STUDY OF ELECTROCHEMICAL BEHAVIOR OF TWO CHEMICALLY SIMILAR ACRIDINES: TACRINE AND 9-AMINOACRIDINE ON HANGING MERCURY DROP ELECTRODE

Wafa F. S. Badulla1,2*, Weiam A. A. Hussein1,3,4 and Goksal Arli2

1Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Aden University, 6075, Aden, Yemen
2Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Anadolu University, 26470, Eskisehir, Turkey
3Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Anadolu University, 26470, Eskisehir, Turkey
4Department of pharmaceutical chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hail University, Hail, 2240 Saudi Arabia

(Received April 21, 2021; Revised December 9, 2021; Accepted December 16, 2021)

ABSTRACT. The electrochemical behavior of two chemically related acridine compounds: tacrine (TAC) and 9-aminoacridine (9-AA) was investigated on a hanging mercury drop electrode (HDME). The electrochemical study was carried out by using Osteryoung square wave voltammetry (OSWV) and cyclic voltammetry (CV) techniques in the Britton-Robinson (B-R) buffer solution at pH = 2.0–12.0, KCl (0.1/0.2/0.3 M) and methanol (0.0-50%) mixture as supporting electrolyte. TAC showed maximum current at pH 7.5 while 9-AA at pH 10, KCl (0.3M), and 10% methanol. The study of electrochemical reduction of 9-AA and TAC on the HDME by using CV resulted in a quasi-reversibility, mainly diffusion-controlled reaction. The reaction involved 1e−/1H+ reduction at nitrogen atom in the case of the 9-AA while the second process involved the 2e−/2H+ reduction of the intra-cyclic azomethine group. The reduction peak potential of structurally related acridine compounds is influenced by the pH values and percentage of the organic solvent of the supporting electrolytes. Also, the study may provide insight into the electron transfer pathways of biological reactions of the acridines and their electrochemical behavior on the electrode.
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INTRODUCTION

Acridine is a heterocyclic alkaloid nucleus of anthracene. It has several chemical names such as; dibenzopyridine, 2,3,5,6-dibenzopyridine, and 10-azaanthracene (Figure 1a). It represents a medicinally active part of several pharmaceutical effective drugs for example; tacrine (TAC), aminoacridine (9-AA). These derivatives attracted much consideration because of their medicinal, physicochemical properties, and applications in many important chemical processes [1]. TAC (1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9-aminoacridine) (Figure 1b) was the first centrally active, cholinesterase inhibitor (AchEi) approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of patients with Alzheimer’s disease in 1993. However, due to its hepatotoxicity, it was withdrawn from the market of many countries in 2013 [2]. Several newly synthesized hybrids were designed to combine its potent AChE inhibition with a low hepatotoxic effect [3-10]. Many pharmaceutical chemical laboratories have still used it as a reference drug to compare the AchEi potency of newly synthesized hybrids. The 9-AA (Figure 1c) displays a mild antiseptic and antimalarial activity [11]. It is considered the most effective base than other amino acridines. Many derivatives of 9-AA have anti-Alzheimer’s [12], antimalarial [13], anticancer [14] and others.
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Figure 1. The chemical structure of (a) acridine, (b) TAC and (c) 9-AA.

The literature survey represented that, the electrochemical behavior of the TAC was investigated and it showed redox properties in an aqueous media, the determination of TAC on the dropping mercury electrode (DME), produced a complex signal that is pH-dependent. The cyclic voltammetry at pH 7.5 showed irreversible behavior with one cathodic peak. The adsorptive property of TAC was concentration-related. That means it was diffusion controlled at low concentration and adsorption at high concentration. The mechanism of electro-reduction is depend on the pH of the supporting electrolyte. The analysis of TAC by using differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) on the carbon electrode showed a poorly resolved anodic signal [15]. Another study revealed the possibility of oxidation of TAC and its metabolites on the carbon electrode by using DPV and CV technique in phosphate buffer at pH 2.8. The CV showed only one anodic peak with a diffusion-controlled mechanism [16]. However, there were several analytical methods for the detection of TAC such as; HPLC, spectroscopic, and others, there is no space to mention them all herein.

On the other hand, there was no electrochemical study for the 9-AA and a limited number of studies that reported other analytical methods. Though, several studies reported using an electrode that was modified with 9-AA. The applications of 9-AA help to improve the electron transfer, to get better signal and separation of several biological constituents and pharmaceutical drugs [17-19].

Both selected compounds still have pharmaceutical and laboratory applications in several types of medical research. The current study aims to exhibit the power of electrochemistry to differentiate between the electrochemical behavior of these two closely related acridine compounds on HMDE. Furthermore, the inclusive study of the electrochemical behavior will be suitable in the explanation of the electron transfer pathways of biological reactions of the acridines which possess some pharmaceutical activities. Studying the electrochemical behavior of these compounds can be used in the future for electrochemical synthesis of TAC or 9-AA hybrids since there is a trend toward the synthesis of new hybrids to reduce the toxic effect of TAC or to improve their therapeutic effect by using electrochemical synthesis. Electrochemical synthesis has the advantage that there is no need for the addition of oxidizing or reducing agents which is considered the chief advantage of electrochemical synthesis [20-22].

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Chemicals and reagents**

TAC and 9-AA (reagent grade 99%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Chemicals and solutions (Analytical reagent grade) were used in this study. The supporting electrolyte is composed of BR, KCl, and methanol. The BR buffers were made from boric acid, acetic acid, and phosphoric acid, all 0.04 M, and titrated to the anticipated value with 0.2 M NaOH. The KCl solution (1.0-3.0 M) was prepared in water (Milli-Q), used as a supporting electrolyte. All solutions were reserved in a refrigerator (at 4.0 °C).
**Instruments**

The study was accomplished by using a fully automated BAS-100B, a three-electrode voltammetric analyzer (Controlled growth mercury electrode (CGME) was used as the indicator electrode, while a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode). The data were analyzed with BAS-100B version 2.3 software. All analyses were performed at room temperature (25±2°C) with a glass cell (10 mL) connected to ultra-pure nitrogen gas (99.999%) source for deoxygenation. A Mettler-Toledo pH meter was used to adjust the pH of the solutions. Ultrapure water was prepared by using a Milli-Q A10 Synthesis water purification system (Millipore, France).

**Preparation of stock solution**

The stock solution of TAC and 9-AA was prepared by dissolving in ultrapure water (289.22 µg mL⁻¹ and 248.71 µg mL⁻¹, respectively), and then sonicated for 10 min to increase dissolution. The solutions for further study were prepared from the stock solution by adequate dilution with supporting electrolytes.

**Voltammetric procedures**

In this study, to obtain information on the electrode reaction mechanism, the CV technique was used over the potential changes from 0.2 V to −1.50 V at various scan rates (ν) from 10 mV s⁻¹ to 1000 mV s⁻¹. The application of the OSWV technique was also investigated, which was used for the determination of two compounds in the standards. The square-wave voltammograms were accomplished using the following experimental parameters: The scan rate 20 mVs⁻¹, pulse amplitude 50 mV, sample width 17 ms, pulse width 50 ms, pulse period 200 ms, and purge time of 5 min. A known volume of standard solutions for each compound was added to the electrochemical cell with supporting electrolyte and was used for the examination after purging with purified nitrogen for 30 s. Each measurement was performed on a fresh mercury drop at room temperature. The same steps were applied to the blank solution.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Optimization of the OSWV technique**

Throughout the development of the OSWV to investigate the electrochemical behavior of TAC and 9-AA, the content of the supporting electrode was examined because it influences the medium and boundaries of the electrode surface and might modify the type and kinetics of the electrode reaction [23].

**Influence of supporting electrolyte and its pH**

The pH of the electrolyte solution influences the electrode reaction so the reduction behavior was studied for both compounds over the pH range (2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10, 12) in the BR buffer by the OSWV technique. Both compounds showed one well-defined reduction peak in the potential range of -0.2 to −1.50 V vs. Ag/AgCl/KCl sat. The maximum peak current was attained at pH 7.5 for TAC and pH 10 for 9-AA. According to these results, it can assume two different electro-reduction processes for TAC and 9-AA, that involved 1e⁻/1H⁺ reduction at nitrogen atom at pH 10 in the case of the 9-AA while the second process involved the 2e⁻/2 H⁺ reduction of the intracyclic azomethine group at investigated pH 7.5 for TAC. Generally, it has been reported that the
reduction of the acridines is always carried out with the presence of a base, i.e. pH > 7 and 1,4,5,8-tetrahydro acridines derivative is the product [24]. The 9-AA was reduced at pH 10, so further energy was required for reduction more than TAC due to low hydrogen ion concentration at pH 10. Therefore, the cathodic reduction peak potential shifted to a more negative potential. Several concentrations of KCl (0.1/0.2/0.3 M) were investigated for both standards. There were no distinct differences in the current value by changing the molarity of the KCl so, the 0.3 M KCl was selected because the current was stable. The influence of the organic solvent on the electrochemical behavior was studied by using methanol. Several methanol percentages (50, 40, 30, 20, 10, and 0%) were examined. The well-defined peak shape and the high peak current were obtained by using 10%. The final supporting electrolyte for determination of TAC and 9-AA compounds concerning the peak current, low non-faradaic current, and the best repeatability was attained by using BR buffer at pH = 7.5, and 10, respectively containing 0.3 M KCl and 10% methanol.

Redox behavior of TAC and 9-AA

The electrochemical behavior of both compounds was investigated by examining the standard solutions using the CV technique. One discrete cathodic peak was detected in the case of 9-AA at about -1.3 V and one anodic peak. In the case of TAC one broad peak was observed at about -0.95-1.00 V and one at the anodic potential. The reduction of 9-AA can occur via proton reduction but in the case of TAC intra-cyclic azomethine group of TAC merged with the proton reduction for this reason the reduction peak was broad.

By calculating the $I_{pa}/I_{pc}$ the value is close to 1 for TAC (1.07) and 9-AA (1.14). This indicates that the electrode reaction is quasi-reversible also the minor negative shift with increasing the scan rates confirms the quasi-reversibility [25], as well as the reversibility of electrode reaction [26]. The anticipated mechanism for electrochemical reduction of both compounds (are given in Scheme 1 and 2).

Scheme 1. Proposed reduction mechanism of TAC.

Scheme 2. Proposed reduction mechanism of 9-AA.

There was no redox peak for the blank solution at the whole working potential range. The type of the electrochemical mechanism (diffusion or adsorption-controlled) was determined by applying different scan rates (ν) from 25 mV s$^{-1}$ to 1000 mV s$^{-1}$ and the performance of TAC and 9-AA was examined in BR buffer pH 7.5 and 10 respectively in the potential range from -0.8
Electrochemical behavior of tacrin and 9-aminoacridine

There was one broad-defined cathodic peak at the potential of -0.95 V for TAC and one well defined-peak -1.35 V for 9-AA with a negative shift by increasing scan rate. The anodic peak was clear in the case of TAC while 9-AA had a less well-defined-peak. (Figure 2a, b).

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) TAC were recorded in the BR buffer of pH = 7.5 and (b) 9-AA in the BR buffer of pH = 10 on HMED at various scan rates.

The relationship between the peak intensity (Ipc) of both compounds and the square root of the scan rate ($\sqrt{\nu}$) was linear. This suggests that the electrochemical process is mainly diffusion controlled. The correlation can be represented by the following equation:

For TAC: $I_{pc}(A) = 1.614 \times 10^{-7}V^{1/2} \text{ (mV s}^{-1})^{1/2} - 3.07 \times 10^{-7}$ ($r^2 = 0.9984$)

For 9-AA: $I_{pc}(A) = 1.687 \times 10^{-7}V^{1/2} \text{ (mV s}^{-1})^{1/2} - 5.198 \times 10^{-7}$ ($r^2 = 0.9955$)

The correlation between the logarithm of the peak current (log $I_{pc}$) and the logarithm of the scan rate (log $\nu$) also agree with the obtained data. The equation of the relationship can be represented by the equation:

For TAC: log $I_{pc}$ (A) = 0.579 log $\nu$ (mV s$^{-1}$) - 7.049 ($r^2 = 0.9992$)

For 9-AA: log $I_{pc}$ (A) = 0.509 log $\nu$ (mV s$^{-1}$) - 6.650 ($r^2 = 0.9950$)

The slope of both equations is close to 0.5 which means the electrode reaction is diffusion controlled. If the slope is close to 1 that means the reaction is chiefly adsorption controlled [27, 28].

Furthermore, the number of electrons involved in the reduction of the TAC and 9-AA was calculated. For quasi-reversible and reversible electrode processes, $E_{pc}$ is dependent on $\log v$ and expressed by Laviron equation [29].

$$E_{pc} = E^o + \left( \frac{2.303RT}{\alpha nF} \right) \log \left( \frac{RTk^o}{\alpha nF} \right) + \left( \frac{2.303RT}{\alpha nF} \right) \log v$$

where $\alpha$ is the symbol of transfer coefficient, $k^o$ the standard heterogeneous rate constant of the reaction, $n$ is the number of electrons transferred, $v$ the scan rate, and $E^o$ is the formal redox potential. Consequently, the value of $\alpha n$ can be readily calculated from the slope of the $E_{pc}$ vs. $\log v$. In this electrochemical reaction, the slope is -0.0487 for TAC and -0.918 for 9-AA, using $T = 298$ K, $R = 8.314$ J mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$, and $F = 96480$ C mol$^{-1}$, the $\alpha n$ was calculated to be 2.936. According to Bard and Faulkner [30], $\alpha$ can be found between 0.5 and 0.7 for quasi-reversible reactions. By taking 0.5 for $\alpha$ value, the number of electrons transferred in the TAC reduction was calculated as ~2.4 and 1.2 electrons for 9-AA. This value approves the theoretical statement of the number of electron transfers as revealed above.

**Validation of the developed method**

The linearity, LOD, LOQ, and developed method was evaluated according to International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines [31]. A linear relation was found between current and concentration. The LOD and LOQ were calculated as LOD ($k = 3.3$) and LOQ ($k = 10$), the precision is essential to obtain reliable results so measurements of intra-day and inter-day precision were carried. The related data is represented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linear dynamic range (µg mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>TAC</th>
<th>9 A-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slope (b)</td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>14.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept (a)</td>
<td>259.4</td>
<td>13.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression coefficient ($R^2$)</td>
<td>0.9992</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of detection LOD (µg mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit of quantification LOQ (µg mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision for 3 concentrations</td>
<td>1.2-0.83</td>
<td>2.5-1.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

To sum up, a comprehensive electrochemical study of TAC and 9-AA at HMDE was carried out over a large pH range, KCl molarity, and methanol percentage. From the aforementioned study results, below conclusions can be outlined: (1) The reduction process of TAC and 9-AA is a quasi-reversible reaction. (2) The maximum current was at pH 7.5 BR buffer, 10% methanol, and 0.3 M KCl supporting electrolyte in the case of TAC and at pH 10 for 9-AA. (3) The peak currents are diffusion-controlled. (4) The electrode reaction for TAC in the pH 7.5 involved $2e^-/2H^+$ while, the electrode reaction for 9-AA in pH 10 involved $1e^-/1H^+$. (5) Both compounds can be determined by using OSWV.

The reduction peak potential of structurally related acridine compounds is influenced by the pH values and percentage of the organic solvent of the supporting electrolytes. Also, the study may reveal insight into the electron transfer pathways of biological reactions of the acridines and their electrochemical behavior on the electrode.

The current study has advantages over the previously developed method for TAC [15] because it used an OSWV which is more sensitive than the DPP, uses a low amount of mercury in contrast
to the polarographic method so it can be considered safer, needs less time per sweep. The previous study did not use methanol as a supporting electrolyte which may be an advantage but the current was more stable and the regression of the calibration curve also improved. Another previously mentioned study use carbon electrodes [16], but the present study used mercury. The advantages of mercury exceed its disadvantages, as it is known that a new surface is produced for each analysis so no contamination occurs from the previous analysis, has a wide potential range (from +0.4 to –2.5 V corresponding to supporting electrolyte), does not require polishing, has high hydrogen over potential which makes it the best electrode for the cathodic reaction. The disadvantage of its toxicity can be reduced by taking special care during use and discarding.
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