Introduction

Over the last few decades, there was a drastic decline in the prevalence of dental caries world-wide which has been accompanied by a remarkable increase in the incidence of non-carious lesions such as dental erosion. Dental erosion is defined as an irreversible loss of dental hard tissue by a chemical process without the involvement of microorganisms and is due to either extrinsic or intrinsic sources. Intrinsic causes like recurrent vomiting, which is a part of eating disorders like anorexia or bulimia nervosa result in erosion of teeth. Extrinsic causes include acidic substances, beverages, medication and environmental exposure to acidic agents. With the change in the dietary patterns, prevalence of dental erosion seems to have increased presumably due to an increase in the consumption of soft drinks and fruit juices. According to American dietetic association, it is estimated that 40% of preschool children consume 250 ml of carbonated beverage/ day. The erosive effects of fruit juices have been recognized way back in 1892 by Darby. Frequent consumption of these easily and widely available carbonated beverages and fruit juices showed erosion of the enamel both in vitro and in vivo.

Dental erosion is one of the reason for restoring teeth. The replacement of lost tooth structure is usually desired to restore esthetics and function for which various esthetic restorative materials are used. Restorations with smooth surfaces will result in better esthetics, minimal accumulation of dental plaque, reduced marginal deterioration and better longevity, thus emphasizing the importance of surface roughness property of the restorative material.
Among different tooth colored restorative materials, glass ionomers are widely used after being introduced to the dental profession in 1972 by Wilson and Kent. In spite of many advantages like chemical bonding and fluoride-releasing property their moisture sensitivity, low mechanical strength and poor wear resistance made them less durable. To overcome these shortcomings resin modified glass ionomer cements and compomers have been introduced for clinical use which are polyacid-modified resin composites, commonly known as “compomers.” This group of esthetic materials was introduced in the early 1990’s, claims to have both mechanical and esthetic properties of composites with the added advantage of fluoride-releasing property of conventional glass ionomer cements. Giomer, a true hybrid of glass ionomer and resin composite having properties like fluoride-release and recharge of glass ionomer cements plus excellent esthetic properties, good surface finish and strength characteristics similar to resin composites. [9]

However, in the complex oral environment it can be assumed that both teeth as well as the restorative materials are subjected to low pH values, leading to degradation of their surface integrity. Thus, the aim of this study is to evaluate changes in surface roughness of tooth colored filling materials (compomer and giomer) after immersion in various acidic drinks that represent popular diets and have the potential to cause dental erosion in the oral cavity. This tests the hypothesis that “surface roughness does not change after immersion in acidic food and drinks.”

Materials and Methods

Prior to the study initiation, institutional ethical clearance from Sibar Institute of Dental Sciences, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh has been obtained regarding the study design. A total of 36 specimens each were prepared using two testing materials-Group I compomer (Dyract extra Dentsply, York, PA, USA) and Group II giomer (Beautifil-II, Shofu, San Marcos, CA, USA). Each material was syringed into a brass mold with an inner diameter of 6 mm and a thickness of 2 mm. The mold, with specimen material, was held between two glass slides and covered with a transparent polyester strip. The glass slide was held firmly during setting to avoid the presence of air bubbles and to obtain a smooth surface. The materials were polymerized for 30 s using a quartz tungsten-halogen light (Astralis 3, Ivoclar Vivadent Inc., Liechtenstein) with an output of 600 mW/cm² on each surface of the specimen (top and bottom) as per the manufacturer’s recommendation [Figure 1]. Specimens were finished and polished with Sof-Lex disks (3M, St. Paul, MN, USA) with a light orange aluminum grit (30-μm slurry; 3M ESPE Dental Products 2385P) while keeping the material surface wet.

The specimens in both groups were subdivided into 12 specimens each according to the immersion media used (Media A [cola]; Media B [orange fruit juice]; Media C [water as control]). Six specimens in each group were discarded due to wide variation in pre exposed Ra values and the remaining 30 specimens in each group were further subdivided into 10 samples each according to the testing media used [Figure 2].

Base line surface roughness (Ra) values were recorded for all the specimens using a digital profilometer (Mitutoyo Surf Test 202 Analyzer; Mitutoyo Corp, Japan). To measure the roughness profile value, the diamond stylus was moved across the surface under a constant load of 3.9 mm. The instrument was calibrated using a standard reference specimen and then set to travel at a speed of 0.1 mm/s with the range of 600 μm during testing. This procedure was repeated 6 times for each specimen and the average value was considered to be the Ra value.[1,10] The baseline Ra values for Group I specimens ranged from 0.11 to 0.13 μ and for Group II specimens the range was 0.21-0.22 μ [Figure 3].

The immersion regime was followed according to the beverage immersion period protocol adopted by Von Fraunhofer JA and Rogers for dissolution of enamel in beverage solutions.[11] After recording the baseline Ra values, the specimens of both materials were placed separately in 25 ml of testing media (cola, orange fruit juice and water). The total immersion regime is for 7 days with each immersion cycle lasting for 24 h. After every 24 h surface roughness readings were taken.
for all the samples and were exposed to fresh solution for the next 24 h.

All the readings were recorded by a single observer. To avoid inter examiner variability every fifth sample reading was recorded by a second examiner who was unaware of prior readings. The inter examiner variability error was insignificant (correlation coefficient of 0.98). The data thus obtained was tabulated and subjected to Wilcoxon’s signed rank test, one-way ANOVA followed by Mann Whitney test at $P < 0.05$ level of significance.

## Results

Significantly higher mean Ra values were recorded with both experimental materials. In Group I samples the values noticed were 0.67 for Media A 0.52 for Media B ($P < 0.01$) and 0.13 for Media C respectively. In case of Group II specimens the values were 0.53, 0.48 ($P < 0.01$) and 0.22. However, no statistical difference was found in both materials with Media C (water).

Statistically significant Ra values were noticed in specimens of both Groups (I and II) when they are immersed in Media A (0.67, 0.53) when compared with Media B (0.52, 0.48) and Media C (0.13, 0.22).

Out of the two tested materials high surface roughness values were recorded in the Group I samples (0.54, 0.4) when compared with Group II samples (0.3, 0.26) in both tested media [Table 1].

## Discussion

Most people view soft drink/fruit juice consumption as fairly innocuous and its consumption is not as harmless as generally believed; however, there are a number of serious health issues associated with regular consumption of soft drinks. The inherent acids and sugars have both acidogenic and cariogenic potential resulting in dental caries and enamel erosion.[12]

There is a leap up in the prevalence and severity of dental erosion in the last few decades due to changes in the food habits which led to the intake of high calorie and low pH foods/beverages. Most of the carbonated beverages and fruit juices have a pH below 3.5 and scientific studies had shown that enamel dissolution occurs below pH 4 leading to irreversible/irreparable damage.[1]

The overriding goal of dentistry is to improve the quality of life of an individual, which can be accomplished by preventing the disease, relieving pain, improving mastication, enhancing speech and improving appearance. As many of these objectives requires the replacement/alteration of damaged tooth structure, the main challenge for dentists and material scientists have been the development and selection of a biocompatible, long lasting, direct filling esthetic restorative material which serves both preventive and restorative purposes.

One of the most important properties that determine the durability of dental materials in the oral cavity is their resistance to dissolution or disintegration which is affected by common consumable foods and drinks (e.g., water, carbonated soft drinks, alcoholic drinks, food derivatives).[8] Studies have shown that resin-based restorative material which serves both preventive and restorative purposes.

This study was designed to simulate the frequent and long term consumption of an individual drinking a carbonated beverage (cola) and fruit juice (orange) by using uninterrupted specimen immersion technique. However, factors such as salivary buffering capacity, acquired pellicle or remineralization could not be reproduced in this in vitro study.

Many techniques are available such as visual examination, photogrammetric, profilometry, focus variation 3D scanning microscopy and scanning electron microscope (SEM) to determine the surface characteristics of restorative materials, which are qualitative and quantitative. In the present study, quantitative measurements were taken which can be done by using stylus profilometry as it is an established technique to define their surface characteristics and it is also economical compared to focus variation 3D scanning microscopy and SEM.[13]

![Figure 3: (a) Placement of the stylus. (b) Surface roughness (Ra) readings using profilometer](image)

### Table 1: Surface roughness values of two tested materials following immersion in three different media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media and material</th>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>$P$ values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>0.2199</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>0.1268</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.1271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>0.2225</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.2228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation
In general, the surface roughness values of the restorative materials used in the study were higher following immersion than the pre-immersion values. This observed difference in Ra values for various materials after immersion in different acidic drinks is due to their diverse chemical composition, porosity and the effect of these drinks on different chemical components. As the restorative materials used in this study were not exposed to mechanical forces, any changes that are noticed can be attributed to chemical dissolution only.

Phosphoric acid is a common constituent of most of the soft drinks. This acid content gives a peculiar tangy taste and has a preservative property, is known to play a well-established role in erosive property. Citrus fruits are rich source of vitamins, minerals and dietary fibers that are essential for normal growth and development and overall nutritional well-being. These citrus fruits along with their nutritional value have erosive property due to the presence of citric, malic, tartaric, benzoic, oxalic and succinic acids. The main organic acid found in citrus juices is citric acid (2-hydroxyl-1,2,3-propanetricarboxylic acid) which is a weak tricarboxylic acid. In the present study, fresh orange juice was selected due to its ready availability and its acidity presence of citric, malic, tartaric, benzoic, oxalic and succinic acids. The main organic acid found in citrus juices is citric acid (2-hydroxyl-1,2,3-propanetricarboxylic acid) which is a weak tricarboxylic acid. In the present study, fresh orange juice was selected due to its ready availability and its acidity.

Studies have reported that acidic condition can degrade glass ionomer cement, polyacid-modified resin composites and restorative composite. The immersion solutions used in this study have a pH value of 2.74 (cola) and 3.72 (orange fruit juice) respectively which are highly acidic and have the potential to cause erosion of the restorative materials. The greatest increase in Ra values was noticed in both tested materials when they were immersed in Media A followed by Media B and Media C (water as control). The difference in Ra values of the two tested materials in the present study were in accordance with the studies conducted by Abu-Bakr et al., showing that low pH affects the chemical erosion of the hybrid restorative materials by acid etching the surface and leaching the principal matrix forming cations (Na, Ca, Al, Sr). As a result, individual particles dissociate from each other.

Surface roughness of resin composites are influenced by the filler content, volume, matrix type, coupling agent disintegration between resin filler interface in composites. A study by Han et al., has shown the linear relationship of wear resistance to filler volume. In this study, Giomer had the highest filler content (68.6%) when compared with compomer (47%), the presence of lesser filler content in compomer could be the reason for the higher erosion of Group I specimens.

Apart from the filler volume, its properties, distribution and surface treatment (by silane) are also important factors for resin materials on their erosion resistance to acidic and/or alcoholic solution. In Giomer, instead of applying purely glass or quartz as the typical fillers of size (0.01-5 μm), incorporated inorganic fillers that are derived from the complete fully pre-reacted glass or partial reaction surface pre-reacted glass (S-PRG) type of ion-leachable glasses (e.g., fluoroboroaluminosilicate glasses) with polyalkenoic acids in water before being interfaced with the organic matrix are incorporated. Fujimoto et al., found that S-PRG fillers altered the pH values of acidic solution closer to neutral and S-PRG filler, like the conventional glass ionomer cements, had a modulating effect on acidic solutions and were relatively stable under acidic conditions. Due to the S-PRG fillers, Giomer was found to be less susceptible to erosion than compomer when exposed to carbonated beverage and fruit juice.

Studies have shown that fillers tend to fall out from resin materials and the matrix component decomposes when exposed to low pH environments. This means that drinking acidic drinks over a long period and with continuous sipping can erode the tooth enamel and the resin material as well.

The titratable acidity is the amount of alkali needed to be added to an acid to bring it up to a neutral pH. It therefore represents the amount of available acid and is an indicative of its erosive potential. A study by Edwards et al., in 1999 and Owens 2007 showed least buffering capacity with non-fruit based carbonated drinks followed by fruit based carbonated drinks and highest with fruit juices. This could be the probable reason for the difference in the surface roughness values of testing materials when compared between Group I and II.

It has been reported that hardness, initial surface roughness, filler content, filler size and water absorption of the substrate affect wear resistance. Water absorption gives rise to resin matrix swelling and stress formation. Therefore complete debonding of the fillers in the surface layer can result in surface roughening. The results obtained in our study correlates with the previous research conducted by Abu-Bakr et al., showing that low pH affects the chemical erosion of the hybrid restorative materials by acid etching the surface and leaching the principal matrix forming cations (Na, Ca, Al, Sr). As a result, individual particles dissociate from each other.

Research has shown drinks are extremely acidic, even when exceedingly diluted. Wongkhantee et al., showed no statistical differences in the surface characteristics of tooth colored restorative materials when it is exposed to acidic drinks for a short period of time. This represents the dilution and duration of contact with beverages plays a major role in altering the surface characteristics of restorative materials.

In the present study, the micro morphological differences in filler type, content, surface microstructure of materials and low pH, high titratable acidity, duration of exposure of carbonated beverage, fruit juice correlated with significant differences in the tested property of compomer and Giomer. Although, this study could not absolutely reproduce the complex oral environment, it confirms the erosive potential of certain acidic food and drinks that the children should be aware of.
However, we recommend further studies combining both qualitative and quantitative evaluations which will indicate more precisely the effect of non-alcoholic and fruit beverages on the clinical integrity of the restorative materials in the oral environment.

**Conclusions**

- Both compomer and giomer showed significant change in the surface roughness after exposing to cola drink and fruit juice
- Compomer eroded more than giomer when exposed to cola drink and fruit juice
- Cola drink showed more erosive potential than fruit juice and water on both restorative materials (compomer and giomer).

**References**


How to cite this article: ???

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.