

Alexandria Journal of Medicine



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tajm20

Clinical and epidemiological significance of RT-PCR and non-structural glycoprotein-1 assays in the diagnosis of dengue virus infections

Idris Nasir Abdullahi, Abdurrahman El-fulaty Ahmad, Nafiu Faruku, Bamidele Soji Oderinde & Anthony Uchenna Emeribe

To cite this article: Idris Nasir Abdullahi, Abdurrahman El-fulaty Ahmad, Nafiu Faruku, Bamidele Soji Oderinde & Anthony Uchenna Emeribe (2020) Clinical and epidemiological significance of RT-PCR and non-structural glycoprotein-1 assays in the diagnosis of dengue virus infections, Alexandria Journal of Medicine, 56:1, 1-3, DOI: 10.1080/20905068.2019.1700334

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/20905068.2019.1700334

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.	Published online: 11 Dec 2019.
Submit your article to this journal 🗹	Article views: 1631
View related articles 🗹	View Crossmark data
Citing articles: 1 View citing articles	

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

REVIEW ARTICLE



Clinical and epidemiological significance of RT-PCR and non-structural glycoprotein-1 assays in the diagnosis of dengue virus infections

Idris Nasir Abdullahi 🕞 , Abdurrahman El-fulaty Ahmad , Nafiu Faruku , Bamidele Soji Oderinde and Anthony Uchenna Emeribe (D°

^aDepartment of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Medical Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria; ^bDepartment of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria; Department of Medical Laboratory Science, University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Background: Due to the rapid geographic expansion, dengue has attracted much global attention. Hence, many research outputs have emanated from clinical and epidemiological studies. However, most of these studies, especially those from low- and middle-income countries, heavily relied on enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Objective: In view of this, we sought to comment and remind dengue researchers within virology, immunology, and epidemiology disciplines regarding the limitations of ELISA protocols in establishing diagnosis of dengue virus (DENV) infections. Subsequently, we provided an update on the current diagnostic algorithm for dengue.

Method: Extensive literature search was done using special key words on "PubMed", "Scopus", "Web of Science" and "Hinari". Suitable articles were selected and subjected to scrutiny for inclusion in this study.

Result: It was discovered that over 90% of published articles from LMICs inferred about dengue mainly from available commercial serological kits, without further confirmation using more accurate, sensitive and specific protocols. In some instances (less than 5%), combination of either RNA positive and anti-DENV IgM or dengue NS1 and anti-DENV IGM were used to diagnose acute primary dengue; while presence anti-DENV IgG and DENV RNA were considered non-primary dengue.

Conclusion: In view of the limitations of every protocol used for investigations of dengue virus infections, its necessary to utilize appropriate combination tests to differentiate primary from non-primary dengue in order to generate reliable clinical and epidemiological inferences.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 3 October 2019 Accepted 26 November 2019

KEYWORDS

Dengue; diagnostic algorithm; laboratory protocol

1. Background

Dengue virus (DENV) is a dangerously reemerging and mosquito-borne flavivirus. Dengue (DEN) has been considered a neglected tropical disease by the World Health Organization because it has received insufficient public attention, thrives in tropical and subtropical areas, and mainly affects populations living in poverty [1]. DEN has rapidly spread for the past 60 years and now affects more than half of the world's population. DENV transmission and geographic expansion are expected to rise due to increased international travels, deforestation, industrialization, urbanization, and climatic changes.

Forty-five percent of infected people never had significant symptoms but can still infect mosquitoes, hence sustain the transmission cycle. Infection with DENV confers a serotype-specific and lifelong immunity that does not cross protect against other serotypes [2]. Subsequent exposure to different serotypes could lead to more clinically severe non-primary dengue that could be secondary, tertiary, or quaternary DEN, corresponding with the number of serotypes in the patient. These sequences of increase in incriminating serotypes among infected persons put them at high risk of developing dengue hemorrhagic/shock syndrome [2].

In the last 10 years, DENV infections have become hyperendemic in many countries, as such, several studies have been conucted to ascertain the epidemiology and diagnosis of DEN. Epidemiologically, it is important to have accurate prevalence data of acute dengue virus infection in any setting, as this will assist to speculate the possibility of human-to-mosquito-human transmission of DEN. However, so many studies, especially those from low- and middle-income countries, use commercial anti-DENV IgM and IgG enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as test protocol for reporting primary and secondary dengue. Based on this, we aimed to provide comments and thus elucidate the recent recommendation test protocols for the diagnosis of dengue.

2. Clinical updates of dengue diagnosis

Our comment with respect to the use of enzyme immunoassay protocols stems from the desire to remind virologists, immunologists, and clinicians regarding the possible cross-reaction of ELISA with antigens or antibodies of other viruses co-circulating with dengue [3,4], especially, if IgM/IgG reactive samples are not confirmed by plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT). PRNT is the U.S. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) serology gold standard. It is a quantitative assay that measures viral-specific neutralizing antibody titers for DENV, at set tissue culture infective dose 50% (TCID50) [5]. Thus, the major epidemiological concern is the reliability of data generated from studies that solely utilized immunoglobulin-based serology in reporting DENV prevalence data. Aside from the issues of limitation due to cross-reaction, anti-DENV IgM or IgG does not accurately determine acute or recent DENV infection and does not accurately differentiate primary from secondary DEN because no serotypic differentiation can be provided from ELISA.

Dengue, yellow fever, West Nile, and Zika viruses are closely related arthropod-borne flaviviruses with similar nucleotide sequence homology, similar transmission cycles, geographic distribution, and prodromal clinical symptoms including fever, rash, and musculoskeletal pains. IgM and IgG antibody tests are the readily available methods and often the first test of choice in the diagnosis of DENV infection in developing countries. There have been difficulties in the clinical and epidemiological applications of these test results, particularly when determining acute primary infection, as IgM does not rise to detectable level until day 5 after being infected by DENV [6]. For sera collected <7 days after the onset of symptoms, a combo of NAAT and IgM negative results suggests that the individual does not have recent DENV infection. Where there is no NAAT testing, a negative acute IgM antibody test might reflect specimen collection before the development of detectable antibodies, and this does not rule out DENV infection [5].

Moreover, because DENV IgM is often detectable several months after infection, determining the specific time of infection can be difficult, particularly among residents and those who frequently travel to places with risk for DENV [6]. With this, the DENV non-structural glycoprotein-1 (NS1) has been recommended as ideal or in conjunction with IgM in determining acute primary dengue when IgG is seronegative.

NS1 is a highly conserved glycoprotein that is needed for DENV replication and can be detected from the first day to 18 days after the appearance of fever [7]. Therefore, detection of DENV NS1 antigen represents a better approach for the diagnosis of acute DENV in primary infection. Primary DEN is defined when an individual is infected by one of the four serotypes of DENV at a time, while secondary DEN occurs when an individual has a history of DENV infection by two serotypes. Tertiary and quaternary are defined by DENV

infection with three or four serotypes, respectively [8]. With this, the ideal protocol for differentiating primary from non-primary DEN is the RT-PCR, probably using multiplex RT-PCR. Nucleic acid amplification tests can provide confirmed evidence of infection and distinguish the specific virus serotypes. Considering the aforementioned evidence, it is recommended that researchers should make use of recommended test protocols in establishing the presence or absence of dengue in any form of study.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes on contributors

Idris Nasir Abdullahi is a Lecturer and specializes in Medical Virology and committed to understanding Host-Pathogen-Environment Interaction with the aim to elucidate or discover strategies that could halt infectious cycle by targeting either the pathogen, vector or host immunological

Abdurrahman El-fulaty Ahmad is a Lecturer and specializes in Immunology of Infectious Diseases. Investigates Immunological processes using molecular and cell biology technologies.

Nafiu Faruku is a Lecturer and Specializes in Immunology of Infectious Diseases. Has keen interest on studying immune responses against and manipulations of intracellular bacterial infection

Bamidele Soji Oderinde is a Senior Lecturer and Medical Virologist. Studies tropical viral diseases using cell culture and metagenomic tools.

Anthony Uchenna Emeribe is a Lecturer and a specialist Microbial and Chemical Pathologist. Interested in metabolic and immune responses to Infectious diseases.

ORCID

Idris Nasir Abdullahi http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5511-

Anthony Uchenna Emeribe http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2937-8595

References

- [1] WHO. Emergencies preparedness, response. Chikungunya. 2018. Cited [2019 Sept 26]. Available from: https://www.who.int/csr/don/archive/disease/chikun gunya/en/
- [2] Reich NG, Shrestha S, King AA, et al. Interactions between serotypes of dengue highlight epidemiological impact of cross-immunity. J R Soc Interface. 2013;10:20130414.
- [3] Oladipo EK, Awoyelu EH, Oloke JK. Yellow fever, dengue fever and West Nile viruses co-circulation in Ogbomosho. Int J Med Dev Countries. 2018;2 (2):50-54.



- [4] Herrera BB, Chang CA, Hamel DJ, et al. Continued transmission of Zika virus in humans in West Africa, 1992-2016. J Infect Dis. 2017;215:1546-1550.
- [5] Sharp TM, Fischer M, Muñoz-Jordán JL, et al. Dengue and Zika virus diagnostic testing for patients with a clinically compatible illness and risk for infection with both viruses. Morb Mort Week Rep. 2019;68 (1):1-10.
- [6] Martin DA, Muth DA, Brown T, et al. Standardization of immunoglobulin M capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for routine
- diagnosis of arboviral infections. J Clin Microbiol. 2000;38:1823-1826.
- [7] Moi ML, Omatsu T, Tajima S, et al. Detection of dengue virus nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) by using ELISA as a laboratory diagnostic method for dengue virus infection of international travelers. J Travel Med. 2013;20(30):185-193.
- Waggoner JJ, Abeynayake J, Sahoo MK, et al. Multiplex, Real-time RT-PCR for the detection, quantitation, and serotyping of dengue viruses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(4):e2116.