Double-site antegrade and retrograde idiopathic intussusception in an infant: a case report and review of literature

Justina Seyi-Olajide, Adesoji Ademuyiwa, Olumide Elebute and Christopher Bode

Intussusception is a very common surgical problem in infants. Double intussusception, however, is very rare in children. The authors report the successful management of a case of double-site antegrade and retrograde idiopathic intussusception in an 11-month-old boy.
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Intussusception is defined as the telescoping of a segment of bowel into an adjacent part. It is the most common cause of intestinal obstruction in infants [1]. About 90–95% of intussusceptions occur in children between the ages of 3 months and 3 years and are usually idiopathic with no pathological lead points [2]. Double intussusception is, however, a very rare occurrence [3,4]. We present a case of double-site antegrade and retrograde intussusception in an infant and reviewed the literature on the subject.

Case report

An 11-month-old boy presented with a 3-day history of excessive crying, persistent fever, and passage of mucus per rectum. At 3 h prior to presentation, he started passing bloody mucoid stools with bilious vomiting. Physical examination showed a sausage-shaped mass in the left lower abdominal quadrant and abdominal ultrasonography showed the target sign and did not detect the presence of more than one site of bowel invagination. Following a diagnosis of intussusception, the patient was resuscitated and planned for emergency laparotomy. Nonoperative reduction was not used in this case as the patient who presented late, 3 days after the onset of symptoms, was very ill, with persistent fever and a palpable mass in the left iliac fossa. This manner of presentation demonstrates the likelihood of bowel gangrene being present. At exploratory laparotomy, two sites of intussusception were found: a proximal, antegrade, ileocolic intussusception, and a distal, retrograde colocolic intussusception (Fig. 1). Both intussusceptions were separately reduced without any difficulty. There were no pathologic lead points. Postoperative recovery was uneventful.

Discussion

Intussusception is the most common cause of intestinal obstruction in infants older than 3 months. In this age group, it is most often ileocolic with no pathologic lead points [5]. Despite the frequent occurrence of idiopathic intussusception in children, double-site intussusception remains an extremely rare condition in this age group [3,4]. Shiu et al. [6] reported that double intussusception with pathological lead points was more common than idiopathic, double intussusception in children.

Current literature search showed 16 reported cases of double intussusception in the pediatric age group (Table 1). Eight were idiopathic, four had patent vitellointestinal ducts, and there was a case each of giant polyloid mass of heterotopic pancreas, submucous intestinal lipoma and hamartomatous polyps and a case of postoperative double intussusception following bilateral partial nephrectomy for Wilms tumor. Most cases of double intussusception reported in the literature occurred in adults with identifiable pathological lead points.

Chen et al. [4] described four subtypes of double intussusception, namely:

(1) Two separate intestines prolapsing into the same distal intestine, resulting in a characteristic triple

Fig. 1

The exact mechanism for the formation of retrograde intussusception is unknown. Joseph and Desai [19] suggested that the weak antiperistaltic activity of the large bowel initiates the intussusception in a retrograde manner especially in the presence of an obstruction. Following initiation, the proximal bowel then slides over the intussuscepted area of the bowel through normal or exaggerated antegrade peristaltic waves. The predilection for the sigmoid colon is thought to favor the theory of initiation by the antiperistaltic waves in the left colon.

The treatment of choice for pediatric intussusception is nonoperative reduction. Failure to achieve reduction is often due to prolonged course with delayed presentation. In 5% of cases, it is because of a more complex anatomy requiring surgical reduction [5]. All reported cases of double intussusception required surgical intervention with good outcome.

**Conclusion**

Despite the rarity of double intussusception in children, the condition still occurs. There is a need for increased awareness of its existence by surgeons and sonologists as this will improve preintervention identification, aid in determining the best treatment modality, and eliminate delays from attempted nonoperative reduction.
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