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ABSTRACT

Toxicity of radiographic developer effluent on catfish juveniles (H. longifilis) from the Institute of Oceanography fish farm, University of Calabar was assessed. Seventy five (75) juveniles of H. longifilis were acclimated in about 5 litres of habitat water for 48 hours with minimum feeding. Range finding test was conducted at effluent concentration of 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125%, 1.0% and control (0%) in 200ml of habitat water. After, the acclimated juveniles were introduced into the standard solution. They were observed for behavioural changes and/or mortality. Behavioural changes such as hyperactivity, increased number and length of gills on the anterior fontenelle, restlessness and loss of balance of test organisms occurred at 100%, 50% and 25% effluent concentration. Mortality of organisms occurred at 5, 8, 15, 25 and 51 minutes respectively in the test solutions. The control contained only habitat water. The test solution which resulted in 100% mortality within 96 hours (1.0%) was taken as highest test dose for toxicity testing. Other concentration used for toxicity testing were 0.8%, 0.6%, 0.4%, 0.4%, 0.2%/m 0% (control) respectively. Toxicity testing showed that there was increased death of catfishes as effluent concentration increased from 0.8% to 1.0% with increased duration of the exposure. Nine (9) juveniles of average length 36cm each were exposed to the test solutions. Maximum exposure time was 96 hours while estimated 96 hours LD50 is 0.32 of which safe disposal of 1 limit (approximate is 0.032 (1/10 or 10% of LD50). Resultantly, considering the importance of catfishes as a source of food (protein), sporting tools for anglers; and a vital part of the ecosystem (tertiary consumers), it is pertinent therefore, that legislations, policies and sanctions be put in place to ensure safe disposal limit and adherence to laws on radiographic developer effluent disposal in Nigeria.
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INTRODUCTION

Catfish (Heterobranchus longifilis) plays an important role as a primary or secondary consumer in the ecosystem (Ramalingam, 2001). They lay their eggs in the benthic zones of water bodies. The major concerns therefore arises from potential mortality to eggs, larva, juveniles, fry, (many of which can be found in estuaries and coastal waters which because of the abundance of food supply serve as spawning and or feeding ground for many species including (H. longifilis). The mortality of adults or tainting of commercial species which renders the catch very unpleasant and discouraging. Larval stages have been proven to be particularly vulnerable (Dicks, 1983; Health 1987).

USEPA (1987) had shown how toxic developer effluents are to aquatic biota. 20% mortality was recorded for Doroceras reticulum species of molluscs exposed to 0.02mg/litre of Hydroquinone developer effluent within 4 days (Briggs and Henderson, 1987) while Artemia salina, Crangon septemspinosa, Daphnia magna and Gammarus, all different species of crustaceans had lethal threshold for morbidity at effluent concentrations of 312mg/litre, 0.83mg/litre, 0.60mg/litre and 1.5mg/litre respectively (Devillers et al., 1987; McLeese et al., 1979; Stom et al., 1986). When Brachydanio rerio and Carassius auratus, both species of fishes were exposed to the same Hydroquinone, over 100% mortality was recorded for a concentration of 5mg/litre for 22 hours (USEPA, 1987) while having a LC100 for 0.25mg/litre concentration on the Brachydanio rerio (Wellens, 1982).

Considering that some fish species including H. longifilis in Nigeria fresh and coastal waters are said to be under serious threat of extinction (Nwigwe, 2001) with the attendant lack of data on the impact of radiography developer effluents on these species, and the absence of a regulatory/legal framework for its control, this paper intends to be very useful in providing toxicity data for the development of safe discharge limits of radiographer developer effluent in Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seventy five (75), juveniles of H. longifilis purchased from the Institute of Oceanography Fish Farm, University of Calabar were acclimatized in approximately 5 litres of habitat water for 48 hours.

Range finding test

This was performed on the organism to
determine the approximate range of concentrations (radiographic effluent) for the toxicity test. Toxicant concentrations of 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.125% down to 1.0% and control (0%) were prepared in 200mls of habitat water. After swirlin to mix, juveniles of H. longifilis were introduced into the standard solutions. The organisms were observed for behavioural changes and / or mortality. Mortality of test organisms occurred at 5, 8, 15, 25, and 51 minutes respectively in the test solutions. The control (0%) contained only habitat water. The test solution which gave 100% mortality within 96 hours was taken as the highest test dose for toxicity testing. From the range finding test, the 1% dose was selected as the highest dose for the toxicity test.

Toxicity test
The toxicity test was conducted as earlier described for the range finding test using concentrations 0% (control), 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8% and 1% respectively.

Estimation of mean effective lethal dose
Estimation of the mean effective lethal dose was performed using Spearman Karber method (Sachs, 1984). Effective lethal dose for 96 hours was calculated using the formula.

\[ 96\text{hrsLD}_{50} = \frac{xk - d(S - 0.5)}{S1 - S2} \]

\[ M = 1 - 0.2(3.889 - 0.5) \]

\[ M = 0.32 \]

Calculating the standard deviation associated with this 96hrLD50

\[ SLD_{50} = \frac{d}{2}(S1 - S2) - \frac{1}{12} \]

\[ = 0.2\sqrt{2(10.555) - 3.889 - 3.889^2 - 0.08333} = 0.28 \]

Thus, the 96hrLD50 = 0.32±0.28

RESULTS

Table 1: Toxicity test relative to controls (%) during 96hr exposure to different radiographic effluent concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration % conc.</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>48 hours</th>
<th>72 hours</th>
<th>96 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (0%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.2%)</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.4%)</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>66.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6%)</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>77.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.8%)</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>88.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0%)</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Values for the calculation of 96hrLD50

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration %</th>
<th>% dead catfishes</th>
<th>Relative portions of % dead catfishes</th>
<th>Cumulative portions of % dead catfishes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>0.5556</td>
<td>0.5556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>0.6667</td>
<td>1.2223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>0.7778</td>
<td>2.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>0.8889</td>
<td>2.8890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>3.8890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results obtained from the experiment (table 4.1) indicate that the percentage mortality of Heterobranchus longifilis is not very conspicuous at exposure duration and effluent concentration of 24-48 hours and 0 – 0.6% respectively. At a higher effluent concentration of 0.8-1.0% there existed a marked difference in the percentage mortality between 24-96 hours i.e. 22.22-88.89% and 77.78 - 100% respectively. From table 4.2, the value for 96 hours LD50 on this study was 0.32 ±0.28. This implies that 50% mortality of H. longifilis was recorded as 0.32 effluent concentrations.

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION

It could be deduced that the potential for harm is more at higher concentrations of toxicant. Hence, toxicant concentration below 0.2% may have little or no effect on the test organism (catfish) while beyond 1.0%
will produce very lethal effects within a short duration. Existing literature on the toxicity of fresh radiographic developer on frog tadpole *Rana subsigillata species* was given as 0.46±0.22 that is, the LD₅₀ lies between the range of 0.24 to 0.68 (Chiaghanam et al. 2010). Studies, on the effect of water soluble fraction (WSF) of crude oil on development and hatching success of *H. longifilis* eggs showed mean fertilization failure at 10.00ppm, 15ppm and 20ppm respectively (Dicks, 1983). WSF of crude oil shows that within the limit of experimental error, incubation of eggs in concentration of WSF of crude oil reduced fertilization success. Past fertilization death with increased larval deformity as well as egg death also occurred (Dicks, 1983; Health, 1987).

Based on the observations and results obtained from the experiment, it was deduced that radiographic developer effluent is toxic to catfishes. According to Ouano (1988) and Maxey (1987), the estimated safe discharge limit of the effluent is therefore 1/10th or 10% of the LD₅₀ (0.032).

Consequently, there is need for adequate legislations on radiographic effluent disposal stating clearly the safe discharge limits.
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