ESTIMATION OF IMPULSE RESPONSE FUNCTION BY ITERATIVELY APPLIED MULTIPLE REGRESSION

E. I. ENANG

(Received 30 January 2003; Revision accepted 21 September 2003)

ABSTRACT

In this paper the author uses iterative multiple regression and backward elimination process to determine the impulse response function coefficients of a given pair of input/output process. The computer-based solution is done with the help of a Pascal program, which organises the selection of the input variables with increasing time lag for the iterative solutions. The truncation point is determined by using the error square contributions of the individual input variables. The method proves stable in both numerical and statistical sense. There was no instability observed up to 80 input variables.
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INTRODUCTION

This paper applies iterative multiple regression to identify the impulse response function \( h(k) \) of the system defined by the input process \( X_i(t) \) and output process \( Y_i(t) \) described by the equation (1):

\[
Y_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k X_{i-k} + N_i
\]

where \( N_i \) is uncorrelated with \( X_i \) for all \( i \).

Both the input and output data were extracted from the yearly annual reports of the Calabar port of Nigerian Ports Authority covering the period from April, 1978 to December, 1997 (monthly data). The gross throughput (total inward plus outward traffic handled in a port in a month) in metric tonnes serves as the input process, while the total revenue collected (in Naira) serves as the output, both after appropriate transformation.

Box & Jenkins (1968, 1970) proposed a method for identifying a physical realisable linear system in the time domain, in the presence of added noise. The impulse response function is obtained by writing the least square equations for the impulse response function. According to Box and Jenkins, these equations, which do not in general provide efficient estimates, are cumbersome to solve and also require the knowledge of the truncation point \( K \).

Newland (1975) proposed to revisit Yule-Walker equation and solved it directly since the increased computing power of modern computers removed Box & Jenkins objections. In line with the idea of recommendation, this paper gives least square estimates of the impulse response function. The problems of selecting a finite model is solved by checking the iteratively calculated least square errors as an alternative to the partial autocorrelation values. This therefore, eliminates Box & Jenkins objections about the unknown parameter \( K \), and working with least square estimates may give a stability to the calculations due to their optimality. As a solution, a programme in Turbo Pascal 7, was developed to organise the data input and iterated solutions of the least square problems involved.

This work therefore proposes the estimation of the impulse response function by iteratively applying the least square approach. Here, the orthogonal projections of \( y_t \) onto the space spanned by \( \{X_{s},s \leq k \} \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq \infty \) is taken after which the backward elimination procedure proposed by Draper & Smith (1968) is applied to the result of the iterative multiple regression procedure to eliminate insignificant lags.

METHODOLOGY
The Iterative Multiple Regression (IMR)

In a general study of contribution of individual variables, one must cover somehow the contribution of all variables.
The results about the correlation functions of stationary processes make it clear that the projection to the past always can be expressed in terms of the first \(t^*-1\) elements of the past, where \(t^*\) may be finite or infinite. It is reasonable therefore, to organise the selection of variables into an iterative multiple regression schemes by starting with the first lag, and gradually increasing it. As a measurement, the average residual mean square, \(s^2\), can be used to measure the impact of the variables. When \(s^2\) does not change in two subsequent solutions, that variable has no contribution to least square estimate of the variable on the right side. This means that either it is linearly dependent with the previous set of variables, or simply, the variable on the right side has no component in the direction represented by this vector. Hence, automatically, a stopping point. Since a real data will not be fully stationary, the trend of \(s^2\) plotted against lag must be checked and where it turns horizontal gives the stopping point. The method however has to be used in combination with the autocorrelation function, or alternative statistical indices like partial autocorrelation function. The reason is that the process dynamics may operate with delayed parameters. In this case, the first \(b\) parameters from the past will not appear on the right side at all. Autocorrelation gives information, where the actual contributions of the past start. The partial autocorrelation also shows the nature of this dependency.

These reasoning lead to the following scheme of selecting the variables:

\[
\begin{align*}
& s^2(1) & X_{t-1} \\
& s^2(2) & X_{t-1} & X_{t-2} \\
& s^2(3) & X_{t-1} & X_{t-2} & X_{t-3} \\
& \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
& s^2(k) & X_{t-1} & X_{t-2} & X_{t-3} & \ldots & X_{t-k} & X_{t-k-1} & X_{t-k-2} & \ldots
\end{align*}
\]

where the first column represents the average residual mean square, \(s^2\), to the multiple regression solution with the selected variables as indicated in the same line.

The error squares as calculated, with the differenced curve (which describes the contribution of each selected variable in the explanation of the variance of the right side), were represented. The latter advises on one hand where to stop, and on the other, suggests variables with negligible contribution for a possible after selection backward elimination procedure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The input process is transformed by taking its logarithm to remove the seasonal components after which a first order difference of the transformed input process results in a stationary process as shown in Fig. 1, hereafter, referred to as input process.

![Fig. 1. Time plot of the differenced log input process.](image)

Dividing the monthly revenue with the monthly consumer's price index (using the 1985 as base year) deflates the output series. The seasonal variation is removed from the deflated revenue by taking its logarithm after which a first order differencing of the log (deflated revenue) helps to get rid of the trend. The
Fig. 2. Time plot of transformed output process.

resulting stationary process is shown in Fig. 2. Hereafter, referred to as the output process.

**Impulse Response Function**

The impulse response function coefficients (blue) with their error square contribution (red) obtained by direct least square approach are shown in Fig 3. Making use of the backward elimination procedure, it can be observed that only lags 0, 1 and 2 contribute to optimal least squares solution. The contributions from other lags are insignificant and should therefore be ignored.

The transfer function is given here as

\[ y_t = 0.49423x_t - 0.031776x_{t-1} + 0.138762x_{t-2} + \eta_t \]

where \( y_t \) and \( x_t \) are the transformed input and output processes respectively while \( \eta_t \) is the corresponding noise process.

**The Noise Process**

The autocorrelation function of the noise process and the partial autocorrelation suggest an AR(6) process.

To select the best AR model, the iterative multiple regression procedure was used and the plot of
the error squares contribution shows that an AR(2) process described by:

\[ n_t = -0.665832n_{t-1} - 0.282361 n_{t-2} + \epsilon_t \]

where \( \epsilon_t \) is the error in the noise process.

**DIAGNOSTIC CHECKING**

The residual autocorrelation function obtained with this method shows a very low correlation pattern, which suggests that the noise model is adequate, as confirmed by the Chi-square test of goodness-of-fit.

**CONCLUSION**

The least square equations were iteratively solved directly with increasing number of input variables for the impulse response function coefficients with the help of a computer. The selection of input variables was organised with the help of a Pascal program. Experience showed that using 233MHz Pentium MMX processor, the calculations were fast up to 80 variables, and the system did not show any sign of unhealthy symptoms of cumulative numerical errors or other kinds of instability.

The results confirmed the expectations of Newland (1975), and the strategy to determine the truncation point seemed to be successful. The use of error square contributions of individual variables proved to be a good indicator to determine the truncation point.
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APPENDIX

Iterative multiple regression:

This selects the first $k$ variables for $k=1,2,3,...,N$, and solves the multiple regression problem with the given right side variable. The module tabulates the solution, the variance of the residuals and the variance of the residuals divided by the variance of the right side variable for each $k$. The Pascal code of the module is as follows:

```
Procedure iterate(Var Fvlim, Vlim, Liim; integer; Var eps: real);
   {Fvlim - the first independent variable to start
    the analysis with
    Vlim - last independent variable to use (Fvlim<=Vlim)
    Liim - the number of lines to be considered
    Eps - precision for the variance of the residual, if
    achieved, the program stops.}
Var
   Ncc, Nil, II: integer;  {Ncc - actual number of variables used
                           Nil - the actual number of rows considered
                           II - loop counter}

begin
   Ncc:= Fvlim-1;
   Nil:= Liim;
   stanerr:=1.0;
   cirsc;
   assign(repfil, rawdat+'.REP');
   rewrite(repfil);
   while (Ncc<=Vlim) and (stanerr>=eps) do begin  {The loop stops when Vlim is
      reached}
      inc(ncc);  {sets the number of variables to consider}
      for II:=1 to Ncc do notes[II]:= II+1;  {Fills the column numbers to be used
                                              into the selection array}
      notes[Ncc+1]:=1;  {puts the right side into the selection array}
      multiply(NLL, Ncc);  {Calculates $A^TA$ with the selected right side
                           matrix $A$}
      solve(Y, AO, Ncc);  {Solves the linear equation $A^TAx = A^Ty$ by Gauss
                           elimination method}
      standerr(NLL, NCC);  {calculates statistical indices from residuals}
      lteriport(Nil, Ncc);  {prepares report to file and as required}
   end;
   flush(repfil);
   close(repfil);
end:
```

The modules of matrix multiplication, implementations of Gauss elimination method, and the statistical and display modules can be made available on request.