CHANGES IN SOIL PROPERTIES UNDER ALLEY CROPPING SYSTEM OF THREE LEGUMINOUS CROPS
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ABSTRACT
A study to evaluate the changes in soil properties, under existing alley cropping system with three leguminous crops (Leucaena leucocephala, Gliricidia sepium, and Cajanus cajan) was conducted in the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources Management, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki between 2003-2005. The study was established in a randomized complete block design with five treatments consisting of 10 t ha⁻¹ each of L. leucocephala, G. sepium and C. cajan prunings, 300kg ha⁻¹ of 20:10:10 and a control with no amendments. The study was replicated four times. Soil pH increased from pH 4.2 (strongly acid) in the pre-planting to pH 6.1 (slightly acid) in C. cajan alley plots. The organic matter increased from 1.01g kg⁻¹ in the pre-planting to 5.98g kg⁻¹ in the C. cajan alley plots in 2005. Total N increased in all the alley plots with the highest N content of 2.31g kg⁻¹ in the G. sepium alley plots, which was 68% in 2003, 90% in 2004 and 95% in 2005 over the pre-planting. Available P and exchangeable Ca and Mg increased significantly (p<0.05) in the alley plots over the pre-planting. The ECEC increased in line with exchangeable bases. Total acidity and Al³⁺ saturation were very low in the alley plots.
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INTRODUCTION
One of the crucial factors for the success of improved farming system is efficient recycling of organic materials. This exploits the biological possibility, which is an essential factor in agroforestry systems, that aims primarily at a compromise between continuous cropping and long fallow periods (Kang and Duguma, 1985). Since farmers in the developing countries of the tropics are predominantly resource poor and can hardly afford costly inputs, it is necessary to develop low input soil management technologies that can restore and sustain crop production. Improved and new technologies, designed as alternatives to the shifting cultivation and bush fallow systems, must include systems or mechanisms that can replenish the soil organic matter (Young, 1989).

Investigations were carried out at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria, to assess the potentials of intercropping woody species with food crops, as a land use system to manage fragile uplands, dominated by low-active clay soils, for continuous crop production, in the humid and sub-humid zone and to improve the traditional bush-fallow, slash-and-burn, cultivation system (Lal and Greenland, 1986). This led to the development of and research on the alley cropping system (Kang et al., 1990).

Alley cropping is an agroforestry system, similar in approach to contour hedgerow system, in which food crops are grown in alleys formed by hedgerows of trees and shrubs that are usually fast growing legumes, which enrich the soil through symbiotic nitrogen fixation and recycle nutrients from the soil (Kang and Wilson, 1987). The hedgerows are cut back at planting and periodically pruned during cropping, to prevent shading and to reduce competition with the associated crops. The hedgerows are allowed to grow freely to cover the land when there is no crops (Kang et al., 1990).

The objective of this study was to assess the changes in soil properties under alley cropping system with three leguminous crops.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was carried out in an existing alley cropping system established in 1991, in the experimental filed of the Faculty of Agriculture and natural Resources Management, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Southeast Nigeria. Abakaliki lies on latitude 06° 04'N and longitude 18° 65'E Southeast of the derived Savanna Zone of Nigeria. Typical of the humid tropics, it has a pseudo-bimodal rainfall pattern from April to November. Total rainfall in the area ranges between 1,500-2,000mm, with a mean of 1,800mm and sometimes
in form of violent shower of short duration. Rainfall is seasonal and defines the farming seasons (ODNRI, 1989). The area is characterized by high temperature with maximum mean daily temperature of 27-31°C throughout the year. Humidity is high with lowest levels in April just before the on-set of the rainy season.

Abakaliki agricultural zone lies within Asu river group and consists of olive-brown, sandy shale, fine-grained sand stones and mudstone. The soil is shallow with unconsolidated parent materials (Shale residuum) within 1m of the soil surface. (Anikwe et al., 2000). It belongs to the order Ultisol within Ezzamngbo soil association and is classified as typic Haplustult (FDALR, 1985).

**Experimental Design**

The experiment was established in a randomized complete block design (RCBD), with five treatments and four replications. The treatments were as follow:

- 10t ha⁻¹ Leucaena pruning,
- 10t ha⁻¹ of Gliricidia pruning
- 10t ha⁻¹ of Cajanus pruning,
- 300kg ha⁻¹ of NPK fertilizer,
- Control (no pruning, and no fertilizer)

**Soil Sampling and Analysis**

The soils were sampled prior to the application of pruning. Soil samples were collected randomly in each plot with a soil auger from a depth of 0-20cm. The soil samples were air-dried at room temperature and passed through a 2mm sieve. Composite soils sample was achieved using the coning and quartering method. Mechanical analysis was carried out using the hydrometer method described by Bouyoucos (1962) and applying sodium hexametaphosphate as the dispersant. Soil sample was analyzed for pH in 1:2.5 soil-water ratio. Total organic carbon was determined using an improved chromic acid digestion and spectrophotometric method (Heanes, 1984) and organic matter was obtained by multiplying the organic carbon by 1.724. Available phosphorus was determined using the Bray-2 procedure (Olsen and Sommer, 1951); total nitrogen was analyzed using the modified Kjeldahl procedure described by Bremner and Mulvaney (1982); exchangeable potassium and calcium were determined using the method described by Jou (1983). Magnesium was assessed using the methodology developed by Tel and Rao (1982). Total exchangeable acidity (TEA) was determined by the KCL extraction method (Tel and Rao, 1982). Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) was obtained by the summation method.

ECEC = TEB + TEA; where TEB is Total Exchange base. Apart from the pre-planting soil analysis, further soil sampling and analysis were carried out at the end of the planting seasons of 2003-2005. The test crop grown in the alley plots was maize Oba Supper II. Data obtained from this study were analyzed using the Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS, 1985).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Pre-planting soil properties.**

Table 1 showed the properties of the top soil (0-20cm) of the study site at the start of the experiment. The textural class was sandy loam. The pH of the soil was low (pH 4.2) According to the USDA- SCS (1974) rating the soil was extremely acidic. The organic matter, total N and available P were low according to the classification of Landon (1991) and Enwezor (1989). Although Ca and Mg were within the minimum deficiency threshold for crop establishment in the tropics (Landon, 1991), they did not affect any change in both soil pH and soil P. The low K and ECEC observed in this study could be due to low levels of both organic matter and percent clay. This showed that the soils of the study site had low nutrient reserve, since both ECEC and organic matter were widely used as indicators of soil fertility.

**Post-Harvest Soil Properties.**

The results of the Post-harvest soil properties are shown in Table 2. There was no change in the soil texture. Changes in the soil chemical properties were observed in the last two years of study (2004-2005) over the pre-planting soil result. The pH values in the C. cajan alley plots increased significantly (P<0.05) over all the other treatments. While the soil pH in the pre-planting was extremely acidic (pH 4.2), in 2004 it became moderately acidic (pH 5.8) and was slightly acid (pH 6.1) in 2005. The increase in pH values in all the alley plots could be due to the decomposition of the incorporated materials from pruning. In the fertilizer plots, pH remained extremely acidic in 2004 and 2005. The increased pH values obtained in the alley plots showed a change in a positive direction when compared with pH values of both the pre-planting and the fertilizer plots.

The organic matter increased with time in all the treatments, from 1.01 g kg⁻¹ in the pre-planting to 5.98g kg⁻¹ in the C. cajan alley plots. In 2005, the soil organic matter content from the C. cajan plots, was significantly different (P<0.05) from L. leucocephala, fertilized and control plots. The soil organic matter obtained in the alley plots would be rated high in accordance to Landon (1991), while that from the fertilized and control plots would be rated low. The result obtained in this study agreed with the report of Atta-Krah (1986), that soils under alley cropping system were
higher in organic matter and N than soils without hedgerow tree. Total nitrogen (N) increased in the alley plots over time with continuous addition of pruning from the hedgerow trees. The highest N content of 2.31 g kg\(^{-1}\) was obtained in the *G. sepium* alley plots in the year 2005. The control plots were very low in N (0.29 g N kg\(^{-1}\)) in the same sampling year (2005). Both *L. leucocephala* and *C. cajan* had N values ranging from 0.52 g N kg\(^{-1}\) for *L. leucocephala* in 2003 to 1.83 g N kg\(^{-1}\) in 2005, and 0.49 g N kg\(^{-1}\) for *C. cajan* in 2003 to 1.44 N kg\(^{-1}\) in 2005.

**Table 1. Pre-Planting Soil physical and chemical properties (0-20cm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Sand %</th>
<th>Silt %</th>
<th>Clay %</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>pH (H(_2)O)</th>
<th>OM g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>N g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>P (mg kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ca (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Mg (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>K (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total Acidity (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>CEC (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ECEC (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucaena</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliricidia</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>16.01</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajanus</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>19.55</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Post-plating soil physical and chemical properties (0-20cm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Sand %</th>
<th>Silt %</th>
<th>Clay %</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>pH (H(_2)O)</th>
<th>OM g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>N g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>P (mg kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ca (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Mg (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>K (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total Acidity (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>CEC (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ECEC (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucaena</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliricidia</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajanus</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>26.90</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Sand %</th>
<th>Silt %</th>
<th>Clay %</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>pH (H(_2)O)</th>
<th>OM g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>N g kg(^{-1})</th>
<th>P (mg kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Ca (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Mg (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>K (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Total Acidity (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>CEC (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>ECEC (c mol kg(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leucaena</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliricidia</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>28.82</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cajanus</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Text = texture, SL = Sandy Loam, OM = Organic matter, Sat = Saturation, NS = Non significant
However, the fertilized plots had total soil N content of 0.35 to 0.94 g N kg\(^{-1}\) in the same period of study. Despite plant uptake of N, the total soil N from either \(G. \text{ sepium}\) or \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) alley plots was significantly higher than the fertilized or the control plots. Comparing the soil N contents of the post-harvest in the \(G. \text{ sepium}\) hedgerow plots with the pre-planting soil N for the period of study, it was observed that soil N increased by 68\% in 2003, 90\% in 2004 and 95\% in 2005 in the \(G. \text{ sepium}\) hedgerow plots. While in the fertilizer post-harvest plots, the soil N increased by 48\% in 2003, 62\% in 2004 and 76\% in 2005. This result therefore, showed that incorporated pruning of the legume hedgerows trees released more N to the soil than the fertilizer. The lower values of N obtained in the fertilized plots could be due to rate of release, uptake by crop or leaching. In the \(G. \text{ sepium}\) hedgerow plots, like wise the other legumes in the alley cropping system, N could have been released slowly to the soil avoiding leaching process. Among the legumes in the alley cropping system, N in \(G. \text{ sepium}\) plots was significantly different (\(P<0.05\)) to the \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) and \(C. \text{ cajan}\) in 2003. But there was no significant difference in soil N between \(G. \text{ sepium}\) and \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) in 2004 and 2005 cropping years. The results obtained in this study did not agree with the reports of Kang \textit{et al.} \(1990\) and Gichuru and Kang \(1990\), who obtained higher N for \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) over \(G. \text{ sepium}\) in their different studies. The low soil N obtained in the \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) alley plots could be due mainly to lower pH and incompatibility of native rhizobia species involved in symbiosis. Hutton \(1990\), stated that \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) grew poorly at pH less than 5.5, while \(G. \text{ sepium}\) could tolerate higher soil acidity levels and grow at pH 4.6 \(\text{(Duguma, 1983)}\). Sanginga \textit{et al} \(1990\) pointed out that there was great genetic variability among \(Leucaena\) species in N fixation because of low pH and specifically towards inocula of rhizobia species.

The available P in the pre-planting was rated low \(10.3 \text{mg P kg}^{-1}\) based on Enwezor \textit{et al.} \(1989\) and Landon \(1991\) ratings for P. This level was typical of the soils of humid tropical region. The levels of available P increased progressively more in the alley plots in the three years of study particularly in the \(C. \text{ cajan}\) alley plots \(36.61 \text{mg P kg}^{-1}\) in 2005). Also P levels increased in both the fertilizer and control plots in comparison to the pre-planting soil P. The available soil P in the \(C. \text{ cajan}\) alley plots was significantly different \(P<0.05\) to the other treatments. The increased soil P in the alley plots of 2003-2005 could be attributed to P from the pruning and changes in the soil pH. Larsen \(1967\) reported that maximum phosphate availability is obtained when the soil pH is maintained in the range from 6.0 to 7.0. Also it was observed that increase in soil pH in this study was followed correspondingly with decreased exchangeable \(\text{Al}^{3+}\) in the alley plots, hence the high available P.

There were increased levels of exchangeable K, Mg and Ca in both the alley and fertilized plots over the pre-planting soil result. The values of K obtained in the three year study in the control plots varied greatly with the other treatments. In 2003 and 2005, K values were lower than that obtained in the pre-planting but were equal to the later in 2004. The lower values in the 2003 and 2005 could be attributed to some reasons: first, it could be a result of crop uptake of K; secondly, leaching could have occurred and thirdly, there was no input to build up K in the control plots. Among all the treatments, K values also varied. In 2003, \(G. \text{ sepium}\) alley plots had the highest levels of K \(0.29 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}\) but in 2004 and 2005, \(L. \text{ leucocephala}\) alley plots had the highest K values of 0.31 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1} and 0.42 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1} respectively. Based on Landon \(1991\) ratings Mg was high in the \(G. \text{ sepium}\) and \(C. \text{ cajan}\) plots \(2003\), higher in the \(G. \text{ sepium}, C. \text{ cajan}\) and fertilizer plots in 2004 but highest in all the alley and fertilizer plots in 2005. Exchangeable Ca was only high in the \(G. \text{ sepium}\) and \(C. \text{ cajan}\) in 2005. The increased exchangeable bases probably brought about increase in the soil pH. The ECEC levels increased above the pre-planting values in all the treatments of 2003-2005. In 2003 and 2004, the ECEC values were highest in the \(G. \text{ sepium}\) plots and the increase over the pre-planting was 24\% and 27\% for the two years respectively. In 2005, \(C. \text{ cajan}\) alley plots had the highest ECEC value of 15.15 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}. This was 42\% higher than the level obtained in the pre-planting. These results showed that the alley cropping system improved ECEC levels within the period of study more than any other treatments. The ECEC level in this work was a reflection of the organic matter content of the soil. Increase in the organic matter values in all treatments were correspondingly followed with increased ECEC.

Total exchangeable acidity decreased in all the alley plots compared with the pre-planting values. The lowest total exchangeable acidity of 2.07 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1} occurred in the \(C. \text{ cajan}\) alley plots in 2005. The incorporation of \(C. \text{ cajan}\) pruning reduced the total exchangeable acidity by 22\% from the pre-planting values. This result explained the rise in the pH of soils of the \(C. \text{ cajan}\) alley plots to pH 6.1 in 2005. Fertilized plots gave the highest total exchangeable acidity of 4.13 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}. The use of fertilizer rather raised the total exchangeable acidity by 12\% over the pre-planting. The exchangeable \(\text{Al}^{3+}\) decreased over time in all the alley plots. The lowest exchangeable \(\text{Al}^{3+}\) occurred in the \(C. \text{ cajan}\) \(0.92 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}\).
mol kg$^{-1}$) in 2005. Fertilized and control plots varied in exchange acidity and exchangeable Al$^{3+}$. Values obtained in 2003 and 2004 were correspondingly higher than that in 2005. In the fertilized plots, the high levels of total exchangeable acidity and exchangeable Al$^{3+}$ could have contributed to the decrease in pH. These results agreed with reports that the application of inorganic fertilizer could alter the chemical properties of soils (Enwezor et al., 1990 and Ulluwishwea, 1991).

**CONCLUSION**

The results obtained in this study showed that all the treatments applied improved the soil chemical properties over the pre-planting. However, more plant nutrients were gradually built up in the alley cropping system plots than in the fertilizer and control. Therefore the pruning of the legume species incorporated into the soil under the alley cropping system reduced the rate of soil nutrient depletion and increased the productive base of the soil through nutrient released during decomposition of the pruning.
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