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ABSTRACT. This paper describes the application of nickel nanoparticles decorated electrochemically reduced graphene oxide modified glassy carbon electrode (NiNPs/ERGO/GCE) for the determination of ethambutol (ETB), an anti-Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug. The modified electrode showed remarkable electrocatalytic properties accompanied with a significant enhancement in the peak current response towards ETB compared to the bare electrode. The results showed that the composite modified electrode played a significant catalytic role due to the synergetic effect of NiNPs and ERGO. The NiNPs/ERGO modified electrode demonstrated excellent square wave voltammetric response towards ETB determination at the NiNPs/ERGO/GCE in the range 0.05–100 µM. The sensor demonstrated outstanding sensitivity towards ETB determination with limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of 0.023 and 0.075 µM, respectively. The developed sensor was effectively validated for real sample study using drug formulation and urine samples which showed an acceptable recovery (99.6–109%). The electrode also exhibited good precision (RSD < 1%, n = 20), reproducibility (RSD < 1.9%, n = 3), long-term stability (92% of its initial response after two weeks) and selectivity towards interfering substances in the determination of ethambutol.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MB-TB), remains one of the most common infectious diseases of a major public health concern [1, 2]. According to global WHO report of 2017, TB is the ninth leading cause of death worldwide and the primary cause from a single infectious agent, with 10.4 million cases and 1.674 million deaths in 2016 [3, 4]. The treatment of latent TB infection is usually done by a single antibiotic. However, the combinations of several antibiotics is used in the treatment of active TB therapy to reduce the risk of antibiotic resistance by the bacteria [5]. Ethambutol (ETB), together with pyrazinamide, isoniazid and rifampicin, are the first-line drugs for the treatment of tuberculosis [2, 6]. ETB is a Mycobacterium-specific drug recommended for the treatment of the disease [5]. Nevertheless, continuous intake of antituberculosis drugs causes severe side effects. For example, an excess intake of isoniazid and pyrazinamide causes hepatic failure, leading to a fatal condition due to the excess formation of hydrazine during the isoniazid metabolism. Likewise, continuous use of ETB causes ophthalmic problems [7]. In contrast to rifampicin, isoniazid or pyrazinamide which are essentially eliminated by the liver, ETB is renally excreted, with two-thirds of the administered dose being recovered unchanged in urine. This leads to renal failure, which associate with significantly higher risks of toxicity, notably with retrobulbous optical nevritis [8]. In addition, as the TB drugs are used practically in higher doses for a longer period (6–9 months), continuous monitoring of the drug quality is crucial to reduce the risk of toxicity.
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Therefore, it is very important to develop a simple, sensitive, reliable and environmentally friendly method for the determination of ethambutol. Several analytical techniques were reported including, potentiometry, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, ultraviolet spectroscopy, fluorescence spectroscopy, capillary electrophoresis, supercritical fluid chromatography, mass spectrometry, high performance liquid chromatography and electroanalytical methods [5, 7, 9-13]. However, attention has been given to electrochemical techniques recently owing to their operational simplicity, sensitivity, cost effectiveness, absence of extensive sample pretreatment and suitability for onsite analysis due to their portability. Voltammetry based on chemically modified electrodes has got significant attention because of the possibility to tailor-made, control and design the electrode surface for the proposed application.

Nanocomposite modified electrodes based on graphene and metal nanoparticles are one of the most widely studied electrodes for electrochemical sensing. Graphene decorated with metal nanoparticles (MNPs) exhibited outstanding properties such as high electrocatalytic activity, excellent conductivity and selectivity [14, 15]. The favorable behavior of the graphene supports may be attributed to the high dispersion of noble metals catalyst resulting from an improved interaction between functionalized graphene or graphene oxide (GO) surface and the noble metals nanoparticles and a large surface area of the graphene sheet support. In addition, abundant functional groups on the surfaces of GO can be used as anchoring sites for metal nanoparticles, which makes it possible to use them as a support to produce graphene-nanoparticle hybrid nanostructures [16]. Furthermore, the incorporation of MNPs to high-surface-area materials like graphene provides enormous advantages for catalytic applications in terms of controlling the nucleation and growth of MNPs, which also prevents from aggregation and resulting in harvesting the synergistic effects of the MNPs and the supports [17].

This work focuses on the application of NiNPs/ERGO/GCE for the determination of ETB in pharmaceutical dosage forms and biological fluids, which is a continuation of our previous work [18]. In our previous report, we successfully constructed NiNPs/ERGO/GCE and used for the determination of diclofenac sodium which showed superior electrocatalytic behavior attributed to the higher available surface area, presence of impurities, higher intrinsic electrical conductivity, presence of special electronic structure and topological defects at the composite modified electrode compared to the bare glassy carbon electrode which facilitates the rate of electron transfer [18]. Therefore, the synthesis and characterization of NiNPs and GO, and the preparation of the electrode material, NiNPs/ERGO/GCE, is according to our previous paper [18].

**EXPERIMENTAL**

*Chemicals, apparatus and instruments*

All chemicals and reagents used were analytical grade. Ultrapure water from a Milli-pore Mill Q system was used for the preparations of all the solutions. Analytical grade chemicals and reagents were used as received without any additional purification in this work. Phosphate buffer solution (PBS) was prepared from sodium dihydrogen phosphate (NaH$_2$PO$_4$) and disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na$_2$HPO$_4$) (KIMIX Chemicals and Lab Supplies). Ethambutol dihydrochloride, isoniazid, acetaminophen (98%), D-glucose, ascorbic acid, citric acid, urea, hydrochloric acid (37%), and sodium hydroxide pellets (98%) were from Sigma-Aldrich.

In all the experiments, a standard three electrode electrochemical cell with a bare or modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 3 mm in diameter) as the working electrode, a platinum wire as counter/auxiliary electrode and Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl) as reference electrode. Alumina micropolish powder of varying sizes (1, 0.3, and 0.05 µm) and polishing pads (Buehler) were used for electrode cleaning. All the electrochemical measurements were recorded with PalmSens Compact Electrochemical Interfaces (Palm Instruments BV, The Netherlands).
interfaced to a computer and the results were reported with respect to Ag/AgCl. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopic experiments were performed on ZAHNER EIS machine (Germany) supported with THALES software.

**Preparation of NiNPs/ERGO/GCE**

The preparation procedure of the electrode, electrochemically reduced graphene oxide decorated with nickel nanoparticles modified electrode, NiNPs/ERGO/GCE, was adapted from our previous work [18]. Briefly, prior to the electrode modification, GCE was polished mechanically with 0.05, 0.3 and 1.0 µm alumina slurry on a polishing cloth, rinsed thoroughly with ultrapure water. Then, the electrode was sonicated for 5 min each in ethanol and water in sequence using an ultrasonic cleaning bath to remove any physically adsorbed alumina particles. Following the ultrasonication, the electrode was rinsed with ultrapure water again and dried under infrared lamp.

**Real sample preparation**

For real sample analysis, rifafour e-275 tablet was obtained from a local pharmacy in Cape Town, South Africa. Rifafour is a drug that is used to treat tuberculosis and is a combination of four first line drugs: rifampicin (150 mg), isoniazid (75 mg), pyrazinamide (400 mg) and ethambutol (275 mg), typically given at the early stages of tuberculosis. Five tablets of rifafour containing ethambutol as one of the active ingredient were finely powdered mixed and homogenized. Then, a weight equivalent to one tablet was weighed and dissolved in 250 mL ultrapure water by ultrasonication for 30 min. After filtering twice using a Whatman filter paper, the solution was suitably diluted to get the final concentration in the linear range of the calibration curve. Human urine sample was obtained from healthy volunteer and filtered using the Whatman filter paper. 10 mL of the sample was then diluted in 100 mL volumetric flask using PBS pH 7 and analyzed without additional treatment.

**Analysis procedure and method validation**

The aim of this work was to apply a simple and sensitive NiNPs/ERGO/GCE hybrid modified electrode for an enhanced ethambutol detection, which offered an excellent electrochemical sensing platform. Optimization of experimental conditions for the detection of ethambutol is of critical importance. Hence, a systematic investigation of a number of variables related to CVs, such as pH, scan rate and applied potential range were conducted. Cyclic voltammetric measurements were conducted from 0 to 1.6 V at a scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\) for pH optimization in 0.1 M PBS in the pH ranges of 5.0–10. The scan rate studies were also conducted in the same range: 0 to 1.6 V at the composite modified electrode. For the construction of the calibration curve and quantification, square voltammetric measurements of ETB in the range of 0.5 to 1.5 V were used. Square wave parameters of 0.005 V of step potential, 0.05 V of amplitude and 25 Hz frequency were used following optimization.

The method validation for ethambutol determination was checked by performing recovery tests as well as evaluating the precision of the triplicate determinations. A satisfactory recovery result showed the successful determination of ethambutol without interfering agents in the real sample and that the ethambutol added has been detected. To make recovery measurements, a commercial rifafour tablet formulation and human urine real samples were spiked with different concentrations of standard ethambutol. The responses of the real samples (rifafour formulation and human urine samples) were first recorded before spiking. Then, the spiked samples were prepared by adding varying amounts of known concentration of standard ethambutol which was followed by recording the response of the square wave voltammetry. Then, the total ethambutol content was determined using the calibration curve and the recovery was calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Electrochemical behavior of ETB

The electrochemical behavior of ethambutol was examined by cyclic voltammetry. As shown in Figure 1, no obvious redox peaks appeared for the buffer solution at the NiNPs/ERGO/GCE (curve a). However, a single oxidation peak was observed at the bare (b) and the modified glassy carbon electrodes (c–e) without any corresponding reduction peak in the reverse direction, indicating the irreversibility of the reaction of ethambutol at all the electrode surfaces. A weak oxidation peak of ETB appeared at a relatively higher potential region of about 1.2 V at the bare glassy carbon electrode (curve b). However, the peak current response of ethambutol was increased accompanied with a negative shift in peak potential at both nickel nanoparticles (curve c) and electrochemically reduced graphene oxide (curve d) modified electrodes. The observed result confirms further improvement in the electrochemical response of ETB at the composite modified electrode (NiNPs/ERGO/GCE) (curve e) with about 2.25 times increments in the peak current compared to the response of the bare electrode and a negative shift of the peak potential by nearly about 200 mV. This observation can be attributed to the increase in the surface area of the electrode and the catalytic role played by the nickel nanoparticles and electrochemically reduced graphene oxide with a synergetic effect. The use of ultrathin graphene nanosheets uniformly decorated with nickel nanoparticles hybrid material was reported for the simultaneous detection of sunset yellow and tartrazine food colorants which exhibited excellent accumulation and catalytic capacity, attributed to the large surface area and strong accumulation ability of graphene-nickel nanocomposite [19].
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Figure 1. CVs recorded at bare GCE (b), NiNPs/GCE (c), ERGO/GCE (d) and NiNPs/ERGO/GCE (a, e) in the absence (a) and presence (b–e) of 50 µM ETB in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.
Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to investigate the electrochemical characteristics of the bare and modified electrodes towards ETB detection. EIS measurement was carried out in 0.1 M PBS containing 500 µM ETB over a frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 10⁵ Hz with the AC signal amplitude of 10 mV, Figure 2. Generally, the Nyquist plot of the EIS is characterized by two important regions: a semicircular region at a higher frequency corresponding to the electron-transfer-limited process and a linear portion at low frequency region featuring diffusion limited process [20, 21]. The diameter of the semicircular portion is equivalent to the charge transfer resistance (R<sub>ct</sub>). The R<sub>ct</sub> value obtained using the equivalent circuit fittings of the present study is in the order of: 42.9 > 24.5 > 13.7 > 12.2 kΩ for the unmodified GCE (a), NiNPs/GCE (b), ERGO/GCE (c) and NiNPs/ERGO/GCE (d), respectively. The smallest semicircle domain obtained at the NiNPs/ERGO modified GCE suggests that the nickel nanoparticles and graphene oxide composite promotes the electron transfer between ethambutol and the underlying electrode surface. The decrease in the diameter of the Nyquist plot at the composite compared to the individually modified electrode with nickel nanoparticles and graphene oxide further confirms the catalytic role played by each with synergistic effect. The result is in good agreement with the CV characterization.

![Figure 2. Nyquist Plot of EIS measured for 500 µM ETB recorded at bare GCE (a), NiNPs/GCE (b), ERGO/GCE (c) and NiNPs/ERGO/GCE (d) in 0.1 M PBS pH 7.](image)

Effect of potential scan rate

In order to evaluate whether the electron transfer process of ETB at the composite modified electrode is diffusion or adsorption controlled, cyclic voltammetry was employed in the range of 10–500 mV s⁻¹, Figure 3. The result showed that the peak current for 50 µM ETB in 0.1 M PBS pH 7 linearly increases with the square root of scan rates in the range of 10–500 mV s⁻¹ with the linear regression equation of I<sub>p</sub> (µA) = 5.5 (±0.1) v<sup>1/2</sup> (mV s⁻¹)<sup>1/2</sup> + -2.8 (±1.5), R² = 0.9943, Inset of Figure 3. This relationship suggests that the electrochemical reaction of ethambutol at the NiNPs/ERGO modified electrode is mainly diffusion-controlled electrode process [22-26]. The relationship between the logarithm of the peak current and the logarithm of the scan rate (log I<sub>p</sub> vs log v) is also linear with the regression equation of log I<sub>p</sub> (µA) = 0.56 (±0.02) log v (mV s⁻¹) + 0.60 (±0.03), R² = 0.9903. The slope value of 0.56 for the linear plot is very close to the theoretical value of 0.5 for diffusion controlled electrode process [27-29].
The correlation between peak potential and scan rate was also investigated. It was observed that the oxidation peak potential depends on scan rate and gradually shifted to more positive values on increasing the scan rate, which confirms the irreversibility of the oxidation process of ethambutol at the electrode surface. According to Laviron’s, for an irreversible electrode process the peak potential is defined by equation (1) [28, 30].

$$E_p = E^o' + \left( \frac{2.303RT}{aF} \right) \log \left( \frac{k^0RT}{aF} \right) + \left( \frac{2.303RT}{aF} \right) \log v$$

(1)

where $E^o'$ is the formal redox potential, $n$ the number of electron transferred, $v$ the scan rate, $k'$ the standard heterogeneous rate constant and the other symbols have their usual meanings. The relationship between the peak potential and the logarithm of the scan rate give a linear equation: $E_p (V) = 0.07 \pm 0.004) \log v + 1.12 \pm 0.006$, $R^2 = 0.9622$. Hence, from the slope of $E_p$ vs $\log v$ in the above equation, the value of $a$ can be calculated. The result
was found to be 0.833 by taking \( R = 8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \), \( T = 298 \text{ K} \) and \( F = 96480 \text{ C mol}^{-1} \). According to Bard and Faulkner,[30] the transfer coefficient \( \alpha \) is given by equation (2):

\[
\left| E_p - E_{p/2} \right| = \frac{47.7}{\alpha} \text{ mV at } 25 \degree \text{C}
\]

(2)

where \( E_{p/2} \) is the potential where the current is at half of the peak value. Based on the above equation, the calculated \( \alpha \) value is found to be 0.47 and the number of electrons transferred during the oxidation of ETB was estimated to be 1.8 ~ 2.0.

Effect of pH

The study of the influence of pH of the supporting electrolyte solution on the electrochemical response for 50 µM ETB was examined in 0.1 M PBS in the pH ranges of 5.0–10 using cyclic voltammetry. Figure 4 depicts the CVs of 50 µM ETB at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE. The result showed that there is an increase in the oxidation peak current response of ETB as the pH increases from 5.0 to 7.0 and reaches a maximum value at pH 7. Further increase in the pH from 7 to 10 resulted in a gradual decrease of the peak current responses. Therefore, PBS of pH 7.0 was employed as the optimum pH for the following analysis at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE. The effect of the pH of the buffer solution on the oxidation peak potential of ETB was also evaluated. It was found that the oxidation peak potential shifted negatively with increasing pH (Inset of Figure 4). The negative shift in the oxidation peak potential due to the change in pH from acidic to basic solution indicates proton participation in the oxidation process. The relationship between the anodic peak potentials and pH was linear with the regression equation: \( E_p(V) = -0.034 (\pm 0.002) \text{ pH} + 1.3 (\pm 0.012) \), \( R^2 = 0.9910 \). The slope of −34 mV/pH is nearly half of the theoretical Nernstian slope of 59 mV/pH. This indicates that the proportion of the electrons and protons involved in the oxidation reaction of ETB at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE are in 2:1 ratio. This is in agreement with previous reports [7].

![Figure 4. CVs of 50 µM ETB recorded in 0.1 M PBS of varying pH at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE. Inset: Peak potential vs pH for 50 µM ETB at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE.](image)

Due to its high sensitivity, square wave voltammetry was used to evaluate the relationship between peak current and concentrations of ethambutol. Under the optimal experimental conditions, the peak current response varied linearly with concentration of ETB in range of 0.05–100 µM with a linear regression equation of $I_p$ (µA) = 0.4 (±0.005) [ETB] (µM) + 1.7 (±0.228), $R^2 = 0.9984$, Figure 5 and 6. The limit of detection ($S/N = 3$) and limit of quantification ($S/N = 10$) were found to be 0.023 and 0.075 µM, respectively. The analytical performance evaluation of the present method was compared with other similar electrochemical methods reported in the literature for the determination ETB which is summarized in Table 1.

The obtained summary confirmed that the present sensor exhibited a superior performance than the previously reported electrochemical methods in terms of achieving lower limit of detection and acceptable linear dynamic range.

Figure 5. SWVs at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE in 0.1 M PBS pH 7 for different concentrations of ETB: 0, 0.05, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 20, 40, 60, 75, 90 and 100 µM. Inset: magnified CV curve for lower concentrations (0–12 µM).
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**Figure 6.** Calibration plot of peak current vs concentration of ETB at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the analytical performance of the NiNPs/ERGO/GCE with other electrodes previously reported in the literature for the determination of ETB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode type</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Linear range (µM)</th>
<th>LOD (µM)</th>
<th>[Ref.]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-paraffin composite electrode</td>
<td>Amperometric</td>
<td>250–1500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-polyurethane composite electrode</td>
<td>Amperometric</td>
<td>500–1100</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold microelectrode array</td>
<td>Amperometric</td>
<td>50–2000</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/PVP-Ag-PANS/CYP2E1</td>
<td>Chronoamperometric</td>
<td>2–12</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naftion/MWCNT/SPCEs</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>1.4 x 10^5–1.4 x 10^6</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>20–100</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT/GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>8–2500</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Mel–Au/nanoparticles/modified GCE</td>
<td>DPV</td>
<td>0.5–150</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiNPs/ERGO/GCE</td>
<td>SWV</td>
<td>0.05–100</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>[This work]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| cytochrome C immobilised on poly(8-anilino-1-naphthalene sulphonic acid) and silver nanoparticles stabilized in polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) modified gold electrode, poly-melamine/electrodeposited gold nanoparticles modified pre-anodized GCE. |

**Repeatability, reproducibility and stability**

The precision of the method was evaluated as a degree of repeatability by measuring the square wave voltammetric response of 50 µM ETB. Figure 7a depicts the SWVs recorded for 50 µM ETB for twenty consecutive measurements for the same electrode. The %RSD of the maximum peak currents recorded for the same electrode for twenty successive measurements was found to be 1.0% (n = 20), indicating an outstanding precision of the modified electrode. The reproducibility of the sensor was assessed by comparing the responses of three NiNPs/ERGO/GCE electrodes independently prepared under similar experimental conditions. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of the current responses was 1.9% for n = 3. The long-term stability of this sensor was also tested. The electrode was placed at ambient temperature when not in use. The oxidation current response for 50 µM ETB was measured by SWV for the same electrode every day for two weeks. The current response was only slightly reduced after two weeks and maintains greater than 92% of its initial response. In general, the developed sensor demonstrated excellent results in terms of repeatability, reproducibility, and long-term stability towards ethambutol determination.

Analytical application

The feasibility and reliability of the proposed method for practical application was evaluated by analyzing commercial pharmaceutical tablet of Rifafour containing ethambutol and human urine. The procedure followed for sample preparation is described in experimental section. Square wave voltammetric measurements were carried out in triplicate and the standard addition method was employed to quantify the amount of ethambutol present. In addition, the accuracy of the method was tested by spiking the real sample with known concentrations of standard solutions and calculating the recovery values. The results are summarized in Table 2. The amount of ethambutol determined is in close agreement with the specified amounts in the drug information leaflet and the recovery results for both real samples are also very good. Moreover, the other drugs present in the Rifafour formulation did not interfere with the determination of ethambutol. The SWV response of Rifafour in PBS pH 7 in the potential window of -0.2 V to 1.6 V, showed two peaks: the first one attributed to isoniazid and the second peak for ethambutol. Uric acid was detected at about 0.3 V in the urine samples analyzed but did not interfere with the determination of ethambutol. Figure 7b shows the SWVs of the urine sample before (a) and after spiking (b-h) with different concentrations of ETB.

Table 2. Determination of ETB in Rifafour tablet formulation and human urine samples and the recovery results at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE using SWV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real sample</th>
<th>Specified content (mg)</th>
<th>Determined ± RSD (mg)</th>
<th>Added (µM)</th>
<th>*Found ± RSD (µM)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rifafour</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>267 ± 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.6 ± 5.4</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>74.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean value (n = 3).

Effect of interferences

In order to evaluate the potential analytical application of the proposed method, the interference effect of some common inorganic and organic substances that might coexist in pharmaceutical formulation and/or biological fluids were examined. The tolerance limit was defined as the maximum amount of the potentially interfering substances that caused an error of less than 5% in the determination of ethambutol. The effect of these interfering compounds on the square wave voltammetric response was studied by measuring the response for a solution containing a fixed amount of ethambutol (50 µM) spiked with varying concentrations of the interferents under the same experimental conditions. The results are presented in Table 3, which shows the selectivity of the method towards ethambutol detection. The CVs recorded for 50 µM ETB before and after addition of 50 µM of isoniazid (ISZ), L-ascorbic acid (AA) and acetaminophen (ACP) at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE at 50 mV s⁻¹ suggested the possibility of simultaneous determination of these substances with ethambutol without any interference effect.
Figure 7. (a) SWVs recorded for 50 µM ETB for twenty consecutive measurements; (b) SWVs of the urine sample after spiking with different concentrations of ETB (a→h: 0, 15, 30, 40, 60, 70, 90, 100 µM).

Table 3. Effect of potential interfering substances on the peak current of 50 µM ETB at NiNPs/ERGO/GCE, (n = 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interferents</th>
<th>Concentration (µM)</th>
<th>Signal change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, SO₄²⁻, CO₃²⁻, Cl⁻</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

This work demonstrates the application of NiNPs/ERGO/composite modified glassy carbon electrode for a sensitive square wave voltammetric determination of ethambutol. The electrode was successfully prepared by a single step electrodeposition method in PBS (pH 7). The electrode showed remarkable sensitivity to the determination of ethambutol as compared to the bare and the individual NiNPs or ERGO modified glassy carbon electrode owing to the synergetic effect between the NiNPs and ERGO. The application of electrochemically reduced graphene oxide decorated with nickel nanoparticles for ETB improved the electron transfer process between the analyte and the underlying electrode which can be ascribed to the electrocatalytic activity of the nanomaterials and improved surface area of the electrode. The modified electrode exhibited outstanding response towards ETB in terms achieving wide linear range (0.05–100 µM) and lower limit of detection (0.023 µM). This is the highest sensitivity reported for ETB so far, for voltammetric methods based on chemical sensors. The NiNPs/ERGO/GCE was also effectively used for ethambutol determination in real samples with good recovery. Thus, it is a potential candidate for electrochemical determination of ETB and related drugs as well as biologically active compounds in real samples.
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