflammatory responses and also shape the immune system of neonates²⁴. In the neonates, the intestines are likely to undergo acute inflammation when exposed to Gram-negative bacteria²⁴. The presence of *E. faecalis* is believed to help the intestines maintain the immune balance in response to such challenges²⁴. However, the therapeutic effects of *E. faecalis* must be examined thoroughly, especially as *E. faecalis* is a recognized opportunistic pathogen in hospital infections^{24,78}.

Conclusion

Preterm birth is a critical priority in healthcare throughout the world because of medical, social,

and economic reasons. Maintaining a healthy vaginal biofilm during pregnancy is vital to the control of opportunistic infection which may result in poor pregnancy outcomes. Optimal treatment includes antibiotics that have little consequence on the normal beneficial microbiota such as *Lactobacillus* species, while eradicating *E. coli*, GBS, *S. aureus*, and *E. faecalis* all of which have been implicated in the aetiology of AV^{19,82,26}. The administration of probiotic lactobacilli employed in recent studies restores the disrupted microflora of the vagina and offers a safer alternative to the prolonged prophylactic use of antibiotics which may present with side effects^{83,84}.

As with most endogenous infections, species prevalence differs among the different geographical regions with some reporting *S. aureus* as the most prevalent ^{85,19,9}, followed by *E. coli* ^{85,86} *Enterococcus* ^{85,87} and GBS ⁸⁶. Others report *E. coli* followed by *E. faecalis* ^{88,89}, GBS and Enterobacteriaceae ⁹⁰. There is currently no standardized treatment for AV infected mothers to reduce the rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes ¹⁸, although treatment during the second trimester of pregnancy has been advocated ¹⁰.

The effects of screening and treatment for abnormal vaginal microbiota in order to reduce preterm delivery (PTD) remain controversial²¹ with low prevalence of AV reported in some studies 11,91 and others showing a very high prevalence^{92,93}. Interventions to prevent pre-term birth have been largely ineffective^{1,2} and may be attributed to both the increasing antimicrobial resistance amongst opportunistic pathogens which complicates adequate administration prophylaxis and treatment as well as the often misdiagnosis of AV as BV, thus leading to treatment failures and thereby contributing to and increasing the risk of maternal and neonatal morbidity.

The paucity of studies relative to AV and pregnancy outcomes emphasizes the need for a better understanding of the epidemiology, aetiology and pathogenesis of AV in both pregnant and non-pregnant women particularly in Africa where AV has been poorly investigated and has often not been considered as a risk factor for poor pregnancy outcomes which may contribute to

maternal and neonatal morbidity.

Acknowledgement

This material is based upon work partially supported financially by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors, and therefore, the NRF does not accept any liability in regards thereto.

Contribution of Authors

E. Kaambo prepared the first draft. CWJ Africa wrote the final paper and revised the manuscript. Both authors approved the manuscript.

References

- 1. Li J, McCormick J, Bocking A and Reid G. Importance of vaginal microbes in reproductive health. Reproduct Sci 2012; 19(3): 235-242.
- Shennan AH and Bewley S. Why should preterm births be rising - If a rise is confirmed, the implications are considerable. BMJ 2006; 332: 924.
- Curzik D, Drazancic A and Hrgovic Z. Non-specific aerobic vaginitis and pregnancy. Fetal Diag Ther 2001; 16(3): 187-92.
- Rezeberga D, Lazdane G, Kroica J, Sokolova L and Donders GG. Placental histological inflammation and reproductive tract infections in a low risk pregnant population in Latvia. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2008; 87(3): 360-5
- 5. Donders G, Bellen G and Rezeberga D. Aerobic vaginitis in pregnancy. BJOG 2011; 118(10): 1163-70.
- Donders GG, Vereecken A, Bosmans E, Dekeersmaecker A, Salembier G and Spitz B. Definition of a type of abnormal vaginal flora that is distinct from bacterial vaginosis: aerobic vaginitis. BJOG 2002; 109(1): 34-43.
- Hemalatha R, Ramalaxmi BA, KrishnaSwetha G, Kumar PU, Rao DM, Balakrishna N and Annapurna V. Cervicovaginal inflammatory cytokines and sphingomyelinase in women with and without bacterial vaginosis. Am J Med Sci 2012; 344(1): 35-9.
- 8. MacPhee RA, Miller WL, Gloor GB, McCormick JK, Hammond JA, Burton JP and Reid G. Influence of the vaginal microbiota on toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 production by *Staphylococcus aureus*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2013; 79(6): 1835-42.
- 9. Tansarli GS, Kostaras EK, Anthanasiou S and Falagas ME.

 Prevalence and treatment of aerobic vaginitis

 among non pregnant women: evaluation of the

- evidence for an underestimated clinical entity. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2013; 32(8): 977–84.
- 10. Kumari G and Tempe A. Should abnormal vaginal flora in 2nd trimester of pregnancy be treated to prevent preterm labour? MAMC J Med Sci 2015; 1(2): 64-8
- 11.Donders GG, Van Calsteren K, Bellen G, Reybrouck R, Van den Bosch T, Riphagen I and Van Lierde S. Predictive value for preterm birth of abnormal vaginal flora, bacterial vaginosis and aerobic vaginitis during the first trimester of pregnancy. BJOG 2009; 116(10): 1315-24.
- 12. Donders GG. Definition and classification of abnormal vaginal flora. Best Pract Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2007; 21(3): 355–73.
- 13.Donati L, Di Vico A, Nucci M, Quagliozzi L, Spagnuolo T, Labianca A, Bracaglia M, Ianniello F, Caruso AM and Paradisi G. Vaginal microbial flora and outcome of pregnancy. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2010; 281(4): 589-600.
- 14. Sangeetha K T, Golia S and Vasudha CL. The study of aerobic bacterial pathogens associated with vaginitis in reproductive age group women (15-45 years) and their sensitivity pattern. Int J Res Med Sci 2015; 3(9): 2268-73.
- 15. Wang ZL, Fu LY, Xiong ZA, Qin Q, Yu TH, Wu YT, Hua YY and Zhang YH. Diagnosis and microecological characteristics of aerobic vaginitis in outpatients based on preformed enzymes. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol 2016; 55(1): 40-4.
- 16.Sobel JD, Reichman O, Misra D and Yoo W. Prognosis and treatment of desquamative inflammatory vaginitis. Obstet Gynecol 2011; 117(4): 850-5.
- 17.Tempera G, Bonfiglio G, Cammarata E, Corsello S and Cianci A. Microbiological/clinical characteristics and validation of topical therapy with kanamycin in aerobic vaginitis: a pilot study. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2004; 24(1): 85-8.
- 18.Han C, Wu W, Fan A, Wang Y, Zhang H, Chu Z, Wang C and Xue F. Diagnostic and therapeutic advancements for aerobic vaginitis. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2015; 291(2): 251-7.
- 19.Zarbo G, Coco L, Leanza V, Genovese F, Leanza G, D'Agati A, Giannone TT, Giunta MR, Palumbo MA, Carbonaro A and Pafumi C. Aerobic vaginitis during pregnancy. Res Obstet Gynecol 2013; 2(2): 7-11.
- 20.Stojanovic N, Plecas D and Plesinac S. Normal vaginal flora, disorders and application of probiotics in pregnancy. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2012; 286(2): 325-32.
- 21.Honda H, Yokoyama T, Akimoto Y, Tanimoto H, Teramoto M and Teramoto H. The frequent shift to intermediate flora in preterm delivery cases after abnormal vaginal flora screening. Sci Rep 2014; 4: 4799.
- 22.Rabiee S, Arab M and Yousefi MR. Epidemiologic pattern of vaginal colonization by group B Streptococcus in pregnant women in Hamadan, Central West of Iran. Iran J Med Sci 2006; 31(2): 106-8.

- 23.Najmi N, Jehan I, Sikandar R and Zuberi NF. Maternal genital tract colonization by Group-B Streptococcus: A hospital based study. J Pak Med Assoc 2013; 63(9): 1103-7.
- 24.Wang S, Hibberd ML, Pettersson S and Lee YK. Enterococcus faecalis from healthy infants modulates inflammation through MAPK signaling pathways. PLoS One 2014; 9(5): e97523.
- 25.Normann E, Fahlén A, Engstrand L and Lilja HE. Intestinal microbial profiles in extremely preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis. Acta Paediatr 2013; 102(2): 129–36.
- 26.Hällström M, Eerola E, Vuento R, Janas M and Tammela O. Effects of mode of delivery and necrotising enterocolitis on the intestinal microflora in preterm infants. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2004; 23(6): 463-70.
- 27.Magne F, Suau A, Pochart P and Desjeux J. Fecal microbial community in preterm infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2005; 41(4): 386-92.
- 28.Hornik CP, Fort P, Clark RH, Watt K, Benjamin DK Jr., Smith PB, Manzoni P, Jacqz-Aigrain E, Kaguelidou F and Cohen-Wolkowiez M. Early and late onset sepsis in very-low-birth-weight infants from a large group of neonatal intensive care units. Early Hum Dev 2012; 88(Suppl 2): S69–74.
- 29.Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Higgins RD, Fanaroff AA, Duara S, Goldberg R, Laptook A, Walsh M, Oh W and Hale E. Very low birth weight preterm infants with early onset neonatal sepsis: the predominance of Gram-negative infections continues in the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network, 2002–2003. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2005; 24(7): 635-9.
- 30.Chu A, Joseph R, Hageman JR, Schreiber M and Alexander K. Antimicrobial therapy and late onsetsepsis. NeoReviews 2012; 13(2): e94-102.
- 31.Schnarr J and Smaill F. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and symptomatic urinary tract infections in pregnancy. Eur J Clin Invest 2008; 38(S2):50-7.
- 32.Fatemi F, Chamani L, Pakzad P, Zeraati H, Rabbani H and Asgari S. Colonization rate of group B streptococcus (GBS) in pregnant women using GBS agar medium. Acta Medica Iranica 2009; 47(1): 25-30
- 33.Taminato M, Fram D, Torloni MR, Belasco AG, Saconato H and Barbosa DA. Screening for group B Streptococcus in pregnant women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2011; 19(6): 1470-8.
- 34.McDonald HM and Chambers HM. Intrauterine infection and spontaneous midgestation abortion: is the spectrum of microorganisms similar to that in preterm labor? Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2000; 8(5-6): 220-7.
- 35.Carey JC and Klebanoff MA. Is a change in the vaginal flora associated with an increased risk of preterm birth? Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005; 192(4): 1341-6.
- 36.Baltimore RS, Huie SM, Meek JI, Schuchat A and O'Brien KL. Early-onset sepsis in the era of group B

- streptococcal prevention. Pediatrics 2001; 108(5): 1094-8.
- 37.Hyde TB, Hilger TM, Reingold A, Farley MM, O'Brien KL and Schuchat A. Trends in incidence and antimicrobial resistance of early-onset sepsis: population-based surveillance in San Francisco and Atlanta. Pediatrics 2002; 110(4): 690-5.
- 38.Stroll BJ, Hansen N, Fanaroff AA, Wright LL, Carlo WA, Ehrenkranz RA, Lemons JA, Donovan EF, Stark AR, Tyson JE, Oh W, Bauer CR, Korones SB, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Stevenson DK, Papile L and Poole WK. Changes in the pathogens causing early-onset sepsis in very-low-birth-weight infants. N Engl J Med 2002; 347(4): 240-7.
- 39.Towers CV and Briggs GG. Antepartum use of antibiotics and early-onset sepsis: the next 4 years. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002; 187(2): 495-500.
- 40.Lin FY, Brenner RA, Johnson YR, Azimi PH, Philips JB, Regan JA, Clark P, Weisman LE, Rhoads GG, Kong F and Clemens JD. The effectiveness of riskbased intrapartum chemoprophylaxis for the prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001; 184(6): 1204-10.
- 41.Cordero L, Rau R, Taylor D and Ayers LW. Enteric gramnegative bacilli bloodstream infections: 17 years' experience in neonatal intensive care unit. Am J Infect Control 2004; 32(4): 189-95.
- 42.Bizzarro MJ, Dembry LM, Baltimore RS and Gallagher PG. Changing patterns in neonatal *Escherichia coli* sepsis and ampicillin resistance in the era of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis. Pediatrics 2008; 121(4): 689-96.
- 43.Dermer P, Lee C, Eggert J and Few B. A history of neonatal group B streptococcus with its related morbidity and mortality rates in the United States. J Pediatr Nurs 2004; 19(5): 357-63.
- 44.Stronati M, Tzialla C and Lombardi G. Prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcus infection. Ital J Pediatr 2004; 30(1): 39-48.
- 45.Domelier S, van der Mee-Marquet N, Grandet A, Mereghetti L, Rosenau A and Quentin R. Loss of catabolic function in *Streptococcus agalactiae* strains and its association with neonatal meningitis. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(9): 3245-50.
- 46.Van der Mee-Marquet N, Domelier AS, Mereghetti L, Lanotte P, Rosenau A, van Leeuwen W and Quentin R. Prophagic DNA Fragments in *Streptococcus agalactiae* strains and association with neonatal meningitis. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(3): 1049-58.
- 47.Milyani R and Rokbah RA. Factors affecting vaginal and rectal carriage rate of *Streptococcus agalactiae* among pregnant and nonpregnant Saudi women. Adv Schol Med 2011; 1(3): 37-44.
- 48.Konikkara KP, Baliga S, Shenoy SM and Bharati B. Comparison of various culture methods for isolation of Group B streptococcus from intrapartum vaginal colonization. J Lab Physicians 2013; 5(1): 42-5.
- 49.Varma R, Gupta JK, James DK and Kilby MD. Do screening-preventative interventions in

- asymptomatic pregnancies reduce the risk of preterm delivery-a critical appraisal of the literature. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2006; 127(2): 145-59.
- 50.Hiller JE, McDonald HM, Philip Darbyshire P and Crowther CA. Antenatal screening for group B streptococcus: a diagnostic cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2005; 5: 12.
- 51.Maghaddam MN. Recto-vaginal colonization of group B streptococcus in pregnant women referred to a hospital in Iran and its effect on Lactobacillus normal flora. J Biol Sci 2010; 10(2): 166-9.
- 52.Bohnsack FJ, Whiting A, Gottschalk M, Dunn MD, Weiss R, Azimi PH, Philips BJ, Weisman LE, Rhoads GG and Lin FY. Population structure of invasive and colonization strains of *Streptococcus agalactiae* from neonates of six U.S. Academic Centers from 1995 to 1999. J Clin Microbiol 2008; 46(4): 1285-91.
- 53.Ramaswamy SV, Ferrieri P, Flores AE and Paoletti LC. Molecular characterization of nontypeable Group B streptococcus. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(7): 2398-403
- 54.Rocchetti TT, Marconi C, Rall VL, Borges VT, Corrente JE and Da Silva MG. Group B streptococci colonization in pregnant women: risk factors and evaluation of the vaginal flora. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2011; 283(4): 717-21.
- 55.Zhou X, Brotman RM, Gajer P, Abdo Z, Schüette U, Ma S, Ravel J and Forney LJ. Recent advances in understanding the microbiology of the female reproductive tract and the causes of premature birth. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2010; Article ID: 737425.
- 56.Romero R, Chaiworapongsa T and Espinoza J.

 Micronutrients and intrauterine infection, preterm
 birth and the fetal inflammatory response syndrome.

 J Nutr 2003; 133(5 Suppl 2): 1668S–1673S.
- 57.Baltimore RS. Consequences of prophylaxis for group B streptococcal infections of the neonate. Semin Perinatol 2007; 31(1): 33-8.
- 58.Bergeron MG and Ke D. New DNA-based PCR approches forrapid real-time detection and prevention of group B streptococcal infections in newborns and pregnant women. Expert Rev Mol Med 2001; 3(27): 1-14.
- 59.Tsolia M, Psoma M, Gavrili S, Petrochilou V, Michalas S, Legakis N and Karpathios T. Group B streptococcus colonization of Greek pregnant women and neonates: prevalence, risk factors and serotypes.Clin Microbiol Infect 2003; 9(8): 832-8.
- 60.Berseng H, Bevanger L, Rygg M and Berg K. Real-time PCR targeting the sip gene for detection of group B streptococcus colonization in pregnant women at delivery. J Med Microbiol 2007;56(Pt 2): 223-8.
- 61.Rallu F, Barriga P, Scrivo C, Martel-Laferrière V and Laferrière C. Sensitivities of antigen detection and PCR assays greatly increased compared to that of the standard culture method for screening for group B streptococcus carriage in pregnant women. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(3): 725-8.

- 62.Martins ER, Pessanha MA, Ramirez M and Melo-Cristino J. Analysis of group B streptococcal isolates from infants and pregnant women in Portugal revealing two lineages with enhanced invasiveness. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45(10): 3224-9.
- 63.Mhaskar R, Sathyan S, Nadig S, Bhat S and Shamsundar R. Selective risk factor based screening of pregnant women for Group B streptococcal colonization in a teaching hospital in South India. J Obstet Gynecol India 2005; 55(4): 336-8.
- 64.Top KA, Buet A, Whittier S, Ratner AJ and Saiman L. Predictors of *Staphylococcus aureus* rectovaginal colonization in pregnant women and risk for maternal and neonatal infections. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 2012; 1(1): 7-15.
- 65.Bourgeois-Nicolaos N, Lucet JC, Daubié C, Benchaba F, Rajguru M, Ruimy R, Andremont A and Armand-Lefèvre L. Maternal vaginal colonization by Staphylococcus aureus and newborn acquisition at delivery. Pediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2010; 24(5): 488-91.
- 66.Grass B and Leone A. Severe complications in preterm infant with late onset *Staphylococcus aureus* sepsis. Clinic for Neonatology (GB, LA), University Hospital of Zurich, Switzerland. Swiss Society of Neonatology, 2013; September: 3-15.
- 67.Seybold U, Halvosa JS, White N, Voris V, Ray SM and Blumberg HM. Emergence of and risk factors for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* of community origin in intensive care nurseries. Pediatrics 2008; 122(5): 1039-46.
- 68.Jimenez-Truque N, Tedeschi S, Saye EJ, McKenna BD, Langdon W, Wright JP, Alsentzer A, Arnold S, Saville BR, Wang W, Thomsen I and Creech CB. Relationship between maternal and neonatal *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization. Pediatrics 2012; 129(5): e1252-9.
- 69.Lazenby GB, Soper DE, Beardsley W and Salgado CD.

 Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus
 colonization among women admitted for preterm
 delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012; 206(4):
 329.e1-5.
- 70.Li J, McCormick J, Bocking A and Reid G. Importance of vaginal microbes in reproductive health. Reprod Sci 2012; 19(3): 235-42.
- 71.Chen KT, Huard RC, Della-Latta P and Saiman L. Prevalence of methicillin-sensitive and methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in pregnant women. Obstet Gynecol 2006; 108(3 Pt 1):482-7.
- 72. Andrews WW, Schelonka R, Waites K, Stamm A, Cliver SP and Moser S. Genital tract methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus:* risk of vertical transmission in pregnant women. Obstet Gynecol 2008; 111(1): 113-8.
- 73.Hufnagel M, Liese C, Loescher C, Kunze M, Proempeler H, Berner R and Krueger M. Enterococcal colonization of infants in a neonatal intensive care unit: associated predictors, risk factors and seasonal patterns. BMC Infect Dis 2007; 7: 107.

- 74.Al-Abbas MJA. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Enterococcus faecalis* and a novel *Planomicrobium* isolate of bacterimia. Int J Med Med Sci 2012; 4(2): 19-27.
- 75. Akerele J, Abhulimen P and Okonofua F. Prevalence of asymptomatic genital infection among pregnant women in Benin City, Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health 2002; 6(3): 93-7.
- 76.Coombs GW, Pearson JC, Daley DA, Le T, Robinson OJ, Gottlieb T, Howden BP, Johnson PD, Bennett CM, Stinear TP and Turnidge JD. Molecular epidemiology of enterococcal bacteremia in Australia. J Clin Microbiol 2014; 52(3): 897-905.
- 77.Ghasemi E, Mansouri S and Shahabinejad N. Vaginal colonization and susceptibility to antibiotics of enterococci during late pregnancy in Kerman City, Iran. Arch Clin Infect Dis 2016; 11(4): e35428.
- 78.Gaca AO, Abranches J, Kajfasz JK and Lemos JA. Global transcriptional analysis of the stringent response in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Microbiology 2012; 158(Pt 8): 1994–2004.
- 79.Cheng VC, Tai JW, Chen JH, So SY, Ng WC, Hung IF, Leung SS, Wong SC, Chan TC, Chan FH, Ho PL and Yuen KY. Proactive infection control measures to prevent nosocomial transmission of vancomycinresistant enterococci in Hong Kong. J Formos Med Assoc. 2014;113(10):734-41.
- 80.Muratani T and Matsumoto T. Bacterial resistance to antimicrobials in urinary isolates. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2004; 24(Suppl 1): S28–31.
- 81.Seliga-Siwecka JP and Kornacka MK. Neonatal outcome of preterm infants born to mothers with abnormal genital tract colonisation and chorioamnionitis: a cohort study. Early Hum Dev 2013; 89(5): 271-5.
- 82.Subramaniam A, Abramovici A, Andrews WW and Tita TA. Antimicrobials for preterm birth prevention: an overview. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2012; Article ID: 157159.
- 83.Ozkinay E, Terek MC, Yayci M, Kaiser R, Grob P and Tuncay G. The effectiveness of live lactobacilli in combination with low dose oestriol (Gynoglor) to restore the vaginal flora after treatment of vaginal infections. BJOG 2005; 112(2): 234-40.
- 84.Donders CG, van Bulck B, Van de Walle P, Kaiser RR, Pohlig G, Gonser S and Graf F. Effect of lypholized lactobacilli and 0.03 mg estriol (Gynoflor®) on vaginitis and vaginosis with disrupted vaginal microflora. A multicentre, randomised, single-blind, active controlled pilot study. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2010; 70(4): 264-72.
- 85.Mumtaz S, Ahmad M, Aftab I, Akhar N, ul Hassan M and Hamid A. Aerobic vaginal pathogens and their sensitivity patterns. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2008; 20(1): 113-7.
- 86.Nahar D, Soni G, Chand AE and Mourya S. Bacterial etiology and their antibiogram in aerobic vaginitis patients at Tertiary Care Hospital, Kota, Rajasthan. Int J Scien Stud 2016; 4(3): 103-7.

Kaambo and Africa

- 87.Khan I and Khan UA. A hospital based study of frequency of aerobic pathogens in vaginal infections. RMJ 2004; 29(1): 22-5.
- 88.Tempera G, Bonfiglio G, Cammarata E, Corsello S and Cianci A. Microbiological/clinical characteristics and validation of topical therapy with kanamycin in aerobic vaginitis: a pilot study. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2004; 24(1): 85-8.
- 89.Tempera G, Abbadessa G, Bonfiglio C, Cammarata E, Cianci A, Corsello S, Raimondi A, Ettore G, Nicolosi D and Furneri PM. Topical kanamycin: an effective therapeutic option in aerobic vaginitis. J Chemother 2006; 18(4): 409-14.
- 90.Murphy R and Edwards L. Desquamative inflammatory

The Threat of Aerobic Vaginitis

- vaginitis: what is it? J Reprod Med 2008; 53(2): 124-8.
- 91.Fan A, Yue Y, Gang N, Zhang H, Wang Y and Xue F. Aerobic vaginitis and mixed infections: comparison of clinical and laboratory findings. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2013; 287(2): 329-35.
- 92.Ling C and Wang JY. The vaginal microflora of aerobic vaginitis and bacterial vaginosis. Chinese J Microbiol 2009; 21(2): 1107-9.
- 93.Razzak MSA, Al-Charrakh AH and Al-Greitty BH.

 Relationship between lactobacilli and opportunistic bacterial pathogens associated with vaginitis. North Am J Med Sci 2011; 3(4): 185-92.