

Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 3(2): 142 - 147 Received: August, 2010 Accepted: November, 2010 ISSN 2006 - 6996

INCIDENCE AND DISTRIBUTION OF HEAD AND LOOSE SMUTS OF SORGHUM (*S. BICOLOR* L. MOENCH) IN THE NIGERIAN SUDAN SAVANNA

* Emechebe², A.M., Aliyu¹, B.S., and Kutama¹, A.S.
 ¹Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Sciences. Bayero University. Kano
 ²Department of Crop protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Bayero University. Kano
 *Correspondence author: <u>kutamasak@yahoo.com</u>

ABSTRACT

In this study, field surveys were conducted (between October to November) in the 2008 and the same period in the 2009 agricultural years to determine the incidence, prevalence and severity of head and loose smuts of sorghum in the Sudan savanna agro-ecological zone of Nigeria. The surveys were conducted in three states, namely; Kano, Katsina and Jigawa states representing the Sudan savanna region during which farmers' fields were surveyed every 15-20 km on a road network. Disease incidence was obtained from a random sample of 100 plants each from three fields in an "X" or "W" pattern across each farm. Results of the study revealed that both head and loose smuts occurred in both years and in more than 84% of the fields surveyed. Incidence of both loose and head smuts varied significantly (P=0.05) between years and locations probably because of the variability of the pathogens and the little differences in climatic factors of the two years. The incidence of loose and head smuts in 2008 was 8.33% and 3.33%, respectively but both diseases were more prevalent and severe in Kano and Katsina states than Jigawa state. In 2009 on the other hand, head smut incidence was relatively higher averaging 5.00% compared to loose smut with mean incidence of 3.00%. However, prevalence and severity of the two diseases were still higher in Kano and Katsina states compared to jigawa state. It appeared that the incidence of the two smuts varied with the year, location and sorghum variety. The severity of the two smuts was generally low but taken together, could result into serious financial loss to farmers. So efforts should be made to find means of minimizing these losses.

Key words; survey, head and loose smuts, Sudan savanna, incidence

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] has been the most important staple food crop in the semi-arid regions of Africa both in terms of production and total land area put to cultivation (Purseglove, 1972; FAO, 2005; Daniel and Maria, 2000; Ngugi et al., 2002). In Nigeria, the crop ranks first among all other cereal crops cultivated in the savanna zone between latitude 8° and 14°N (Marley et al., 2002). Also, in the Sudanosahelian savanna zones, the cropping systems are mainly based on sorghum (Ogunbile et al., 1998; Marley et al., 2002). Nigeria is the largest producer of sorghum in Africa, producing about 8.0 million metric tones in 2004 (FAO, 2005). However, despite the importance of this crop, grain yields at farmers' levels are reported to be 1.3 t/ha due to limitations imposed by biotic and abiotic factors (Marley et al., 2002). Earlier reports by other workers on the cereal crops revealed that the great variations in the yield of the crop from one agro-ecological zone to another were due to soil factors, rainfall pattern and the adverse effect of pests, parasites and diseases (Remison, 1980; Akpa and Tsammani, 1993; Marley et al., 2002). For example, Akpa et al. (1996) reported 28 diseases affecting sorghum while Marley et al. (2002) reported 12 constraints of sorghum production in the Sudan savanna zones of Nigeria. Also, Ngugi et al. (2002) reported 15 diseases of sorghum in Kenya, and Ajayi (1989), Uvah and Alabi (1989) have reported several insect pests of sorghum while Emechebe et al.(1991) reported Striga as the main parasitic weed

of sorghum in West Africa. In general, sorghum suffers from more than 30 fungal diseases (USDA, 1960). Richardson (1990) listed 40 seed-borne fungal pathogens causing 32 different diseases in the crop such as downy mildew, moulds, and smuts, etc. The most common fungal disease of destructive nature which affects sorghum is smut. In Nigeria and the world over, four distinct smut diseases have been identified; they are covered kernel smut (Sporisorium sorghi, synonym: Sphacelotheca sorghi); loose kernel smut (Sporisorium cruentum synonym: Sphacelotheca cruenta); head smut (Sporisorium reilianum synonym: Sphacelotheca reiliana) and long smut (Soroposporium ehrinbergii synonym Tolyposporium ehrenbergii) (Frowd, 1980; Pande et al., 1993; Marley et al., 2002; Gwary et al., 2007).

The objective of this paper is to study the incidence, and distribution of loose and head smuts in the Sudan savanna agro-ecological zone of Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Survey of farmers' fields was conducted in three states, namely; Kano, Jigawa and Katsina states during the 2008 and 2009 rain-fed growing season at grain filling stage; when the diseased plants could easily be recognized. The three states are considered as representative of the Sudan savanna agro-ecological zone (AEZ) in terms of their bio-physical characteristics as well as their potential for high productivity of sorghum crop (Shaib *et al.*,1997).

Bajopas Volume 3 Number 2 December, 2010

During the two years, the incidence, severity and prevalence of the two smuts were studied. Farmers' fields were surveyed every 15-20 km along the road networks of Kano-Malumfashi road, Malumfashi-Katsina road, Kano-Katsina road, Kano-Hadejia road, Kano-Maiduguri road, Kano-Zaria road, and Kano-Kiru road (Bigirwa *et al.*, 1998; Olanya *et al.*, 1993; Kutama *et al.*, 2009). At every stop, three farmers fields of sole sorghum or sorghum as major crop in

Incidence (%) = <u>Number of diseased panicles/heads</u> Number of plants examined

The prevalence of the disease in the three states was obtained from the % incidence of the various locations in the states. Severity of the disease was based on the percentage of diseased panicles or heads for, the severity of smut is directly proportional to the number of panicles/heads infested (Sundaram, 1980). During the second year survey, all efforts were made to revisit the farmers' fields surveyed during the preceding season, i.e. during the first year. Where it happened that the field was grown with another crop, not sorghum, then the next field close to it was surveyed. During the first year (2008) survey, a total of 99 farmers' fields in 33 locations were surveyed. In the second survey (2009) however, 108 farmers' fields in 36 locations were surveyed. Apart from disease incidence other parameter determined during the study was the geographical location of the field using GPS 315, MANGELLAN 2000 model.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained on the incidence of the diseases was statistically analyzed with the use of SAS 9.2, version 2002-2007 Cary Institute, using the command PROC MEANS OPTION LSD;

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the 2008 survey, a total of 99 farmers' fields in 33 locations were surveyed while in the 2009 survey, 108 farmers' fields in 36 locations were surveyed for loose and head smuts symptoms. Head smut incidence was higher in 2009 than in 2008 (Table 1) particularly in Kano state (Table 2). However, the disease was observed in all the 33 locations (100%) in 2008 and in 34 out of the 36 (94.4%) locations surveyed in 2009 (Table 1). In this survey, the disease was especially severe on clay soils which were found in Kumbotso, Faskari, Makole and Wudil locations (Table 1). This agrees with the report by Sundaram (1980) that head smut was especially severe in the northern Sudan savanna region of Nigeria as was obtained in this study (Tables 2 and 3). However, Marley and Aba (1999) did not find head smut in the Sudan savanna of Nigeria up to a significant level relative to covered and loose smuts in the region. It appeared that the incidence of head smut is probably dependent on the season and the variety of sorghum cultivated. Selvaraj (1980) observed that although smuts are widespread diseases in all sorghum growing areas of Nigeria, they are more damaging on traditional cultivars than improved cultivars. Throughout this survey, it was noted that in virtually all the fields surveyed, local sorghum varieties were cultivated; these included

a mixture were surveyed, each field serving as replicate (Zarafi and Emechebe, 2005; Kutama *et al.*, 2009). Disease incidence was obtained by carefully counting the number of infected heads out of a random sample of 100 plants obtained by walking in an "X" or 'W" pattern across each of the farms surveyed. Incidence (%) was calculated for every location using the equation; as recommended by James (1974).

X100

local red Kaura, local white, Farafara, and Yalai. An early study suggested 8-10% yield loss due to head smut (King, 1970). The high incidence of head smut in many locations in 2009 obtained in most of the states surveyed might be due to the short period of drought during the growing season as reported by Selvaraj (1980) that long and head smuts might be serious in northern Nigeria in drought years probably because head smut pathogen (S. reilianum) is more virulent in this part of the region as demonstrated by Kutama et al., (2010). Reports of other workers suggest that these smuts might be more serious in late maturing than in early varieties of sorghum (Marley and Aba,1999; IPM, 2008; Gwary et al., 2007) which were the major sorghum varieties cultivated in the region noted in this study or; when seed dressing is not practiced (Bdliva, 2005). The severity of the diseases was generally low but more severe in some fields in 2009 (Table 3).

Comparatively, loose smut was observed in 29 out of the 33 (87.9%) locations surveyed (Table 4) in 2008 while head smut was observed in 28 (84.8%) of the 33 locations surveyed (Table 1). The incidence of loose smut was generally high this year (2008) with places such as Bagwai having up to 17% incidence (Table 4) which could be considered as an hot spot. In other places however, the incidence was low. In all the states surveyed in 2008, the incidence of loose smut was relatively higher in locations in Kano state (Table 5). The disease seemed to be more prevalent in Kano and Katsina states than in Jigawa state which had significantly higher incidence ($p \le 0.05$). This could be attributed to the fact that Kano and Katsina states received more rainfall in 2008 than Jigawa state and that early maturing sorghum varieties were usually been cultivated in Jigawa state as demonstrated earlier by Severaj (1980).

On the other hand, in 2009, 108 farmers' fields in 36 locations were surveyed out of which loose smut was found in 34 locations (94.4%). However, similar to the preceding year, the incidence of loose smut in 2009 was generally high ranging from 1 to 10% (Table 4) but lower than in 2008 despite the fact that more fields were surveyed in 2009. The distribution of the loose smut disease in 2009 (shown in table 6) revealed that the disease was still more in Kano and Katsina states than in Jigawa state. Similar results were obtained by Zarafi and Emechebe (2005) on pearl millet downy mildew and Kutama *et al.* (2009) on sorghum downy mildew in the Sudan and Sahel savanna zones.

Conclusion

The present study has shown that loose and head smuts occur in more than 84% of the fields surveyed in 2008 and 2009. Although the overall incidence of the two smuts was generally low, it is essential to uncover the fact that this could result into a serious financial loss by the farmers and an unrewarded

cultivation of large amount of agricultural land example; a loss of 1 % typically causes yield reduction of 4.1 million kg in Rajastan (India) and a financial loss of 2.1 million rupees (Sundaram,1980). In Nigeria, as far back as 1963, Haris (1963) estimated a 1.3 % loss due to covered smut at 500,000 or the unrewarded cultivation of 70,000 hectares of land.

Table 1. Incidence of sorghum head smut in the Sudan savanna zone of Nigeria in 2008 and 2009 surveys.

| S/N. | Location | State | GPS Coordina | ate | Disease | incidence |
|------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | | | (%) | |
| | | | Latitude | Longitude | 2008 | 2009 |
| 1. | Babura | GJ | 12 ⁰ 22.79N, | 005 ⁰ 30.560E | 4.67 | 7.33 |
| 2. | Bagwai | KN | 12 ⁰ 22.768N | 008 ⁰ 30.79E | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| 3. | Bengel | KT | 12 ⁰ 22.55N | 008 ⁰ 30.534E | 1.33 | 6.67 |
| 4. | B/kudu | JG | 10 ⁰ 48.892N | 009 ⁰ 48.56E | 3.00 | 4.33 |
| 5. | Bunkure | KN | 11 ⁰ 50.210N | 008 ⁰ 30.668E | 4.00 | 3.33 |
| 6 | Danbattat | KN | 12 ⁰ 11.573N | 008 ⁰ 24.368E | 2.67 | 2.33 |
| 7 | Dangora | KN | 11 ⁰ 37.508N | 008 ⁰ 20.468E | NS | 4.33 |
| 8 | Dazawa | KT | 12 ⁰ 28.671N | 007 ⁰ 27.930E | 3.00 | 3.33 |
| 9 | Dungurawa | KN | 120 ⁰ 6.298N | 008 ⁰ 24.948E | 4.00 | 3.66 |
| 10 | Faskari | KT | 11 ⁰ 47.501N | 007 ⁰ 03.712E | 5.00 | 4.00 |
| 11 | G/Mallam | KN | 11 ⁰ 37.508N | 008 ⁰ 23.571E | 4.00 | 4.67 |
| 12 | Gantsawa | KT | 12 ⁰ 1.115N | 008 ⁰ 38.092E | NS | 7.76 |
| 13 | Getso | KN | 11 ⁰ 57.032N | 007 ⁰ 57.175E | 3.00 | 5.33 |
| 14. | Gezawa | KN | 12007.158N | 008 ⁰ 42.977E | 1.33 | 3.33 |
| 15 | Gwaram | JG | 12 ⁰ 11.238N | 008 ⁰ 16.341E | 3.0 | 1.00 |
| 16 | Janguza | KN | 11 ⁰ 58.308N | 008 ⁰ 22.888E | 2.67 | 10.33 |
| 17 | K/huguma | KN | 11 ⁰ 48.423N | 008 ⁰ .033E | 4.33 | 6.00 |
| 18 | K/soli | KT | 12 ⁰ 30.405N | 007 ⁰ 4.588E | 4.67 | 0.00 |
| 19 | Kalfulde | KT | 12 ⁰ 18.200N | 007 ⁰ 27.324E | 5.00 | 7.00 |
| 20 | Kankara | KT | 11 ⁰ 56.75N | 007 ⁰ 24.645E | 3.00 | 2.33 |
| 21 | Kanye | KN | 11057.344N | 008 ⁰ 04.583E | 1.67 | 9.67 |
| 22 | Kazaure | JG | 12 ⁰ 03.234N | 007 ⁰ 52.234E | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| 23 | Kiru | KN | 11 ⁰ 28.247N | 008 ⁰ 12.31E | 0.33 | 8.33 |
| 24 | Kofa | KT | 12 ⁰ 27.119N | 11 ⁰ 28.830E | 1.33 | 0.33 |
| 25 | Kumbotso | KN | 11 ⁰ 56.178N | 005 ⁰ 26.414E | 4.00 | 10.67 |
| 26 | Kunya | KN | 12 ⁰ 12.238N | 008 ⁰ 34.866E | 1.00 | 8.67 |
| 27 | M/kankara | KT | 11 ⁰ 45.550N | 007 ⁰ 32.975E | 3.00 | 8.00 |
| 28 | Mainika | KN | 11 ⁰ 52.227N | 007 ⁰ 52.654E | NS | 5.00 |
| 29 | Makole | KN | 11049.128N | 008 ⁰ 42.318E | 0.67 | 6.33 |
| 30 | Minjibir | KN | 12 ⁰ 12.373N | 008 ⁰ 34.292E | 1.33 | 1.00 |
| 31 | R/Gado | KN | 11 ⁰ 58.622N | 008 ⁰ 15.658E | 0.33 | 9.00 |
| 32 | Shanono | KN | 12 ⁰ 04.914N | 008 ⁰ 01.375E | 1.33 | 4.67 |
| 33 | T/biri | KT | 12 ⁰ 06.550N | 007 ⁰ 28.830E | 5.00 | 9.33 |
| 34 | Wudil | KN | 11 ⁰ 51.843N | 008 ⁰ 42.411E | 3.00 | 8.67 |
| 35 | Yakasai | KT | 12 ⁰ 12.824N | 008 ⁰ 16.101E | 5.00 | 0.00 |
| 36 | Yammama | KT | 11 ⁰ 51.940N | 007 ⁰ 38.949E | 2.00 | 1.33 |
| | Mean | | | | 2.98 | 3.100 |
| | S.E.D. location | | | | 2.90 | 5.00 |
| | S.E.D. incidence | | | | 1.43 | 1.036 |
| | L.S.D. Location | | | | 6.54 | 6.180 |
| | L.S.D. incidence | | | | 3.11 | 2.055 |
| | NS = Not Surv | eyed KN=Kano, | KT= Katsina, JG=Ji | gawa. | | |

Table 2. Prevalence of Head smut in Kano, Katsina and Jigawa states in 2008 and 2009.

Prevalence % in:

| State | 2008 | 2009 | |
|---------|------|------|--|
| Kano | 2.45 | 5.80 | |
| Katsina | 3.67 | 4.55 | |
| Jigawa | 3.77 | 4.23 | |

Bajopas Volume 3 Number 2 December, 2010

| | | 9 | 6 Disease | Incid | ence and | d number of lo | ocation | | | |
|---------|-------|-----|-----------|-------|----------|----------------|---------|------|-----|-----|
| | | : | 2008 | | | | 20 | 09 | | |
| State | 0-0.9 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11 | -15 | 0-0.9 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11- | -15 |
| Kano | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 10 | 9 | 2 | |
| Katsina | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | |
| Jigawa | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | |
| Total | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 14 | 13 | 6 | 36 |

 Table 3.Number of locations in Kano, Katsina and Jigawa States with head smut and % incidence in 2008 and 2009.

| Table 4. Incidence of sorghum loose smut observed in the Sudan savanna zone of Nigeria in 2008 |
|--|
| and 2009. |

| S/N. | Location | State | GPS Coor | dinates | Disease in | Disease incidence (%) | | | |
|------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | Latitude | Longitude | 2008 | 2009 | | | |
| 1. | Babura | JG | 12 ⁰ 22.79N, | 005 ⁰ 30.560E | 0.00 | 2.33 | | | |
| 2. | Bagwai | KN | 12 ⁰ 22.768N | 008 ⁰ 30.79E | 17.00 | 1.33 | | | |
| 3. | Bengel | KT | 12 ⁰ 22.55N | 008 ⁰ 30.534E | 4.67 | 0.33 | | | |
| 4. | B/KUDU | JG | 10 ⁰ 48.892N | 009 ⁰ 48.56E | 1.00 | 2.00 | | | |
| 5. | Bunkure | KN | 11 ⁰ 50.210N | 008 ⁰ 30.668E | 10.33 | 2.00 | | | |
| 6 | Danbattat | KN | 12 ⁰ 11.573N | 008 ⁰ 24.368E | 6.67 | 1.33 | | | |
| 7 | Dangora | KN | 11 ⁰ 37.508N | 008 ⁰ 20.468E | NS | 2.00 | | | |
| 8 | Dazawa | KT | 12 ⁰ 28.671N | 007 ⁰ 27.930E | 3.33 | 1.00 | | | |
| 9 | Dungurawa | KN | 120 ⁰ 6.298N | 008 ⁰ 24.948E | 6.33 | 3.00 | | | |
| 10 | Faskari | KT | 11 ⁰ 47.501N | 007 ⁰ 03.712E | 6.00 | 4.7 | | | |
| 11 | G/Mallam | KN | 11 ⁰ 37.508N | 008 ⁰ 23.571E | 5.67 | 3.33 | | | |
| 12 | Gantsawa | KT | 12 ⁰ 1.115N | 008 ⁰ 38.092E | NS | 1.67 | | | |
| 13 | Getso | KN | 11 ⁰ 57.032N | 007 ⁰ 57.175E | 4.33 | 3.67 | | | |
| 14. | Gezawa | KN | 12007.158N | 008 ⁰ 42.977E | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | |
| 15 | Gwaram | JG | 12 ⁰ 11.238N | 008 ⁰ 16.341E | NS | 0.33 | | | |
| 16 | Janguza | KN | 11 ⁰ 58.308N | 008 ⁰ 22.888E | 13.33 | 3.33 | | | |
| 17 | K/huguma | KN | 11 ⁰ 48.423N | 008 ⁰ .033E | 4.67 | 0.00 | | | |
| 18 | K/soli | KT | 12 ⁰ 30.405N | 007 ⁰ 4.588E | 6.00 | 0.00 | | | |
| 19 | Kalfulde | KT | 12 ⁰ 18.200N | 007 ⁰ 27.324E | 4.00 | 4.33 | | | |
| 20 | Kankara | KT | 11 ⁰ 56.75N | 007 ⁰ 24.645E | 5.00 | 3.00 | | | |
| 21 | Kanye | KN | 11057.344N | 008 ⁰ 04.583E | 5.33 | 4.00 | | | |
| 22 | Kazaure | JG | 12 ⁰ 03.234N | 007 ⁰ 52.234E | 7.00 | 1.67 | | | |
| 23 | Kiru | KN | 11 ⁰ 28.247N | 008 ⁰ 12.31E | 3.33 | 2.33 | | | |
| 24 | Kofa | KT | 12 ⁰ 27.119N | 11 ⁰ 28.830E | 3.00 | 4.33 | | | |
| 25 | Kumbotso | KN | 11 ⁰ 56.178N | 005 ⁰ 26.414E | 11.33 | 6.00 | | | |
| 26 | Kunya | KN | 12 ⁰ 12.238N | 008 ⁰ 34.866E | 0.00 | 6.00 | | | |
| 27 | M/kankara | KT | 11 ⁰ 45.550N | 007 ⁰ 32.975E | 1.67 | 6.00 | | | |
| 28 | Mainika | KN | 11 ⁰ 52.227N | 007 ⁰ 52.654E | NS | 1.33 | | | |
| 29 | Makole | KN | 11049.128N | 008 ⁰ 42.318E | 11.00 | 4.00 | | | |
| 30 | Minjibir | KN | 12 ⁰ 12.373N | 008 ⁰ 34.292E | 3.00 | 3.67 | | | |
| 31 | R/Gado | KN | 11 ⁰ 58.622N | 008 ⁰ 15.658E | 9.33 | 3.67 | | | |
| 32 | Shanono | KN | 12 ⁰ 04.914N | 008 ⁰ 01.375E | 1.67 | 3.00 | | | |
| 33 | T/biri | KT | 12 ⁰ 06.550N | 007 ⁰ 28.830E | 7.00 | 1.67 | | | |
| 34 | Wudil | KN | 11 ⁰ 51.843N | 008 ⁰ 42.411E | 6.33 | 3.67 | | | |
| 35 | Yakasai | KT | 12 ⁰ 12.824N | 008 ⁰ 16.101E | 0.00 | 1.67 | | | |
| 36 | Yammama | KT | 11 ⁰ 51.940N | 007 ⁰ 38.949E | 2.00 | 3.33 | | | |
| | Mean | | | | 5.04 | 3.08 | | | |
| | S.E.D. location | | | | 3.01 | 2.98 | | | |
| | S.E.D. incidence | | | | 5.08 | 3.23 | | | |
| | L.S.D. Location | | | | 3.45 | 2.76 | | | |
| | L.S.D. incidence | | | | 3.12 | 2.87 | | | |
| | NS = Not Surv | eyed, KN=Kand | o, KT= Katsina, JG: | =Jigawa. | | | | | |

Table 5. Prevalence of loose smut in Kano, Katsina and Jigawa states in 2008 and 2009.

| | % Prevalence | e in: | |
|---------|--------------|-------|--|
| State | 2008 | 2009 | |
| Kano | 6.30 | 3.10 | |
| Katsina | 4.27 | 3.18 | |
| Jigawa | 4.21 | 2.66 | |

Bajopas Volume 3 Number 2 December, 2010

| | | | %incio | lence a | and numb | er of locatio | า | | | |
|---------|------|------|--------|---------|----------|---------------|------|-------|-------|-----|
| | | | 2008 | | | | | 2009 | | |
| State | 0- | 1-5% | 6-10% | 11-1 | 5% | 0- | 1-5% | 6-10% | 11-15 | \$% |
| | 0.9% | | | | | 0.9% | | | | |
| Kano | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | | 1 | 17 | 3 | 0 | |
| Katsina | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | |
| Jagawa | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 3 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 33 | 6 | 26 | 4 | 6 | 36 |

Table 6. Number of locations in Kano, Katsina and Jigawa states with loose smut and % incidence in 2008 and 2009.

Table 6. Number of locations in Kano, Katsina and Jigawa states with loose smut and % incidence in 2008 and 2009.

| Incidence% and number of locations | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|------|------|-------|----|-------|-----|------|-------|----|
| | | 2008 | | | | | 20 | 009 | | |
| State | 0-0.9 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | | 0-0.9 | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | |
| Kano | 2 | 6 | 5 | 5 | | 1 | 17 | 3 | 0 | |
| Katsina | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | |
| Jigawa | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 3 | 16 | 9 | 5 | 33 | 6 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 36 |

REFERENCES

- Ajayi,O.(1989) Stem borers of sorghum in west Africa with emphasison Nigeria. In:International workshop on sorghum stem borers. 17-200 Nov., 1987, ICRISAT Centre, Patancheru, India. Pp. 27-31
- Akpa, A.D. and Tsammani, D.R. (1993) *In vitro* assessment of the sensitivity of *Colletotrichum gramniicola* to some fungicides. *Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research*, 10:77-84.
- Akpa,A.D.,A.A.Adeoti and P.S.,Marley (1996) Sorghum and pearl-millet pathology research in Nigeria. *A report submitted to international crop research institute for the semi-arid tropics*, patancheru,.AP.India.86pp *and Animals* pp18-19
- Bdliya, B.S. (2005) Efficacy of head smut removal for disease control with sorghum crops in northern Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture* 27(3):117-130
- Bigirwa,G.,Adipala, E., Esele,J.P. (1998) Ocurrence of *Peronosclerospora sorghi* In Uganda. *Plant Disease*, 82:752-760.
- Dahlberg, J.A. and C.T., Hash (2000) International Sorghum and Millet Newsletter, No.40, P:37, ICRISAT Publications, Andrapradesh, India.
- Daniel Zohary and Maria Hop (2000) *Domestication of plants in the old world*. Third edition. Oxford university press. Pp 89.
- Dogget, O. (1988) *Sorghum*.2nd edition.pp360-365. Longman group U.K. Ltd.
- Emechebe, A.M., S.T.O., Lagoke and J.K., Adu (1991) Research towards integrated control of Striga in west and central Africa. In: *Progress in food grain and production in srmi-arid Africa.* (Menyonga,J.M.,Bezuneh,T., Yayock,J.Y.,and Soumana,I.eds.) Proceedings of the SAFGRAD international conference held at

the *Palais des congress*, Niamey, Niger,7-14 march, 1991. OAU/STRC-SAFGRAD, Ouagadougou, Burkinafaso,pp445-464

- FAO (2005) Compendium on post-harvest operations. Food and agricultural organization bulletin
- Frowd, J.A. (1980) A world review of sorghum smuts. In: *Proceedings of the international workshop on sorghum diseases, a world review, held at Hyderabad, India*, 11-15 December, 1978.
- Gwary, D.M.,Obida Ali, and S.D., Gwary (2007) Management of sorghum smuts and anthracnose using cultivar selection and seed dressing fungicide in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *International journal of agriculture and biology*.9 (2):326-328
- IPM (2008) Integrated pest management *.Reports on plant disease* (RPD) No.208. Sorghum smuts Pp 1-6
- King, S. B.(1970) Major cereal in Africa 1970 and 1971. 7th and 8th report. *Annual Report* of AIDS-ARS Project
- Kutama, A.S., B.S.Aliyu and A.M.Emechebe (2009) A Survey of Sorghum Downy Mildew in Sorghum in the Sudano-sahelian Savanna Zones of Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* 2(2):218-222
- Kutama, A.S., B.S.Aliyu and A.M.Emechebe (2010) some aspects of the biology of Sporisorium cruenta and S. reilianum in the Sudan savanna region of and Nigeria. Biological Environmental Sciences Journal for the Tropics.7(4): 117-123
- Marley, P.S.,J.A.Y.,Shebayan,I.Onu,D.A,Aba and R.S. Musa (2002) Analysis of constraints to sorghum production in Nigerian sudanosahelian savanna. Samaru *Journal of Agric. Research*,18:41-53

- Marley, Paul S. ; Aba, Daniel A. (1999) Current Status of Sorghum Smuts in Nigeria *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 15 (2 & 3)*:119 – 132.
- Ngugi, H. K., King, S. B., G. O. Abayo, Y.V.R.,Reddy (2002) Prevalence, Incidence and severity of sorghum diseases in Kenya. *Plant Disease*,86:65-70
- Ogunbile,A.O., R.,Tabo,N. Van Duivenbooden and S.K.Debrah (1998) Analysis of constraints to agricultural production in the sudan savanna zone of Nigeria using multi-scale characterization. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural science*,46:27-38
- Olanya,O.M.,Fajemisin,J.M.,Oyekan,P.(1993) Incidence And Geographical Distribution of Maize Downy Mildew Caused By *P. sorghi* In Nigeria .*International Journal Of Pest Management*,39:28-34
- Pande, S. R., Harrishman, M.D., Alegbeji, L., K.M. Karanakar and B.O. Ajayi (1993) Prevalence of sorghum diseases in nigeria . International journal of pest management, 39(3):297-303.
- Purseglove, J.W. (1972) *Tropical crops. Monocot I.* Longman, London, 259-287 pp.
- Remison, S.U. (1980) Problems of maize production in Nigeria. *World crops* 32:18-19
- Richardson, M.J. (1990) *An annoted list of seed-borne disease*. 4th ed. The internal seed testing association, Switzerland. P.186,263,340.
- Selvaraj, J.C. (1980) Smut research and control in Nigeria In: *Proceedings of the international* workshop on sorghum diseases, a world review, held at Hyderabad, India, 11-15 December, 1978.

- Singh, R. S. (1998) *Plant diseases.* Seventh edition. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.PVT.LTD.Pp. 335-344
- Sundaram, N.V. (1980) Importance of sorghum smuts in African countries. In: *proceedings of the international workshop on sorghum diseases, a world review, held at hyderabad, india*, 11-15 December, 1978.
- USDA (1960) Index of plant disease in the United States. USDA Agricultural hand book. 165:187-211.
- Uvah,I.I.and Alabi,O. (1989) Sorghum insect pests, disease and striga in Nigeria. *Paper presented at the ICRISAT sorghum hybrid training workshop held at Bagauda lake hotel*, 3-9 september,1989. Mimograph, 18p.
- Wikipedia (2008) Commercial Sorghum. Wikipedia, the free encyclopaedia. Available at <u>http://emwikpedia.org/wiki/commercialsorgh</u> <u>um</u>.
- Zarafi, A.B., A.M. Emechebe (2005) Incidence of Pearl millet Downy mildew [(*Sclerospora* graminicola (Sacc)] in Nigeria. Journal of Plant Protection Research, 45(3):155-162.