ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Survey of Aflatoxin Contamination in Ethiopia Habtamu Fuffa, MSc¹ and Kelbessa Urga, MSc^{*}, FUNU¹

ABSTRACT

Background: Aflatoxins are highly toxic, hepatocarcinogenic, secondary metabolites of Aspergillus species produced in most agricultural commodities stored at inappropriate temperatures and water activities. The aim of the present paper was to analyse the levels and frequency of aflatoxin contamination in samples of most commonly consumed agricultural commodities collected from various regions of the country.

Methods: A total of 595 food samples collected from Southern Peoples Nations and Nationalities, Oromia and Harari Regional States were collected and screened for aflatoxin contamination. Commodities sampled included barley, wheat, maize, millet, sorghum, tef, pepper, peanut, broad beans and dry peas. Aflatoxins B_1 and G_1 were the only mycotoxins detected in the food samples.

Results: Aflatoxin B_1 was the predominant form, the incidence of samples containing it was 30% and then accompanied by aflatoxin G_1 , 6%. The highest levels of aflatoxin B_1 was observed in peanut and sorghum samples (738 and 692µgkg⁻¹, respectively). The highest level of aflatoxin G_1 found was 201µ gkg⁻¹. Groundnut, sorghum and millet samples have been identified as high-risk commodities based on the incidence rate of aflatoxin contamination. Levels of total aflatoxin greater than 20 µgkg⁻¹, were most frequently encountered in all aflatoxin positive samples of corn, sorghum, wheat, red pepper and peanut followed by barley (17%) and teff (13%).

Conclusion: The presence of aflatoxins in commonly consumed foods emphasize a public health concern and the need to develop mycotoxin prevention and control strategies in Ethiopia.

Keywords: Aflatoxin, fungi, mycotoxin, Aspergillus species

INTRODUCTION

Aflatoxins are secondary metabolites of the storage fungi *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* produced in most

agricultural commodities stored at inappropriate temperatures and water activities. *A. parasiticus* and *A. flavus* are common and widely distributed in tropical and sub-tropical parts of the world.

¹Ethiopian Health and Nutrition Research Institute, P. O. Box 5645, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Corresponding author

Commonly, the molds produce aflatoxins B_1, B_2, G_1 and G_2 (1).

Aflatoxins. basically difuranocoumarin compounds. are highly toxic and hepatocarcinogenic. The most commonly occurring aflatoxin, aflatoxin B1, is the most toxic, a potent hepatocarcinogen as well immunosuppressive. It is as increasingly implicated in human and animal pathology (2). Primary liver cancer (PLC) is one of the leading causes of cancer mortality in Asia and Africa. Studies carried out in Kenva, Swaziland, Uganda. Mozambique and provide evidence for involvement of aflatoxin consumption in the causation of PLC (3).

It is also believed that there are synergistic effects between aflatoxins and hepatitis B virus infection causing primary liver cancer (4).

In many parts of Africa, human food staples exist which contain 10 to 30 times the recommended maximum and evidences from various parts of Africa have been produced suggesting that the level of constituents of local foods is directly related to the incidence of liver cancer in the various communities (5).

Fungal spoilage of stored commodities and aflatoxin production highly depends on important factors including several moisture content, relative humidity in the air. and temperature of the environment (6). Although the ideal temperature for mycotoxin production by many molds is in the range of 25 to 28°C. A. flavus is known to grow at temperatures as low as 10-15°C (7). However, constant temperature $(25^{\circ}C)$ is generally accepted as the temperature near the optimum for aflatoxin production (8). The activities of molds are also governed by the relative humidity of surrounding air and moisture content of stored products. There is fairly defined relationship between water content in the grain and relative humidity of the surrounding atmosphere. The food samples surveyed in the present study contained moisture equal to or slightly higher than the critical moisture content for safe storage of cereals and legumes (6). Storage fungi mostly species of *Aspergillus*, grow when agricultural commodities are stored with moisture contents above 13 or 14% (8).

Ethiopia, with its various agro-climatic regions, produces a variety of crops. Most of the produces are stored under poor and unsatisfactory storage conditions for considerable periods. Traditional storage structures in Ethiopia are usually made up of mud. bamboo strips and underground pits. In addition to these structures grains are stored in polyethylene and gunny bags. Extended storage under unsatisfactory storage conditions predisposes the produce to growth of storage fungi and productions of mycotoxins (6).

Several studies have reported increased rate of liver diseases and a significant degree of morbidity and mortality attributed to the diseases in Ethiopia. Primary hepatocellular carcinoma, the commonest malignancy seen in medical wards, is one of the forms of occurrences of liver diseases in Ethiopia (9). Although the aetiological agents were not elucidated. Coady (1976) however, indicated the possibility of causal association between primary hepatocellular carcinoma and consumption of aflatoxin contaminated diets in Ethiopia (10).

The problem of aflatoxin contamination of agricultural commodities in Ethiopia is much more serious than commonly visualized. The growth and proliferation of aflatoxigenic fungi depends on several factors including high temperature and humidity under which natural substrates are stored (6). In addition, particular social conditions and behavior including methods of preservation of food products and traditional feeding may play a significant role. In all these aspects. Ethiopia is considered to provide a favorable situation

Survey of Aflatoxin contamination

for aflatoxigenic mold proliferation of agricultural commodities. Previous reports have been made of aflatoxin contamination in cereals and cereal products and spices taken from silos, warehouses. shops and market places in Addis Ababa (11-13).

The present paper however, reports the results of analysis on levels and frequency of aflatoxin contamination in samples of most commonly consumed agricultural commodities collected from various regions of the country.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 595 samples of cereal grains, legumes. oil seed and a spice were used for analysis. The food samples were collected randomly from warehouses. silos. bins. stores and foods offered for sale by grain retailers in the markets. Twenty-six locations in the Southern Peoples Nations and Nationalities. Oromiva and Harari Regional States were visited and samples of 10 varieties of the most commonly consumed agricultural commodities were collected. Commodities sampled included barley (26), wheat (30), maize (105), millet (42). sorghum (64). tef (71), pepper (96). peanut (74). broad beans (44) and dry peas (43). Observations were also made regarding the temperature of the environment and relative humidity in the air. All samples were drawn applying the sampling method of Bacha et al. (14). the number of samples collected depending on the size of the lot. Temperature and relative humidity of sample collection sites were measured using a portable Okaton Hygrothermograph (Cole-Parmer. Vernon Hills. IL., USA). Before taking the analytical sample, the samples were mixed thoroughly to achieve effective distribution of contaminated portions. One-two kg of the bulk samples was well ground and 100g of the flour served as the analytical sample for the analysis. Samples were analyzed to

Habtamu F et al

detect aflatoxins B₁, G₁, B₂, andG₂, Aflatoxin standards (aflatoxins B₁ B₂G₁ and G₂) were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis. Mo., USA) and thin layer chromatography plates ((20x20) cm, 0.25mm thickness, Silica Gel 60) were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt. Germany). Aflatoxin standards were prepared following the AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) Official Method 970.44 (15). ACS (American Chemical Society) grade chemicals or reagents were obtained from Sigma or Merck.

Aflatoxin analysis: Extraction, purification and separation techniques qualitative and quantitative determination of individual aflatoxins were carried following the AOAC Romer Minicolumn method (15). In brief, aflatoxins were extracted from the commodities by acetone: water (85:15.v/v). and interfering compounds were removed by adding cupric carbonate and ferric chloride gel. The aflatoxins were subsequently extracted from the aqueous phase with chloroform and the chloroform extract is then applied to the top of a minicolumn containing successive layers of neutral alumina (top), silica gel, and florosil (bottom), with calcium sulfate as drier at both ends. The column was developed with chloroform: acetone (9:1). and the aflatoxins are trapped as a tight band at the top of the florosil laver. The fluorescence was measured directly by inserting the minicolumn in the fuorotoxinmeter. Chemical confirmation of aflatoxins was achieved by spraving sulfuric acid: water solution (1:1, v/v) on TLC plates (16). For recovery studies. wheat samples just as with corn and sorghum samples were spiked with standard aflatoxins and processed as already described for food samples. All results were determined on a dry weight

19

Vol. 11. No. 1

January 2001

basis and reported as the average value of assays of four samples.

RESULTS

Mean recoveries were 97% for aflatoxin B_1 , 103% for aflatoxin G_1 and 96% for the other aflatoxins. The limits of detection of the method were 12µg kg⁻¹ for aflatoxins B_1 and B_2 and 15µg kg⁻¹ for aflatoxins G_1 and G_2 Table 1 shows geographical distribution of relative humidity in air, environmental temperature and moisture content of the food samples collected. There was less variation in the moisture content of samples with the exception of those samples collected from Jimma, Arbaminch and Bako where the highest temperature was recorded. There was also a positive correlation between relative humidity in air and moisture content of the collected food samples.

Table 1.	Environmental	temperature.	relative	humidity	and	moisture	content	of	the	grains.
	EHNRI, 1998									

Sample Type	Locality	Temp ⁰ C	RH %	MC %	Sample type	Locality	Temp °C	RH %	MC •/0
Barley	Dabasso	21	65	13.5	Sorghum	Harar	22	54	13.0
	Assela	18	64	14.0		Alemaya	28	69	14.5
	Shirka	22	55	13.0		Asbe Teferi	26	56	13.0
Wheat	Assela	18	64	13.5		Nazareth	31	60	13.5
	Shirka	22	55	13.0	Peanut	Alemaya	28	69	15.0
Pepper	Shirka	22	55	13.0		Harar	22	54	13.0
	Mareko	18	66	14.0		Jimma	31	70	15.0
	Arbaminch	35	68	14.5		Babile	29	66	14.0
	Alaba	24	62	13.5		Dabasso	21	65	13.0
	Jimma	31	70	14.8	Millet	Bedele	24	67	14.0
	Bako	30	69	15.0		Woliso	25	68	14.0
Broad	Dedo	27	56	13.0		Gedo	24	66	14.0
beans						Ambo	25	69	14.5
	Gedo	24	66	14.0	Dry peas	Gedo	24	66	14.0
	Assendabo	23	68	14.5		Ambo	25	69	14.5
	Bedele	24	67	14.0		Bedele	24	67	14.0
	Dodolla	22	64	13.5		Assela	18	64	13.5
Maize	Shashamene	25	62	13.3	Teff	Ambo	25	69	14.5
	Yirgachaffe	26	60	13.1		Gedo	22	54	13.0
	Walaita					Jimma	30	70	15.0
	Sodo	26	62	13.3		Asendabo	23	68	14.5
	Dilla	27	64	13.9		Bedele	24	67	14.0
	Jimma	31	70	15.0		Nazareth	31	60	13.5.
	Bedele	24	67	14.0		AsbeTeferi	26	56	13.0
	Dedo	27	56	12.8					
	Aleta Wondo	22	57	13.0					

MC= moisture content food samples. RH= relative humidity of the bulk. Number food samples in bracket

Survey of Aflatoxin contamination

Sample type	Location	No. of samples	Aflatoxin type	Percent samples	Average µgkg ⁻¹	Max µgkg ⁻¹	
Barley	Dabasso (10)	2	B	20	23	48	
	Assela (8)	1	B	13	17	17	
	Shirka (8)	3	B	38	32	61	
Wheat	Assela (11)	4	B	36	42	75	
	Shirka (9)	3	B	33	21	42	
	Itaya (10)	2	B ₁	20	19	23	
Maize	Shashamene(15)	3	B ₁	20	41	90	
	Dila (16)	2	B1	13	32	45	
	Dedo(12)	3	B ₁	25	48	55	
	Jimma (10)	5	B ₁	50	83	285	
	Bedele (15)	4	B_1	27	58	123	
	Aleta Wondo						
	(10)	2	B	20	42	51	
	Wolaita Sodo						
	(12)	1	B ₁	8	24	24	
	Yirgachafe (15)	5	B ₁	33	87	213	
Millet	Bedele (7)	2	B	28.6	21	32	
		2	G1	28.6	12	18	
	Woliso (10)	1	B ₁	10	36	36	
	Jimma (8)	4	B ₁	50	47	203	
		4	G1	50	31	57	
	Gedo (5)	1	B1	20	31	31	
	Bako (12)	2	B ₁	16.7	45	53	
Sorghum	Harar (20)	4	B ₁	20	62	238	
	Alemaya (15)	6	B1	40	72	692	
		6	G1	40	32	76	
	Asbe Teferi (18)	5	B ₁	27.8	28	97	
	Nazareth (11)	4	B1	36.4	104	363	
Teff	Ambo (8)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	Gedo (7)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	Jimma (12)	5	B1	41.7	57	283	
	Asandabo (14)	4	B ₁	28.6	32	193	
	Bedele (8)	2	B_1	25	17	28	
	Nazareth (10)	3	B ₁	30	63	114	
	Asbe Teferi (12)	2	B ₁	16.7	13	18	

Table 2. Occurrence of aflatoxins in cereal samples. EHNRI, 1998.

ND= Not detected. Number food samples in bracket

Vol. 11. No. 1

January 2001

2 indicates cereal grains Table according to their aflatoxin load at the time of collection. The aflatoxins found in cereals were identified as aflatoxin B1 and aflatoxin G1. The incidence of contaminated cereal samples were 25% for aflatoxin B1. G1 aflatoxin was detected in 12 of the 338 cereal samples analyzed, 2 and 4 from Jimma millet samples and 6 sorghum samples from Alemaya.

Mean aflatoxin B_1 concentrations in corn varied from 24 to $87\mu g \text{ kg}^{-1}$ and from 17 to 32 $\mu g \text{ kg}^{-1}$ in the barley samples. As for the incidence of aflatoxin contamination in sorghum, 19 samples (29.7%) were contaminated with aflatoxin B_1 . The maximal levels found in Alemaya sorghum were 692 µg kg⁻¹ for aflatoxin B_1 . On the other hand, of 20 Harar and 18 Asbe Teferi sorghum samples. 4 (20%) and 5 (28%) were contaminated with aflatoxin B_1 at a mean concentration of 62 and 28 µg kg⁻¹, respectively. Although the maximal level of aflatoxin B_1 was 363µg kg⁻¹, the mean aflatoxin value in the Nazareth sorghum was 104 µg kg⁻¹. The mean concentrations of aflatoxin B_1 in positive samples varied from 19 to 42 µg kg⁻¹ for wheat and from 13 to 57 µg kg⁻¹ for the teff samples. This toxin was however, absent in

Table 3. Occurrence of aflatoxins in legumes and pepper. EHNRI, 1998.

Sample type	Locality	No. samp	of	Aflatoxin type	Percent Samples	Average µgkg ⁻¹	Maximum
Pepper	Mareko (25)		4	B ₁	16	26	133
			4	G1	16	120	183
	Shirka (20)		3	B1	15	68	95
	Arbaminch(25)		4	B1	16	75	79
			4	G	16	32	85
	Alaba (10)		1	B1	10	55	55
	Jimma (8)		3	B1	37.5	73	220
	Bako (8)		2	B1	25	45	61
Peanut	Alemaya (18)		7	B1	38.9	205	738
	Jimma (8)		3	B	37.5	53	139
	Harar (11)		3	Bi	27.3	37	94
	Jimma (13)		6	B ₁	46.2	183	493
			7	G1	53.8	68	201
	Babile (16)		9	B1	56.3	295	400
			8	G ₁	50	116	133
	Dabaso (8)		2	B1	25	115	145
			3	G1	37.5	105	105
Broad beans	Dedo (10)		1	B	10	12	12
	Gedo (8)		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Bedele (7)		2	B_1	29	27	30
	Asendabo (10)		3	B1	30	36	88
	Dodolla (9)		1	B1	11	29	29
Dry peas	Gedo (10)		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
0.00 8.00	Ambo (12)		1	B1	8	37	37
	Bedele (8)		2	B ₁	25	41	51
	Assela (13)		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

ND= Not detected. Number food samples in bracket

the teff samples collected from Ambo and Gedo.

The natural occurrence of aflatoxins in red pepper and legume samples is summarized in Table 3. Aflatoxins were detected in 48 of the 74 peanut samples. Highest concentrations of aflatoxin B₁ in positive samples were detected in peanut samples from Alemava. Jimma and Babile 493 400µg were 738. and kg⁻¹. respectively. Aflatoxin G1 however, was detected in peanut samples from Jimma. Babile and Dabasso with mean concentrations ranging from 68 to 116 µg kg⁻¹. Other mycotoxins were not detected in the peanut samples. Among the 96 pepper samples, aflatoxins B1 and G1 was found at the same incidence in both Mareko and Arbaminch samples. The mean concentrations of aflatoxin B1 in positive sample ranges from 26 in Mareko to 75µg kg⁻¹ in Arbaminch. The highest level (183µg kg⁻¹) of aflatoxin G₁ was recorded from Mareko pepper samples. Aflatoxin B1 was detected at relatively low concentrations. mean values ranging from 12 to 41 µg kg⁻¹ in only 10 of 87 legume samples. It was not found at all in Gedo and Assela legume samples.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, all food samples come from regions with temperatures ranging from 18°C to 31°C which supports the growth of *Aspergillus* species. Under tropical conditions, stored products are more susceptible to *Aspergillus* species than other fungi, as many *Aspergillus* species are favored by the combination of low water activity (a_w) and relatively high storage temperature (17). Water activity is numerically equal to the equilibrium relative humidity (ERH) expressed as a decimal. Studies elsewhere have also shown that at moisture contents of 8-9% in oil seeds. 8-10% for cereal grains and 1012% for wheat, fungi may grow and elaborate mycotoxins at normal tropical temperature (18). This is true for the storage fungi *A. flavus*, a xerophilic fungi. which are capable of growth at water activity (a_w) of a food or commodity 0.8 or below (17, 19).

Stored commodities always have reduced a_w, and the a_w should be less than 0.6 for them to be microbiologically stable. However, stored commodities are often moister than this. or there are damp pockets due to moisture migration, and xerophilic fungi are able to grow. The detection of aflatoxin B₁ in sorghum, peanut, and red pepper samples at relative humidity in the air of as low as 54% may be attributed to these factors or development of insects in the crops during storage. Even in dry grains. insect activity creates a moist microclimate within the infested kernels or cotvledon and facilitates growth of storage fungi and production of mycotoxins.

Although mycotoxins were found to be contaminating foods in Ethiopia, there were specific regulations or detailed no proposals on the control of mycotoxins in agricultural commodities. All the food samples in the present study come from parts of the country with a high humidity level and temperatures ranging from 18-31°C. This climatic factor potentiates associated hazards with aflatoxin production which leads to the view that the incidence of contamination in the humid regions would be greater. Thus, aflatoxins B₁ and G₁ were predominantly detected in food samples collected from places where temperature and humidity was high.

The detection of aflatoxin G_1 in conjunction with aflatoxin B_1 is not unusual. A biochemical distinction between isolates of the two species is that *A. parasiticus* produces aflatoxins B_1 . B_2 . G_1 . G_2 and M_1 whereas *A. flavus* usually produces only B_1 and B_2 (20). Aflatoxin B_1 was detected in conjunction with aflatoxin

23

G₁ in the same positive samples of millet. sorghum, red pepper and peanut samples similar to other studies (9,11). The absence of G aflatoxins in other food samples indicated the presence of A. flavus in agreement with previous reports (20). On the other hand, in the case of teff samples collected from Gedo and Ambo, no mycotoxin contamination occurred. It was found only in 16 of the 56 teff samples collected from other locations and essentially in 9 of the 30 wheat and 6 of the 26 barley samples. These cereals constitute the principal component of the traditional diet sourdough bread Injera. Earlier studies aflatoxins have shown that persist throughout the traditional fermentation processes of Injera preparation as practiced in Ethiopia (10-11).

Aflatoxin is a natural contaminant on legumes and retains remarkably high viability on whole seeds and in flour stored for up to 20 months (21). The highest mean total aflatoxin level which was 36µg kg⁻¹ was found in broad beans from Asandabo whereas, in dry peas from Bedele it was 41µg kg⁻¹. respectively. Legumes including dry peas and broad beans are usually used to prepare the traditional vegetable sauce. shiro wot (9). While levels of aflatoxin produced on dry peas and broad beans may not be as great as those on peanuts or corn, the potential for human health hazards associated with improperly stored and processed legumes must be considered in overall handling systems followed in the home. It appears from this study that legumes are good substrates for aflatoxin production in agreement with previous studies (21).

Of greatest concern is the aflatoxin incidence at levels equal to or exceeding the maximum tolerated concentrations decided by countries like USA ($20\mu g \text{ kg}^{-1}$) and UK ($10\mu g \text{ kg}^{-1}$) in foods for human use (22). Total aflatoxin was found to occur mainly (90%) above the $20\mu g \text{ kg}^{-1}$

January 2001

tolerance level in cereal and legume samples. All aflatoxin positive peanut and red pepper samples however, exhibited concentrations greater than the tolerance limits. This high level of contamination was consistent with the findings previously reported elsewhere (10,11,18).

Although no direct evidence has implicated aflatoxins as the causal agents for primary hepatocellular carcinoma in Ethiopia, the above finding emphasize that the presence of aflatoxins in commonly eaten foods as a public health concern and aflatoxin contamination as a problem in the country. Since no agricultural commodity immune to is absolutely aflatoxin contamination, results of the present study will help identify sources of contamination and areas where control measures should be improved. Implementation of national prevention and control strategies like. proper post-harvest handling and good storage practices for food ingredients are required reduce or to eliminate contamination by the Aspergillus storage fungi.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The present work has been financed by the Ethiopian Health and Nutrition Research Institute.

REFERENCES

- Moss MO. Secondary metabolism and food intoxication-molds. J Appl Bacteriol 1992; 73: 80S-88S.
- Goldblatt LA. Chemistry and control of aflatoxins. J Intl Union Pure Appl Chem 1970; 21: 331-353.
- Groupman JD, Cain LG, Kensler TW, Aflatoxin exposure in human populations: measurements and relationship to cancer. CRC Reviews in Toxicology 1988; 19:113-145.

Survey of Aflatoxin contamination

- Van Egmund HP. Speyers GJA. Wouters RBM. Naturally occurring toxicants in foodstuffs. Food Lab. Newsletter 1990; 6 (2): 38-45.
- Alpert ME. *et al.* Association between aflatoxin, cancer, food and hepatoma frequency in Uganda. *Cancer* 1971; 28: 253-260.
- Lal S. Role of moisture and microflora in storage. In: Warehouse management of bag storage of grains. FAO. Rome, 1986.
- Diener UL. Davis ND. Aflatoxin production by isolates of *A. flavus*. *Phytopathol* 1966;56:1390-1396.
- Saur DB. Mold invasion in relation to grain damage. Cereal Foods World. 1986; 33:489-490.
- Tsega E. Current views on liver diseases in Ethiopia. *Ethiop Med. J* 1977: 15: 75-82.
- Coady A. The possibility of factors of particularly fungi origin in Ethiopian liver diseases. *Ethiop Med. J* 1976: 3:173-176.
- Fufa H. Urga K. Screening of aflatoxins in *shiro* and ground pepper in Addis Ababa. *Ethiop Med J* 1996; 34: 243-249.
- Abera G, Admssu M. Asurvey of aflatoxin in maize, sorghum and teff samples. *Ethiop J Health Dev* 1988; 2:59-70.
- Abraham B, Petros G. A preliminary study on the aflatoxin content of selected Ethiopian foods. *Ethiop Med J* 1973: 19:47-51.

- Bacha H. *et al.* Monitoring and identification of fungal toxins in food products. animal feed and cereals in Tunisia. J Stored Prod. Res 1988: 24: 199-205.
- Anonymous. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 16th ed. Washington, DC, 1995.
- Stack ME, Pohland AE. Collaborative study of a method for chemical confirmation of the identity of aflatoxins. J. Assoc. Off. Anal.Chem. 1975; 58:110-113.
- Pitt, J.L., Hocking, A.D. Significance of fungi in stored products. In: Fungi and Mycotoxins in Stored Products. ACLAR Proceeding 1991; No. 36: 16-22.
- Omer RE. et al. AF and liver cancer in Sudan. Nutr. Cancer. 1998; 32.174-180.
- Pitt JI. Miscample BF. Water relations of A. flavus and closely related species. J. Food Protect, 1995; 58: 86-90.
- Moss MO. Mycotoxins of *Aspergillus* and other filamentous fungi. J Appl Bacteriol 1989: 90:69S-81S.
- Koehler PE. Beuchat LR. Chhinnan MS. Influence of temperature and water activity in aflatoxin production by *A. flavus* in cow pea seeds meal. *J Food Prot* 1985; 48:1540-1543.
- 22. Gilbert J. Regulatory aspects of mycotoxins in the European Community and USA. In: Fungi and Mycotoxins in Stored Products. ACIAR Proceeding 1991: No. 36: 194-197.

25