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Ureterocystoplasty using the lower pole ureter of a duplex kidney with preservation of ipsilateral renal function
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Abstract
We describe the case of a 9 year old boy with neurogenic bladder dysfunction who co-incidentally had a unilateral duplex kidney with Grade 5 vesico-ureteric reflux into a poorly functioning lower moiety allowing heminephrectomy and ureterocystoplasty with preservation of ipsilateral renal function.


Introduction

The use of ureter for bladder augmentation was first described by Mitchell in 1992 [1]. Ureter is the tissue of choice for augmentation because of its urothelial lining. Unfortunately, a ureter of suitable calibre is rarely available to the urological surgeon. Ureterocystoplasty avoids the need to use an intestinal segment with its recognised complications of mucus formation, calculi, metabolic acidosis, abnormalities of calcium metabolism and potentially malignancy. Additionally, while surgical techniques are described to produce an urothelial-lined reservoir, such as auto-augmentation or the use of a de-mucousalised intestinal segment, their place remains unproven [2].

Case report

We present the case of a 9 year old boy born with closed spinal dysraphism. An MRI at 6 months of age revealed a tethered cord at the level of S1/2. He underwent uncomplicated surgical “untethering” at 1 year. Initial urological review revealed a child who had normal lower limb neurology, but had recurrent UTIs, normal renal function and a normal ultrasound. Cystogram showed no vesico-ureteric reflux and an appropriate capacity bladder.

He was lost to follow-up until 2009 (aged 7 years) when he presented with urinary incontinence and UTIs. Workup now revealed bilateral hydrenephrosis. A left duplex kidney was now demonstrated with lower moiety hydroureteronephrosis, AP pelvis 25 mm (see Fig. 1). Cystogram showed a trabeculated bladder with Grade 5 reflux into the left lower moiety (see Fig. 2). A Mag 3 renogram showed no uptake in the left lower moiety and bilateral cortical defects (see Fig. 3). Urodynamic study revealed a poorly compliant bladder with a reduced capacity (60% of expected for age) and a detrusor Leak point pressure of 41 cm H2O.
He was commenced on intermittent self-catheterisation (CIC), anticholinergics and was scheduled for neurosurgical review which revealed re-tethering of his spinal cord on MRI and is under evaluation for re-do surgery. There was some improvement in his bilateral hydroureteral destruction with CIC. However, he remained incontinent with infected urine despite antibiotic prophylaxis.

We thus elected to perform an open extraperitoneal left lower pole heminephrectomy via a flank incision. The massive lower moiety ureter was carefully dissected off the normal calibre upper moiety ureter. Thereafter a lower midline extra-peritoneal incision was used. The hydronephrosis was further dissected distally revealing a complete left duplex system. The bladder was opened from the dome coronally down towards and incising into the patulous laterally placed lower moiety orifice (see Fig. 4). A ureterocystoplasty was performed. Some of the proximal ureter was discarded because of concerns about viability.

Post-operative recovery was uneventful. Sonar showed resolution of hydroureteral destruction and a cystogram showed an enlargement (from 150 ml pre-op to 300 ml post-op) bladder. He has had no UTIs and is socially continent (he is now diaper free and has transitioned to wearing underpants) at 6 months follow-up. He still uses clean intermittent self-catheterisation and anticholinergic medication.

**Discussion**

Most published cases of a duplicated collecting system that underwent ureterocystoplasty had bladder dysfunction secondary to either a ureterocele causing bladder outlet obstruction where a dilated upper moiety ureter can be used or associated with massive reflux into a lower moiety [2]. Our case is thus unusual in that the child had a neuropathic bladder incidentally associated with a duplicated collecting system with massive reflux into a poorly functioning lower moiety ureter. Chaim et al. have, however, described a similar clinical picture of a child with a duplex kidney associated with a neuropathic bladder who underwent ureterocystoplasty [5].
One concern about ureterocystoplasty is that it may not provide the same increase in bladder volume as can be expected from entero-
cystoplasty. This issue is addressed by Zubeita et al. who reviewed
a large series of 32 ureterocystoplasty cases. They demonstrated a
median increase in bladder capacity of 375% with 92% of patients
having clinical improvement [3]. Likewise, Dewan et al. demon-
strated that five of their patients had bladder volume increases in
excess of four-fold [2]. Others have reported less encouraging results
with Husmann et al. cautioning against using the ureter if it is less
than 1.5 cm in diameter [4].

Ureterocystoplasty is thus ideal for patients with appropriately
enlarged ureters, usually due to reflux, who require bladder augmen-
tation. The procedure has the advantage that it can be performed
via an extraperitoneal approach as in our case which also avoids
interference with the ventriculo-peritoneal shunt.
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