Clinical and Laboratory Features of Pertussis in Hospitalized Infants with Confirmed Versus Probable Pertussis Cases

Shojaei J, Saffar MJ¹, Hashemi A², Ghorbani GR, Rezai MS¹, Shahmohammadi S³

²Departments of Medical Records, ³Antimicrobial Resistant Nosocomial Infections Research Center, Journal of Pediatrics Review Office, Bouali Sina Hospital, Department of Health, Provincial Center for Diseases Control and Prevention, ¹Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases and Antimicrobial Resistant Nosocomial Infections Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Address for correspondence: Prof. Mohammed Jafar Saffar, Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Subspecialist of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Antimicrobial Resistant Nosocomial Infections Research Center, Bouali-Sina Hospital, Pasdaran Boulevard, Sari, Iran. E-mail: saffar@softhome.net

Abstract

Background: The clinical presentations of pertussis infection have considerable variation. Many infections and illnesses can cause prolonged repetitive paroxysmal cough that could be confused with Bordetella pertussis infection. Aim: This retrospective study was designed to compare the clinico-laboratory findings between two groups of hospitalized infants with confirmed, and those who have clinical pertussis disease; to identify the possible additional diagnostic clues "for the diagnosis of confirmed pertussis disease". Subjects and Methods: The study population consisted of infants ≤ 12 months of age with clinical diagnosis of pertussis that fulfilled the World Health Organization definition for pertussis or those diagnosed by physicians. Clinico-laboratory findings were compared between two groups of patients (confirmed vs. clinical cases). Results: From a total of 118 infants admitted with a clinical diagnosis of pertussis, 16% (19/118) were confirmed by laboratory to have confirmed pertussis. Twelve of 19 (63%) and 71.99% of confirmed and clinical cases were younger than 6 months of age, respectively. For most patients, the duration of symptoms before hospitalization was <14 days. There were no significant differences between two groups of patients for paroxysmal cough and facial discoloration. However, whoop and apnea were more common among confirmed pertussis cases: P = 0.01, and P = 0.02, respectively. Leukocytosis ($\geq 16,000$ /ml) (P = 0.01) and lymphocytosis $(\geq 11,000)$ (P = 0.02) were reported significantly more frequently in confirmed pertussis cases. Conclusion: Given the unavailability of a highly sensitive diagnostic test, in every afebrile patient with paroxysmal cough lasting for ≥ 7 days associated with whoop and/or apnea, particularly if accompanied by leukocytosis/lymphocytosis, pertussis disease should be considered. In this situation, prompt administration of empiric treatment for cases, and providing control measures to prevent infection transmission to contacts are recommended.

Keywords: Clinical pertussis, Clinical presentation, Confirmed pertussis, Infant

Introduction

The clinical presentation of *Bordetella pertussis* infection has considerable variation^[1,2] that depends on the age of patients,^[3,4] previous immunization or infection,^[4-8] co-infection with other respiratory microbes,^[9-14] the presence of passively

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:			
	Website: www.amhsr.org		
	DOI: *****		

acquired antibodies,^[15-17] and perhaps other factors related to the host and organism.^[1] The classic manifestations of pertussis in unvaccinated susceptible subjects are described as the presence of repetitive paroxysmal coughing episodes, inspiratory whoop, posttussive vomiting, and cough lasting for ≥ 2 weeks.^[1,2,18,19] Mild and atypical presentations of pertussis are common,^[1,2,20] particularly in young infants,^[3,4,15] and previously immune individual.^[4-8] Therefore, illnesses caused by other respiratory microbes are often confused with pertussis.^[9-14] An accurate diagnosis of pertussis cannot be made by clinical symptoms alone, and the laboratory confirmation of a clinical pertussis illness is required.^[1,18,20] The challenge is for most countries to provide basic laboratory facilities for the diagnosis of pertussis. In this regard, the World Health Organization (WHO)^[19] and the Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention (CDC)^[20] developed a case definition for pertussis for the epidemiological purpose. If purely standard clinical criteria were used to diagnose pertussis, this would result in considerably over- or under-diagnosis of pertussis.^[20]

During 2008-2011, Iran and Mazandaran province experienced a pertussis epidemic.^[21] The aim of the present study was to compare the clinico-laboratory findings among two groups of patients younger than 12 months of age, who were hospitalized and treated as probable pertussis cases, and those confirmed by laboratory tests, to identify the possible additional diagnostic clues to support the clinical diagnosis of pertussis, particularly for regions with limited access to diagnostic laboratory services.

Subjects and Methods

Until the year 2007, the annual incidence rate of pertussis reported to the Iranian CDC (Ir-CDC) was very low (<0.2/100,000 population).^[8,22] From that, the number of clinical pertussis cases reported to Ir-CDC increased sharply, and continued for >3 years. This pattern was more prominent in Mazandaran, North of Iran and resulted in more hospitalization rate among children in the region.^[23] To identify the possible additional diagnostics clues, this study was designed to compare the clinical features, laboratory and radiologic findings, and hospitals courses of pertussis disease between two groups of patients with confirmed versus probable pertussis cases. We reviewed the medical records of the infants younger than 12 months of age who were hospitalized, treated, and discharged with: Probable pertussis disease, whooping cough, pertussis syndrome, pertussis-link cough illness in Bouali Sina Hospital affiliated to the Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences during the period March 2008 to April 2012 in Sari, North of Iran. The clinical (probable) pertussis case definition was based on the Ir-CDC^[22] and the WHO^[19] criteria. The clinical case definition for pertussis to report a case consisted of an acute cough illness lasting \geq 14-days with at least one pertussis associated symptom; paroxysmal cough, inspiratory whoop, and posttussive vomiting without any obvious cause. Furthermore, for this study, any afebrile coughing illness lasting \geq 7-days and associated with paroxysm, whoop, facial discoloration during coughing episodes, or apnea as a presenting symptom or as a postparoxysmal event (irrespective of its duration) in infants <6 months of age was considered as a probable pertussis case. A confirmed case was defined as a probable case that was culture or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) positive. The laboratory diagnosis was made by nasopharyngeal sample culture or PCR for B. pertussis. The samples were obtained from all the hospitalized patients within 24 h of admission by trained nurses and were inoculated within transport media, and for further processing within 48-72 h, the samples were transferred to Pasteur Institute, Tehran. The cases involved all hospitalized probable pertussis, and surveillance was limited to infants younger than 12 months of age. This study was approved by the Research Committee of the Hospital and the Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. Information obtained included age, sex, fever, paroxysm, whoop, facial discoloration, laboratory data, including the results of culture/PCR for pertussis, white blood cell counts (WBC), absolute lymphocyte counts (ALC) (leukocytosis and lymphocytosis were defined as 16,000 and 11,000 cells/ml of blood, respectively), chest X-ray (CXR) findings, antibacterial treatment, bronchodilator and corticosteroid therapy, management in pediatric intensive care unit (PICU), and outcome. Immunization status of the patients according to their medical records was determined (vaccination status was defined as under the age of vaccination (<2 month of age), partially immunized (receipt of <3-dose of vaccine; ages 2-6 months), and fully immunized (received of \geq 3-doses of pertussis vaccine). Based on the patient's age and nasopharyngeal samples results, the infants were designated into two different groups: Confirmed pertussis cases and clinical pertussis cases as the control group. The differences between the data collected (clinical signs/symptoms, WBC, and ALC) for confirmed pertussis and those with probable pertussis were compared with paired t-test and Fisher's exact test. $P \le 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

Results

During the 4-year study period, 174 hospitalized patients (age range: 15 days to 13 years) met the criteria and were identified. Of the 174 cases, 13.2% (23/174) were confirmed by culture and/or PCR. PCR was performed in nearly all cases. From the 174 cases identified, 118 (68%) were younger than 12 months of age and included in the analysis. Of the 118 patients, 19 (16%) cases were confirmed to have pertussis by the laboratory. Nearly, 63% (12 of 19) of the confirmed pertussis and 71.7% (71 of 99) of clinical cases were younger than 6 months of age. There were no significant differences according to the sex: Male ratio 47.3 versus 49.5% (P = not significant [NS]), the mean duration of symptoms before the day of admission was 9.8 (3.4) days versus 10.7 (2.8) days; (P = NS) and the frequency of physician visits before hospitalization (73.7% vs. 72.9%) (P = NS) between the confirmed cases and patients with clinical pertussis, respectively. However, the duration of hospital stay was significantly longer in confirmed than probable cases; P = 0.04, and those requiring PICU care P < 0.001, respectively. The clinical signs and symptoms before the day of admission were available for the entire hospitalized patients (confirmed and probable cases) [Table 1]. There were no significant differences for paroxysms, facial discoloration during coughing episodes, and posttussive vomiting between the confirmed versus the clinical pertussis cases. However, whooping and apnea (as a presenting compliant or as a postcoughing event) were significantly more frequent among the confirmed than the probable cases (P = 0.01 and P = 0.02), respectively [Table 1]. Vaccination information was available for all patients. The results indicated that 4 of 19 (21%)

Table 1: Comparison of clinical features and laboratory				
findings, between confirmed versus probable pertussis				
cases in hospitalized infants \leq 12 months of age from				
2008 to 2012*				

Confirmed cases <i>n</i> =19	Probable cases <i>n</i> =99	P value
17 (90)	89 (90)	NS
14 (75)	78 (80)	NS
3 (16)	20 (20)	NS
10 (52)	25 (24)	0.01
9 (48)	21 (21)	0.02
13 (68)	39 (39)	0.02
15 (79)	46 (46)	0.01
	cases n=19 17 (90) 14 (75) 3 (16) 10 (52) 9 (48) 13 (68)	cases n=19cases n=9917 (90)89 (90)14 (75)78 (80)3 (16)20 (20)10 (52)25 (24)9 (48)21 (21)13 (68)39 (39)

*All confirmed and probable cases were treated with a macrolide and bronchodilator, **WBC: white blood cell counts \geq 16,000 and lymphocytosis \geq 11,000 cell/ml. NS: Not significant

of the confirmed and 22 of 99 (22%) probable cases were fully immunized. A CXR was taken for all hospitalized patients. Of 118 CXR findings, 61 (51.7%) were abnormal (hyperareation, perihilar infiltration, and one case with aspiration pneumonia in the pertussis cases). However, these abnormal findings were not significantly different between the two-groups of patients. Leukocytosis (>16,000 WBC/mm³) and lymphocytosis (>11,000 lymphocytes/mm³) were significantly more frequent in confirmed cases than those with clinical pertussis P = 0.02 and P = 0.01, respectively [Table 1]. During the hospitalization, one 5-week-old and 9-week-old infants with confirmed pertussis developed seizures and aspiration pneumonia, respectively. All patients in both groups were treated with a macrolide and a bronchodilator. Five of the 19 (26%) of confirmed pertussis and 27 of the 99 (27%) of clinical cases were treated by an antibacterial agents other than a macrolide antibiotic. Fortunately, no mortality was reported.

Discussion

Bordetella pertussis infection has a wide-spectrum of clinical expression. Paroxysmal cough, facial discoloration during coughing episodes, and posttussive vomiting are the primary symptoms in the clinical diagnosis of pertussis and the mainstay of the WHO^[19] and the Ir-CDC^[21] case definition for B. pertussis infection. In this study, the typical symptoms of pertussis were observed in the majority of hospitalized patients. Whoop and apnea were two symptoms which were observed significantly more frequently in patients with confirmed pertussis than clinical pertussis. Whoop, a forceful inspiration of air through a narrow glottis, usually develops after a paroxysmal cough, and is a characteristic of pertussis disease. Its presence in infant represents true pertussis particularly during an outbreak, although in some occasions, other diseases may mimic whooping cough and result in confusion.^[1,2] These symptoms may be absent in neonate,^[1,3,15] or older children with pertussis.^[4,6,7] Otherwise, the frequency of apnea in patients with pertussis as presenting symptoms or as a sign of postparoxysm exhaustion is variable and depends on patient's age: Higher rates were reported in younger infants. A similar clinical presentation was reported in several other studies worldwide.^[24-28] In a large multicenter study: Report of the active immunization monitoring program among hospitalized children <2 years of age conducted by Halperin et al. in the Canada;^[24] a total of 1082 pertussis cases requiring hospitalization was reported. Most cases (91.9%) were infants <12 months of age, and 79.1% were <6 months of age. Virtually, all hospitalized children (93.7%) had a history of paroxysmal cough, and more than 58% who had vomiting and cyanosis were observed in 64.4% of cases.^[24] Furthermore, whoop and apnea were reported in 43.9% and 26.2% of infants less than 6 months of age, 46.9% and 6.4% of infant older than 6 months, respectively. During a national active surveillance of pertussis among infants in Australia,^[25] 140 hospitalized infants with pertussis disease (73% confirmed) were detected. Of those, 96% had paroxysmal cough and 67% cyanosis during coughing. Whooping and apnea were reported in 47% and 41% of cases, respectively. As part of a large vaccine efficacy trial, to describe the clinical presentations of culture-confirmed pertussis in children and their contacts with cough illness lasting \geq 7 days in an outpatients setting, a study was designed and conducted by Heininger et al. in Germany, [26] 3629 samples were submitted, and *B. pertussis* was isolated in 601 (16.1%) cases 7.6% of cases were fully vaccinated. A total of 2079 out of 3629 (57.3%) was reported to have paroxysm during the course of their illness. Of the 601 culture positive, 68.1% had paroxysms, whereas 1670 of 3028 (55.2%) of culture-negative patients also had paroxysms. The frequency of whooping is was 90.1% in those with positive culture versus 45% in cases that were culture negative. However, the rate of apnea reported in their study was much lower than those reported by Halperin et al. and was 15.9% in infants less than 6 months of age and 1% in the older ones.

It has been suggested previously that many other infections and illnesses^[9-13,28] can cause prolonged repetitive paroxysmal cough that can be confused with B. pertussis infection and would have fulfilled the WHO clinical criteria for pertussis diagnosis. In a serologic study, in children who coughed for more than 7-days and had no evidence of *B. pertussis* infection,^[9] adenoviruses were the most frequent pathogen found, followed by the parainfluenza viruses, mycoplasma pneumonia, and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).^[9] The authors concluded that the differential diagnosis of pertussis-like cough illness by laboratory methods should include these infections. In a study by Korppi and Hiltunen,^[14] B. pertussis etiology was studied in infants <6 months of age who were hospitalized for lower respiratory tract illnesses accompanied by cough during an RSV epidemic. B. pertussis was found as a co-infection in 8% of cases. In their retrospective study, RSV alone and mixed RSV-B. Pertussis cases could not be separated by clinical characteristics. The authors concluded that to avoid under-diagnosis, pertussis should be considered in all nonvaccinated infants with lower respiratory tract illnesses, also an RSV diagnosis does not exclude pertussis.^[14] In a recent study on infants <6 months of age with lower respiratory tract illness requiring PICU care for their illness, 20% had pertussis and 7% were mixed infection with RSV. The infants with pertussis suffered from cough, apnea, and whooping more often than infants without pertussis.^[29] For this study, it was not possible to determine the relative roles of other respiratory microbes causing pertussis-like cough illness, and is a limitation of our study.

Leukocytosis due to ALC was recognized as a hallmark of pertussis infection 100 years ago, and is usually present at the beginning of paroxysmal cough and persists for 3-4 weeks. Adolescents and young adults, partial immune subjects, and occasionally young infants have less impressive lymphocytosis.^[1,2] Most viral respiratory infections can cause relative lymphocytosis, however, this is not associated with leukocytosis and/or ALC. In this study, the statistically significant numbers of confirmed pertussis versus clinical cases showed leukocytosis and/or ALC. Similar to this, pattern was reported by Heinninger *et al.* in a large prospective vaccine efficacy trial in Germany.^[26] Although ALC with/or without leukocytosis is not a confirmatory laboratory test, its presence in patients with clinical case definition for pertussis disease may support in diagnosing *B. pertussis* infection.

The laboratory diagnosis of pertussis is challenging, culture is highly specific, however, sensitivity can be low, require a long incubation, and result is influenced by several factors including: Time between cough beginning and sampling, patient age, earlier immunity (vaccinal/infection), receipt of a macrolide, and sampling methods. Rapid, highly sensitive, and specific PCR assays have been developed to detect B. pertussis infection. Factors that have negative effects on culture sensitivity have less impressive influence on PCR results.^[20] In this retrospective study, pertussis infection was confirmed in 19 of 118 (16%) of cases. From a worldwide prospective, the challenge is for all countries to be able to provide basic laboratory diagnostic services. New laboratory diagnostic tests for the rapid and reliable detection of B. pertussis are required. Furthermore, providing facilities capable to evaluate relative roles of other agents causing pertussis-like coughing are recommended. These methods can aid to identify true pertussis and nonpertussis cases more readily, and may lead to more efficient patient-contact medical management care.

In this study, more than two-thirds of cases in both groups were infants younger than 6 months; age group with the most severe disease and the higher complications.^[1-3,15,18] Strategies to protect these groups of infants from pertussis disease and build immunity provided by active immunization, such as: Universal adolescents immunization "adolescents act as the main source of infection for transmitting to young infants",^[30] neonatal immunization,^[31] immunization of the mother before^[32] or during pregnancy^[33,34] are recommended.

In the most of our patients, the total duration of cough before hospitalization was <2 weeks, thus not fulfilling the clinical

part of the WHO and Ir-CDC case definition for pertussis. Similar observations were reported also by others.^[24] Although the WHO and Ir-CDC case definition played an important role in improving the sensitivity of pertussis diagnosis in epidemiological surveys, if these criteria are used purely clinically to select pertussis cases for confirmation, this may result in considerable under-diagnosis of pertussis. To improve pertussis case selection, a new case definition and strategy is required.^[35]

We recognize some limitations of this retrospective study. Although significant differences were noted between pertussis cases and control subjects, our analysis was limited by a small sample size. Furthermore, there were no diagnostic facilities to assess the relative roles of other microbes causing pertussis-like symptoms in the patients, which are the main limitations of the study.

Conclusions

According to our study findings, in the case of afebrile infant with lower respiratory tract symptoms and paroxysmal cough for \geq 7-days associated with whoop and/or apnea, particularly if it was accompanied by leukocytosis and/or absolute lymphocytosis, pertussis disease should be strongly considered. In this situation, especially in the lack of diagnostic laboratory facilities, empirical treatment of such suspected cases along with other control measures are recommended.^[36] Development of age appropriate case definition for pertussis, providing diagnostic laboratory facilities in the province are also recommended.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. We would like to express over gratitude for their generous supports.

References

- Cherry JD, Heininger U. Pertussis and other *Bordetella* infections. In: Feigin RD, Cherry JD, Demmler-Harrison GJ, Kaplan SL, editors. Textbook of Pediatric Infectious Diseases. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2009. p. 1683-706.
- Long SS, Edwards KM, Mersola J. Bordetella pertussis and other species. In: Long SS, Pickering LK, Prober CG, editors. Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases. 4th ed., Ch. 162. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders, An Imprint of Elsevier; 2012. p. 865-73.e5.
- Castagnini LA, Munoz FM. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of neonatal pertussis: A comparative study. J Pediatr 2010;156:498-500.
- Eidlitz-Markus T, Mimouni M, Zeharia A. Pertussis symptoms in adolescents and children versus infants: The influence of vaccination and age. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2007;46:718-23.
- Yaari E, Yafe-Zimerman Y, Schwartz SB, Slater PE, Shvartzman P, Andoren N, *et al.* Clinical manifestations of *Bordetella pertussis* infection in immunized children and young adults. Chest 1999;115:1254-8.

- Tozzi AE, Ravà L, Ciofi degli Atti ML, Salmaso S, Progetto Pertosse Working Group. Clinical presentation of pertussis in unvaccinated and vaccinated children in the first six years of life. Pediatrics 2003;112:1069-75.
- He Q, Viljanen MK, Nikkari S, Lyytikäinen R, Mertsola J. Outcomes of *Bordetella pertussis* infection in different age groups of an immunized population. J Infect Dis 1994;170:873-7.
- Saffar MJ, Khalilian AR, Rafee AR, Parsaei MR, Imanikhani S, Shojaei J, et al. Bordetella pertussis IgG and IgA antibodies seroprevalence among 1-35 y-old population: The role of subclinical pertussis infection. Indian J Pediatr 2012;79:353-7.
- Wirsing von König CH, Rott H, Bogaerts H, Schmitt HJ. A serologic study of organisms possibly associated with pertussis-like coughing. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998;17:645-9.
- Greenberg D, Bamberger E, Ben-Shimol S, Gershtein R, Golan D, Srugo I. Pertussis is under diagnosed in infants hospitalized with lower respiratory tract infection in the pediatric intensive care unit. Med Sci Monit 2007;13:CR475-480.
- Cosnes-Lambe C, Raymond J, Chalumeau M, Pons-Catalano C, Moulin F, de Suremain N, *et al.* Pertussis and respiratory syncytial virus infections. Eur J Pediatr 2008;167:1017-9.
- Versteegh FG, Mooi-Kokenberg EA, Schellekens JF, Roord JJ. Bordetella pertussis and mixed infections. Minerva Pediatr 2006;58:131-7.
- Walsh PF, Kimmel L, Feola M, Tran T, Lim C, De Salvia L, et al. Prevalence of *Bordetella pertussis* and *Bordetella parapertussis* in infants presenting to the emergency department with bronchiolitis. J Emerg Med 2011;40:256-61.
- 14. Korppi M, Hiltunen J. Pertussis is common in nonvaccinated infants hospitalized for respiratory syncytial virus infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2007;26:316-8.
- Barnett ED, Klein JO. Bacterial infections of the respiratory tract. In: Remington JS, Klein JO, Wilson CB, Nizet V, Maldonado YA, editors. Infectious Diseases of the Fetus and the Newborns. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier-Saunders; 2011. p. 276-96.
- Saffar MJ, Ajami A, Khalilian AR, Qaheri A, Saffar H. Pertussis seroimmunity among mother-infant pairs and infant immune response to pertussis vaccination. Indian Pediatr 2007;44:916-8.
- 17. Van Rie A, Wendelboe AM, Englund JA. Role of maternal pertussis antibodies in infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2005;24:S62-5.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Pertussis. In: Pickering LK, Baker CJ, Kimberlin DW, Long SS, editors. Red Book: 2012 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 29th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2012. p. 553-566.
- WHO. Pertussis Surveillance: A Global Meeting, Geneva. Switzerland, Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000. p. 16-8.
- Cherry JD, Grimprel E, Guiso N, Heininger U, Mertsola J. Defining pertussis epidemiology: Clinical, microbiologic and serologic perspectives. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2005;24:S25-34.
- 21. Goya MM. Pertussis Reemergence Notification. Tehran, Center for Diseases Control and Prevention. Deputy of Health and Medical Education: No. 24740; 20 February 2007.

- 22. Esteghamati MR, Mansour-Ghanei R. Guidelines for Surveillance of Pertussis (Pamphelet). Tehran: Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention, Deputy of Health, Ministry of Health and Medication Education Tehran-Iran; 2009.
- 23. Saffar MJ, Ghorbani G, Hashemi A, Rezai MS. Pertussis resurgence in a highly vaccinated population, mazandaran, North of Iran 2008-2011: An epidemiological analysis. Indian J Pediatr 2014. [Epub ahead of print].
- Halperin SA, Wang EE, Law B, Mills E, Morris R, Déry P, et al. Epidemiological features of pertussis in hospitalized patients in Canada, 1991-1997: Report of the Immunization Monitoring Program – Active (IMPACT). Clin Infect Dis 1999;28:1238-43.
- 25. Elliott E, McIntyre P, Ridley G, Morris A, Massie J, McEniery J, *et al.* National study of infants hospitalized with pertussis in the acellular vaccine era. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2004;23:246-52.
- Heininger U, Cherry JD, Eckhardt T, Lorenz C, Christenson P, Stehr K. Clinical and laboratory diagnosis of pertussis in the regions of a large vaccine efficacy trial in Germany. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1993;12:504-9.
- 27. Gordon M, Davies HD, Gold R. Clinical and microbiologic features of children presenting with pertussis to a Canadian pediatric hospital during an eleven-year period. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1994;13:617-22.
- Nieves DJ, Singh J, Ashouri N, McGuire T, Adler-Shohet FC, Arrieta AC. Clinical and laboratory features of pertussis in infants at the onset of a California epidemic. J Pediatr 2011;159:1044-6.
- 29. Crowcroft NS, Booy R, Harrison T, Spicer L, Britto J, Mok Q, *et al.* Severe and unrecognised: Pertussis in UK infants. Arch Dis Child 2003;88:802-6.
- Forsyth KD, Wirsing von Konig CH, Tan T, Caro J, Plotkin S. Prevention of pertussis: Recommendations derived from the second Global Pertussis Initiative roundtable meeting. Vaccine 2007;25:2634-42.
- 31. Halasa NB, O'Shea A, Shi JR, LaFleur BJ, Edwards KM. Poor immune responses to a birth dose of diphtheria, tetanus, and acellular pertussis vaccine. J Pediatr 2008;153:327-32.
- 32. Saffar M-J, Ajami A, Moslemizadeh N, Saffar H, Khalilian A-R (2012) Prepregnancy Pertussis Immunization: Effect on Materno-Neonatal Antibody Titers and Infant Immune Response to Whole-Cell Pertussis Vaccination. J Vaccines Vaccin 3: 157. doi: 10.4172/2157-7560.1000157
- 33. Mooi FR, de Greeff SC. The case for maternal vaccination against pertussis. Lancet Infect Dis 2007;7:614-24.
- 34. Gall SA, Myers J, Pichichero M. Maternal immunization with tetanus-diphtheria-pertussis vaccine: Effect on maternal and neonatal serum antibody levels. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;204:334.e1-5.
- Cherry JD, Tan T, Wirsing von König CH, Forsyth KD, Thisyakorn U, Greenberg D, et al. Clinical definitions of pertussis: Summary of a Global Pertussis Initiative roundtable meeting, February 2011. Clin Infect Dis 2012;54:1756-64.

How to cite this article: ????

Source of Support: Vice Chancellery for Research of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. Conflict of Interest: None declared.