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ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess opportunities and threats towards the continuity and success of Community-based reproductive health service programme in Northeast Ethiopia.

Design: Community-based comparative cross sectional study.

Setting: Two districts of Amhara region, Ethiopia, classified as strong and weak community based reproductive health programme areas.

Subjects: Seven hundred Ninety two women aged 15-49 years residing in the selected districts of Amhara region. Community-based reproductive health workers, programme coordinators and field supervisors were used as informants of qualitative data collection.

Main outcome measure: Current use of modern contraceptive methods.

Results: Strong versus weak programme areas: knowledge about modern contraceptive method (MCM), was (90% and 86.8%), [OR (95% CI) = 2.87(1.68, 4.91)], ever use of MCM (61.1% and 29.7%), [OR (95% CI) = 3.71(2.72, 5.07)] and current use of MCM (54.8% versus 19.7%), [OR (95% CI) = 4.95(3.53, 6.95)]. Method interruption was significantly higher, 37.6% in weak than 10.9% in strongly performing programme area. Causes of defaulting in strong versus weak programme areas were: wanted more pregnancy (4% and 39.5%), fear of contraceptives’ side effects (16% and 31.6%) and lack of method of choice (20% and 2.6%). Type of religion, husband approval, awareness of service existence and client satisfaction remained to be the predictors of current use of MCM in multivariate analysis. Qualitative study findings were found coherent with the quantitative results.

Conclusion: Type of religion, husband approval, client satisfaction and awareness of service existence were the predictors of modern contraceptive methods utilisation in the study population.

INTRODUCTION

Among women of reproductive age (15-49 years) in developing countries the burden of reproductive ill-health is far greater than the disease burden from tuberculosis, respiratory infections, motor vehicle injuries, homicide and violence or from war (1-3). Ethiopia being one of the world poorest countries has high and unmet health needs. As it is the case in many other developing countries, the health care in general and reproductive health in particular have suffered from inadequate staffing and allocation of resources (3).

Ethiopia is the third most populated country in Africa, has one of the highest population growth rate, 2.9%, and total fertility rate of 5.9 children per woman. Other health status indicators, such as maternal mortality ratio 871 deaths per 100,000 live births, infant mortality Rate 97 per 1000 live births,
Study population: The study population included women in the reproductive age group (15-49 years), residing in the geographic boundaries of Jamma and Sayint districts of Amhara Region, Ethiopia.

Selection criteria (Empirical)
Strong CBRHP areas: These are districts with a contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) of 15% or more and percentage of active CBRH agents > 90%.
Weak CBRHP areas: These are districts with a contraceptive prevalence rate of ≤4% and percentage of active CBRH agents < 50%.

Sampling procedure and sample size determination: Multistage sampling techniques have been employed. Among eleven zones in Amhara region, South Wollo zone was selected due to the presence of the CBRHP programme. During the data collection period, twelve districts were implementing CBRHP programme in the Zone. Based on pre-defined empirical criteria stated below, these twelve districts were classified into two as strong and weakly implementing community-based reproductive health service programme areas, out of each group the extreme (either the strongest or the weakest) was selected and included in the study. Out of the rural villages found in each selected district, a total of eight villages (four from each district) were randomly selected and included in the study. Finally, households were systematically identified. The number of households included in the study was determined using proportional allocation method based on the population size of each village. In each household, a woman in the reproductive age group was enrolled in the study and interviewed. If there was more than one eligible woman in a household, one was selected by lottery method. In case there was none eligible in the selected household the next household was included in the study. Using EPI6 statcalc for two proportions, 792 women in the reproductive age (15-49 years) group were included in the study.

Variables in the study: Independent variables included socio-demographic characteristics such as age, educational level, religion, marital status and past reproductive characteristics: number of pregnancies, number of children and history of unwanted pregnancies. Knowledge, attitude, practice towards modern contraceptive methods and knowledge, perceptions, approaches and views of women with regard to community-based reproductive health service were also considered. Current use of modern contraceptive method was the outcome variable in this study.

Data collection and analysis: Quantitative data were collected in a house-to-house interview based on structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was translated into local language, pre-tested and refined before the actual data collection was launched. Data collectors were secondary school graduates, with
previous experience in data collection techniques, and trained for three days. Two staff nurses were recruited and trained to be supervisors and facilitators of qualitative data collection.

Qualitative data were collected through individual in-depth interview with responsible programme coordinators, supervisors and health workers at different level and focus group discussion with women and CBRH agents. Each focus group was composed of eight to ten people. Semi-structured open-ended questionnaire guide was used to collect information. Quantitative data were entered with EPI info version 6.04 and analysed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 11.5. Odds ratio and chi-square test were used to assess statistical association, whereas 95% confidence interval and p-value for statistical significance. Where chi-square test was not appropriate, Fisher’s exact test was used. Logistic regression analysis was also performed to see the relative effect of the predictors on the dependant variable.

RESULTS

Quantitative part: A total of 792 women in reproductive age group (15-49) were interviewed, out of which 397 and 395 were in the strong and weak CBRH programme areas respectively. Baseline characteristics of these populations are presented in Table 1 (distribution of socio-demographic characteristics) and Table 2 (past reproductive history of women).

The mean ± S.D ages in the strong and weak areas were 28.9 ± 7.8 and 27.6 ± 8.4 respectively. Three hundred forty-two (86.4%) and 339 (85.8%) of the women in strong and weak programme areas respectively were currently married. In the strong and weak programme areas respectively, three hundred eighty nine (98%) and 280 (70.9%) were orthodox Christians while four (1%) and 111 (28.1%) were Muslims. Among respondents, 66.2% of the strongly performing and 63.7% of the weak programme areas respectively were currently married. In the strong and weak programme areas respectively, one hundred forty-two (86.4%) and 339 (85.8%) of the women in strong and weak programme areas respectively were currently married. In the strong and weak programme areas respectively, three hundred eighty-nine (98%) and 280 (70.9%) were orthodox Christians while four (1%) and 111 (28.1%) were Muslims. Among respondents, 66.2% of the strongly performing and 63.7% of the weak programme areas respectively could not read and write. Three hundred thirty five (84.8%) and 320 (81.6%) in strong and weakly performing areas were housewives.

The median numbers of pregnancies and currently alive children a woman had in both programme areas were found to be four and three respectively. Unwanted pregnancy was reported by 14 (4%) and 19 (5.4%) of women in the strong and weak programme areas respectively. History of spontaneous abortion was reported at 22.4% versus 19.7% in the strong and weakly performing CBRH programme areas. No women except two, in the weak areas reported history of induced abortion.

Statistical analysis for these baseline characteristics showed that the populations did not differ, except in terms of religion, showing a greater amount of women with Orthodox Christianity in the strong intervention area.

Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) towards Modern contraceptive methods: The knowledge of Modern Contraceptive Methods (MCM) was 95% in the strong, and 86.8% in the weakly performing CBRHP areas with statistically significant difference [OR (95% CI) = 2.87 (1.68, 4.91)]. Ever use of MCM, 61.1% in the strong and 29.7% in the weak CBRHP areas, was statistically significant [OR (95% CI) = 3.71 (2.72, 5.07)]. Though statistically significant difference was not observed, among non-users, 50 and 59% of the respondents had future plan to use CBRH service in the strong and weak programme areas respectively [OR (95% CI) = 0.69 (0.36, 1.32)]. Current use of MCM was 54.8% of women in strong and 19.7% in the weak CBRHP areas was also statistically significant [OR (95% CI) = 4.95 (3.53, 6.95)]. No difference was observed between current users, 72.5% and 80% that used injectable form and, OCP 26.4 and 16.9% in the strong and weak CBRH programme areas respectively. One hundred eighty six (93.9%) and 56 (94.9%) of the current users in the strong and weak programme areas respectively, were using the method of their choice. Among users, contraceptive supply problems were encountered in 42 (18.3%) and 17 (16.8%) of women in strong and weak areas respectively (Table 3). Among current users, husband’s approval was found to be 95.2% versus 96.5% in the strong and weak programme areas with no statistically significant difference, ([c2=0.18, P=0.67]).

Causes of interruption and never use of MCM as reported by women: Eleven percent of women in strongly performing programme area had interrupted the use of MCM as compared to 37.6% in the weak programme area that is statistically significant at [OR (95% CI) = 4.92 (2.66, 9.15)]. The major reasons for method interruption in strong versus weak programme areas respectively were: need for more pregnancies, 4% versus 39.5%, fear of untoward effects of contraceptives, 16 versus 31.6% and absence of method of one’s choice 20 versus 2.6%. The three most frequent reasons for not using were: need for more children 58.2 versus 59.8%, lack of information 11 versus 11.3% and absence of sexual partner 14.4 versus 5.9% in the strong and weak programme areas respectively (Figure 1). No statistically significant difference was observed for reasons of method interruption and non-use between the two populations except that higher proportion of women in strong performing area responded the need for more pregnancies as a reason for method interruption, (x² = 12.21, P = 0.00).
Knowledge, perception and views of women towards CBRH services: Significantly higher proportion, 92.4% in strong than 67.4% in weak CBRH programme areas, know the existence of CBRH agents in their village [OR (95%CI) =5.88 (3.83, 9.02)] (Table-4). There was a trend towards a greater involvement of women in the recruitment process of CBRH agents. “Ever-talked with village CBRH agent” between the strong 81.9% and weak areas, 72.6% respectively, was statistically significant [OR (95%CI) =1.71(1.16, 2.49)]. Among women who had ever-talked, 264 (74.7%) and 180 (69.7%) in strong and weak programme areas respectively received at least one type of RH service. Peer pressure so as to be a client of CBRH service was exerted by 112 (29.8%) of women in strong programme area as compared to 45(12.7%) in the weak programme area. The difference was statistically significant, [OR (95%CI) =2.92(1.99, 4.29)] (Table-4). More than 80% of the women in both programme areas responded that the one-time fee for service (0.50 Birr for a cycle of OCP and 3 Birr for an injection of depo-provera, equivalent to 0.06 and 0.35 USD respectively) was not expensive nor constitutes a barrier to their family income. The perception of respondents that many other people were using CBRH service in their village was significantly higher, 69.6% in strong, than 38.3% in weak programme areas, [OR (95%CI) =3.69 (2.71, 5.01)]. Though more than 85% of women in both programme areas reported high level of service satisfaction, statistically significant higher proportion was observed among women in strong programme areas [OR (95%CI) =3.07(1.64, 5.75)].

The odds of discontinuing the service / contraceptive method in use, if the current project based CBRH programme came to an end or phased out was three times higher in strongly performing areas,82.7% compared to, 60.1% in weak programme area [OR (95%CI) =3.17(1.95, 5.14)].

Socio-demographic and other pertinent variables in relation to current use of MCM: Socio-demographic variables, such as age, occupation, number of currently alive children, level of education and opinion of women to the affordability of the fee for service showed no statistically significant association with current use of MCM. It was found that, being currently married was associated with the use of one form of modern contraceptive method as compared to others (single, divorced and widowed) [OR (95%CI) =1.64(1.01, 2.66)].

To be Christian (Orthodox) in religion was significantly associated with current use of modern contraceptive methods as compared to Muslim [OR (95%CI) =3.12(1.82, 5.33)]. The odds of using MCM were six times higher among women whose husbands approve family planning than those who did not [OR (95%CI) =5.79(2.94, 11.41)]. Awareness of women to the existence of CBRH agents in their village (98.1%) and level of satisfaction by the service received from CBRH agents (97%) were significantly associated with current use of MCM [OR (95%CI) =15.22(6.11, 37.95), and 3.39(1.49,7.66) respectively] (Table-5).

Results of logistic regression analysis: By putting those predictors that had significant association with current use of modern contraceptive methods (MCM) in the univariate analysis and avoiding the redundant ones, logistic regression analysis was performed. As a result, religion remained significantly associated with current use of MCM in regression analysis; those who were Christian (Orthodox) were more likely to use MCM than Muslims [AOR (95%CI) =3.73(1.81, 7.68)]. Current use of MCM found to have significant association with client satisfaction [AOR (95%CI) =3.08(1.09, 8.71)]. Being knowledgeable on the existence of CBRHS were more likely to be MCM user than not [AOR (95%CI) =6.28(1.29, 32.92)]. Husband approval remained as a predictor of modern contraceptive use in the logistic model [AOR (95%CI) =4.33(2.03, 9.25)] (Table 6).

Qualitative part: The qualitative part was aimed at getting better understanding of the situation, surveying potential users, field workers and programme managers.

Focus group discussion with women aged 15-49 years: A total of four focus group discussions sessions, two in each district, consists of thirty-three women, (16 in weak and 17 in strong programme areas) were conducted. All participants were illiterate, currently married and had a range of two to eleven children.

The objective of the focus groups was to explore and document additional and/or new information that was not captured by the quantitative method. Majority of the participants in both study areas knew injection form (Depo-Provera) and Oral Contraceptive method (OCP). Half of the respondents mentioned condom as MCM and two women mentioned rhythm method. Most of the women had heard of the existence of the CBRH service in their village from the CBRH workers that conducted a door-to-door visit to inform them about reproductive health issues. All except one woman, were not using and even some of them knew nothing at all, about FP prior to the introduction of the CBRH programme in their villages. All women unanimously agreed that they started using MCM after thorough discussion and approval by their husbands. Participants in both study areas agreed that they were using the method of their first choice. Injectable form was mainly chosen as compared to OCP in both study areas. The large majority of the CBRH service users in both study areas had a great concern and worry to the sustainability of the programme.
Focus group discussion with Community-based reproductive health workers: Two sessions, one in each study area, consists of a total of eighteen, 13 male and five female, CBRH agents, were conducted. All discussants were currently married and had a range of two to six children. All respondents in both study areas were willing and happy at the time of recruitment as CBRH worker and there after. However, they considered that the two weeks family planning focussed pre-service training they got was not enough to provide them with the necessary knowledge to respond to the challenging questions that came from their clients. As to fee for the service, all of them unanimously agreed that the fee for service they were retaining from users was better than nothing as compared to the range of services they were expected to render.

Among further challenges and problems mentioned by CBRH agents in both study areas were lack of uniformity in the payment pattern between government health institutions and the CBRH programme. This discrepancy, according to them, created a sense of dishonesty.

CBRH workers were asked whether or not they themselves were using MCM. Out of eight FGD participants in the strongly performing CBRHP areas, six of them were using MCM since the beginning of the programme, one was widowed and the other argued the use of rhythm method. On the other hand, in the weak CBRHP areas, out of ten participants, four were using MCM where as six of them were not due to bad health condition, strong belief that they were naturally spacing their birth and one of them claimed to use rhythm method.

Individual in-depth interview with programme co-ordinators and field supervisors: Interviewees pointed out that CBRH service had largely and meaningfully contributed to the existing health care service delivery, especially in the creation of access to basic RH service to the underserved community, acting as a bridge between the conventional health care system and the community and improved contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) in the area. In the view of programme coordinators, the success and sustainability of the programme depends on the continuous supply of contraceptive commodities, re-evaluation of the ever volunteerism of CBRH agents, reducing the work load of each agent, current CBRHA-Client ratio (1:700 households), and participation and commitment from the local leaders. Interviewees also agreed that steps taken as to the sustainability of the programme so far were not satisfactory. Currently, users’ fee collected from each client would be transferred to the zonal common pot so that zone manages the fee collected to buy contraceptive commodities. However, there is an intention, in the near future, to handover this responsibility of fee management and provision of FP commodities to the district social committee and district health office.

DISCUSSION

As expected, differences in the Community-Based Reproductive Health (CBRH) service utilisation pattern have been observed between the strong and weak programme areas. The use of both quantitative and qualitative study methods, comparative approach and the inclusion of inaccessible and underserved study areas were the strengths of this study. The scientific sampling procedures and the use of appropriate statistical tests to rule out chances, bias and confounding effect helped to improve the validity of the study. However, the design of the study, being cross sectional, also shares its inability to establish an antecedent-consequence relationship of events. Though vital characteristics were compared between the strong and weak programme areas, there could be potential source of bias and confounders due to the complexity and interlinked nature of some factors.

Many of the findings in our study are similar to earlier observations. Population characteristics differed only in terms of religion, with a predominantly christian population in the strong as compared to the weak programme area. In the current study, women in the strong programme area which is predominantly Orthodox Christian had shown a better use of reproductive health services. Studies done in relation to community-based distribution and family planning in Ethiopia have shown mixed results in that some programmes were successful even in the predominantly Muslim communities (8). In another community-based study in Northern Ethiopia, though both Orthodox Christianity and Islam play a role in influencing the use of contraception, 53% of women had responded that they would take contraceptives irrespective of the words of religious leaders (7). The Fertility and Family planning survey of 1996-97 in Pakistan, where more than 97% of the population is Muslim, showed that, among women who were currently married and never users of contraceptives, 10% offered religion as the main reason for never use (21).

The prevalence of unwanted pregnancy was found much lower in both programme areas than other studies. In addition to the problem of underreporting, it is generally considered that rural people use children as an additional source of labour force to the family, which might partly explain our results.

A statistically significant difference was observed in the knowledge of women towards MCM (at least one method) between the strong and weak programme areas. The result of the knowledge of women in the strong programme area was higher.
than in similar studies in the Eastern part of Ethiopia, 89.1%, Tigray 89%, Northern Ethiopia 84.6% and a national figure of 82 percent (5,7).

More than fifty percent of never users in both programme areas had future intention to use CBRH service. This positive attitude could be viewed as one of the potential grounds to the initiation of similar programmes in the future.

Unlike similar studies in Ethiopia, and as compared to the national figure, where OCP is the predominant family planning method used (13, 17-19), current users in this study were using injection form of contraception followed by OCP. Focus group discussions with current MCM users and CBRH agents in both study areas had also confirmed this finding. Consideration of continuous supply of injectable form of contraception, to comply with the method of choice, could improve the perceived quality of CBRH programme (17,19).

The need for more children and fear of side effects of contraceptives were the most frequent reasons for method interruption among women in the weak programme area. In the strong programme area, husband opposition was reported as well as absence of choice of method. This could show that there was lack of education and counselling strategy in the weak programme area and unmet needs in the strong programme area.

Current MCM users in both programme areas, with no statistical difference, reported that their husbands were aware and approved of the method they were using. During focus group discussion sessions, women unanimously agreed that husband approval was an important step towards the use of MCM. Controlling other socio-demographic variables, husband approval remained a significant predictor of current use of MCM in the logistic regression model. Experiences from Eastern Turkey, Bangladesh, Ghana and Indonesia showed, among women who desire to have no more children that the major cause for non use of methods was attributed to husband’s approval (7,16,20-23).

The difference in awareness of women to the existence of CBRH agents in their village between the two programme areas was statistically significant. Taking current use of MCM as an outcome variable, awareness of the existence of CBRH workers remained significant in the multivariate analysis. Awareness could serve as an entry point in the initiation of interpersonal communication with CBRH workers.

Though peer pressure has been demonstrated in adolescent health literatures to be an important factor(27), not much has been done in Ethiopia on this topic which may be an important point, as the this study demonstrated that a significantly higher proportion of women in the strong programme area had influenced their friends or neighbours to use CBRH services. This peer pressure effect could serve as an entry point to non-users in the future.

Despite concerns of CBRH workers on the limit of the fee retained from a client, women in both programme areas had the opinion that the one-time fee for service set was fair and affordable. Experiences from Indonesia had shown that a trend of women seeking fee based family planning programmes increased in the mid 1990’s (25,26). Non-uniformity in the payment pattern between CBRH services and government health institutions was an issue of discussion during focus group sessions. CBRH workers were concern that this discrepancy created a sense of dishonesty and un reliability.

Unlike that of the fee-for service scheme of CBRH programme, the nearby health facilities in both study areas run routine reproductive health service without charge. Prospects of discontinuity of this programme were found routine reproductive health service without charge. Prospects of discontinuity of this programme were found routine reproductive health service without charge. Prospects of discontinuity of this programme were found routine reproductive health service without charge. Prospects of discontinuity of this programme were found routine reproductive health service without charge.

The following areas were identified as topics for further exploration: the difference in attitude and tradition towards the practice of contraception as a function of religious identity, the discrepancy between the opinion of client and service providers on the affordability of the one time fee-for service and policy consideration of fee for reproductive health service in the government health institutions to sustain CBRH programme.

**CONCLUSION**

Though the programme was designed in the same manner, and CBRH agents were willing to do well in both programme areas, it was demonstrated that for some reasons, that could be external factors (opportunities and threats), they were unable to put forward their message in the weak programme areas. Strategical approach to certain community groups such as husbands of non-users and religious groups made a differ ence towards the success of the CBRH programme. The motivation of the CBRH agents, the currently fair and demand based supply of contraceptive commodities, the intersectoral collaboration between actors and positive attitude of
clients towards the CBRH agents were seen as opportunities in the strong programme areas. On the contrary, the poorly designed reproductive health education strategy, lack of commitment and absence of shared vision among stakeholders, as demonstrated in the weak programme areas, were potential threat to the programme. The great concern and worry of clients on their prospect of the current donor motivated and project based programme stops needs an urgent attention.
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