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#### Abstract

Difference equations are derived for third order moments and cumulants for bilinear multiplicative seasonal ARIMA $(0, d, 0) \times(1, D, 1)_{\text {s }}$ time series model studied by Iwueze and Chikezie (2005). The third order cumulame structure are shown to $b \in$ the same as the covariarce structure. The moments (first, second and third) and cumulants obtained z.: e used for:- (i) determining uniquely the periodicity of the series, (ii) initial estimation of the model parameters, and (iii) determining uniquely the region where the parameters lie. The initial estimates are then used to obtain least squares estimates of the parameters iteratively.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $Y_{1}, t \varepsilon Z$ and $e_{t}, t \varepsilon Z$ be two stochastic processes defined on some probability space ( $\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P}$ ), where $Z=\{$ $\ldots,-1,0,1, \ldots\}$. For our purposes, $e_{t}, t \varepsilon Z$ is taken $: 0$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $E\left(e_{t}\right)=0$ and $E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}=\infty$. Let $X_{t}=(1-B)^{d}\left(1-B^{s}\right)^{D} Y_{t}$, where $(1-B)^{d}$ is the regular differencing to remove the stochastic trend (if any) in the series and ( $\left.1-B^{s}\right)^{D}$ is the seasonal differencing operator used when the mean of a realization shifts according to a seasonal pattern.

Second order covariance analysis of the bilinear multiplicative seasonal ARIMA $(0, d, 0) \times(1, D, 1)_{\text {s }}$ time series model

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\alpha X_{t-s}+\beta e_{t-s}+\gamma X_{t-s} e_{t-s}+e_{t} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been studied by Iwueze and Chikezie (2005). The second order properties of (1.1) are similar to the linear time series equivalent, with $\gamma=0$. For the stationary time series $X_{t}, t \varepsilon Z$ satisfying (1.1) and its linear equivalent, the autocovariances/autocorrelations are zero everywhere except at lags $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~s}, \ldots$ In fact, they have similar second order covariance structure as that of ARIMA ( $1, \mathrm{~d}, 1$ ), except that the non-zero autocovariances occur at multiples of lag s ; which in turn are characterized into seven regions discussed in Section 2.

As has been noted in the literature ( see Subba Rao (1981), Akamanam (1983), Iwueze (1989) ), second order covariance analysis is not sufficient to distinguish a linear model and a bilinear model. Higher order moments and cumulants are therefore required.

The object of this paper is to derive the third order cumulants of (1.1) and to show that it maintains the known covariance structure at a specified plane. We will also investigate the use of the covariance structure and third order cumulant structure to determine the periodicity $s$ and proside initial estimates of the parameters. The initial estimates are then used to obtain least squares estimates of the parameters iteratively.

## 2. SECOND ORDER MOMENTS: A REVIEW.

Iwueze and Chikezie (2005) have obtained the following results for (1.1):

1. $\mu=E\left(X_{t}\right)=\sigma^{2} \gamma /(1-\alpha),|\alpha|<1$.
2. $E\left(X_{t} e_{t}\right)=\sigma^{2}$
3. 

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{t} e_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2} \mu \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. $E\left(X_{t}^{2} e_{t}\right)=2 \sigma^{2} \mu$
5. $\quad E\left(X_{t}^{2} e_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2} E\left(X_{t}^{2}\right)+2 \sigma^{4}$
6. $\mu_{2}=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}\left[1+\beta^{2}+2 \alpha \beta+2 \gamma\right.}{1-\alpha^{2}-\sigma^{2}} \frac{\mu(1+\alpha+\beta)]}{\gamma^{2}}$
provided that $\alpha^{2}+\sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}<1$.

7: $\quad R(0)=E\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)^{2}\right]=E\left(X_{t}^{2}\right)-\mu^{2}$
8. $R(s)=E\left[\left(X_{t}-\mu\right)\left(X_{t+s}-\mu\right)\right]=E\left(X_{t} X_{t+s}\right)-\mu^{2}=\alpha R(0)+\sigma^{2}(\beta+\gamma \mu)$
9. $R(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}R(0), k=0 \\ R(s), k=s \\ \alpha^{a-1} R(s), k=a s, a=1,2,3, \ldots \\ 0, \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
10. $\quad \rho_{k}=R(k) / R(0)$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, k=0  \tag{2.10}\\
\rho_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{s} \\
\alpha^{\mathrm{a} \cdot 1} \rho_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}=\text { as } \mathrm{a}=1,2,3, \ldots \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The autocorrelation functions (ac.f's ) are characterized into seven regions ( see Iwueze and Chikezie `(2005) ; which are shown in. Table 1.

Table 1: Behaviour of autocorrelations for model (1.1).


## 3. THIRD ORDER MOMENTS AND CUMULANTS

Let us assume that $X_{t}, t \varepsilon Z$ is a real third order stationary process for which moments up to order 3 exists. By virture of the assumed third order stationarity, the third order moment

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1} X_{t-\mathrm{k}_{1}} X_{t-k_{2}}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends only on $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ for all admissible values of $t, k_{1}$, and $k_{2}$.

The third order cumulant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left[\left(X_{1}-\mu\right)\left(X_{t-k_{1}}-\mu\right)\left(X_{t-k_{2}}-\mu\right)\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is identical to the third order moment about the mean. Simplifying (3.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1} X_{t-\mathrm{k}_{1}} X_{t-\mathrm{k}_{2}}\right)-\mu\left[\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\right]-\mu^{3} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the time series $X_{t}, t \in Z$ is a real valued stationary time series, the following symmetric relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\mu\left(k_{2}, k_{1}\right)=\mu\left(-k_{1}, k_{2}-k_{1}\right)=\mu\left(k_{1}-k_{2},-k_{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold ( see Gabr (1988), Sesay and Subba Rao (1991), Oyet and Iwueze (1993)). It follows from (3.4) that $\mu\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ is completely specified over the entire plane by its values in any one of the six sectors shown in Figure 1. In view of the symmetry, we need to


Figure 1: Symmetry of $\mu\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)$.
calculate cumulants for positive lags only. All we want to achieve in this paper is to distinguish between the linear and bilinear forms of (1.1). It is sufficient for our purposes to calculate $\mu\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. For $k_{1}>0, k_{2}>0$ on the line $k_{1}=k_{2}$ of Figure 1.

In deriving Equation (2.1) through (2.11), Iwueze and Chikezie (2005) assumed that the random variables $\mathrm{e}_{1}$, $t_{7}$ $\varepsilon Z$ are Gaussian with $E\left(e_{t}\right)=0, E\left(e_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}<\infty$, so that $E\left(e_{t}^{3}\right)=0, E\left(e_{1}^{4}\right)=3 \sigma^{4}, E\left(e_{t}^{5}\right)=0$ and $E\left(e_{t}^{6}\right)=$ $15 . \sigma^{6}$. Also assumed is the fact that by expression (1.1), $e_{t}$, is independent of $X_{h}, h<t$.

Based on these assumptions, one can verify that the following are true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{t} e_{t}^{3}\right)=3 \sigma^{4} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(X_{t}^{3} e_{t}\right)=3 \sigma^{2} \mu_{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
& E\left(X_{t}^{2} e_{t}^{3}\right)=6 \sigma^{4} \mu  \tag{3.7}\\
& E\left(X_{t}^{3} e_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2} E\left(X_{t}^{3}\right)+6 \sigma^{4} \mu_{1}=\sigma^{2} \mu_{3}+6 \sigma^{4} \mu \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{3}=E\left(X_{t}^{3}\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(X_{t}^{3} e_{t}^{3}\right)=9 \sigma^{4} \mu_{2}+6 \sigma^{6}  \tag{3.9}\\
& {\left[1-\alpha^{3}-3 \sigma^{2} \alpha \gamma^{2}\right] E\left(X_{t}^{3}\right)} \\
& =3 \sigma^{2} \gamma\left[3 \sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}+3 \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha \beta\right] \mu_{2}+3 \sigma^{2}\left[\alpha+\alpha \beta^{2}+2 \alpha^{2} \beta+6 \sigma^{2} \alpha \gamma^{2}+6 \sigma^{2} \beta \gamma^{2}\right] \mu \\
& \quad+3 \sigma^{4} \gamma\left[1+4 \alpha \beta+3 \beta^{2}+2 \sigma^{2} \gamma^{2}\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In obtaining (3.10), we assumed that $\alpha^{3}+3 \sigma^{2} \alpha \gamma^{2}<1$, and this is a sufficient condition for the existence of the third order moment of the time series $X_{t}, t \in Z$ satisfying (1.1).

Now we obtain the third order cumulants. Limiting our search to the line $k_{1}=k_{2}=k, k=0,1,2,3, \ldots$ of Figure 1 , we have from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu(0,0)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{3}\right)-3 \mu \mathrm{R}(0)-\mu^{3}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \mu(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k})=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{k}}^{2}\right)-\mu[\mathrm{R}(0)+2 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{k})]-\mu^{3} \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Computation of (3.12) is done by looking at $\mathbf{s}=1,2,3, \ldots$. For want of space, we demonstrate the computations when $s=2$.

When $s=2$, Equation (1.1) becomes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}=\alpha \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-2}+\beta \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}-2}-\alpha \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-2} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}-2}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t} X_{t-k}^{2}=\alpha X_{t-2} X_{t-k}^{2}+\beta e_{t-2} X_{t-k}^{2}+\gamma X_{t-2} e_{t-2} X_{t-k}^{2}+e_{t} X_{t-k}^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on our assumptions and 'previous results (Equations (2.1) through (2.9), and (3.5) through (3.10) ), we obiain : for:
$\underline{k}=\underline{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-1}{ }^{2}\right)=\alpha \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-1}^{2}\right)+\gamma \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-2} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}-2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-1}{ }^{2}\right)=\alpha \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}-1}{ }^{2}\right)+\sigma^{2} \gamma \mu_{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \quad \mu(1,1)+\mu[\mathrm{R}(0)+2 \mathrm{R}(1)]+\mu^{3}= & \alpha\left[\mu(1,1)+\mu[\mathrm{R}(0)+2 \mathrm{R}(1)]+\mu^{3}\right] \\
& +(1-\alpha) \mu\left[\mathrm{R}(0)+\mu^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Rightarrow & (1-\alpha) \mu(1,1)=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \mu(1,1)=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{array}
$$

since $\alpha \neq 1$ and $R(1)=0$ for $s=2$.

## $k=2:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(X_{t} X_{t-2}^{2}\right)=\alpha E\left(X_{t}^{3}\right)+\beta E\left(X_{t}^{2} e_{t}\right)+\gamma E\left(X_{t}^{3} e_{t}\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
& \Rightarrow \quad \mu(2,2)+\mu[R(0)+2 R(2)]+\mu^{3}= \alpha\left[\mu(0,0)+3 \mu R(0)+\mu^{3}\right]+2 \sigma^{2} \beta \mu \\
&+3 \sigma^{2} \gamma \mu_{2} \\
&= \alpha\left[\mu(0,0)+3 \mu R(0)+\mu^{3}\right]+2 \sigma^{2} \beta \mu \\
&+3(1-\alpha) \mu\left[R(0)+\mu^{2}\right] \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad \mu(2,2)=\alpha \mu(0,0)+2 \sigma^{2} \gamma R(0)$
$k=3$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{1} X_{t-3}{ }^{2}\right)=\alpha E\left(X_{t-2} X_{t-3}{ }^{2}\right)+\gamma E\left(X_{t-2} \mathrm{e}_{t-2} X_{t-3}{ }^{2}\right)=\alpha E\left(X_{t-2} X_{t-3}{ }^{2}\right)+\sigma^{2} \gamma \mu_{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad \mu(3,3)+\mu[R(0)+2 R(3)]+\mu^{3}=\alpha\left[\mu(1,1)+\mu[R(0)+2 R(1)]+\mu^{3}\right]$ $+(1-\alpha) \mu\left[R(0)+\mu^{2}\right]$
$\Rightarrow \quad \mu(3,3)=\alpha \mu(1,1)=0$.
since $R(3)=\alpha R(1)=0$ for $s=2$.
$k=4:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{1} X_{t-4}^{2}\right)=\alpha E\left(X_{t-2} X_{t-4}^{2}\right)+\gamma E\left(X_{t-2} \mathrm{e}_{t-2} X_{t-4}^{2}\right)=\alpha E\left(X_{t-2} X_{t-4}^{2}\right)+\sigma^{2} \gamma \mu_{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad \mu(4,4)+\mu[R(0)+2 R(4)]+\mu^{3}=\alpha\left[\mu(2,2)+\mu[R(0)+2 R(2)]+\mu^{3}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(1-\alpha) \mu\left[H(0)+\mu^{2}\right] \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad \mu(4,4)=\alpha \mu(2,2)$
Continuing, we obtain : $\mu(5,5)=0 ; \mu(6,6)=\alpha \mu(4,4)=\alpha^{2} \mu(2,2) ; \mu(7,7)=0 ; \mu(8,8)=\alpha \mu(6,6)=\alpha^{3} \mu(2,2)$; and so on.

Generally, for all values of $s \geq 1$,

$$
\mu(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{3}\right)-3 \mu \mathrm{R}(0)-\mu^{3}, \mathrm{k}=0  \tag{3.23}\\
\alpha \mu(0,0)+2 \sigma^{2} \gamma \mathrm{R}(0), \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{s} \\
\alpha^{\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{l}} \mu(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{as}, \mathrm{a}=2,3,4, \ldots \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We introduce a standardized third- order cumulant given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\rho}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}) & =\mu(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}) / \mu(0,0)  \tag{3.24}\\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \mathrm{k}=0 \\
\alpha+\left[2 \sigma^{2} \gamma \mathrm{R}(0) / \mu(0,0)\right], \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{s} \\
\alpha^{\mathrm{a}-1} \rho(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{k}=\text { as, } \mathrm{a}=2,3,4, \ldots
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

From our analysis so far, we diaw the following conclusions
(1). For the linear multiplicative seasonal ARIMA $(0, \mathrm{~d} .0) \times(1, \mathrm{D}, 1)_{\mathrm{s}}$ models, third order moments and cumulanis are zero.
(2). For bilinear multipicative seasonal ARIMA $(0, d, 0) \times(1, D, 1)_{\text {s }}$ models, third order cumulant structure are similar to the covariance structure ( see computations for a simulated example in Table 2 ). This deviates from previous results obtained by

Sesay and Subba Rao (1991) and Oyet and Iwueze (1993).
(3). The special characteristics of our derivations is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=R(2 s) / R(s)=\mu(2 s, 2 s) / \mu(s, s)=\rho_{2 s} / \rho_{s}=\rho(2 s, 2 s) / \rho(s, s) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2. Sample autocorrelations ( $r_{k}$ ) and standardized cunsulants ( $r(k, k)$ ) for (1.1) with

|  | $s=1$ |  | $s=2$ |  | $s=3$ |  | $s=4$ |  | $s=6$ |  | $s=12$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| k | rk | r(k,k) | rk | $r(k, k)$ | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | r(k,k) | Pk | r(k,k) | $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $r(k, k)$ | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k})$ |
| 1 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.00 | -0.20 | -0.18 | 0.00 | -0.34 | -0.22 | 0.07 | 0.28 | -0.11 | -0.27 |
| 2 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.86 | 0.91 | -0.21 | -0.20 | 0.32 | 0.33 | -0.10 | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.44 |
| 3 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.05 | -0.18 | 0.88 | 0.91 | -0.34 | -0.25 | -0.15 | -0.18 | -0.01 | -0.07 |
| 4 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.65 | 0.73 | -0.17 | -0.02 | 0.85 | 0.87 | -0.09 | -0.29 | -0.14 | -0.15 |
| 5 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.11 | -0.10 | -0.26 | -0.19 | -0.33 | -0.18 | 0.01 | -0.17 | -0.13 | -0.31 |
| 6 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.81 | 0.87 | -0.03 | 0.05 |
| 7 | -0.08 | 0.03 | 0.16 | -0.01 | -0.15 | -0.03 | -0.34 | -0.31 | 0.12 | 0.22 | -0.12 | -0.03 |
| 8 | -0.15 | -0.01 | 0.35 | 0.47 | -0.32 | -0.23 | 0.63 | 0.64 | -0.13 | 0.02 * | -0.15 | -0.34 |
| 9 | -0.20 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 0.61 | -0.30 | -0.19 | -0.25 | -0.07 | -002 | 0.19 |
| 10 | -0.20 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.37 | -0.11 | -0.02 | 0.22 | -0.02 | -0.13 | -026 | 0.16 | 0.40 |
| 11 | -0.18 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 0.25 | -0.36 | -0.25 | -0.30 | -0.26 | 0.04 | -0.16 | -0.10 | -0.06 |
| 12 | -0.14 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.24 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.88 |
| 13 | -0.13 | 0.01 | 0.34 | 0.38 | -0.08 | -0.02 | -0.26 | -0.15 | 0.16 | 0.11 | -006 | -0.26 |
| 14 | -0.17 | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.08 | -0.36 | - 0.26 | 0.15 | -0.14 | -0.15 | -0.09 | 0.13 | 0.43 |
| 15 | -0.22 | -0.09 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.48 | -0.19 | -0.14 | -0.17 | 000 | 0.05 | -0.12 |
| 16 | -0.22 | -0.12 | -0.06 | -0.02 | -0.07 | -0.05 | 0.26 | 0.24 | -0.19 | -0.12 | -010 | -0.15 |
| 17 | -0.20 | -0.15 | 0.38 | 0.54 | -0.35 | -0.26 | -0.19 | -0.09 | 0.05 | -0.19 | -016 | -0.47 |
| 18 | -0.18 | -0.16 | -0.08 | -0.05 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.07 | -0.19 | 0.40 | 034 | -0, 06 | 0.11 |
| 19 | -0.17 | -0.14 | 0.36 | 0.51 | -0.06 | -0.09 | -0.07 | -0.06 | 015 | 0.05 | -0.09 | -0.06 |
| 20 | -0.12 | -0.08 | -0.05 | -0.07 | -0.35 | -0.24 | 0.13 | 0.14 | -0.13 | -0 15 | -0.14 | -0.30 |
| 21 | -0.06 | -0.04 | 0.32 | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.47 | -0.11 | -0.06 | -12 | -0 06 | -0.02 | 0.00 |
| 22 | -0.03 | -0.10 | 0.00 | -0.07 | -0.05 | -0.11 | -0.02 | -0.23 | -0.22 | -0.03 | 0.13 | 0.31 |
| 23 | -0.02 | -0.14 | 0.25 | 0.46 | -0.29 | -0.21 | 0.07 | 004 | 000 | -0.20 | -0.09 | -0.14 |
| 24 | 0.03 | -0.07 | 0.03 | -0.09 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 031 | 028 | 052 | 0.65 |
| 25 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.41 | -0.03 | -0.05 | -0.03 | -0.01 | 0.10 | -0.14 | -0.04 | -0.18 |

## 4. IDENTIFICATION AND INITIAL ESTIMATES

Specifying the model (1.1) means finding the seasonal lag s and the estimates of the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and $\sigma^{2}$, the residual variance. All proposed methods of identification such as Box and Jenkins (1975) and many others, exploit the use of pattern recognition and we have seen the presence of certain patterns in both the covarianre and cumulant structures.

We have derived the covariance and cumulant structures of (1.1) and have shown that the autocovariances/autocorrelations and cumulants/standardized cumulants are zero everywhere excedt at the multiples of the seasonal lag s. An estimate of the seasonal lag s can be obtained by computing the sample
autocorrelation and standardized cumulant of the process and choosing as $\mathbf{s}$ the first lag at which the autocorrelation and standardized cumulant are non-zero. Now the following estimates are necessary

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{2}=\hat{\mu}_{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{1}^{2}  \tag{4.1}\\
& M_{3}=\hat{\mu}_{3}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{1}^{3}  \tag{4.2}\\
& C_{k}=\hat{R}(k)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k}\left(X_{t}-\bar{X}\right)\left(X_{t+k}-\bar{X}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& r_{k}=\hat{\rho}_{k}=C_{k} / C_{0}  \tag{4.4}\\
& C(k, k)=\hat{\mu}(k, k)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k}\left(X_{t}-\bar{X}\right)\left(X_{t+k}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.5}\\
& r(k, k)=\hat{\rho}(k, k)=C(k, k) / C(0,0) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} X_{t} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simulations of Table 2 vividly illustrates the determination of $s$ for $n=100$ with $\alpha=0.8, \beta=0.4, \gamma=0.2$ taking from Zegion 1 and $e_{i} \sim N(0,1)$. Our simulations in other regions gave similar results. The program used for simulation and estimation were written in Fortrann 77 by the authors, coupled with MINITAB software which was used to generate the -ando data

The matitial estimate of $\alpha$, the autoregressive parameter is obtained from (3.26) by replacing theoretical values כy their samiple equivalents. Using second order moments we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\alpha}_{1}=C_{2 s} / C_{s}=r_{2 s} / r_{s} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

wnile, using the third order moments we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{o}_{2}=C(2 s, 2 s) / C(s, s)=r(2 s, 2 s) / r(s, s) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simulations of Tables 3 and 4 illustrate the computations for $n=100$ and $n=500$ respectively with $\alpha=0.8, \beta^{\circ}=0.4, \gamma=$ ). $2, e_{\mathrm{t}} \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)$.

Table 3: Sample estimates of first, second, third order moments and cumulants for (1.1) with

| s | $\bar{X}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25}$ | C(0,0) | C(s,s) | C(2s.2s) | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $M_{3}$ | $\hat{\alpha}_{1}$ | $\dot{\alpha}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.8455 | 6.4006 | 5.3612 | 3.6873 | 26.4795 | 22.5306 | 15.8566 | 7.1155 | 43.3191 | 0.69 | 0.70 |
| 2 | 0.7778 | 5.9596 | 5.0965 . | 3.8824 | 17.3143 | 15.7309 | 12.6050 | 6.5645 | 31.6904 | 0.76 | 0.80 |
| 3 | 0.9340 | 8.0267 | 7.0998 | 5.7925 | 40.3067 | 36.8797 | 30.4323 | 8.8990 | 63.6120 | 0.82 | 0.83 |
| 4 | 0.9168 | 6.9508 | 5.9164 | 4.3821 | 22.9155 | 19.9114 | 14.6923 | 7.7913 | 42.8038 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| 6 | 0.9671 | 5.4059 | 4.3770 | 2.9950 | 11.6665 | 10.1564 | 5.8080 | 6.3411 | 28.2543 | 0.58 | 0.57 |
| 12 | 0.6547 | 5.8501 | 4.6278 | 3.0314 | 17.0814 | 15.0341 | 11.3393 | 6.2788 | 28.8531 | 0.66 | 0.75 |

*Table 4: Sample estimates of first, second, third order moments and cumulants for (1:1) with

| S | $\bar{X}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | C(0,0) | C(s,s) | C(2s,2s) | $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ | $\hat{\alpha}_{1}$ | $\hat{\alpha}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0030 | 6.4023 | 5.6143 | 4.5275 | 11.6951 | 10.7555 | 8.3979 | $7.40 \cdot 3$ | 31.6591 | 0.81 | 0.78 |
| 2 | 0.978 ? | 6.0585 | 52467 | 4.6445 | 132726 | 12.6520 | 10.4801 | 7.0234 | 32.0278 | 0.77 | C83 |
| 3 | 1.0577 | 7.8689 | 6.9813 | 5.6041 | 27.3553 | 25.2171 | 20.6763 | 8.93 .7 | 53.5085 | 0.80 | 0.82 |
| 4 | 1.0331 | 7.3959 | 6.5226 | 5.3274 | 23.1467 | 21.4453 | 17.2442 | 8.403 | 47.1715 | 0.82 | 0.80 |
| 6 | 0.9431 | 7.2767 | 6.2535 | 4.7511 | 44.0951 | 39.1176 | 29.8999 | 8.4651 | 65.5217 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| 12 | 0.9019 | 6.4099 | 5.4832 | 4.2913 | 19.1481 | 17.4401 | 14.2866 | 7.2234 | 37.2251 | 0.78 | 0.82 |

We must note that when $\alpha=0.8, \beta=0.4, \gamma=0.2, e_{1} \sim N(0,1)$, the theoretical momen's $a^{-}={ }_{-}=\cdot 0000, \mu_{2}=$ 8.3750, $\mu_{3}=51.9260, R(0)=7.3750, R(s)=6.5000, R(2 s)=5.2000, \mu(0,0)=28.8010, \mu(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s})=259.53 . \mu(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~s})=$ 20:7926. Tables 3 and 4 show that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ given by (3.8) and (3.9) respectively, provide initial estemates of $\alpha$ that are close to the true/theoretical value. However, estimates for $n=500$ (Table 4) show that $\dot{\alpha}_{:}=\dot{\alpha}_{2} \approx \alpha$; indicating thar with large samples, initial estimates of $\alpha$ can be used as the true values. It is also clear from Tables 3 and 4 that sample estimates of second order moments are closer to the true values than the sample estimates of third order moments are to their theoretical equivalents. Based on these observations, initial estimates of the parameters of (1.1) will be obtained using the first and second moments only.

Having determined $s$ and initial estimate of $\alpha$, we now consider how to obtain the initial estimates of $\beta, \gamma$ and $\sigma^{2}$ using the first and second moments. Solving Equations (2.1), (2.6) and (2.7) and replacing theoretical moments with their sample equivalents, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{\left(1-\hat{\alpha}^{2}\right) M_{2}}{1+\hat{\beta}^{2}+M_{2} \hat{\gamma}^{2}+2 \hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}+2 \hat{\gamma} \bar{X}(1+\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta})}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \hat{\beta}=\frac{C_{1}-\hat{\alpha} C_{0}-(1-\hat{\alpha}) \bar{X}^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \hat{\gamma}=\frac{(1-\hat{\alpha}) \bar{X}}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Having obtained $\hat{\alpha}$, we adopt an iterative procedure called "Linearly convergent process" by Box and Jenkins $\vdots 1976$, p 202 ) to obtain initial estimates of $\beta, \gamma$, and $\sigma^{2}$. We compute the estimates $\hat{\sigma}^{2}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}$ in this precise order using the iteration (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12). The parameters $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ are set equal to zero to start the iteration and the values of $\hat{\sigma}^{2}, \hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\gamma}$ to be used in any subsequent calculation are the most up to date values available. For example, üsing Table 3 for $s=12$, we obtain. $\hat{\alpha}=0.66, \quad \hat{\sigma}^{2}=3.54 /\left(1+\hat{\beta}^{2}+1.32 \hat{\hat{\beta}}+6.28 \hat{\gamma}^{2}+2.17 \hat{\gamma}+1.31 \hat{\beta} \hat{\gamma}\right) . \hat{\beta}=0.62 \hat{\sigma}^{2}$,
$\hat{\gamma}=0.22 / \hat{\sigma}^{2}$. Table 5 shows how the iteration converged for $s=12$ of Table 3. Using similar procedure, initial estimates are obtained for various values of $s$ considered for data' of Table 3 and the results-are shown in Table 7. Our initial values are close to the true values, demonstrating the workability of outlined procedure for determination of initial estimates.

Table 5: Convergence of initial estimates of $\sigma^{2}, \beta$ and $\gamma$ for $s=12$ of data of Table 3.

| Iteration | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | $3: 54$ | 0.18 | 0.06 |
| 2 | 2.47 | 0.25 | 0.09 |
| 3 | 2.12 | 0.29 | 0.11 |
| 4 | 1.94 | 0.32 | 0.11 |
| 5 | 1.88 | 0.33 | 0.12 |
| 6 | 1.82 | 0.34 | 0.12 |
| 7 | 1.80 | 0.35 | 0.12 |
| 8 | 1.78 | 0.35 | 0.13 |
| 9 | 1.74 | 0.36 | 0.13 |
| 10 | 1.72 | 0.36 | 0.13 |
| 11 | 1.72 | 0.36 | 0.13 |

## 5. LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATES

Having determined $s$ and the initial estimates of the paramerters including the residual variance, we consider how to obtain the final estimates when we have a realization $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ of the time series $X_{t}, t \varepsilon Z$. To obtain the

Table 6: Convergence of final estimates of $\alpha, \beta, y$ and $\sigma^{2}$ for $s=12$ of Tabile 3
using the initial estimates $\hat{\alpha}=0.66, \beta=0.36$.
$\varepsilon$
$\hat{\gamma}=0.13$ and $\hat{\sigma}^{2}=1.72$ of Table 5.

| Iteration | Parameters |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$ | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\hat{\gamma}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ |
| 0 | 0.6600 | 0.3600 | 0.1300 | 1.7200 |
| 1 | 0.6262 | 0.7438 | 0.2091 | 1.1154 |
| 2 | 1.3347 | 0.3880 | 0.2234 | 2.8839 |
| 3 | 0.7836 | 0.2657 | 0.2441 | 1.6367 |
| 4 | 0.7723 | 0.4236 | 0.1990 | 1.0623 |
| 5 | 0.7918 | 0.4254 | 0.1907 | 0.9922 |
| 6 | 0.7893 | 0.4299 | 0.1872 | 0.9862 |
| 7 | 0.7892 | 0.4302 | 0.1869 | 0.9859 |
| 8 | 0.7892 | 0.4302 | 0.1869 | 0.9859 |

Table 7: Initial estimates of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\sigma^{2}$ for the different values of $s$ for data of Table 3.

| $s$ | Initial estimates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\hat{\gamma}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ |
| 1 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 1.08 |
| 2 | 0.76 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 1.17 |
| 3 | 0.82 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 1.39 |
| 4 | 0.74 | 0.50 | 0.21 | 1.00 |
| 6 | 0.68 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 1.17 |
| 12 | 0.66 | 0.36 | 0.13 | 1.72 |

Table 8: Final estimates of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\sigma^{2}$ for the different values of $s$ for data of Table 3.

| $s$ | Final estimates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\hat{\alpha}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\hat{\gamma}$ | $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ |
| 1 | $0.7809 \pm 0.0706$ | $0.2746 \pm 0.0675$ | $0.2466 \pm 0.0226$ | 0.9383 |
| 2 | $0.7170 \pm 0.0789$ | $0.4401 \pm 0.0329$ | $0.1905 \pm 0.0067$ | 0.9630 |
| 3 | $0.7605 \pm 0.0947$ | $0.4049 \pm 0.0475$ | $0.1910 \pm 0.0168$ | 0.9790 |
| 4 | $0.8010 \pm 0.0723$ | $0.3307 \pm 0.0714$ | $0.2254 \pm 0.0263$ | 0.9707 |
| 6 | $0.7744 \pm 0.0886$ | $0.3986 \pm 0.1270$ | $0.2140 \pm 0.0577$ | 0.9413 |
| 12 | $0.7892 \pm 0.0796$ | $0.4302 \pm 0.0820$ | $0.1869 \pm 0.0257$ | 0.9859 |

fmal estimates of the parameters, we proceed as in Subba Rao (1931), Gabr and Subba Rao (1981), Iwueze (2004) and apply the methods of least squares to minimize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}(\theta)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the parameters $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}=\alpha_{1} \theta_{2}=\beta, \theta_{3}=\gamma\right)^{\top}$ When minimizing $S(\theta)$ with respect to $\theta$, the normal equations are nonlinear in $\theta$. The solution of these equations require the use of nonlinear algorithm such as NewtonRaphson. The Newton-Raphson iterative procedure usually converge, but to obtain a good set of final estimates it is necessary that we have a good set of initial values, of the parameters.

The problem of obtaining the initial estimates of the parameters was discussed in Section 3. Using the Newton-Raphson iterative procedure and the initial estimates tabulated in Table 7, we fit the model (1.1) to the sets of data whose second and third moments are described in Tables 2 and 3. Table 8 gives the final estimates and the. values in parenthesis below the parameter estimates are the associated standard errois. Adequacy of fit was based on the randomness of the residuals by comparing the ac.f of the estimated residuals with $\pm 2 / \sqrt{n}$ [ Chatfield (1980)].

## 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

.We have derived the third order moments and cumulants of the model (1.1). Our results show that for (1.1), the third order cumulant structure are similar to the covariance structure of zero values everywhere except at the multiples of the seasonal lag s . Based on this similarity, we obtained initial estimates of the parameters using the first and second moments. This method gave initial estimates that are close to the true values. The initial estimates were then used in the Newton-Raphson iterative procedure to obtain the least squares estimates. These final estimates were almost the same value as the true values proving that the entire procedure of finding the initial estimates and achieving the final estimates are adequate.
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