ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association between genital tract infection and premature rupture of membranes: A retrospective case control study in Tunisia, North Africa

DOI: 10.29063/ajrh2021/v25i2.13

Slama D Darine^{1*}, Sakly N Nabil², Babba H Hamouda^{1,3,4}

Laboratory of Medical and Molecular Parasitology-Mycology, LR12ES08LP3M, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Monastir, Monastir, Tunisia¹; Laboratory of Immunology, University of Monastir, Hospital FattoumaBourguiba, TU-5000 Monastir, Tunisia²; Laboratory of Parasitology, teaching hospital F. Bourguiba, Monastir, Tunisia³; Biological Laboratory, Maternity and Neonatal Medicine Center, Monastir, Tunisia⁴

*For Correspondence: E-mail: slama.darine@laposte.net; Phone: +216 73 461 000

Abstract

Premature Rupture of Membranes is responsible for most cases of neonatal death. In most of these cases, the causes of PROM have not been established in Tunisia, although several risk factors have been described. Therefore, we set out to determine the presence of an association between genital infections and PROM among Tunisian women. A case-control study was conducted among 251 womens to detect the presence of association between genital tract infection and Premature Rupture of Membranes. Cases had a premature membranes rupture and the controls had intact membranes or suffering from premature membrane rupture during the latent phase of labour. Data were collected from the medical register including socio-demographic characteristics, obstetrics, and medical history. Association between genital infections and premature rupture of membranes was estimated using the Odds Ratio and 95% CI. One risk factor was identified, including age. There is no association between the presence of *Group B streptococcus* (OR= 1.08; 95% CI 0.50-2.34), presence of *Trichomonasvaginalis* (OR= 2.45; 95% CI 0.15-39.83) and presence of *Candidiasis* (OR= 1.11; 95% CI 0.58-2.14) and premature rupture of membranes. Co-infection was not associated with premature rupture of membranes (OR= 0.43; 95% CI 0.45-6.07). There is no association between genital infections and PROM among pregnant Tunisian women. (*Afr J Reprod Health 2021; 25[2]: 131-137*).

Keywords: Genital infections, premature rupture of membranes, risk factors, Monastir, Tunisia

Résumé

La rupture prématurée des membranes est responsable de la plupart des cas de décès néonatal. Enn effet, les causes de la RPM n'ont pas été établies en Tunisie, bien que plusieurs facteurs de risque aient été décrits. Par conséquent, nous avons cherché à déterminer la présence d'une association entre les infections génitales et la RPM chez les femmes tunisiennes. Une étude castémoins a été menée auprès de 251 femmes pour détecter la présence d'une association entre l'infection des voies génitales et la rupture prématurée des membranes. Les cas avaient une rupture prématurée des membranes et les témoins avaient des membranes intactes ou souffraient d'une rupture prématurée de la membrane pendant la phase latente du travail. Les données ont été recueillies à partir du registre médical, y compris les caractéristiques sociodémographiques, l'obstétrique et les antécédents médicaux. L'association entre les infections génitales et la rupture prématurée des membranes a été estimée à l'aide du OR et de l'IC à 95%. Un facteur de risque a été identifié, incluant l'âge. Il n'y a pas d'association entre la présence de *streptocoques du groupe B* (OR = 1,08; IC à 95% 0,50-2,34), la présence de *Trichomonasvaginalis* (OR = 2,45; IC à 95% 0,15-39,83) et la présence de candidose (OR = 1,11; 95% CI 0,58-2,14) et rupture prématurée des membranes. La co-infection n'était pas associée aussi à la rupture prématurée des membranes (OR = 0,43; IC à 95% 0,45 à 6,07). Il n'y a pas d'association entre les infections génitales et la RPM chez les femmes tunisiennes. (*Afr J Reprod Health 2021; 25[2]: 131-137*).

Mots-clés: Infections génitales, rupture prématurée des membranes, facteurs de risque, Monastir, Tunisie

Introduction

Premature rupture of membranes (PROM) is an event that occurs during pregnancy when the sac containing the developing fetus and the amniotic fluid bursts before the beginning of labour. Mostly, this event occurs at term, when labour usually begins¹. Sometimes the membranes burst before 37 weeks gestations^{2,3}. PROM increases the risk of prematurity and causes several other perinatal and

neonatal complications, including 20 à 40 % of premature birth and 10% of foetal death⁴. In general, the frequency of PROM varied between 2 and 10%⁵.

Many risk factors for PROM were identified including, oligohydramnios polyhydramnios, history of having a premature infant, multiparity, mother's hypertension, infant diabetes, placenta previa, anatomic abnormality of the uterus, history of the organic disorder (cardiac, thyroid), cervical cerclage, abnormality and blood group type A have a significant correlation with recurrence of premature labour⁶⁻⁸. Other factors were also implicated such as demographic elements (age of the mother). In fact, younger mothers of 17 and older than 35 years are at higher risk of PROM. Moreover, the low socioeconomic level was the result of poor nutrition as well as inadequate care during pregnancy⁹. Evenly, behavioral factors in the mother such as smoking are related to PROM. A study carried out in the US, have demonstrated that 10-20% of PROM were related to the mother being a smoker.

According to various studies, the roles of numerous risk factors such as lifestyle, and low weight gain during pregnancy have been recognized in preterm labour and intrauterine growth retardation. Other risk factors in previous studies include addiction to narcotics, use of alcohol, ambient poisons, prolonged standing, intensive work, activity, stress, lack of social support, illiteracy and poverty, first pregnancy, multiparity, hydramnios, abdominal surgery during pregnancy, abnormal uterus fever, disease during pregnancy, bleeding during pregnancy, history of pyelonephritis, history of having a low-weight infant, history of abortion, and hypertension^{10,11}.

Past obstetric history and genital infections like *Candidiasis*, *Trichomonas* (*T.*) *vaginalis*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, bacterial vaginosis, and *Group B streptococcus* (*SGB*) appear to play an important role in the etiology of PROM¹². A study of of 13, found an association between *T. vaginalis* and PROM. The parasite of *T. vaginalis* can hurt membranes. In 2011, Roberts *et al.* 14, proved a positive association of *candidiasis* with PROM and this by the reduction in the incidence of PROM across the treatment of *candidiasis*. Another study has demonstrated the fact that the *SGB* may be a cause of PROM by causing inflammatory responses at foetal membranes in experimental and epidemiological studies 15. In general, genital

infections produce inflammatory cells that are implicated in the burst of the foetal membranes among pregnant women causing PROM¹⁶.

Despite all efforts made in the prevention of PROM, no study has been carried out in Tunisia to prevent this phenomenon. Although, the risk of development of PROM is still increasing¹⁵. This study aimed to detect the presence of an association between PROM and genital infections.

Methods

Study design and participants

This case-control study was conducted at the Center of Maternity and Neonatology of Monastir (CMNNM), between July and September 2017 to assess the presence of an association between PROM and genital infections. The Center has several departments, including obstetrics and gynecology that offer specialized clinical care. For inclusion in this study, we selected participants presenting to the antenatal wards at or above 37 weeks (w) of gestation and were women with confirmed PROM. The diagnosis of PROM at speculum examination was made if the trickle of amniotic fluid was observed leaving the cervix, or a pool of amniotic fluid collected behind the cervix¹⁷. Control group are women with or without PROM in the latent phase of labour (> 37 w) or who consult for maternal complications and met the inclusion criteria for controls.

Data collection

Data collection sheet was used to collect sociodemographic characteristics such as age, marital status, origin, and biological characteristics like service, clinical information, pregnancy term, parity, and gestational age. Other exposure variables were results from laboratory investigations for detecting the presence of genital infections and associated infections. Incomplete patient files were excluded.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 20. To assess risk factors for PROM, we compared the presence of genital infections among the cases and controls using odds ratios and 95% CIs and their p values. p values less than 0.05 (p <0.05) were considered statistically significant.

Results

Among the 251 women analyzed in this study, 73 were suffering from PROM (29%). The average ages of mothers with PROM were between 25 and 30 years. Most of them were housewives (99.6%), lived in rural areas (81.7%). Most pregnant women had PROM in a term upper than 37 weeks (55.4%) while the rest had PROM with a term lower than 37 weeks (44.6%). In Table 1, no significant difference between the case and control groups in any of the investigated variables except the variable age. Also, there is a significant difference in the age (p < 0.05) as shown in Table 1.

Table 2, shows the absence of association between the presence of infection and having PROM (OR= 1.05, 95% CI 0.61-1.82). However, there is no association between *SGB* and PROM (OR= 1.08, 95% IC 0.50-2.34). Similarly, there is no association between *T. vaginalis* and PROM (OR= 2.45, 95% IC 0.15-39.83), or between *Candida sp* and PROM (OR= 1.11, 95% IC 0.58-2.14).

Discussion

This is one of the few studies to determine the presence of an eventual association between genital infections and PROM in pregnant women, and in our knowledge, the first do this in Monastir, Tunisia. We found that 29% of pregnant women had a PROM. This rate is higher among women of lower socioeconomic status. We can ascribe this to lower quality of antenatal care (ANC), which can influence women's health, reducing their number of visits to the doctor and consequently their laboratory tests.

Afulani et al¹⁸, found that high-quality ANC can reduce maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality and stillbirths through prevention, as well as early identification and management of pregnancy complications or preexisting conditions. Although, we increase attention to the domain of communication: often, women are not given enough information during ANC, hence, they do not understand the purpose of examinations and medicinesand are not able to ask clarifying questions. Most studies have considered the role of spiritual and mental factors as important in the growth and development of the fetus.

Nabavizadeh *et al*¹⁹, observed a significant correlation between the incidence of preterm labour

and the general health status of mothers which is consistent with the findings of Ghosh *et al.*²⁰, who reported that the fear of delivery and chronic stress increase the risk of preterm labour. In her study, Rondo pointed out the role of stress at the beginning of pregnancy, anxiety, and depression in the last months of pregnancy, and their effects on premature labour.¹⁵

Various mechanisms have been suggested for this correlation. A direct correlation between premature delivery and mothers' mental health status (level of stress, anxiety, depression) may be correlated with the release of catecholamines. As a result of the release of catecholamines and therefore reduction of placenta blood circulation, oxygen reduction and nutrients in the fetus lead to disorders in foetal growth and premature labour^{12,21}. Given stress increases corticotropin-releasing hormone, cortisol, and plasma levels, it may contribute to an increase in uterine contractions and consequently premature labour²². Unfortunately, we have no data about this factor to include it in our results.

The combination of PROM with pregnant women aged > 25 years could be explained by endogenous modifications of the fetus and its appendices, the rates of foetal aneuploidy being all the higher as the age of the mother increases²³. Mercer¹⁶, highlighted the role of multiparity, which in uterine distention can increase the risk of PROM by 7.4% in multiple pregnancies compared with 3.7% in single pregnancies. The findings of these studies are contrary to the results of studies performed by Shah²⁴ and Babinszki*et al*²⁵ as those studies did not recognize multiparity and grand parity as a cause of the increased risk of premature labour, but are consistent with the results of Guoet al²⁶ who also mentioned this point and stated that the number of pregnancies is a risk factor for prematurelabourand found that prevalence of premature labour in women is 6% of the first pregnancy, 4.3% with the second pregnancy, 4% with the third, and 5.7% with fourth pregnancies. Also, Reimeet al²⁷ concluded that the risk of premature labour is increased by second pregnancy in comparison to the first²⁷. Although the etiology in many cases is unknown and idiopathic. The findings of the present study show no association between parity and PROM. Also, no association was detected between the occurrence of PROM and the presence of Candida sp or SGB. Although this does not agree with several studies showing a

Table 1: Socio-demographic and biological characteristics of two groups (cases and control) at the Center of Maternity and Neonatology of Monastir during the period from July 1, 2017 to September 31, 2017

Factor	Case*	Controls*	P value*	D l \$	
	N:73	N: 178	r value"		
Age					
19-24	8 (11%)	28 (15.73%)	0.43*		
25-30	28 (38%)	67 (37.64%)	1		
31-36	21 (29%)	60 (33.7%)	0.67		
37-42	16 (22%)	17 (9.60%)	0.02		
43-48	0(0.0)	6 (3.37%)	0.18**		
Civil status					
Single	1 (1%)	0(0.0)	0.29		
Married	72 (99%)	178 (100%)	1		
Origin					
Rural	61 (83.6%)	144 (80.9%)	0.91		
Urban	12 (16.4%)	34 (19.1%)	0.72		
Parity					
P0	3 (4.1%)	8 (4.5%)	1		
P1	38 (52.1%)	103 (57.9%)	0.72		
P2	20 (27.4%)	31 (17.4%)	0.18		
P3	10 (13.7%)	22 (12.3%)	0.83		
P4	2 (2.7%)	13 (7.3%)	0.24		
P5	0(0.0)	1 (0.6%)	1		
Pregnancy term					
<37w*	56 (76.7%)	30 (16.9%)	7.44		
≥37w	17 (23.3%)	148 (83.1%)	3.1		
Service					
Risque	28 (38.3%)	54 (30.3%)	0.21		
Maternity	30 (41.1%)	82 (46%)	0.47		
Gynecology	8 (11%)	13 (7.3%)	0.34		
Post operative	1 (1.4%)	0(0.0)	0.29		
Reanimation anesthesia	0(0.0)	2 (1.1%)	1		
Externalgynecology	3 (4.1%)	18 (10.1%)	0.13		
Post partum	3 (4.1%)	9 (5%)	1		
Nature of sampling					
Vaginal swab	46 (63%)	85 (47.8%)	0.24		
Amnioticfluidswab	27 (37%)	17 (52.2%)	5.6		

Case*: women with PROM<or= 37 week

Control*: women with PROM > 37W or other abnormality during pregnancy follow-up

P*: calculated by software Epi info 7

P*: number of pregnancy w*: number of Week

significant association between the presence of these two germs and PROM, our results are consistent with those of Ekwo *et al*²⁸. In fact, this study found no association between genital infections and PROM.

Contradictory results have been reported between the association of SGB and PROM. Kessous *et al*²⁹ showed a relation between the occurrence of PROM and the presence of SGB, where the prevalence of this bacteria in women with PROM was 10.7%, whereas in controls it was 7.9%. This phenomenon may be due to the release of cytokines and other inflammatory modulators

caused by the presence of germ and bacterial vaginosis^{30,31}.

Previous studies reported that during the *Candida* infestation, inflammatory cytokines are released, causing membrane rupture³². But, studies of Nakubulwa*et al*¹⁶ and Karat *et al*³⁰ demonstrated that patients with PROM were less likely to have candidiasis compared to those without PROM. These latter findings suggested the possibility that the liquor amnii in patients with PROM washed out the yeast cells leading to non-detection. Other studies have not found *Candida* as a risk factor for PROM³².

^{*:} comparison of the different modalities of each variable between the case and control groups

^{**:} calculated by Fisher's Exact Test

Table 2: Relationship between genital infections and PROM in case and control

Variable	Cases N= 73	Controls N= 178	P value	OR	(95%)IC
Presence of	f				
infections					
Yes	33	78	0.84	1.06	(0.59-1.90)
No	40	100		0.95	(0.53-1.70)
Isolated Germs					
Escherichia coli	1	6	0.67	0.40	(0.02-3.42)
Candida sp	17	38	0.73	1.12	(0.55-2.24)
Proteus mirabilis	0	1	1	-	-
Staphylococcus aureus	2	0	0.08	-	-
Streptocoque B	11	25	0.83	1.09	(0.47-2.48)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	0	4	0.32	-	-
Enterococcus	0	1	1	-	(0.00-42.69)
Trichomonas vaginalis	1	1	0.49	2.44	(0.03-193.73)
Serratia mariansis	1	1	0.49	2.44	(0.03-193.73)
Acinetobacter	0	1	1	-	-
Associated					
Infections					
No	69	172	0.48	0.60	(0.13-2.99)
Yes	4	6		1.65	(0.33-7.24)
Avortements					
Yes	20	39	0.35	1.34	(0.69-2.63)
No	53	139		0.74	(0.38-1.46)

In our study, no association was found between the presence of *Candida* and PROM. However, the association between *Candidosis* and PROM is still poorly exploited. According to the literature, the combination of *Candida* as a risk factor is not yet conclusive and needs to be further explored. Further studies recommend considering a urine culture with more than 10⁵ CFU/ml as indicative of the presence of urinary tract in preterm labour women³³.

study showed no significant Our association between PROM and T. vaginalis. However, Draper et al¹³ has shown the existence of inflammatory proteases involved in the PROM induced by the parasite T. vaginalis. On the other hand, a prospective study in Kashan (Iran) on 450 pregnant women showed the association between T. vaginalis and PROM. Also, we can attribute this result to the fact that not all PROM cases have benefited from bacteriological examination in search of this parasite. However, in our study, we could not show with evidence that T. vaginalis is a risk factor for PROM. In this study, some important variables such as consanguineous marriage, eclampsia, pregnancy hypertension, diabetes, thyroid, cardiac disease of mothers were missed in the questionnaire design. These are the limitations of this study, so we suggest that other researchers

should attend to these in future studies of factors related to PROM.

Ethics statements

The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki Principles and all Tunisian pertinent regulations. The samples were obtained for routine diagnostic purposes from pregnant women who were managed by the Centre of Maternity and Neonatology of Monastir at the request of the gynecologist. We confirmed that informed consent was obtained for all subjects. During consulting, the gynecologist informs pregnant women of the importance of biological analysis. Given the seriousness of the situation, the pregnant women are convinced of the importance of this analysis of their health as well as that of the baby. After acceptance, the gynecologist prescribes a request for analysis containing the different information (age of the patient, age of the pregnancy, date of seroconversion, origin).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Dr. Raja Faleh, head of the gynecology department at the

Center of Maternity and Neonatology of Monastir for providing access to medical file patients. No financial support for the study has been received.

Authors' contributions

This study was conceived and designed by D.S., N.S., and H.B. All execution and analysis were performed by D.S., and N.S. The manuscript was written by D.S., N.S., and H.B. The manuscript was revised by N.S., and H.B.

References

- Tanya MM and Ashley HM. Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes: Diagnosis and Management Am Fam Physician 73, 659-664 (2006).
- Baena AMG. Azithromycin for genital mycoplasma intraamniotic infection in pregnant women with preterm premature rupture of membranes multicentric, randomized, controlled, open label, clinical trial *Thesis Universitat de Girona* (2020).
- Qasim IK and Salman IA. Prevalence and Determinants of unfavorable Maternal and Neonatal Outcome in Term Premature Rupture of Membrane *Indian* J Med Forensic Med Toxicol. 14, 1530-1531 (2020).
- Herbretou C. Rupture prématurée des membranes avant 34 S: Etude de la flore vaginale chez les patientes hospitalisées en grossesses à haut risque: Nantes; 2010.
- Ehsanipoor RM, Chung JH, Clock CA, McNulty JA and Wing DA. A retrospective review of ampicillinsulbactam and amoxicillin + clavulanate vs cefazolin/cephalexin and erythromycin in the setting of preterm premature rupture of membranes: maternal and neonatal outcomes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008;198:e54-6.
- Cauchy AB, Robinson JN and Norwitz ER. Contemporary diagnosis and management of preterm premature rupture of membranes. Rev Obstet Gynecol 2008;1:11.
- O'Shea TM, Allred EN, Dammann O, Hirtz D, Kuban KC, Paneth N and Leviton A. The ELGAN study of the brain and related disorders in extremely low gestational age newborns. Early Hum Dev 2009;85:719-25.
- 8. Covarrubias LO, Aguirre GE, Chapuz JR, May Al, Velàzquez JD and Eguiluz ME. Maternal factors associated to prematurity Ginecol Obstet Mex. 2008;76:526-36.
- Zain BT. Risk factor for premature delivery among women in Hospital Tuanku Jaafar, Seremban. Gynecol obstet Mex 2006;80:610-2.
- Stewart PJ and Nimrod C. The need for a communitywide approach to promote healthy babies and prevent low birth weight. Can Med Assoc J. 1993;149:281-5.
- Diallo FB, Diallo MS, Sylla M, Diaw ST, Diallo TS, Dialo Y and Diakite S. Premature delivery: epidemiology, etiologic factors, prevention strategies Dakar Med 1998;43:70-3.

- French JI, McGregor JA, Draper D, Parker R and McFee J. Gestational bleedingg bacterial vaginosis, and common reproductive tract infections: risk for preterm birth and benefit of treatment. Obstet Gynecol. 1999;93:715-24.
- Draper D, Jones W, Heine RP, Beutz M, French J and McGregor JA. *Trichomonas vaginalis* weaknens Human Amniochorion in an In vitro Model of Premature Membrane Rupture. Infec Dis Obstet Gynecol 1995;2:267-74.
- Roberts CL, Richard K, Kotsiou G and Morris JM.
 Treatment of asymptomatic vaginal candidiasis in pregnancy to prevent preterm birth: an open label pilot randomized controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Chilbirth 2011;11:18.
- Peltier MR, Drobek CO, Bhat G, Saade G, Fortunato SJ and Menon R. Amniotic fluid and maternal race influence responsiveness of fetal membranes to bacteria. J Repro Immunol 2012;96:68-78.
- Mercer BM. Preterm premature rupture of the membranes: current approaches to evaluation and management. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2005;32:411-28.
- Nakubulwa S, Kaye DK, Bwanga F, Tumwesigye NM and Mirembe FM. Genital infections and risk of premature rupture of membranes in Mulago Hospital, Uganda: a case control study. BMC Res Notes 2016;8:573.
- 18. Afulani PA, Buback L, Essandoh F, Kinyua J, Kirumbi L and Cohen CR. Quality of antenatal care and associated factors in a rural county in Kenya: an assessment of service provision and experience dimensions. BMC Health Serv Res 2019;19:684.
- Nakubulwa S, Kaye DK, Bwanga F, Tumwesigye NM and Mirembe FM. Genital infections and risk of premature rupture of membranes in Mulago Hospital, Uganda: a case control study. BMC Res Notes 2015;8:573.
- Ghosh JK, Wilhelm MH, Dunkel-Schetter C, Lombardi CA and Ritz BR. Paternal support and preterm birth, and the moderation of effects of chronic stress: a study in Los Angeles county mothers. Arch Womens Ment Health. 2010;13:327-38.
- 21. Graignic-Philippe R and Tordjman S. Effects of stress during pregnancy on infant and child development. *Arch Pediatr*. 2009;16:1355-63.
- Chou IC, Lien HC, Lin HC, Fu JJC, Kao CH, Tsai CH, Lin PH and Tsai FJ. The relationship of salivary and cord blood cortisol in preterm infants. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab2011;24:85-8.
- Forabosco A, Percesepe A and Santucci S. Incidence of non-age-dependent chromosomal abnormalities: a population-based study on 88965 amniocenteses. Eur J Hum Genet 2009;17:897-903.
- Shah PS. Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of LBW/PT births. Parity and low birth weight and preterm birth: a systematic review and metaanalyses. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2010;89:862-75.
- Babinski A, Kerenyi T, Torok O, Grazi V, Lapinski RH and Berkowitz RL. Perinatal outcome in grand and great-grand multiparity: effects of parity on obstetric risk factors. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999;181:669-74.

- 26. Guo LJ, Ye RW, Wang GX, Wang J, Li ZW and Ren AG. Birth weight distribution among premature infants and related social factors Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2009;30:1243-7.
- Reime B, Schuecking BA and Wenzlaff P. Perinatal outcomes of teenage pregnancies according to gravidity and obstetric history. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2004;14:619.
- Ekwo EE, Gosselink CA, Woolson R and Moawad A. Risks for premature rupture of amniotic membranes. Int J Epidemiol. 1993;22:495-503.
- Kessous R, Weintraub AY, Sergienko R, Lazer T, Press F Wiznitzer A and Sheiner E. Bacteruria with group-B streptococcus: is it a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2012;25:1983-6.
- Karat C, Madhivanan P, Krupp K, Poornima S, Jayanthi NV, Suguna JS, and Mathei E. The clinical and microbiological correlates of premature rupture of membranes. Indian J Med Microbiol 2006;24:283-5.
- Heather RC and Sarah LG. Host responses to Candida albicans: Th17 cells and mucosal candidiasis. Microbes Infect 2010;12:518-27.
- Newton ER. Preterm labor, preterm premature rupture of membranes, and chorioamnionitis. Clin Perinatol 2005;32:571-600.
- 33. Jain V, Das V, Agarwal A and Pandey A. Asymptomatic bacteriuria & obstetric outcome following treatment in early versus late pregnancy in north Indian women. Indian J Med Res. 2013;137:753-8.