

Impact of Emerging Technologies on Environmental Monitoring and Industrial Safety Compliance

¹Dimkpa K., ¹Ohochukwu P. & ^{2*}Azike C.

¹Department of Architecture, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt.

²Department of Medical Microbiology, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt

*Corresponding author: chidimma.azike@ust.edu.ng

Received: 26/03/2025

Revised: 06/05/2025

Accepted: 24/05/2025

Traditional approaches to environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance often lack efficiency, scalability, and real-time responsiveness. Emerging technologies, including Artificial Intelligence (AI), drones, and the Internet of Things (IoT), are increasingly being integrated into these domains to enhance hazard detection, predictive analytics, and automated monitoring. This study evaluates the impact of AI, drones, and IoT on environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance. It aims to assess the extent to which these technologies improve workplace safety, regulatory adherence, and environmental conservation while identifying associated challenges, including issues related to integration, ethics, and sustainability. Drawing on six empirical studies selected through a structured literature search of peer-reviewed and field-based publications, quantifiable improvements in workplace safety, environmental monitoring precision, and regulatory adherence were explored. The findings indicate that AI enhances predictive capabilities in environmental risk management, drones facilitate remote inspections and large-scale monitoring, and IoT enables real-time data collection and automated compliance monitoring. Collectively, these technologies contribute to improved workplace safety, strengthened regulatory oversight, and optimized resource allocation. The synergistic potential of integrating these technologies while recognizing challenges such as cybersecurity risks, ethical considerations regarding surveillance, and the environmental impact of technological deployment. Addressing these challenges requires standardized frameworks, policy development, and sustainable innovation. This review underscores the need for standardized frameworks, ethical guidelines, and capacity-building initiatives to fully harness the potential of these technologies in advancing industrial and environmental safety.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Drones, Environmental Monitoring, Industrial Safety, Internet of Things

<https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/etsj.v16i1.15>

Introduction

The 21st century has ushered in a myriad of environmental and industrial challenges that require innovative solutions. Climate change, resource depletion, and the increasing complexity of global supply chains have collectively escalated environmental degradation and industrial risks. On one side, the widespread consequences of deforestation, biodiversity loss, and pollution jeopardize ecosystems and human health (Okogwu *et al.*, 2023). On the other, industries, particularly those operating in high-risk environments such as construction, mining, and chemical manufacturing, face persistent challenges in ensuring workplace safety. Globally, occupational hazards contribute to over 2.78 million deaths annually, underscoring the inadequacy of traditional approaches (Raza *et al.*, 2017).

Conventional methods of environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance, reliant on manual inspections and static sensor networks, have proven insufficient in addressing contemporary challenges (Murtaza *et al.*, 2024). These methods often lack scalability, real-time data collection capabilities, and predictive analytics, limiting their effectiveness.

Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), drones, and the Internet of Things (IoT) have introduced transformative tools to overcome these limitations. By enabling real-time data acquisition, predictive decision-making, and automation, these technologies represent a paradigm shift in monitoring and compliance processes (Van, 2024). These emerging technologies are revolutionizing traditional systems by offering scalable, automated, and data-driven solutions. Each of these technologies brings unique capabilities to environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance.

Artificial intelligence systems excel in analyzing large datasets, detecting anomalies, and making predictive decisions. Their ability to learn and adapt enables proactive monitoring and risk mitigation. For instance, artificial intelligence algorithms can forecast environmental changes, identify hazardous conditions, and optimize resource allocation (Ekudayo, 2024). Drones, or unmanned aerial vehicles, have transformed remote sensing and aerial surveillance. They are capable of capturing high-resolution imagery and geospatial data in inaccessible or hazardous environments, making them indispensable for

monitoring large-scale ecosystems and inspecting high-risk industrial sites (Quamar *et al.*, 2023). The Internet of Things integrates interconnected devices equipped with sensors and communication capabilities. These systems facilitate real-time data exchange and automated responses, creating cohesive infrastructures for continuous monitoring and compliance (Borgia, 2014). By integrating these technologies, industries are achieving levels of precision, efficiency, and scalability previously unattainable. However, their deployment also introduces complexities that require nuanced understanding. Despite the promising applications of these technologies, there is limited synthesized evidence of their measurable impact across industries. Most existing studies focus on individual tools or isolated use cases. This creates a fragmented understanding of how these technologies collectively influence safety and compliance outcomes. A comprehensive review is necessary to bridge this gap and inform scalable, ethical, and sustainable deployment strategies.

Contributions to Environmental Monitoring

Artificial intelligence, drones, and the Internet of Things have significantly advanced environmental monitoring by addressing longstanding challenges of data collection, analysis, and responsiveness (Bibri *et al.*, 2024). Artificial intelligence algorithms have revolutionized environmental monitoring by enabling predictive analytics and real-time decision-making. For example, machine learning models can analyze historical and current data to forecast air quality, predict weather patterns, and assess biodiversity risks (Sharma *et al.*, 2024). These insights enable targeted interventions to mitigate environmental harm. As reported by Alotaibi *et al.* (2024), applications of artificial intelligence in environmental monitoring include climate modeling, pollution tracking, and ecosystem management. Machine learning models trained on satellite imagery can identify deforestation hotspots and predict vegetation loss, enabling timely conservation efforts (Tharun *et al.*, 2024).

Artificial intelligence-driven systems are instrumental in predictive maintenance and risk mitigation (Unlu & Soylemez, 2024). Machine learning algorithms analyze equipment performance data to forecast potential failures, enabling timely interventions. Additionally, artificial intelligence-powered computer vision systems detect unsafe worker behaviors and the absence of personal protective equipment, ensuring real-time compliance (Nwulu *et al.*, 2023). Artificial intelligence also optimizes training programs by analyzing incident data to identify knowledge gaps among workers. Drones have redefined remote sensing, offering unparalleled access to dynamic ecosystems. Equipped with multispectral and thermal sensors, drones can

monitor environmental parameters such as vegetation health, soil moisture, and temperature variations (Harle *et al.*, 2024; Mertikas *et al.*, 2021). They have proven particularly valuable in tracking deforestation, wildlife habitats, and water resources. The efficiency and cost-effectiveness of drones make them ideal for large-scale environmental assessments. For example, drones can survey vast forest areas in a fraction of the time required for ground-based methods, while providing higher resolution data. Drones play a critical role in conducting inspections of high-risk environments. By capturing detailed visual data, drones eliminate the need for human workers to enter hazardous areas, such as oil rigs or power plants. They also enable aerial assessments of structural integrity, reducing downtime and enhancing operational safety. Moreover, drones equipped with gas sensors can detect hazardous emissions, facilitating swift corrective actions to prevent accidents. Their flexibility and mobility make them indispensable for industries operating in geographically dispersed or remote locations (Dauren *et al.*, 2024). The Internet of Things has created a continuous, real-time data stream for environmental monitoring. Sensors deployed in air and water quality monitoring stations provide precise measurements of pollutants, while Internet of Things networks in industrial facilities track emissions and energy consumption (Pamula *et al.*, 2022). Cloud-based analytics platforms further enhance these systems by enabling remote access and automated alerts for regulatory breaches (Wong & Kerkez, 2016). The Internet of Things systems have created connected ecosystems for continuous safety monitoring. Smart sensors detect gas leaks, temperature fluctuations, and structural anomalies, triggering automated alerts and interventions. Wearable Internet of Things devices monitor workers' vital signs and environmental conditions, such as exposure to heat or airborne particulates, ensuring real-time safety compliance (Patel *et al.*, 2022). Centralized Internet of Things dashboards provide comprehensive insights into safety metrics, enabling organizations to monitor multiple sites simultaneously and ensure regulatory adherence.

The integration of artificial intelligence, drones, and the Internet of Things has created synergistic opportunities for comprehensive risk management. In precision agriculture, Internet of Things sensors monitor soil conditions, drones capture aerial imagery of crops, and artificial intelligence algorithms analyze data to optimize yields while reducing environmental impact (Mertikas *et al.*, 2021). Similarly, in disaster management, these technologies collaborate to map flood-affected areas, track water quality, and predict evacuation routes (Unlu & Soylemez, 2024). However, challenges persist in achieving seamless integration. Proprietary software systems and fragmented data

standards hinder interoperability, limiting scalability and effectiveness. Regulatory ambiguities surrounding liability for artificial intelligence-driven decisions and drone-related accidents further complicate implementation. Addressing these challenges is essential for unlocking the full potential of these technologies (Hoffman & Otero, 2020).

Ethical and Regulatory Landscapes

The deployment of emerging technologies in safety and environmental contexts raises ethical and regulatory concerns. Artificial intelligence models trained on incomplete datasets risk perpetuating biases, leading to skewed predictions. Similarly, drone surveillance in industrial settings raises privacy concerns, particularly in regions with weak data protection laws (Finn & Wright, 2016). Regulatory bodies face the dual challenge of fostering innovation while ensuring accountability. The European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act and the United States Federal Aviation Administration's drone regulations represent efforts to balance technological advancement with ethical safeguards. However, gaps in global standardization persist, necessitating more comprehensive frameworks to address the complexities of emerging technologies (Floridi & Cowls, 2019).

Despite their transformative potential, emerging technologies face significant challenges. Artificial intelligence systems are only as reliable as the data they analyze. Inaccurate, biased, or insufficient data can compromise predictions and decisions, undermining the effectiveness of artificial intelligence-driven solutions. Drones face limitations such as restricted battery life, weather dependency, and regulatory restrictions (Mohsan *et al.*, 2023). Similarly, Internet of Things systems are vulnerable to cybersecurity threats, raising concerns about unauthorized access and data breaches (Djenna *et al.*, 2021). Ironically, the production and deployment of these technologies contribute to electronic waste and carbon emissions. The energy-intensive nature of artificial intelligence training processes further exacerbates their environmental impact, raising questions about sustainability. High costs and technical complexities deter small and medium enterprises from adopting these technologies. Ethical concerns, such as worker surveillance, further complicate their deployment (Zhuk, 2023).

The primary aim of this review is to evaluate the impact of artificial intelligence, drones, and the Internet of Things on industrial safety compliance and environmental monitoring by analyzing empirical studies that have examined their effectiveness. It seeks to assess how these technologies contribute to improved hazard detection, enhanced regulatory compliance, and strengthened worker safety in high-

risk industrial environments. Additionally, the review identifies case studies where the implementation of AI-driven safety monitoring, drone-assisted hazard detection, and IoT-enabled real-time surveillance has led to measurable reductions in workplace accidents and environmental hazards. A critical aspect of this evaluation is comparing pre- and post-technology adoption outcomes to determine whether these interventions have demonstrably improved safety and compliance standards. Furthermore, the study examines the challenges and limitations associated with the large-scale implementation of these technologies, considering factors such as cost, integration complexity, ethical concerns, and regulatory adaptation. By addressing these objectives, this review aims to provide a comprehensive assessment of the role of emerging technologies in transforming industrial safety and environmental risk management.

Research Approach

This review employs a structured literature review methodology, which combines the systematic rigor of traditional systematic reviews with the flexibility required to address the interdisciplinary nature of technological innovations in industrial compliance. Unlike conventional systematic reviews, which often prioritize exhaustive coverage of all available literature, this approach emphasizes selective depth, prioritizing studies that directly correlate technological implementation with measurable outcomes in safety and environmental monitoring. The rationale for this design lies in the need to balance methodological precision with the practical constraints of synthesizing a rapidly evolving body of research.

Furthermore, the epistemological foundation of this review is rooted in post-positivism, which assumes that empirical evidence, while not infallible, provides the most reliable basis for evaluating the impact of technologies on industrial practices (Tanlaka *et al.*, 2019). By focusing on studies that present quantifiable data, such as reductions in workplace accidents, improvements in regulatory audit outcomes, or enhancements in environmental pollutant detection. The review seeks to identify patterns and gaps in the evidence base. This approach aligns with the broader objective of determining whether AI, drones, and IoT are fulfilling their purported potential to revolutionize industrial compliance or if their adoption remains constrained by technical, operational, or institutional barriers.

To ensure methodological coherence, the review adopts principles commonly associated with systematic reviews, such as transparency and reproducibility, but refrains from formal protocol registration or the use of tools like PRISMA flowcharts. Instead, it documents its search strategy, inclusion criteria, and data

extraction processes in detail to enable replication. This adaptation reflects the study's aim to provide actionable insights for industry stakeholders and policymakers while acknowledging its focus on synthesizing interdisciplinary technological interventions rather than clinical or public health outcomes. Thus, while it does not constitute a full systematic review, it employs a rigorous methodology to ensure that the selection of studies is transparent, reliable, and aligned with the research objectives.

Data collection and search strategy

The data collection process was guided by a multi-phase search strategy designed to capture the breadth of scholarly and industry perspectives on AI, drones, and IoT in industrial contexts. Academic database—Google Scholar was selected for its interdisciplinary coverage of engineering, environmental science, occupational health, and computer science literature. This platform was chosen not only for its accessibility but also for its indexing of peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and technical reports, which collectively offer insights into both theoretical advancements and real-world applications (Halevi *et al.*, 2017).

To optimize search precision, a combination of controlled vocabulary and keyword-based queries was utilized. The core search strings integrated Boolean operators to link conceptual themes, such as ("Study Location" OR "Sample Size" OR "Study Type" OR "Technology" OR "Outcome Before Technology" OR "Outcome After Technology") AND ("Environmental Monitoring" OR "Industrial Safety Compliance") AND ("AI" OR "Drones" OR "IoT" OR "Emerging Technologies") AND ("Field Studies" OR "Empirical Studies"). The initial search yielded 180 articles. To mitigate selection bias, the search strategy also incorporated backward and forward citation tracking of seminal papers identified during the screening phase. This technique, known as "snowballing," allowed for the inclusion of influential studies that might have been overlooked due to differences in terminology or indexing (Wohlin, 2014). From this pool, 6 studies were selected for review. Temporal filters were applied to restrict results to studies published between 2019 and 2024, ensuring the inclusion of contemporary advancements while acknowledging the rapid obsolescence of earlier technological iterations.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were formulated to ensure that the review's findings are grounded in high-quality, contextually relevant evidence. To qualify for inclusion, studies were required to explicitly examine the deployment of AI, drones, or IoT in industrial settings such as manufacturing plants, construction

sites, oil and gas facilities, or chemical processing units. Additionally, studies needed to provide empirical data comparing outcomes before and after technology implementation, such as incident rates, compliance audit scores, or pollutant emission levels. A further stipulation was that studies must be published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings to guarantee methodological scrutiny.

Studies were excluded if they focused on non-industrial applications, such as healthcare or agriculture, unless they presented transferable insights relevant to industrial compliance. Research relying solely on qualitative assessments, hypothetical models, or anecdotal evidence without quantifiable metrics was also excluded. Furthermore, non-English publications were omitted due to constraints in translation resources and the dominance of English-language literature in technological research.

A critical consideration in applying these criteria was the potential for publication bias, as studies reporting positive outcomes are more likely to be published than those with neutral or negative findings. To partially address this limitation, the review incorporated industry reports and preprints during the initial scoping phase, though these were subjected to additional quality checks. Studies with small sample sizes or limited geographic scope were included but flagged during analysis to assess their influence on the overall conclusions (Grant & Booth, 2009).

Data extraction and analysis

Each study was analyzed using a data extraction table to compare key variables, including study location, sample size, technology type, and impact metrics. This process ensured that findings were systematically synthesized to provide a comprehensive assessment of how AI, drones, and IoT contribute to industrial safety and environmental compliance. The findings from this review will offer data-driven insights into whether these technologies are truly enhancing safety measures or if their adoption is hindered by practical limitations and industry-specific barriers.

Results and Discussion

The findings of this study provide a comprehensive evaluation of the transformative impacts of artificial intelligence, drones, and the Internet of Things on environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance. By analyzing empirical evidence, the study identifies clear advancements in the precision, efficiency, and scalability of these technologies. However, the findings also expose critical challenges that must be navigated to achieve their full potential. This discussion delves into the study's results, dissecting their implications and situating them within

the broader context of prior research, while ensuring a thorough and comprehensive analysis.

In environmental monitoring, the results demonstrate that AI has significantly enhanced predictive capabilities and responsiveness to ecological risks. AI's ability to analyze large datasets and forecast environmental changes, such as deforestation and biodiversity loss, highlights its transformative role in conservation strategies. The study's findings reveal that machine learning models trained on satellite imagery and historical data have achieved substantial accuracy in predicting vegetation loss and tracking pollution trends (Olsen *et al.*, 2019). This is corroborated by the observation that these tools enable precise, targeted interventions that mitigate environmental harm in real time. Unlike earlier approaches reliant on manual methods or static sensors, the AI systems discussed in the study not only increase efficiency but also enable proactive decision-making, thus redefining environmental monitoring frameworks. Moreover, the study highlights specific case studies in which AI-driven predictive analytics have drastically improved early detection of critical issues, such as water contamination, allowing for immediate remedial action. Drones, as the findings show, have similarly revolutionized environmental monitoring by providing access to hazardous and inaccessible regions. The study highlights the utility of drones equipped with multispectral sensors in capturing high-resolution imagery for ecosystem assessments. A notable result from the study highlights how drones are particularly effective in large-scale surveys of forested regions, reducing the time and cost compared to traditional ground-based methods while improving data granularity (Borah *et al.*, 2024). Furthermore, drones' integration with real-time data analytics enables their deployment for monitoring critical environmental parameters, such as soil moisture and vegetation health, with remarkable precision. One particular study reviewed within this research demonstrated drones' ability to map wildfire-prone areas, offering invaluable data that prevented further loss of biodiversity and infrastructure (Borah *et al.*, 2024). These findings not only reaffirm drones' value but also suggest their growing necessity in future environmental projects.

IoT systems emerge from the study's findings as critical in creating interconnected infrastructures that facilitate continuous monitoring. The study reveals that IoT-enabled sensors have been instrumental in real-time pollutant detection and regulatory compliance (Korlapati *et al.*, 2022). For instance, IoT systems used in air and water quality monitoring have delivered precise, real-time measurements that support timely interventions and automated alerts for regulatory breaches. The study further notes the growing adoption of cloud-based analytics platforms that enhance IoT

functionality, enabling remote access to environmental data and centralized management. This technological synergy between IoT and analytics not only ensures regulatory adherence but also optimizes resource allocation for environmental agencies.

In industrial safety compliance, the study's results highlight the significant advancements driven by AI, drones, and IoT. AI-powered predictive maintenance systems have reduced equipment failures and workplace accidents by enabling organizations to anticipate risks before they escalate. The study provides compelling evidence of AI's ability to detect unsafe worker behaviors and non-compliance with safety standards using computer vision algorithms (Dobrucali *et al.*, 2022). These results align with the broader trend toward proactive risk management, showcasing AI's role in minimizing hazards through predictive analytics and adaptive learning models. One case study reviewed in the research demonstrates a 30% reduction in injuries at a manufacturing plant following the implementation of AI-powered safety systems (Park & Kang, 2024). The deployment of drones in industrial inspections also reflects a significant leap forward. The findings illustrate that drones equipped with visual and gas sensors can perform detailed assessments of high-risk environments such as oil rigs, power plants, and confined spaces, thereby reducing human exposure to dangerous conditions. These advancements not only enhance safety but also improve operational efficiency by minimizing downtime.

IoT systems further amplify safety compliance by creating interconnected networks of sensors that continuously monitor critical parameters such as temperature, pressure, and emissions (Thibaud *et al.*, 2018). The study's findings highlight how wearable IoT devices have been used to track workers' vital signs and environmental exposure, providing real-time feedback that enhances safety protocols. For example, smart helmets equipped with IoT and GPS capabilities have been deployed to track workers in confined spaces, significantly reducing the risk of injury. Notably, the study observes a measurable reduction in workplace incidents in facilities equipped with IoT-enabled safety monitoring systems (Park & Kang, 2024).

A key strength of this study is its exploration of how these technologies function synergistically. The results indicate that the integration of AI, drones, and IoT enables comprehensive, multi-layered approaches to both environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance. The study demonstrates how IoT sensors can collect baseline data, which is then analyzed by AI algorithms to identify anomalies, while drones provide a visual layer of verification in hazardous or remote environments (Akram *et al.*, 2024). This interconnected

approach ensures higher levels of precision and responsiveness. An example cited in the research is the use of all three technologies in disaster management scenarios, where IoT sensors monitor environmental parameters, drones capture aerial imagery of affected areas, and AI algorithms predict evacuation routes. However, the study also highlights challenges to achieving seamless integration, such as fragmented data standards and proprietary software systems, which hinder interoperability and scalability. These findings reveal an urgent need for industry-wide collaboration to establish standardized frameworks and promote the adoption of open-source platforms (Korlapati *et al.*, 2022).

While the study’s results emphasize the benefits of these technologies, they also reveal critical limitations and challenges. A prominent issue identified in the findings is the ethical and regulatory ambiguity surrounding the deployment of AI, drones, and IoT. The study notes concern about worker surveillance facilitated by IoT wearables and AI-powered monitoring systems, which can erode trust between employers and employees (Dobrucali *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, the findings raise questions about privacy in drone-enabled surveillance, particularly in regions with insufficient data protection laws. These ethical concerns echo broader debates in the field, highlighting the need for clear guidelines that balance innovation with accountability. Another challenge revealed by the study is the disparity in access to emerging technologies. Small and medium enterprises and resource-constrained regions face significant barriers to adoption due to high implementation costs and technical complexities. This technological divide not only limits the benefits of these tools but also exacerbates existing inequalities in industrial safety

and environmental management. Addressing these disparities is critical to ensuring that the transformative potential of AI, drones, and IoT is equitably distributed.

The study’s results also accentuate the environmental trade-offs associated with emerging technologies. While AI, drones, and IoT contribute to environmental conservation and industrial safety, their production and deployment generate electronic waste and carbon emissions. The findings draw attention to the energy-intensive nature of AI training processes and the limited sustainability of drone components, raising questions about their long-term ecological impact. These concerns align with growing calls in the literature for more sustainable technological practices, such as the development of energy-efficient algorithms and recyclable hardware components. For instance, the study highlights a case in which the use of AI and drones for industrial safety monitoring resulted in significant improvements but also increased energy consumption, prompting calls for more efficient design practices (Olsen *et al.*, 2019). Comparing the study’s findings with prior research reveals both alignments and divergences. For instance, the study confirms earlier observations about the predictive power of AI and the cost-effectiveness of drones in environmental monitoring. However, it diverges in its emphasis on the ethical and environmental trade-offs of these technologies, offering a more balanced perspective that accounts for their dual-edged nature. These insights contribute to a growing body of literature that advocates for a holistic evaluation of emerging technologies, considering both their benefits and limitations. By addressing these complexities, the study enriches the broader discourse on the role of technology in advancing safety and sustainability.

Table 3.1: Study Characteristics

Study	Location	Sample Size	Study Type	Technology	Outcome Before Technology	Outcome After Technology
Dobrucali <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Not specified (global projects)	167 construction projects	Empirical (structural equation modeling)	BIM, AI, robotics, wearable devices	Reliance on manual safety audits and reactive measures.	Improved safety planning, training, and hazard detection; significant reduction in accidents
Borah <i>et al.</i> (2024)	Global (aerial datasets)	10,000+ aerial images (AID dataset)	Field study	Drones with LiDAR and RGB sensors	Limited access to remote areas for land-use classification	High-resolution land-cover mapping with 95% accuracy
Park <i>et al.</i>	South Korea (tunnel construction)	Not specified (worker location)	Field study	Smart helmets with IoT and GPS	High risk of accidents in confined	30% reduction in worker injuries due to real-time

(2024)		tracking)					
Olsen <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Australia (Deep Weeds dataset)	17,509 environmental DNA samples	Field study	DNA barcoding and AI	spaces. Limited species identification in dense ecosystems.	hazard alerts Non-invasive biodiversity assessment with 92% species recognition accuracy	
Mang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	USA (Agriculture-Vision dataset)	94,986 images	field Field study	Edge computing with RGB/NIR sensors	Manual crop anomaly detection.	Automated segmentation of field anomalies with 89% precision	
Korlapati <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Multinational oil and gas company	Not specified (real-time sensor network)	Field study	IoT sensors (temperature, pressure, flow rate)	Manual inspections with delayed hazard detection	Real-time monitoring reduced pipeline failures by 40% and improved compliance with environmental regulations	

Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence, drones, and the Internet of Things has significantly transformed environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance by providing innovative solutions to longstanding challenges. The study highlights the profound impact of these technologies in enhancing data accuracy, predictive capabilities, and real-time responsiveness. However, despite their numerous advantages, their widespread adoption is hindered by challenges such as interoperability issues, regulatory uncertainties, high implementation costs, and ethical concerns. Artificial intelligence has emerged as a powerful tool for analyzing large datasets, detecting anomalies, and predicting potential hazards, thereby enabling proactive environmental and industrial risk management. Drones have revolutionized data collection by offering high-resolution imagery and access to hazardous or remote locations, reducing human exposure to risks. IoT networks have facilitated continuous, real-time monitoring, ensuring regulatory compliance through automated alerts and centralized data management. The synergistic application of these technologies creates a holistic approach to safety and environmental management, increasing efficiency and precision.

However, challenges remain in achieving seamless integration, with proprietary software systems and fragmented data standards limiting scalability. Regulatory frameworks must evolve to address privacy concerns, liability issues, and ethical implications of AI-driven monitoring and drone surveillance. Additionally, the sustainability of these technologies must be considered, as AI model training and drone

production contribute to environmental impacts such as electronic waste and carbon emissions. The study also underscores the need for greater accessibility to these technologies, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises and developing regions where financial and technical barriers persist. Addressing these disparities requires collaborative efforts from governments, industry leaders, and research institutions to provide funding, training, and standardized frameworks that promote equitable access.

Future research should focus on refining AI algorithms to enhance predictive accuracy, improving drone battery efficiency to extend operational duration, and developing energy-efficient IoT sensors to minimize environmental impact. Longitudinal studies are necessary to evaluate the sustained benefits and risks of these technologies, ensuring their continued effectiveness in industrial and environmental applications. While AI, drones, and IoT hold immense potential to revolutionize environmental monitoring and industrial safety compliance, their successful implementation depends on strategic policy interventions, ethical safeguards, and continued technological advancements. Continuous research will provide valuable insights into the evolving role of these technologies and inform future policy and regulatory frameworks. Addressing existing challenges and leveraging their full capabilities will contribute to a safer, more sustainable industrial landscape, ultimately fostering global environmental conservation and workplace safety.

References

- Akram, J, Anaissi, A, Othman, W, Alabdulatif, A. & Akram, A. (2024). Self-supervised multimodal anomaly detection in internet of drone things. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*.
- Alotaibi, E. & Nassif, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in environmental monitoring: in-depth analysis. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 4(1), 84-85.
- Bibri, S.E, Krogstie, J, Kaboli, A. & Alahi, A. (2024). Smarter eco-cities and their leading-edge artificial intelligence of things solutions for environmental sustainability: A comprehensive systematic review. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*.19, 100330.
- Borah, S.S, Khanal, A. & Sundaravadivel, P. (2024). Emerging Technologies for Automation in Environmental Sensing: Review. *Applied Sciences*, 14(8), 3531.
- Borgia, E. (2014). The Internet of Things vision: Key features, applications and open issues. *Computer Communications*.54,1-31.
- Dauren, A, Jose, A. G, Ranjit, R. G, Joshi, R, Kandasamy, J. & Luiz, D. (2024). Embracing Drones and the Internet of Drones Systems in Manufacturing – An Exploration of Obstacles. *Technology in society*, 78,102648–102658.
- Djenna, A, Harous, S. & Saidouni, D.E. (2021). Internet of things meet internet of threats: New concern cyber security issues of critical cyber infrastructure. *Applied Sciences*, 11(10), 4580.
- Dobrucali, E, Demirkesen, S, Sadikoglu, E, Zhang, C. & Damci, A. (2022). Investigating the impact of emerging technologies on construction safety performance. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Ekundayo, F. (2024). Leveraging AI-Driven Decision Intelligence for Complex Systems Engineering. *International Journal of Research in Public Review*, 5(11), 1-0.
- Finn, R.L. & Wright, D. (2016). Privacy, data protection and ethics for civil drone practice: A survey of industry, regulators and civil society organisations. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 32(4), 577-586.
- Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.8cd550d1>
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Halevi, G, Moed, H. & Bar-Ilan, J. (2017). Suitability of Google Scholar as a Source of Scientific Information and as a Source of Data for Scientific evaluation—Review of the Literature. *Journal of Informetrics*, 11(3), 823–834
- Harle, S, Bhagat, A. & Dash, A.K. (2024). Remote Sensing Revolution: Mapping Land Productivity and Vegetation Trends with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). *Current Applied Materials*, (1), e070224226752.
- Hoffmann, J. & Otero, B.G. (2020). Demystifying the role of data interoperability in the access and sharing debate. *Journal of Intellectual Property, Information Technology & Electronic Commerce Law*, 11, 252.
- Korlapati, N.V, Khan, F, Noor, Q, Mirza, S. & Vaddiraju S. (2022). Review and analysis of pipeline leak detection methods. *Journal of Pipeline Science and Engineering*, 2(4),100074.
- MangTik C, Xu, X, Wei, Y, Huang, Z, Schwing, A.G. & Brunner, R.J. (2020). Agriculture-Vision: A Large Aerial Image Database for Agricultural Pattern Analysis.
- Mertikas, S.P, Partsinevelos, P, Mavrocordatos, C. & Maximenko, N.A. (2021). Environmental applications of remote sensing. In: *Pollution assessment for sustainable practices in applied sciences and engineering* (pp. 107-163). Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Mohsan, S.A, Othman, N.Q, Li, Y, Alsharif, M.H. & Khan, M.A. (2023). Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs): Practical aspects, applications, open challenges, security issues, and future trends. *Intelligent Service Robotics*, 16(1), 109-137.
- Murtaza, A.A, Saher, A, Zafar, M.H, Moosavi, S.K, Aftab, M.F. & Sanfilippo, F. (2024). Paradigm shift for predictive maintenance and condition monitoring from Industry 4.0 to Industry 5.0: A systematic review, challenges and case study. *Results in Engineering*, 102935.
- Nwulu, E.O, Elete, T.Y, Erhueh, O.V, Akano, O.A. & Omomo, K.O. (2023). Machine learning applications in predictive maintenance: Enhancing efficiency across the oil and gas industry. *International Journal of Engineering Research Updates*, 5(1), 17-30.
- Okogwu, C, Agho, M.O, Adeyinka, M.A, Odulaja, B.A, Eyo-Udo, N.L, Daraojimba, C. & Bansa, A.A. (2023). Exploring the integration of sustainable materials in supply chain management for environmental impact. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3),49-65.
- Olsen, A, Konovalov, D.A, Philippa, B, Ridd, P, Wood, J.C. & Johns, J. (2019). DeepWeeds: A Multiclass Weed Species Image Dataset for Deep Learning. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1)

- Pamula, A.S, Ravilla, A. & Madiraju, S.V. (2022). Applications of the internet of things (IoT) in real-time monitoring of contaminants in the air, water, and soil. *Engineering Proceedings*, 27(1), 26.
- Park, J. & Kang, D. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Smart Technologies in Safety Management: A Comprehensive Analysis Across Multiple Industries. *Applied Sciences*, 14(24),11934–11935
- Patel, V, Chesmore, A, Legner, C.M. & Pandey, S. (2022). Trends in workplace wearable technologies and connected-worker solutions for next-generation occupational safety, health, and productivity. *Advanced Intelligent Systems*, 4(1), 2100099.
- Quamar, M.M, Al-Ramadan, B, Khan, K, Shafiullah, M. & Ferik, S.E. (2023). Advancements and Applications of Drone-Integrated Geographic Information System Technology—A Review. *Remote Sensing*, 15, 5039.
- Raza, M, Aslam, N, Le-Minh, H, Hussain, S, Cao, Y. & Khan, N.M. (2017). A critical analysis of research potential, challenges, and future directives in industrial wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 20(1),39-95.
- Sharma, S, Sharma, K. & Grover, S. (2024). Real-Time Data Analysis with Smart Sensors. In *Application of Artificial Intelligence in Wastewater Treatment* (pp. 127-153). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Tanlaka, E.F, Ewashen, C. & King-Shier, K. (2019). Postpositivist critical multiplism: Its value for nursing research. *Nursing Open*, 6(3), 740–744.
- Tharun, D.S, Srija, P.S, Krishna, P.V, Panchikkil, S. & Manikandan, V.M. (2024). Deforestation Detection from Remote Sensing Images using Machine Learning. In *2024 15th International Conference on Computing Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT) 2024 Jun 24* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Thibaud, M, Chi, H, Zhou, W. & Piramuthu S. (2018). Internet of Things (IoT) in high-risk Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) industries: A comprehensive review. *Decision Support Systems*, 108,79-95.
- Ünlü, R. & Söylemez, İ. (2024). AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance. In *Engineering Applications of AI and Swarm Intelligence* (pp. 207-233). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Van, H.T. (2024). Impact of integrated artificial intelligence and internet of things technologies on smart city transformation. *Journal of Technical Education Science*, 19(Special Issue 01), 64-73.
- Wohlin, C. (2014). Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering. *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering - EASE* (38), 1–10.
- Wong, B.P. & Kerkez, B. (2016). Real-time environmental sensor data: An application to water quality using web services. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 84, 505-517.
- Zhuk, A. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Impact on the Environment: Hidden Ecological Costs and Ethical-Legal Issues. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*, 1(4), 932-954.