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The relationship of Charlson comorbidity index and postoperative complications in elderly patients after partial or radical nephrectomy
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Abstract

Objectives: To compare preoperative Charlson comorbidity Index (CCI) and postoperative complications after oncologic kidney surgery in patients aged 70 or older. To compare CCI and need for interdisciplinary evaluation, consults to the emergency department and need of readmission are secondary objectives.

Patients and methods: This is a retrospective cohort study. Patients aged 70 or older who underwent partial or radical nephrectomy were collected from an institutional database. Period: February 2012–June 2014.

Association between CCI and complications was estimated using Chi².

Results: Final population: 143 (male: 65%). Age median was 75. Minor postoperative complications were 33.88% (n = 41) for patients CCI ≤ 4 versus 9.09% (n = 2) for patients CCI > 4 (p > 0.05), and major postoperative complications were 9.91% (n = 12) versus 45.45% (n = 10), respectively (p < 0.01). Interdisciplinary evaluation was required for 30.6% (n = 37) of patients CCI ≤ 4 versus 59% (n = 13) of patients CCI > 4 (p < 0.01). Readmission was needed for 9.09% (n = 11) and 40.1% (n = 11) respectively (p < 0.01).

Conclusions: Patients with high comorbidity presented more major postoperative complications. These patients also required more interdisciplinary evaluation after surgery. A higher CCI was not associated with further consultations to the emergency department or readmission.

© 2018 Pan African Urological Surgeons Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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Introduction

The incidence of kidney cancer is growing worldwide [1,2]. It accounts for 2% of all cancers diagnosed yearly, and it comprises one of the most lethal cancers in urology, with a 35% 5-year cancer specific mortality [1].

Partial or radical nephrectomy (either laparoscopic or open) are the standard of care for clinically localized kidney cancer. Even though these procedures have a relatively low risk for complications, that risk increases if the patient is older, or has a larger comorbidity burden [3–5].

There are numerous validated scores and models to analyze objectively the patient’s comorbidities. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) is a robust and strongly validated tool for stratifying subjects according to their comorbidities [6,7].

The CCI was originally developed to serve as a simple, readily applicable and valid method of estimating risk of death from comorbid disease, for use in longitudinal studies [6]. It is also useful as a predictive tool for postoperative complications in several surgical scenarios [8–10].

There are numerous articles associating CCI with complications after other oncologic or non-oncologic procedures [11]. Nevertheless, the association between CCI and complications after surgery for renal cancer has not yet been established.

Our primary objectives were to compare preoperative CCI and presence of postoperative complications after partial or radical nephrectomy in patients over 70 years old.

Secondary objectives were to compare CCI and the need for interdisciplinary evaluation, consults to the emergency department and/or need of readmission.

Patients and methods

Study design

This is a retrospective cohort study.

Patient population

The study included patients aged 70 years or older who underwent partial or radical nephrectomy at our institution between February 2012 and June 2014 as initial treatment for kidney tumors considered to be malignant. All patients were identified using the institutional, prospectively collected database.

Patients were excluded if they underwent additional surgical procedures simultaneously or had less than 3 months of follow-up.

Definition of variables

Age; sex; type of nephrectomy (partial/radical); and technique (open/laparoscopic) were obtained from the database. Comorbid conditions prior to surgery were queried retrospectively using preoperative clinical records. Complications; consult to the emergency department; readmissions; and need for interdisciplinary evaluation were assessed retrospectively from electronic clinical records.

The CCI was assessed using the definition by Charlson et al. [12], where different grades are assigned for specific conditions and the grades are added to find the index for a specific patient (Table 1).

Postoperative evolution was evaluated studying postoperative complications, need for interdisciplinary evaluation, readmission, or consult to the emergency department.

Postoperative complications were assessed using the Dindo-Clavien (DC) classification. All complications that occurred within the first 3 months after the intervention were included.

Interdisciplinary evaluation was defined as the need for a clinical evaluation by other specialties (i.e: internal medicine, nephrology, cardiology) due to postoperative events. Cases which had stipulated evaluation by another specialty previous to the intervention were not considered.

Patients categorized as ‘need for readmission’ or ‘need for consult at the emergency department’ were included if the event occurred within the first 3 months after the intervention.

Outcome definition

Our primary outcome was presence of postoperative complications.

Secondary outcomes were need for interdisciplinary evaluation; need for readmission, and need for consult at the emergency department.

Statistical analysis

Patients were divided into two groups according to their CCI. Patients were categorized as ‘low comorbidity’ if they had a CCI of

| Table 1 | Grading system of the CCI. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Chronic disease | Grade | Chronic disease | Grade | Chronic disease |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 1 | Myocardial infarction | 1 | Skin ulcers/cellulitis |
| Congestive heart failure | 1 | Peripheral Vascular disease | 1 | Takes warfarin |
| COPD/asthma | 1 | Rheumatic disease | 1 | Leukemia |
| Depression | 1 | Ulcer disease | 1 | Lymphoma |
| Diabetes without end organ | 1 | Moderate/severe renal disease | 2 | Moderate/severe liver disease |
| Hypertension | 1 | Diabetes with end organ damage | 2 | Metastatic solid tumor |
| Mild liver disease | 1 | Any tumor | 2 | HIV/AIDS |
4 or less, and as ‘high comorbidity’ if they had a CCI of more than 4. The CCI was estimated prospectively during the preoperatory evaluation.

It is important to state that as the CCI gives a score of 2 to patients having any kind of localized non-skin malignancy, and that all the patients in the study underwent the procedure due to malignant renal masses, there were no patients with a CCI lower than 2.

Association between CCI and presence of postoperative complications; need for readmission; need for interdisciplinary evaluation; and need for consult to the emergency department was estimated by Chi square test. A $p$ value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The study population was 170 patients. A total of 16 patients underwent simultaneous procedures along the nephrectomy, thus they were excluded. Those procedures included: Renal vein thrombectomy ($n = 4$), ureterectomy ($n = 2$), cholecystectomy ($n = 2$), gastrectomy ($n = 2$), urethrectomy ($n = 1$), aortic aneurism surgery ($n = 1$), colectomy ($n = 1$), spleno-pancreatectomy ($n = 1$), resection of metastases in the fibula ($n = 1$), bank surgery and autotransplant ($n = 1$). Ten patients were also excluded due to loss of follow up.

One additional patient was excluded because the nephrectomy could not be completed due to the local extent of the tumor. A total of 143 patients were finally included in the study (Fig. 1).

Baseline patient characteristics are shown on Table 2. Postoperative complications were found in 42.1% ($n = 53$) of patients in the ‘low comorbidity’ group, and in 63% ($n = 14$) of the patients in the ‘high comorbidity’ group ($p < 0.05$).

For those patients who presented complications, it was found that 33.88% ($n = 41$) in the ‘low comorbidity’ group versus 9.09% ($n = 2$) in the ‘high comorbidity’ group ($p < 0.05$) had complications grade DC I–II, and that 9.91% ($n = 12$) versus 45.45% ($n = 10$), respectively ($p < 0.01$; 95% CI 2.17–9.00) presented complications DC IIIa or more.

Interdisciplinary evaluation was required for 30.6% ($n = 37$) of patients in the ‘low comorbidity’ group versus 59% ($n = 13$) in the ‘high comorbidity’ group ($p = .01$; 95% CI 1.19–2.91). Readmission was needed for 9.09% ($n = 11$) in the ‘low comorbidity’ group versus 40.1% ($n = 11$) in the ‘high comorbidity’ group ($p < 0.01$; 95% CI 2.00–9.27).

Consults to the emergency department were registered for 23.77% ($n = 29$) patients in the ‘low comorbidity’ versus 36.36% ($n = 6$) in the ‘high comorbidity’ group ($p > 0.05$). These results are summarized on Table 3.

Discussion

The CCI is a robust and well validated score used to categorize patients according to their comorbidities. Even though there are many studies that establish a relation between its score and higher postoperative complications in different surgical scenarios [8–10], its association with outcomes in surgery for kidney tumours has not yet been established.

The index used in the study was conceived as a tool that would help quantify the serious illnesses of inpatients. Nonetheless, this score has an inherent limitation, the fact that it does not include many conditions that are common in elderly patients (i.e.: coronary artery disease without myocardial infarction; smoking status; history of transient ischemic attacks). Further studies should evaluate the use
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Table 2  Baseline patient characteristics. Small renal mass (SMR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low CCI</th>
<th>High CCI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age median</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Open</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Laparoscopic</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Radical</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Partial</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$p &gt; 0.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with SMR</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>$p = .5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 1  Flow of patients in the study.
of a complementary score that contemplates other conditions. Patel et al. [13] showed that cardiovascular risk has a significant impact on overall survival in elderly patients diagnosed with small renal masses (SRM). Future attempts to evaluate risk of intervention in elderly patients could include the application of the Framingham Heart Study (FHS) to help stratify said risk.

It has been well established that laparoscopic approach offers less probabilities of complications than open partial or radical nephrectomy [14]. Also, that even though partial nephrectomy has greater chances of postoperative complications, it leads to better outcomes in renal function and thus improved quality of life [14,15] in the long term. Nevertheless, there are few articles in the literature that associate a single patient-related variable to postoperative outcomes. A recent article by Isharwal et al.[16] compared patients comorbidities to functional recovery after partial or radical nephrectomy. On this study the authors used a multivariable linear regression to find positive associations of single patient comorbidities (such as obesity, diabetes mellitus, hypertension) to recovery of renal function after surgery, which were not found. The authors of this study did not use a validated index that has been proved to be a predictor of adverse events, and they did not assess any other plausible complications after surgery. The present article is novel in that matter, as it positively associates a widely validated index (the CCI) to a wide range of postoperative complications, readmission, and need for interdisciplinary evaluation.

This study shows some limitations, such as the inability to discriminate between the single impact of each variable of the CCI. Froehner et al. [17] showed that for patients who underwent radical prostatectomy, congestive heart failure was the single most important contributing condition for prediction of survival after this procedure.

The fact that the study was carried out retrospectively in a single center, should also be considered a limitation. Nevertheless, the results that were achieved in the study, and the low budget needed to carry it out may serve as a kickstarter to create a new protocol to prospectively include patients, expand the age inclusion criteria, and perhaps add other scores to measure the implication of other comorbidity burdens using other validated scores (i.e: stratifying cardiovascular risk via FHS [13] in outcomes for renal oncologic surgery).

Management of small renal masses in elderly patients is a relevant and controversial issue [18–20]. The focus of the controversy is set on whether or not these patients benefit from an intervention, and how to properly select the patients that do. In this study we demonstrated that patients with a higher CCI are at higher risk of suffering a complication from said intervention. Other studies revealed that the CCI is a useful prognostic indicator of mortality in patients with SRM [21,22]. These studies revealed that patients with a CCI >4 (taking into account the 2 points given by the presence of a solid localized cancer) are at higher risk of dying from other non oncologic causes. We found that using the tumour size as an independent factor, patients with a CCI >4 are at higher risk of suffering major complications from an intervention on their renal tumour.

We do not believe that this fact should disencourage an intervention on a patient that could benefit from it (i.e: a patient with a larger tumour), but we propose that the CCI could play an important role in the decision making, and that it is an important tool that could help the patient and the professional understand his/her risks before making the decision.

### Conclusion

Patients with a CCI higher than 4 (high comorbidity) presented a significantly higher postoperative complications rate and readmissions. These patients also required significantly more interdisciplinary evaluation after surgery. A higher CCI was not associated with further emergency consults.
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**Table 3** Postoperative outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low CCI</th>
<th>High CCI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total complications*</td>
<td>54 (42.1%)</td>
<td>14 (63%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41 (33.88%)</td>
<td>12 (9.91%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for interdisciplinary evaluation</td>
<td>37 (30.6%)</td>
<td>13 (59%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for readmission</td>
<td>11 (9.09%)</td>
<td>11 (40.1%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult to the emergency department</td>
<td>29 (23.77%)</td>
<td>8 (36.36%)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Final population was n = 143.
Comorbidities
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