Prognostic significance of COX-2 and β-catenin in colorectal carcinoma
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Abstract  Background: The high prevalence of colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is a driver to understand the underlying molecular mechanisms. Chemoprevention strategy using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) revealed that these drugs suppress colorectal carcinoma. The best known targets of NSAIDs are cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes. The function of prostaglandins and cyclooxygenase in cancer pathogenesis is unclear. COX-2 regulation of proliferation, apoptosis, and tumor-blood vessel interaction has been suggested. β-Catenin is a component of the WNT (wingless type) signaling pathway, increased protein concentrations promote transcription of genes important in regulating the cell cycle.

Aim: To determine the significance of COX-2 and β-catenin expression in colorectal carcinogenesis and prognosis.

Patients and methods: Thirty patients with colorectal carcinomas treated by colonic resection were studied for the expression of both COX-2 and β-catenin by immunohistochemistry. Their expression was interpreted in relation to adjacent normal colonic mucosa and analyzed in correlation with various clinicopathologic parameters and patient’s survival after a follow up period of 24 months.

Results: Our results showed that in normal adjacent colonic mucosa, COX-2 was completely absent, whereas β-catenin was specifically located in the plasma membranes. Both proteins were expressed in tumorous tissues, COX-2 showed diffuse cytoplasmic positivity, whereas β-catenin accumulated in both the cytoplasm and nuclei. We established statistically significant relationships between pathological grade and both β-catenin, and COX-2 positivity scores, being at the higher end for poorly-differentiated tumors. β-Catenin expression also correlated significantly with higher tumor stage and LN metastasis. Both COX-2 and β-catenin expression correlated with a higher incidence of shorter disease free survival.
1. Introduction

Adenocarcinoma of the colon and rectum is the second leading cause of death from cancer in the industrialized world. In Egypt, Colorectal carcinoma is the third most common tumor in males after urinary bladder and lymphohemopoietic malignancies, and in females it ranks fifth after breast, lymphohemopoietic, cervical, and urinary bladder cancers. Recently interest in Egyptian CRC has been raised when clinical studies revealed a high incidence of the disease among the young Egyptian population. Occurrence of colorectal carcinoma at young age in Egypt could reflect the presence of clinically inapparent inherited syndromes, furthermore there is a high prevalence of consanguinity in Egypt because of the tradition of interfamilial marriages and this cultural characteristic could contribute to non-syndromic inherited predisposition.

Several epidemiological researches reported a 40–50% decrease in the relative risk of colorectal cancer in persons chronically using non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) indicating that these drugs might have a chemoprotective and possibly chemotherapeutic effect. The best known targets of NSAIDs are cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes, which convert arachidonic acid to prostaglandins (PGs) and thromboxane. Among these PGs, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) can promote tumor growth by binding its receptors and activating signal pathways which control cell proliferation, migration, apoptosis, and angiogenesis. Therefore, COX inhibition is a promising approach for chemoprevention of colorectal cancer. Unlike COX-1, which is found constitutively in tissues, COX-2 expression is induced by a variety of mediators, including among others β-catenin.

β-Catenin plays an important role in the WNT signaling pathway. Mutations in Wnt/adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)/β-catenin (CTNNB1) signaling pathway members have been found in many colorectal carcinomas. Wnt ligands bind to transmembrane Frizzled receptors and their co-receptors, leading to phosphorylation and sequestration of the complex composed of APC, casein kinase 1, glycogen synthase kinase 3, and axin. The resultant stabilization of intracellular CTNNB1 facilitates its translocation to the nucleus, where it interacts with transcription factors of the T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer-binding factor, activating the targets controlling cell growth and differentiation. Therefore, the interaction with CTNNB1 has been considered to be essential for the tumor suppressor activity of APC. In addition, CTNNB1 is a multifunctional signaling protein, which also binds to E-cadherin, linking E-cadherin to actin filaments and promoting cell adhesion and differentiation and epithelial membrane transition (EMT). Down-regulation of the epithelial molecule E-cadherin, is a critical event in tumor invasion and a master programer of EMT. The molecules involved in EMT represent potential targets for pharmacological agents and open new avenues for the control of metastatic spread in the treatment of malignancies.

There is increasing evidence that COX-2 and β-catenin are often co-expressed in cancer cells. However, this coordinated over-expression and its role in colorectal carcinoma needs further investigations.

The present study was undertaken on 30 cases of colorectal carcinoma. The expression and cellular localization of both COX-2 and β-catenin using immunohistochemistry was performed to determine the correlation between them and their relation to various clinicopathological parameters and patient’s survival after a follow up period of 24 months.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Patients

The current study was carried out on a total of thirty patients with colorectal cancer admitted to the department of surgery, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University from February 2009 to February 2012. The patients were 21 male and 9 female with a male/female ratio of 2.33:1 and a mean age of 52 years (range, 32–70 years).

Thirteen patients had right colon cancer (5 patients had cancer cecum and 8 patients had ascending colon cancer) and 17 patients had left colon cancer (7 patients had descending colon cancer, 7 patients had sigmoid cancer and 3 patients had rectosigmoid cancer). None of the patients had received neoadjuvant therapy prior to surgery and none had a known family history of colorectal cancer. All patients included in this study underwent routine laboratory investigations, radiological investigations (Barium enema, C.T. abdomen) as well as colonoscopic examination and biopsy to confirm malignancy. The surgical procedures performed to our patients were right hemicolectomy for patients with right sided colon cancer, left hemicolectomy for patients with left sided colon cancer and anterior resection for patients with sigmoid and rectosigmoid cancer.

After surgery, all patients were referred to cancer management and research department for adjuvant treatment which was given based on the pathological stage and different risk factors and were followed up for 24 months at the Oncology unit, while their corresponding colectomy specimens were examined at the Pathology department; all departments are affiliated to the Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, Egypt.

2.2. Methods

In the pathology department, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded paired tissue sections from colon carcinoma and adjacent non-malignant mucosa were obtained from each case.
Hematoxylin and eosin-stained tissue sections were examined under a light microscope and the histological type of colorectal cancer was determined according to the World Health Organization criteria. Tumors were classified into well, moderately and poorly differentiated tumors (> 50% solid areas) and the T classification based on the criteria of classification of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC).18 was performed. According to the AJCC classification, T1 (tumor has invaded the lamina propria or muscle layer), T2 (tumor has invaded the perimuscular connective tissue; no extension beyond the serosa or into the liver), T3 (tumor has perforated the serosa or has directly invaded one adjacent organ or both) T4 (tumor extends more than 2 cm into the liver, and/or into two or more adjacent organs), respectively.

Respective tissue blocks were then sectioned at 4 μm and mounted on glass slides coated with 3-amino propyltriethoxysilane and stained immunohistochemically.

2.2.1. Primary antibodies

Two primary antibodies were used; anti-COX-2 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Thermo Scientific, Ferment, USA), used at a 1:50 dilution and anti-β-catenin rabbit monoclonal antibody (clone E247; Thermo Scientific, Ferment, USA), used at a 1:20 dilution.

2.2.2. Immunohistochemistry

Prior to immunohistochemical staining, sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated using standard procedures. Antigen retrieval was performed using heat treatment; endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min. To block non-specific antigen sites, tissue sections were incubated for 1 h in 1.5% bovine serum albumin at room temperature. Incubation with the primary antibodies was performed at room temperature for 30 min with anti-COX-2 and anti-β-catenin. After the primary antibody incubation step, a secondary antibody from a streptavidin biotin complex peroxidase kit (LSAB® + kit, Dako, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Peroxidase activity was developed with the substrate 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Dako) by incubating the sections in DAB for 10 min. Sections were then rinsed gently with distilled water and counterstained with hematoxylin.

Appropriate positive and negative controls were included in each run of immunohistochemistry. Negative controls were prepared simultaneously for all 30 samples by replacing the primary antibody with distilled water. Positive staining controls for COX-2 included sections of lung carcinoma. For β-catenin normal colonic epithelial cells served as internal positive controls with membrane staining. External positive control was sections from breast carcinoma.

2.2.3. Immunohistochemical scoring

Processed specimens were scored under the light microscope. A method taking into consideration both intensity and distribution of COX-2 immunoreactivity was employed. The distribution was scored according to the number of positive cells; none (not stained), 0; focal (< 1/3 of cells stained), 1; multi-focal (1/3–2/3 of cells stained), 2; and diffuse (>2/3 stained), 3. The staining intensity was scored as: none (not stained), 0; mild (between 0 and 2), 1; and strong, 2. The distribution and intensity scored were added to produce the following grades for the staining: 0, negative; 2–3 weakly positive; and 4–5, strongly positive.

As previously described by Jass et al.,22 scoring of β-catenin was based upon the distribution of β-catenin within the cell membrane (0–1), cytoplasm (0–2), and nuclei (0–2). We also calculated β-catenin activation score as the sum of nuclear score (+2 = positive expression; +1 = weak expression; 0 = no expression), cytoplasmic score (+2 = positive expression; +1 = weak expression; 0 = no expression), and membrane score (0 = positive membrane expression; +1 = negative membrane expression). Total scores were then collapsed into three grades (grade I, 0–1; grade II, 2–3; grade III, 4–5), with a total score of 0 reflecting cell membrane staining only, similar to that seen in normal colonic mucosa, up to an aggregate score of 5 for tumors with strong nuclear staining (2), diffuse cytoplasmatic staining (2), and loss of cell membrane staining (1).

2.2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows version 10.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

The relation between COX-2 and β-catenin immunohistochemical scores and various clinicopathological features as well as survival rates were analyzed using the Fisher Exact test, Monte Carlo test or chi-squared test. The Spearman rank correlation test was used to analyze the correlation of β-catenin and COX-2 expression with tumor grade. An association between COX-2 and β-catenin expressions was tested using the chi-squared test. Significant difference between β-Catenin expression-grades in regard to high COX-2 expression scores was identified using Z test.

Disease free survival (DFS) was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death/progression or the date of last seen. The prognostic significance of Cox-2 and β-catenin was analyzed by univariate and multivariate analysis for prognostic significance for 24 months of DFS. Multivariate analysis was carried out using the Cox proportional hazard model. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

Thirty patients with colorectal carcinoma were included in the present work. They consisted of 21 males and 9 females with ages ranging from 32 to 70 years (mean 52 years).

Table 1 shows the clinicopathological features of the 30 patients with colorectal carcinomas studied.

Histopathologic examination of colectomy specimens revealed that 26 cases were adenocarcinomas, three cases were mucinous adenocarcinoma and one case was signet ring cell carcinoma.

Of the 26 adenocarcinomas, 5 (16.6%) were well differentiated, 14 (46.6%) were moderately differentiated, and 7 (23.3%) were poorly differentiated.

3.1. Analysis of COX-2 immunoreactivity

Normal colonic epithelium and stroma adjacent to the tumor tissue showed no staining for COX-2 (Fig. 1A).
Immunohistochemically detectable COX-2 expression has been reported in all 30 (100%) colorectal carcinomas analyzed. In all positive cases, COX-2 immunoreactivity pattern was located diffusely in the cytoplasm. Weak positivity was found in 7 cases (23.3%) (Fig. 1B). Strong positivity with perinuclear accentuation (Fig. 1C) or granular cytoplasmic pattern (Fig. 1D and E) was observed in 23 cases (76.6%). COX-2 expression in tumourous areas was reported both in the neoplastic epithelial cells, as well as in the surrounding inflammatory cells, vascular endothelial cells, smooth muscle fibers, nerve fibers and fibroblasts (Fig. 1C–H). Two cases of mucinous adenocarcinoma showed strong positivity and one case showed weak positivity (Fig. 1H). On the other hand the case of signet ring cell carcinoma showed weak COX-2 positivity.

3.2. Analysis of β-catenin immunoreactivity

In normal tissue adjacent to colorectal carcinoma, β-catenin was mainly localized in the plasma membrane of the cell-to-cell border with a weak expression in the cytoplasm of both the colonic epithelium and goblet cells. No nuclear β-catenin was seen in the normal colonic mucosa (Fig. 2A).

In colorectal carcinoma tissue, β-catenin immunoreactivity was detected in all 30 samples. Complete loss of β-catenin immunoreactivity in the cell-to-cell border was observed in 12 cases (Fig. 2B). β-Catenin immunostaining reactivity of grade II was observed in 18 cases (60%) (Fig. 2C). Grade III β-catenin immunoreactivity in both the cytoplasm and nuclei of tumor cells was seen in 12 cases (40%) (Fig. 2D). Inflammatory cells, stromal cells, nerve fibers and endothelial cells all were β-catenin negative (Fig. 2A–E). The three cases of mucinous adenocarcinoma showed grade II β-catenin immunostaining, whereas the case of signet ring cell carcinoma showed grade III positivity (Fig. 2F).

3.2.1. Statistical analysis

The relation between both COX-2 and β-catenin expression and various clinicopathological parameters is summarized in Table 2.

A significant increase in number of cases having stronger COX-2 and β-catenin positivity was found in higher histologic grades of colorectal carcinoma ($p = 0.026$ and $0.032$, respectively). However no significant relation was found between COX-2 scores and other clinicopathological parameters, including, depth of invasion, lymph node metastasis, or tumor stage. Grade III β-catenin expression was significantly more frequent in male patients ($p = 0.004$), Lt sided tumors ($p = 0.002$), higher histologic grade ($p = 0.032$) and stage ($p = 0.002$) as well as in node positive tumors ($p = 0.002$).

A significant correlation was found between both COX-2 and β-catenin expression on one hand and histologic grade on the other hand ($p = 0.013$ and 0.014, respectively).

COX-2 expression was significantly associated with β-catenin expression ($\chi^2$ test = 5, $p = 0.05$). All Grade III β-catenin immunostaining were strongly positive for COX-2 and all weakly positive cases for COX-2 had grade II immunostaining for β-catenin. β-Catenin grade III positive tumors showed a significantly higher frequency of strong positive expression of COX-2 (scores $> 4$) than did β-catenin grade II positive tumors (100% vs. 66.6%, $Z = 2.24$, $P = 0.05$).

3.2.2. Prognosis in relation to immunostaining results

The follow-up time was 24 months after surgery.

Disease free survival and COX-2 expression; weakly versus strongly positive (Fig. 3):

Survival analysis for all patients showed that COX-2 expression was associated with disease free survival; i.e., shorter disease free survival was identified in patients with strong COX-2 expression scores ($p < 0.002$).

Fig. 3 DFS curves according to COX-2 expression scores.

The higher the score of COX-2 immunohistochemical expression, the lower the percentage of DFS.

Disease free survival and β-catenin expression; Grade II versus Grade III (Fig. 4):

Survival analysis for all patients showed that β-catenin expression was associated with disease free survival; where shorter disease free survival was noted in patients with β-catenin grade III scores ($p < 0.005$).

Fig. 4 DFS curves according to β-catenin expression grades.

The higher β-catenin grade of immunostaining, the lower the percentage of disease free survival.

Table 3 shows the relation between COX-2 and β-catenin expression and patient’s outcome by the end of follow up period of 24 months.

Both COX-2 and β-catenin expressions were related to disease free survival with significantly higher rates of recurrence and death in the patients with strong COX-2 and grade III β-catenin expression ($p < 0.006$ and 0.001, respectively).

Univariate analysis showed a highly significant difference in DFS between weak and strong COX-2 expression and also
between Grade II and III β-catenin expression (p = 0.002). However the statistical significance in univariate analysis could not be confirmed through multivariate analysis (p = 0.390 and 0.129, respectively) (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Carcinogenesis and development of colorectal cancer are multi-step and multi-stage processes involving cumulative effects of many genes and epigenetic alterations (e.g., DNA hypomethylation).24

In this work the expression of both COX-2 and β-catenin was studied in 30 patients with colorectal carcinoma by immunohistochemistry. Their expression was correlated with various clinicopathologic parameters and with patient’s disease free survival after a follow up period of 24 months.

Evidence suggests that NSAIDs reduce the risk of CRC and that this effect is mediated through COX-2 inhibition.5,10 COX-2 has fatty acid oxygenase activity for the synthesis of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid. Previous studies have reported that prostaglandin production is generally enhanced in cancer cells, and that prostaglandins promote the proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells. Thus, COX-2 induction has the potential to promote tumor growth and progression.25

Several studies have shown that the COX-2 expression is elevated in colorectal cancer when compared with normal
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Figure 1  Immunohistochemical staining for COX-2 (X400). (A) Absent COX-2 staining in normal colonic tissue adjacent to tumorous area. (B) Well differentiated adenocarcinoma showing weak cytoplasmic positivity. (C) Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma showing strong, perinuclear cytoplasmic immunoreactivity. (D) Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma with strong granular cytoplasmic positivity, note positive staining of luminal inflammatory cells and stromal cells. (E) Strong positivity in a case of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. (F) Perineural invasion with positivity of tumor cells, stromal and neural cells. (G) Positive immunostaining of smooth muscle fibers and stromal cells surrounding the tumor tissue. (H) Strong positivity in a case of mucinous adenocarcinoma. Note positive staining of endothelial cells.
Our results confirmed these previous observations where COX-2 protein was detected in all of colorectal carcinomas – with different scores of positivity – and was absent in the adjacent normal colorectal tissue. In the literature, increased COX-2 expression was detected in other tumors, and was believed to be involved in their pathogenesis. Therefore our results suggest that increased expression of COX-2 protein correlates with colorectal carcinogenesis.

In agreement with others, no significant correlation was found in this work, between COX-2 expression scores and many clinicopathological parameters, including gender, age, tumor localization, depth of tumor invasion, lymph node metastasis, or stage. However, we did find a significant negative correlation between the expression of COX-2 and the degree of tumor differentiation \((p = 0.026)\). Others reported that COX-2 expression is related to lymph node involvement and Duke’s stage. These discrepancies may be related to the use in this study of the TNM staging system or to the different scoring systems and antibodies employed in immunohistochemistry.

In line with previous publications, we did find in this study a strong positivity of stromal cells of the tumorous areas to COX-2 in contrast to their complete negativity in the normal adjacent mucosa. This important finding suggests that COX-2 might be a mediator of tumor epithelial-stromal interactions in colorectal carcinoma. Therefore it could be possible to eliminate the growth and invasive progression promoting effects of stromal fibroblasts by the use of NSAIDs especially COX-2 inhibitors for treatment and prevention of colorectal carcinoma.

It has been demonstrated that COX-2 is one of several genes that are transcriptionally activated by \(\beta\)-catenin. In this study, \(\beta\)-catenin localization was different in cancer cells and normal mucosal cells. Normal colonic epithelial cells showed strong uniform membranous \(\beta\)-catenin immunostaining at the cell-cell junction. The localization of \(\beta\)-catenin immunoreactivity to the plasma membrane and cell to cell border of the normal colonic mucosa is consistent with the findings of Iwamoto et al. where they stated that the cytoplasmic tail of \(\beta\)-catenin binds to E-cadherin and, indirectly, to the cytoskeleton, so it is localized to the adherens' junction of the cell-to-cell plasma membrane. Formation of multiprotein complexes consisting of proteins such as APC, axin and \(\beta\)-catenin makes \(\beta\)-catenin a target for degradation, so that no cytoplasmic or nuclear \(\beta\)-catenin will be detected in normal tissue. Other workers stated that localization of \(\beta\)-catenin, primarily to the apical-lateral cell membrane, signifies its role in cell adhesion.
**Table 2** Relation of COX-2 and β-catenin expression with various clinicopathologic parameters studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>COX-2 Weak</th>
<th></th>
<th>COX-2 Strong</th>
<th></th>
<th>β-Catenin II</th>
<th></th>
<th>β-Catenin III</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69.56</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69.56</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEp</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>42.85</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>57.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEp</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>88.23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61.53</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEp</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57.14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCp</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mucoid</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEp</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91.66</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEp</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LNs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 + 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>91.66</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEp</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEp: *p* value for Fisher exact test.
MCp: *p* value for Monte Carlo test.
* Statistically significant at *p* ≤ 0.05.

**Figure 3** COX-2 expression curve in relation to disease free survival (24 months).

In our series, β-catenin expression was altered in all primary colorectal carcinomas studied (maintained or lost membranous + cytoplasmic or nuclear positivity). This aberrant distribution of β-catenin inferred from cytoplasmic or nuclear distribution which was sometimes also cell–cell adhesion-deficient reflects either an ineffective β-catenin or

**Figure 4** β-Catenin expression curve in relation to disease free survival (24 months).
loss of β-catenin connection to the cytoskeleton. Observations that are documented in other reports, where they thought that an abnormally high amount of β-catenin in the cytoplasm and not in the intercellular boundary seems to indicate a β-catenin protein with oncogenic potential. This cytoplasmic and nuclear accumulations of β-catenin suggest enhanced transcription and activation of the target genes (such as c-myc, cyclin D1 and matrilysin) which are responsible for tumor proliferation and malignant progression through interaction with members of the TCF/LEF DNA-binding family.

In agreement with other workers, we reported a higher expression of β-catenin in males (p = 0.004). On the other hand we did not find any correlation between β-catenin expression and patient’s age. A significant association between Lt sided tumors and stronger β-catenin expression was noted in our study (p = 0.002) a finding previously reported by others.

Grade III expression of β-catenin that we observed correlated significantly with deteriorating tumor grade (p = 0.032), findings that are supported by other publications. However others reported lower expression of β-catenin in high grade tumors. These discrepancies could be due to the use in our study of a different β-catenin scoring system of immunostaining. Furthermore, a significant association between grade III β-catenin expression in colorectal carcinomas, and advanced tumor stage (depth of invasion) was noted [p < 0.002]. Where, the more deeply invasive tumors (IIIB) showed higher grade expression of β-catenin than superficial tumors (IIA). Similar findings have been reported previously both in CRC and in other types of human carcinoma. In the present series also and in agreement with others, high grade expression of β-catenin was associated with lymph node metastasis (p = 0.002).

In line with our results some workers recommended the use of β-catenin as a marker of colorectal tumor progression and explained it by loss of β-catenin-mediated cell–cell adhesion, and polarity and activation of genes necessary for invasion and dissociation.

A positive association between the COX-2 and β-catenin was observed in the current work (p < 0.05). Furthermore, β-catenin grade III positive tumors showed a significantly higher frequency of strongly COX-2 positive tumors than did β-catenin grade II positive tumors (P = 0.05). In addition their expressions were correlated with higher colorectal adenocarcinoma grade. These relationships are supported by another

### Table 3

Relation between COX-2 and β-catenin and patient’s outcome at the end of 24 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survival</th>
<th>COX-2</th>
<th>β-Catenin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of 24 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEp: p value for Fisher Exact test. MCP: p value for Monte Carlo test. * Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05.

### Table 4

Univariate and multivariate analysis of clinicopathologic parameters and COX-2 and β-catenin status as predictors of DFS in colorectal carcinoma patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM Stage</td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-catenin</td>
<td>FE</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables were subgrouped as follows: Age ≤50 years compared with >50 years; site Lt vs. Rt; Grades I–II compared with grade III; Stage IIA vs. IIIB, COX-2 weak versus strong; β-catenin grade II expression versus grade III. LNs involved were not added to the parameters studied being included in the TNM stage. Only variables with p < 0.05 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. FE: Fisher Exact test. t = Student t-test. MW: Mann Whitney test. * Statistically significant at p ≤ 0.05.
study\textsuperscript{43} who stated that colonic adenocarcinoma is characterized by correlated cellular expression of COX-2 and β-catenin and that a neoplastic COX-2/β-catenin positive phenotype may be linked to colorectal cancer progression. The PGE\textsubscript{2}, produced by COX-2, rapidly causes transactivation of EGFR, which triggered the ERK2-mitogenic signaling pathway in a colon cancer cell line.\textsuperscript{46} Furthermore, PGE\textsubscript{2} increases the invasiveness of colon cancer cells by trans-activating c-Met and increasing the tyrosine phosphorylation of β-catenin.\textsuperscript{47} A recent study has also shown that β-catenin stabilizes COX-2 mRNA by interacting with AU-rich elements in a 3 V untranslated region.\textsuperscript{48} Others\textsuperscript{49} concluded that the pCOX-2-0.8 minimal promoter contains a novel functional T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor (TCF/LEF)-response element (TBE SITE II; -689/-684) that responds directly to enhanced Wnt/β-catenin signaling and which may be important for the onset/progression of gastric cancer. Considering these data, it may be possible that COX-2 and β-catenin may form a positive feedback loop.

In agreement with others\textsuperscript{42,50–52} a strong COX-2 and grade III β-catenin expression were associated with shorter disease free survival (p < 0.002 and 0.001, respectively); where patients with higher scores of expression had poorer disease free survival at the end of a follow up period of 24 months. Both COX-2 and β-catenin expression were related to patient’s disease free survival in the present study. A significantly lower frequency of disease free survivors was noted among patients having strong COX-2 and grade III β-catenin expression (p < 0.006 and 0.001, respectively). Furthermore, in univariate analysis patients with a strong COX-2 expression and grade III have a shorter DFS than those with weak COX-2 and grade II β-catenin expression (p = 0.002). However this significance could not be maintained in multivariate analysis. This means either that they are not independent poor prognostic factors or it might simply be due to the restricted number of patients in our study (30 cases).

5. Conclusion

From the present work, it can be concluded that, the detection of diffuse COX-2 cytoplasmic expression only in colorectal carcinoma and increased expression scores in higher tumor grades implicate that COX-2 might be an early marker of neoplastic transformation involved in both initiation and progression of colorectal carcinoma.

The alterations in the expression and cellular localization of β-catenin occurs early in colorectal tumorigenesis and becomes more strongly expressed in high-grade, deeply invasive and lymph node metastatic tumors suggesting that β-catenin expression may add prognostic information to standard clinico-pathological parameters that can be used in selecting candidates for closer follow up and aggressive adjuvant therapy.

In our present study, COX-2 showed significant association with the expression of β-catenin in colorectal carcinoma, suggesting a coordinated local interaction between these molecules to potentiate the growth and invasion of colorectal carcinoma. Therefore they could be used to select patients that might benefit from new pharmacological agents and gene therapy targeting both prostaglandin and β-catenin mediated growth pathways.

At present, whether COX-2 and β-catenin expressions are a valid prognostic marker for colorectal carcinoma remains controversial. Their relation to DFS was significant only in univariate but not multivariate analysis. So they might have the potential to be a poor predictor of prognosis. To elucidate this relationship, further investigations are required on a larger number of cases.
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