Germline variants in the ATM gene and breast cancer susceptibility in Moroccan women: A meta-analysis
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Abstract

Background: The ATM gene encoding a large protein kinase is mutated in ataxia-telangiectasia (AT), an autosomal recessive disease characterized by neurological and immunological symptoms, and cancer predisposition. Previous studies suggest that heterozygous carriers of ATM mutations have an increased risk of breast cancer compared with non carriers, but the contribution of specific variants has been difficult to estimate. However, two functional ATM variants, c.7271T > G and c.1066–6T > G (IVS10–6T > G), are associated with increased risk for the development of breast cancer.

Methods: To investigate the role of ATM in breast cancer susceptibility, we genotyped 163 case patients with breast cancer and 150 healthy control individuals for the c.7271T > G and c.1066–6T > G (IVS10–6T > G) ATM variants using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis.

Results: We did not detect the ATM c.7271T > G and c.1066–6T > G (IVS10–6T > G) mutations in any of 150 healthy control individuals and 163 breast cancer patients, including 59 women diagnosed with breast cancer at an early age (<40 years), 10 women with bilateral breast cancer, and 6 women with ovarian cancer.

Conclusion: These observations suggested that the more common c.1066–6T > G (IVS10–6T > G) mutation and the rare c.7271T > G variant are not a risk factor for developing breast cancer in the Moroccan population. Larger and/or combined association studies are needed to clarify this issue.
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1. Introduction

Biallelic germline mutations in the ATM gene (MIM# 208900) are linked to the rare human autosomal recessive disorder called ataxia-telangiectasia (AT). The syndrome has an estimated frequency of 1 in 40,000 to 1 in 100,000 live births and is characterized by various clinical features such as cerebellar neurodegeneration (ataxia), dilated blood vessels in the eyes and skin (telangiectasia), immunodeficiency, growth retardation, premature aging, chromosomal instability, increased sensitivity to ionizing radiation and a highly increased susceptibility to cancer, in particular leukaemia and lymphomas [1–13]. ATM, a member of the phosphotidylinositol 3-kinase-like family, codes for a large serine-threonine kinase of 3056 amino acids that plays a central role in sensing and signaling the presence of DNA double-strand breaks that may be caused by exposure to ionizing radiation or other types of DNA damaging agents [14–16].

The ATM gene, located on human chromosome 11q22.3–23.1, extends over 150 kb of genomic DNA composed of 66 exons, giving rise to a transcript of approximately 13 kb that contains an open reading frame (ORF). It has been reported to be involved in numerous DNA damage repair signaling pathways and cell-cycle checkpoints [6,17–20]. Loss of heterozygosity in the region of the ATM gene has been detected in approximately 40% of human sporadic breast tumors [21–25]. Breast cancer patients with the combination of radiation treatment and an ATM missense variant resulted in a shorter mean interval to develop a second tumor than patients
without radiation treatment and ATM germline variant [26]. Previously, several epidemiological case-control studies based on relatives of AT and breast cancer have estimated that the heterozygous carriers of ATM mutations are at increased risk of breast cancer and have a two to thirteen fold risk [4,27–39], with some evidence of higher relative risk under the age of 50 years. In spite of that, studies of patients with breast cancer have so far failed to consistently demonstrate an elevated prevalence of germline ATM gene mutations among breast cancer cases relative to controls [32,33].

Since the cloning of the ATM gene in 1995 [40], many case-control studies have carried out mutation screening and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping to clarify the role of ATM genetic variation in breast cancer predisposition [41–47]. Specific functional variants of the ATM gene have been individually associated with higher breast cancer risk. One particular ATM missense mutation, c.7271T > G, was originally identified in two British AT families with atypical clinical presentation and an excess of breast cancer. That variant was associated with a 12.7-fold (95% confidence interval [CI] 53.5–45.9; P50.003) increase in breast cancer risk [48]. The results have not been replicated in subsequent studies [29,49,50]. However, Tavtigian and colleagues did show that missense substitutions in the FAT and Kinase domains, including c.7271T > G, confer greater risk than do truncating variants. The role of missense substitutions uncovered in this paper also somewhat increases the best estimate for the population carrier frequencies of variants in ATM that are pathogenic for breast cancer [51].

A second, more common, the out-of-frame splicing mutation c.1066–6T > G (IVS10–6T > G), has also been associated with an increased risk of breast cancer in some [38,52] but not all [49] studies. This pathogenic mutation leads to incorrect splicing of exon 11 and exon skipping, resulting in a frame-shift starting at codon 355 and subsequently truncation of the protein at codon 371. The loss of exon 11 in the mRNA was the pathogenic consequence of this splicing mutation which produced less than 10% of full-length ATM mRNA and ATM protein [44]. The frequent occurrence of the IVS10–6T > G and c.7271T > G in breast cancer patients prompted the question whether this mutation frequently arises de novo in the population. A complete understanding of this breast cancer-related ATM variant and its impact on human health requires, besides a genetic and functional analysis, an insight into its natural history. Thus, the purpose of the current study was to investigate the associations between the c.7271T > G and c.1066– 6T > G ATM gene variants and breast cancer risk in case-control series from Moroccan population.

2. Subjects and methods

2.1. Study population

Cases were 163 female patients affected with breast cancer as the first diagnosed cancer. Among them, 113 were recruited from Mohammed VI Center for Cancer Treatment of Ibn Roched University Hospital of Casablanca during 2009–2010 and 50 patients were recruited from the Department of Oncology of the Littoral Clinic of Casablanca during 2013.

Clinico-pathological parameters including age at diagnosis, menopausal status, histology type, tumor size, Scarff-Bloom-Richardson (SBR) grade, lymph nodes status, and hormone receptors status were obtained from patients’ medical records. The group of sporadic cases (n = 75) presented a median age at diagnosis of 36.9 years (range 23–59 years). The group of familial breast and/or ovarian cases (n = 59) presented a median age at diagnosis of 44.8 years (range of 25–67 years), and included women with specific family-history criteria (Fig. 1):

- Three or more first or second degree relatives with breast cancer diagnosed in the same familial branch, at any age
- Two first degree relatives with breast cancer, with at least one early onset breast cancer case (<40 years).

The controls were 150 female blood donors collected through the Service of Genetics and Molecular Pathology Laboratory. All blood donors were healthy and with no personal history of breast cancer. Median age at blood donation was 36.4 years (range of 20–77 years). All the individuals included in the present case-control study signed an informed consent to the use of their biological material for genetic research purposes approved by the Ethics Committee for Biomedical Research in Casablanca (CERBC) of the Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (declaration of Helsinki) for experiments in humans.

2.2. DNA isolation and PCR-RFLP amplification

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes using the salting out procedure [53]. Genomic DNA was dissolved in TE (10 mM Tris-HCl and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0), confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Spectrophotometry was used to quantify DNA using the NanovueTM Plus spectrophotometer.

Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) analysis was performed to determine the T7271G and IVS10–6T > G variants of ATM gene.

2.3. ATM T7271G variant analysis

The ATM c.7271T > G mutation was detected by amplifying genomic DNA with the forward primer 5'-TGAAAAAGCCCCAAAAG GAGG-3' and the reverse primer 5'-TAACTGTTGAACATAAAATTTG CAC-3' using The Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). The lowercase “a” in the forward primer is a mismatch (the nucleotide is G in the published sequence) that was specifically introduced to destroy an MnII site adjacent to and overlapping with the MnII site created by the G substitution at nucleotide 7271, thus allowing the 7271 nucleotide substitution to be detected by MnII digestion [52].

The PCR reaction was carried out to amplify ATM gene in a final volume of 25 µL containing 10X reaction buffer, 25 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM dNTPs, 5 µM primers, 500 U of Taq DNA polymerase, and 150 ng of genomic DNA. The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles of 20 s at 94 °C, 20 s at 55 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C, with a final extension step of 5 min at 72 °C. The PCR products were digested with the MnII restriction enzyme.

Thus, 10 µL of PCR product was digested with 3 U of MnII restriction enzyme in a 20 µL reaction with 1X reaction buffer and bovine serum albumin (0.1 mg/mL) at 37 °C overnight. The digested products were separated by electrophoresis in a 4.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and visualized under UV illumination. Wild type allele resulted in 101 bp fragment, and variant allele resulted in 13 and 88 bp fragments. All fragments were observed for heterozygous genotype.

2.4. ATM IVS10–6T > G variant analysis

A PCR-RFLP assay was used to detect the ATM IVS10–6T > G variant using The Veriti Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems). A 193 bp PCR product was amplified using the following primers: Forward: 5'-ACAGGGAATCTGGCTACA-3'; Reverse: 5'-TGATCCTT TATTAATCCCGCTATG-3' in a final volume of 25 µL as described above [52].

Cycling conditions were 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles of 20 s of denaturing at 94 °C, 20 s at 53 °C, and 20 s of annealing
at 72 °C, with a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR products were digested with the Rsal restriction enzyme. Digestion was performed in a total volume of 20 μl containing 10 μl PCR product, 1X digestion buffer, 0.1 mg/ml BSA and 3U of Rsal by overnight incubation at 37 °C. The digested products were separated by electrophoresis on a 4.5% agarose gel staining with ethidium bromide, and the genotype was determined by the banding pattern observed. The variant allele was identified by the presence of 58 bp and 135 bp fragments, and the Wild type allele, which lacks a Rsal restriction site, was identified by a single 193-bp fragment. All fragments were observed for heterozygous genotype.

3. Results

In total, 163 breast cancer cases and 150 controls were successfully genotyped for the T7271G and IVS10–6T > G variants of the ATM gene using the PCR-RFLP technique. The baseline characteristics of the population sample including distribution of tumor characteristic such as histological grade and location of cancer were obtained from patients' medical records and listed in Table 1.

None of the 163 Moroccan breast cancer patients carried the ATM T7271G and IVS10–6T > G mutation. Moreover among the 163 cases, 40 patients had been screened for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations [54]. Thus, in the 30 patients who were non-BRCA mutation carriers, the analysis of T7271G and IVS10–6T > G mutation was also found negative.

4. Discussion

The ATM gene has long been hypothesized to be a breast cancer susceptibility gene, but the evidence has been contradictory. Most mutation analyses of ATM in patients with breast cancer and in control subjects have not found the increased frequency of mutations in case patients that would be expected if these mutations did predispose to breast cancer [32,33,37,42]. However, these studies have been limited by a lack of statistical power [55] and by the choice of method for mutation detection, most of which exclusively or preferentially detect protein-truncating mutations [56]. Furthermore, these studies have focused largely on patients with sporadic breast cancer, and few have tested for ATM mutations in multiple-case breast cancer families in which segregation between mutation and cancer can be examined [57].

In the present population-based case-control study, we evaluated for the first time the involvement of two ATM mutations, T7271G and IVS10–6T → G, on breast cancer susceptibility in the Moroccan population. For this purpose, we performed a screening of those variants in 163 Moroccan breast cancer patients and 150 healthy controls. We found that none of the 313 analyzed samples carried the ATM T7271G and IVS10–6T → G mutations, suggesting that the frequency of those variants is extremely low (or not present) in the Moroccan population.

Furthermore, the existence of two distinct classes of ATM mutations (truncating and missense) might explain some of the contradictory data on cancer risk. Some missense variants in ATM encode...
stable, but functionally abnormal proteins that could compete in complex formation with the normal
ATM protein, resulting in a dominant-negative cellular phenotype and confer a particularly high risk of breast cancer when heterozygous, while causing a milder form of AT, when homozygous. In contrast, truncating mutations produce an unstable ATM protein so that heterozygote individuals still maintain 50% of wild type ATM activity, resulting in an almost normal phenotype [56,58]. The initial studies that examined the role of truncating ATM mutations and breast cancer risk failed to reveal statistically significant disease associations [33,59]. This was despite the evidence of excess breast cancer incidence within A-T families [34–37,42,60–64]. This apparent dichotomy between the results obtained with these two different study designs may simply reflect inadequate power rather than true disagreement [55]. However, an analysis of 20 missense ATM mutations provided little support for an association of ATM missense mutation and breast cancer [65]. Thompson et al. [63] also found no evidence for a difference in risk of breast or other cancer according to the type of ATM mutation, while the risk estimate of Renwick et al. [31] was based mainly on truncating mutations. Haaplotype analysis could also reveal a role for common variants in the ATM gene in causing breast cancer. Five biallelic haplotype tagging single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been estimated to capture 99% of the haplotype diversity in Caucasian populations. In the Nurses Health Study, there was no evidence that common haplotypes of ATM are associated with breast cancer risk [66]. When confirmed, this could suggest that less common variation in ATM is involved in increasing breast cancer risk, which can only be addressed in much larger studies. A possible example of such a variant is the c.7271T > G (V2424G), with an allele frequency of approximately 0.2% among cases and a substantially elevated breast cancer risk [47,52,67] (Table 2).

Table 2
Studies investigating the frequency of T7271 G and IVS10–6T > G ATM gene variants in breast cancer cases and controls by ethnicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Overall Case</th>
<th>Overall Control</th>
<th>Carriers n (frequency of carriers,%) Case</th>
<th>Carriers n (frequency of carriers,%) Control</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T7271G</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>MOROCCO</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein and al</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>2(0.2)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein and al</td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>4(0.3)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein and al</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(0.2)</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chenexiv-Trench and al</td>
<td>AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>1(0.1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>THE NETHERLANDS</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>AUSTRIA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renwick and al</td>
<td>UNITED KINGDOM</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>2(0.4)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS10–6T &gt; G</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>MOROCCO</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein and al</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>1(0.2)</td>
<td>8(1.3)</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sommer and al</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>1(2.3)</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein and al</td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3757</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>13(0.3)</td>
<td>10(0.8)</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brooks and al</td>
<td>THE NETHERLANDS</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>3(3.7)</td>
<td>2(0.7)</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dork and al</td>
<td>GERMANY</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>7(0.7)</td>
<td>3(0.6)</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>THE NETHERLANDS</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>6(0.9)</td>
<td>3(0.6)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>AUSTRIA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2(2.3)</td>
<td>1(1.1)</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Szabo and al</td>
<td>FRANCE</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lei and al</td>
<td>SWEDEN AND CZECH REPUBLIC</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>2(0.2)</td>
<td>1(0.2)</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brooks and al</td>
<td>THE NETHERLANDS</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>3(1.3)</td>
<td>2(0.7)</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soukupova and al</td>
<td>CZECH REPUBLIC</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>1(0.6)</td>
<td>2(1.1)</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lindeman and al</td>
<td>AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>7(1.4)</td>
<td>6(0.8)</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thompson and al</td>
<td>AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>3(1.0)</td>
<td>7(1.0)</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chenexiv-Trench and al</td>
<td>AUSTRALIA</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bernstein and al</td>
<td>CANADA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3757</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>13(0.3)</td>
<td>10(0.8)</td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
family was reported in which five women with breast cancer were heterozygous T7271G carriers. Expression and activity analyses of ATM in cell lines from the carriers suggested that the mutation acts in a dominant negative activity [52]. This mutation has been shown to be very rare in several other studies [31,52,67,70]. The T7271G variant is predicted to result in a valine to glycine substitution at position 2424 of the ATM protein (p.Val2424Gly). While this substitution does not affect any readily-recognizable functional domain, programs such as SIFT [68] and PolyPhen [69], which attempt to classify mutations based on sequence conservation and structural prediction, suggest that this substitution is deleterious.

On the other hand, IVS10–6T → G ATM mutation has been detected in the homozygous state in one German patient with fullblown A-T [38,71]. This leaky splicing mutation appears to be the most common pathogenic ATM gene mutation at the population level, although it is infrequently compared with other ATM mutations in ataxia-telangiectasia patients [72,73] suggesting an incomplete penetrance with regard to classical A-T symptoms. In view of its population-wide frequency and its ancient origin, together with its impairment of ATM function and its linkage with breast cancer, the IVS10–6T → G mutation may constitute one of the most common inherited susceptibility loci. This variant had been demonstrated to affect normal splicing of exon 11 and exon skipping, resulting in a frame shift starting at codon 355 and subsequent truncation of the protein at amino acid 419 (Table 2).

Our results thus refute those of Chenevix-Trench et al. [52] who proposed that the ATM IVS10–6T → G and 7271T → G mutations are high-risk breast cancer-susceptibility alleles. They based their estimates of the breast cancer risks conferred by these two mutations on only 2 and 1 single family, respectively, together including 14 cases with breast cancer. The total likelihood of disequilibrium score for linkage of breast cancer to the ATM locus from these three families was 1.18 (odds of 15:1 in favor of linkage), which does not meet conventional criteria for significant linkage. Given the relatively little linkage information/family (likelihood of disequilibrium scores of 0.14, 0.64, and 0.40), precise estimates of the breast cancer risks conferred by the two mutations could not be derived from their dataset, and hence, their Bayes factors should be viewed with caution. Combining the Bayes factors reported for the two Australian ATM IVS10–6T → G-positive families [52] with those of the 5 families in this study gives total Bayes factors of 0.04 (LCIS case classified as unaffected) and 0.0025 (LCIS case classified as affected). These results imply overall odds of 25:1 and 400:1 against causality, respectively little linkage information/family (likelihood of disequilibrium). Our data do not support an increased breast cancer risk for the ATM IVS10–6T → G mutation, although a slightly increased risk cannot be formally excluded. Neither the ATM IVS10–6T → G mutation nor the ATM 7271T → G mutation is likely to have a substantial contribution to familial breast cancer. No evidence currently exists that any mutation of the ATM gene confers a high risk of breast cancer [35,33,38,44,48,52,62]. In contrast to others [77,78], we believe that carrier screening in clinical settings for the purpose of breast cancer risk assessment is as yet not indicated for any ATM allele. However, a role for the ATM gene in breast cancer susceptibility is plausible but the exact association remains unclear, and most probably comprises only a modest role in familial breast cancer susceptibility.
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