## CHARACTERIZATION OF TWO BENCHMARK SOILS OF CONTRASTING PARENT MATERIALS IN ABIA STATE, SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA

## I. A. CHIKEZIE, H. ESWARAN, D.O. ASAWALAM \*AND A. O. ANO

(Received 22 November 2007; Revision Accepted 11, September 2009)

#### ABSTRACT

Detailed characterization of two important agricultural soils of contrasting parent materials, found in southeastern Nigeria was carried out. The Amakama soil formed over Coastal Plain Sands has a deep, well drained profile greater than 240cm deep. The soil texture varies from loamy sand in the surface layer to sandy clay loam down the profile. The Ibeku soil formed over Bende-Ameki (clay shale) formation has a deep profile that is gravelly in the upper 60cm and clayey in the lower horizons. Bulk density on oven dry basis ranged from 1.3 to 1.66 g cm<sup>-3</sup> in the Ibeku soil and from 1.43 to 1.52 g cm<sup>-3</sup> in the Amakama soil. Water content at 33 kPa ranged from 13.7 to 14.9 in the Amakama soil and from 27.8 to 48.4 in the Ibeku soil. Chemically, the Amakama soil is deficient in basic cations with aluminum saturation being greater than 83%. The soils are very acidic (pH 4.5 - 4.9) and low in organic carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus. In contrast, the Ibeku soil has high levels of calcium, sum of basic cations that range from 9.2 to 23.5 cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup> and aluminum saturation of 25 - 40%. Total nitrogen and organic carbon are medium and available phosphorus is low in this soil. The mineralogy of clay sized particles showed a dominance of kaolinite in both soils with some quantities of montmorillonite that increased down the profile. In the Ibeku soil, the mineralogy of coarse silt fractions showed that goethite and guartz were the dominant minerals in upper horizons (< 1 m) while potassium feldspar and goethite dominated the lower horizons. Amakama soil was classified as Fine loamy, siliceous, isohyperthermic, Rhodic Haplustox while Ajata -Ibeku soil was classified as very fine, kaolinitic, isohyperthermic, Aquertic Paleustalf.

**KEY WORDS**: Benchmark soil, Mineralogy, Morphology, Soil properties.

#### INTRODUCTION

With a goal of self sufficiency, the Federal Republic of Nigeria has initiated several projects such as the National Special Project on Food Security, presidential task force on cassava and rice production etc. Management technologies to backstop these projects require knowledge of the soils and specifically their response to management. A soil map of Nigeria at a scale of 1: 1,000,000 was published in 1985. At this reconnaissance scale, fifty-eight soil groups were identified. There is a need for detailed studies of the soils to provide data on which informed decision on choice of site, for developmental projects will be based. Information obtained through detailed survey and soil characterization is vital for land use planning and soil management. The ongoing soil correlation study seeks to investigate the extent and distribution of the important agricultural soils of the country and to fully characterize them. Two benchmark soils formed from contrasting parent materials in Abia State, Nigeria were investigated for this study. Parent material determines soil texture (Young 1976), influences soil drainage and kind of clay as well as K and P content of soils and also determines soil properties like colour, structure and reaction

(Faniran and Areola, 1978). Significant relationship between parent material and soil texture, soil reaction, total exchangeable bases, total acidity, soil depth, colour, profile drainage and gravel content have been reported (Akamigbo and Asadu 1983). The soils studied represent the most important agricultural soils of the state. Natural resources, including soils cannot be properly managed without proper understanding of their characteristics (Idoga et al, 2005). Detailed information on soils of the study area is scarce, yet the need to generate soil data to improve agricultural production exists. Such detailed information on soil that should quide agricultural use and management of soil resource is not readily available to farmers: where it exists, it is of limited significance to farmers (Olatunji, 2007). The objective of this study was to characterize in detail, the soils that were referred to as Amakama and Bende soil series in terms of morphological, physical, chemical and mineralogical properties with the view to augment the national database of soil resources. Information of this nature are the prerequisites for better soil management.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Location

The study area is located in Abia State,

I. A. Chikezie, Federal Department of Agricultural Land Resources, Eastern Zonal Station, Umudike, Nigeria.
H. Eswaran, World Soil Resources, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, DC. 20250, USA
D.O. Asawalam \* College of Crop and Soil Sciences, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, PMB 7267, Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria.

A. O. Ano, National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, Nigeria .

southeastern Nigeria. Abia State is located at between latitude 4  $^{\circ}$  7 'and 6  $^{\circ}$  30 'N and longitude 7  $^{\circ}$  and 8  $^{\circ}$  E in the rainforest agro-ecological zone. The climate is humid tropical with distinct wet season (April to October) and dry season (November to March). Annual rainfall in the area ranges from 1750 mm to 3000 mm with peaks in July and September. Average temperature ranges between 27 $^{\circ}$ C and 35 $^{\circ}$ C.

## Field Study and Description

Sites for detailed profile description were selected by staff of the Federal department of Agricultural Land resources from areas previously mapped as Amakama series and Bende series on the basis of profile characteristics and parent material. Profile pits were located at Amakama (Lat. 5<sup>o</sup> 26' 40" N and Log. 7<sup>o</sup> 28' 49" E) and Ajata-Ibeku (Lat. 5<sup>o</sup> 32' 51" N and Log. 7<sup>o</sup> 33' 34" E) on fallow plots that have not been cropped for at least three years. Whereas the Amakama soil is formed on the Coastal Plain Sands geologic formation, the Ibeku soil is formed on Bende -Ameki Clav Shale Group. The Coastal Plain Sands, also known as the Benin Formation, is the largest geologic formation in eastern Nigeria. It underlies the area south of Umuahia where Amakama is situated, extending to Aba and beyond to the mainland areas of Rivers and Akwa Ibom states. The Bende-Ameki Shale Group on the other hand, underlies a relatively narrow strip of land north of Umuahia and the entire old Bende extending westwards and joins the Nanka sands Formation near Orlu in Imo State. Both the Coastal Plain Sands and the Bende – Ameki Formation are dominated by plains under 200m above sea level. Topography is nearly flat at Amakama where the first profile pit was cited on Coastal Plain Sand. At Ajata - Ibeku where the second soil profile was located, the landscape is highly dissected with deeply incised valleys due to differential erosion of the sandstone and shale that make up the Bende-Ameki Formation.

The pedons were described and sampled according to the established procedures of the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) guidelines for profile description (Soil Survey Staff, 2002). Soil samples collected from the two profiles were air dried, sieved through a 2-mm sieve and taken to the National Soil Survey Laboratory in Lincoln, Nebraska for detailed laboratory analysis of physical, chemical and mineralogical properties.

## Laboratory Procedures

Particle size analysis was carried out by the hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986) using sodium hexametaphosphate (calgon) as dispersant. Soil pH was determined in water at a soil : liquid ratio of 1:1 on a combined glass electrode digital pH meter (Thomas, 1996). Exchangeable cations were extracted with neutral normal ammonium acetate. Exchangeable potassium and sodium in the extract were determined using a flame photometer while exchangeable calcium and Magnesium were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Organic carbon was determined by the wet oxidation method (Nelson and Sommers, 1996). Total nitrogen was determined by the kjeldahl digestion and distillation method (Bremner, 1996). Available phosphorus was determined by Bray 2 extract by the method of Olsen and Sommer, 1982. The mineralogy of the fractions was characterized by X-ray diffraction techniques (Whittig and Allardice, 1986).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

## **Morphological Characteristics**

Table 1 gives the morphological data of the representative pedons. The Amakama pedon is formed from a uniform parent material as revealed by the almost uniform distribution of the fine sand fraction (table 2). The profile is very deep (> 240cm) and well drained. The hue is 2.5YR throughout the profile depth. It has no root or water restrictive layer within the profile depth. It has an ochric epipedon that is about 27 cm thick with a texture of loamy sand to sandy loam, structure of weak fine granular to weak medium subangular blocky, a moist friable consistence and a gradual smooth boundary between the A and B horizons. The B-horizon is dominated by an oxic horizon between the 27 cm and 240 cm depth. There is also a preponderance of pedovites (spherical soil bodies of one to three centimeters diameter) throughout this horizon. The origin and occurrence of pedovites is not evident but they are more frequent in soils at an advanced stage of soil formation. Though they are distinct and are easily separated from the general soil mass, they do not show any kind of cementation or have higher concentrations of free iron. The horizon has a texture of sandy clay loam to sandy clay, a weak angular blocky to moderate fine subangular blocky structure and moist friable consistence.

The Ibeku pedon is a layered soil formed on transported sediments of sandstone origin occurring over a material weathered in place from clay shale, which generally underlies the area. The sandy mantle has a hue of 10YR to 5YR (moist), a texture of gravelly sandy loam to gravelly sandy clay, a structure of weak fine crumb to moderate medium subangular blocky, a moist friable consistence, common petroplinthite nodules (lateritic gravel) and a clear and smooth boundary with the underlying horizon. This mantle has an ochric epipedon that is about 28 cm thick and a Bhorizon that has patchy clay films on faces of peds. The underlying finer textured material on the other hand, which is developed from clay shale residuum, has a hue of 10YR throughout, a texture of sandy clay to clay, a structure of moderate medium subangular blocky to moderate coarse wedge and a moist firm and sticky and plastic wet consistence. The good structural development may have been influenced by the high clay content of the soil. It has patchy clay films on ped faces and slickenside between 80 cm to 190 cm depth. Clay films (cutans) are indicative of illuvial process (Kparmwang et al, 2004). In addition, the profile is mottled from the 60 cm depth indicating poor drainage conditions. It has an argillic horizon between depths of 60 cm and 164 cm.

Table 1: Morphological Properties of the representative pedons.								
Horizon	Depth	Moist	Texture	Structure+	Clay	Consis-	Inclusions	Boundary
		colour			skin	tence		+++
						++		
				Amakan	na soil			
Ap1	0-13	2.5YR2.5/2	LS	1fg	-	mfri	Coated quartz	Gs
							grains	
Ap2	13-27	2.5YR2.5/2	SL	1msbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
Bo1	27-63	2.5YR3/2	SCL	1msbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
Bo2	63-99	2.5YR3/6	SCL	1msbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
Bo3	99-127	2.5YR4/6	SCL	1msbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
Bo4	127-159	2.5YR4/6	SC	2fsbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
Bo5	159-210	2.5YR4/6	SC	2fsbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
Bo6	210-240	2.5YR4/6	SC	2fsbk	-	mfri	pedovites	gs
					beku soil			
Ap1	0-9	10YR3/4	GSL	1fc	None	Mfri	Petroplinthic	Gs
							nodules	
Ap2	9-28	5YR4/3	GSCL	2msbk	None	Mfri	-do-	Gs
Bt	28-60	5YR4/6	GSC	2msbk	Commo	Mfri	-do-	Cs
					n			
2Btg	60-80	10YR4/1	SC	2msbk	Commo	Mfirm	Common	Gs
					n		slickenside	
2Bssg1	80-101	10YR5/2	С	2cw	Many	Mfirm	Large common	Ds
							slickensides	
2Bssg2	101-128	10YR5/2	С	2cw	Many	Mfirm	-do-	Gs
2Bssg3	128-164	10YR5/2	С	2cw	-	-	-do-	Gs
2Bgss	164-190	10YR5/2	С	2cw	-	-	-do-	

+ Structure - 1 = weak, 2 = moderate, 3 = strong, f = fine, m = medium, c = coarse, g = granular,

cr = crumb, sbk = subangular blocky, agb = angular blocky, ma = massive.

++ Consistence - m = moist, d = dry, fi = firm, fr = friable, h = hard, s = sticky, ns = non-sticky.

+++ Boundary - c = clear, w = wavy, a = abrupt, d = diffuse, s = smooth, w = wavy, g = gradual.

Table 2: Particle Size Distribution of the soils									
Horizon	Depth	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	CSi	FSi	Clay
	(cm)				- %				
				Amakar	na soil				
Ap1	0-13	0.3	12.5	29.7	28.2	5.1	2.3	1.3	20.6
Ap2	13-27	0.4	10.8	31.8	24.7	5.0	1.9	1.2	24.2
Bo1	27-63	0.9	11.2	28.9	23.0	4.1	1.7	2.0	28.2
Bo2	63-99	0.9	11.8	25.1	22.3	3.8	3.2	1.6	31.3
Bo3	99-127	0.9	13.5	24.9	21.2	4.5	2.5	1.2	31.3
Bo4	127-159	1.0	12.3	25.5	19.7	Tr	6.9	1.8	32.8
Bo5	159-210	1.1	12.1	25.1	21.7	4.3	1.4	1.8	32.5
Bo6	210-240	2.0	12.8	24.0	21.4	3.1	2.9	1.9	31.9
				lbeku	soil				
Ap1	0-9	5.0	8.0	3.7	4.0	13.4	12.8	13.9	39.2
Ap2	9-28	5.1	7.9	3.9	3.1	11.7	9.7	13.1	45.5
Bt	28-60	1.8	1.7	1.1	1.3	4.4	5.2	9.6	74.9
2Btg	60-80	0.2	0.7	0.9	1.4	3.0	4.4	7.7	81.7
2Bssg1	80-101	0.2	0.7	1.1	2.4	4.0	3.9	7.2	80.5
2Bssg2	101-128	0.5	2.4	2.9	2.8	4.1	4.9	4.3	78.1
2Bssg3	128-164	Tr	0.4	1.2	3.1	3.4	4.4	4.3	83.2
2Bgss	164-190		0.1	0.8	2.7	5.0	9.6	7.7	74.1

#### **Physical Properties** Particle size distribution

The particle size distribution of the soils (table 2) shows that total sand constitutes 63 - 76% of the mineral fractions in Amakama soil with fine sand and medium sand fractions dominating. Clay fractions vary from 21 - 33% while silt content is less than 9%. Low clay content of surface horizons could be due to sorting of soil material by biological and /or agricultural activities, clay migration or surface erosion by runoff or a combination of these (Malgwi et al, 2000; Ojanuga, 1975). The dominance of sand in this soil reflects the parent material of the soil, which is coastal plain sand.

The soil of Ibeku is very gravelly especially in the upper 60cm of the profile. Gravels (iron/manganese concretions) in the profile right from the soil surface indicate that plinthization may be involved in the pedogenic process of the area (Fasina et al, ----- ; Kparmwang et al, 2004). Clay content (39 - 83%) increased with depth. The texture of this soil is clay loam in the upper 0 - 9cm topsoil and clayey in the other horizons to a depth of 190cm. According to Idoga and

Azagaku, 2005, increase in clay with depth may be the result of eluviation – illuviation processes as well as contributions of the underlying geology through weathering. The clayey nature of this soil suggests the capacity to hold more water and nutrients than Amakama soil with sandy clay loam texture.

#### Water content and Bulk density

Water content at field capacity (33Kpa) ranged from 13 – 16 in Amakama soil and 28 – 54 in Ibeku soil. This result shows that Ibeku soil retains more water than Amakama soil. This higher moisture content in Ibeku soil is due to its higher clay content. At wilting point (1500Kpa), lbeku soil still has more moisture content (19 – 33) than Amakama soil (9 – 11). Bulk density varies from 1.31 - 1.52g cm<sup>-3</sup> in Amakama soil and from 1.37 - 1.68 g cm<sup>-3</sup> in lbeku soil. The higher bulk density in lbeku soil relative to Amakama soil may be explained by the gravelly nature of the lbeku soil. Within the lbeku soil profile, possibility of migrating clay filling up the pore spaces in the supposedly well structured Bt horizon may account for the high bulk density values in the subsurface horizons (Idoga and Azagaku, 2005).

Table 3: Bulk Density and Water content of the soils.								
Horizon	Depth	BD	Water content		Cole			
	(cm)	gcm <sup>-3</sup>	33kpa	1500kpa	cm cm <sup>-3</sup>			
		<u>Ama</u>	kama soil					
Ap1	0-13	1.43	13.7	8.6	0.017			
Ap2	13-27	1.31	13.4	9.8	0.005			
Bo1	27-63	1.39	14.5	10.3	0.007			
Bo2	63-99	1.45	15.0	10.7	0.009			
Bo3	99-127	1.48	15.0	10.6	0.002			
Bo4	127-159	1.48	15.4	10.8	0.012			
Bo5	159-210	1.51	15.5	11.4	0.009			
Bo6	210-240	1.52	14.9	10.9	0.014			
		lbe	eku soil					
Ap1	0-9			19.2				
Ap2	9-28	1.37	27.8	20.3	0.010			
Bt	28-60	1.54	40.2	28.6	0.059			
2Btg	60-80	1.54	48.1	29.6	0.127			
2Bssg1	80-101	1.53	43.1	29.1	0.098			
2Bssg2	101-128	1.62	45.9	31.3	0.108			
2Bssg3	128-164	1.68	53.8	33.1	0.126			
2Bgss	164-190	1.66	48.4	32.2	0.069			

#### **Chemical Properties**

Some chemical properties of the representative profiles are shown in table 4. The soil reaction indicated strong to extremely acid conditions. The low pH of these soils is attributed to heavy leaching promoted by the very high rainfall in the area. Acidity (low pH) of the soils may also be due to the effect of cultivation, erosion and leaching of nutrients or a combination of these.

Table 4: Chemical properties of the soils									
Horizon	Depth	pН	TEB	EA	ECEC	Р	TN	OC	Al
	(cm)	(H <sub>2</sub> O)	(	cmol(+) kg ⁻	1	mg/kg		%	Sat
				Amaka	ma soil				
Ap1	0-13	4.5	0.5	11.1	11.6	80.9	0.107	2.07	83
Ap2	13-27	4.6	0.1	9.8	9.9	5.1	0.086	1.43	95
Bo1	27-63	4.6	Tr	6.6	6.6	2.8	0.042	0.57	-
Bo2	63-99	4.7	Tr	6.5	6.5	1.7	0.026	0.43	-
Bo3	99-127	4.7	0.1	5.1	5.2	1.2	0.023	0.37	92
Bo4	127-159	4.8	Tr	4.7	4.7	Tr	0.011	0.25	-
Bo5	159-210	4.9	Tr	3.9	3.9	Tr	0.013	0.23	-
Bo6	210-240	4.9	tr	4.3	4.3	Tr	0.023	0.17	-
				Ibeku	u soil	_			
Ap1	0-9	5.0	9.2	24.4	33.6	4.4	0.311	3.39	25
Ap2	9-28	4.8	4.9	24.5	29.4	4.2	0.189	2.26	58
Bt	28-60	4.8	3.7	22.8	26.5	0.2	0.096	0.98	74
2Btg	60-80	4.7	4.8	24.6	29.4	0.1	0.063	0.57	78
2Bssg1	80-101	4.7	5.0	25.7	30.7	0.2	0.051	0.41	81
2Bssg2	101-128	4.5	9.5	29.2	38.7	Tr	0.031	0.29	66
2Bssg3	128-164	3.8	17.3	29.4	46.7	Tr	0.037	0.25	40
2Bgss	164-190	4.2	23.5	22.9	46.4	Tr	0.018	0.27	9

# Total Exchangeable bases and Cation exchange capacity

Total exchangeable bases (TEB) are low in lbeku soil and in traces in Amakama soil. This confirms that Amakama soil is strongly weathered and excessively leached. The effective cation exchange capacity of the soils is generally low and dominated by exchangeable acidity. The very low to low effective cation exchange capacity of these soils indicates low capacity of these soils to retain nutrient elements. Low cation exchange capacity which is a consequence of low clay and organic matter content, renders soils unsuitable for intensive agriculture (Kparmwang et al, 2004). The high level of exchangeable acidity and high aluminum saturation of these soils indicate the need for management practices to reduce the effects of acidity and possibly aluminum toxicity.

#### Organic carbon and other Elements

The top 30cm of Amakama soil has medium levels of organic carbon contents while the Ibeku soil has high organic matter. In both soils, the values decreased with depth. The environment of eastern Nigeria is characterized by high temperature and relative humidity conditions that favour rapid decomposition and mineralization of organic matter. This could explain the low levels of organic carbon in the soils. The soils are low in nitrogen. The lower horizons of both soils are deficient in phosphorus while the upper horizons have low values except the topsoil of Amakama. The low levels of nitrogen and phosphorus in the soils may be due to intensive cropping practices without measures to build up soil nutrient reserves.

#### MINERALOGY

#### Clay mineralogy

The mineralogy of clay particles (<0.002mm) is summarized in table 5. The results show that Amakama

soil has mixed mineralogy dominated by kaolinite. Small quantities of goethite, gibbsite and haematite are also present. The mineralogy is similar in all the pedogenic horizons. In the Ibeku soil, the mineralogy is kaolinitic in the upper 100cm of the profile and mixed clay mineralogy at the lower depths. The mineralogy of this soil is also dominated by kaolinite but has detectable quantities of montmorillonite, and small quantities of goethite and gibbsite. At the depth of 164 - 190cm, plagioclase feldspar was also present. The dominance of kaolinite in these soils agrees with previous studies by Jungerius and Levelt (1964) and Igwe et al (1999) on the soils of eastern Nigeria. The presence of montmorillonite and plagioclase feldspar in Ibeku soil shows that the soil contains some weatherable minerals. The presence of kaolinite suggests that the soils are at an advanced stage of weathering. The high rainfall and temperatures in this agroecological zone are favorable to intensive weathering and rapid leaching. Jungerius and Levelt (1964) suggested that the mineralogy of the soils of eastern Nigeria has been inherited from the bedrock or sediment. This is true especially of Amakama soil derived from coastal plain sands. They also reported that montmorillonite is a significant component of several soils derived from the river Niger deposits and the Imo clay shale. The parent material of Ibeku soil is Bende-Amake (clay shale) formation. The soils have hydromorphic properties in most areas and support reasonable rice production for which Bende is famous. Poor internal drainage conditions retard the alteration of these minerals and may account for the presence of some 2 : 1 clay minerals in Ibeku soil. Based on the mineralogical composition, the soil of Amakama can be said to be more intensively weathered than the Ibeku soil.

Horizon     Depth (cm)     Xray     TGA     Interpre- tation       Ap1     0-13     KK 4     GI 1     HE 1     GE 1     KK 69     GI 2     GE 18     CMIX       Bo1     27-63     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 60     GE 15     GI 2     CMIX       Bo2     63-99     KK 4     GE 1     GI 1     HE 1     KK 62     GE 13     GI 2     CMIX       Bo4     127-159     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 62     GI 2     CMIX       Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Ap1     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL
(cm)
Ap1     0-13     KK 4     GI 1     HE 1     GE 1     KK 69     GI 2     GE 18     CMIX       Bo1     27-63     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 60     GE 15     GI 2     CMIX       Bo2     63-99     KK 4     GE 1     GI 1     HE 1     KK 62     GE 13     GI 2     CMIX       Bo4     127-159     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 62     GI 2     CMIX       Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Bt     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL
Ap1   0-13   KK 4   GI 1   HE 1   GE 1   KK 69   GI 2   GE 18   CMIX     Bo1   27-63   KK 4   HE 1   GE 1   GI 1   KK 60   GE 15   GI 2   CMIX     Bo2   63-99   KK 4   GE 1   GI 1   HE 1   KK 62   GE 13   GI 2   CMIX     Bo4   127-159   KK 4   HE 1   GE 1   GI 1   KK 62   GI 2   CMIX     Bo6   210-240   KK 4   HE 1   GI 1   GE 1   KK 56   GE 9   GI 2   CMIX     Jbeku soil   Ibeku soil   I   Jeg 1   GE 1   KK 44   GE 22   GI 2   KAOL     Bt   28-60   KK 5   MT 2   GE 1   KK 55   KA0L   KAOL
Bo1     27-63     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 60     GE 15     GI 2     CMIX       Bo2     63-99     KK 4     GE 1     GI 1     HE 1     KK 62     GE 13     GI 2     CMIX       Bo4     127-159     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 62     GI 2     CMIX       Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Bt     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL       Bt     28-60     KK 5     MT 2     GE 1     KK 55     KAOL     KAOL
Bo2     63-99     KK 4     GE 1     GI 1     HE 1     KK 62     GE 13     GI 2     CMIX       Bo4     127-159     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK 62     GI 2     GI 2     CMIX       Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Ibeku soil       Ap1     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL       Bt     28-60     KK 5     MT 2     GE 1     KK 55     KK 55     KAOL
Bo4     127-159     KK 4     HE 1     GE 1     GI 1     KK62     GI 2     CMIX       Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Ibeku soil     Ibeku soil     KK 44     GE 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     CMIX       Ap1     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL       Bt     28-60     KK 5     MT 2     GE 1     KK 55     KAOL
Bo6     210-240     KK 4     HE 1     GI 1     GE 1     KK 56     GE 9     GI 2     CMIX       Ap1     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL       Bt     28-60     KK 5     MT 2     GE 1     KK 55     KAOL
Ibeku soil       Ap1     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL       Bt     28-60     KK 5     MT 2     GE 1     KK 55     KAOL
Ap1     0-9     KK 5     MT 2     QZ 1     GE 1     KK 44     GE 22     GI 2     KAOL       Bt     28-60     KK 5     MT 2     GE 1     KK 55     KAOL
Bt 28-60 KK 5 MT 2 GE 1 KK 55 KAOL
2Btg 60-80 KK 5 MT 2 GE 1 KK 55 GE 50 GI 1 KAOL
2Bssg2 101-128 KK 5 MT 3 GE 1 KK 51 CMIX
2Bgss 164-190 KK 5 MT 4 GE 1 QZ 1 KK 39 GI 1 CMIX

Table 5: Mineralogy of clay particles (< 0.002 mm).

GI = Gibbsite, HE = Hematite, KK = Kaolinite, VR = Vermiculite, GE = Goethite.

Peak Size: 5 = very large, 4 = Large, 3 = Medium, 2 = Small, 1 = Very small, 6 = No peak.

#### Sand – Silt mineralogy

Optical grain count of the fine sand (0.1 - 0.25 mm) fraction (table 6) showed that Amakama soil is dominated by quartz with trace quantities of goethite, sphalerite, tourmaline, pyroxene, opaques and zircon. Constancy of particle size distribution of resistant minerals like zircon has been used to identify lithologic uniformity (Barshard, 1964) as the minerals do not

undergo significant change during the course of soil formation. The clay content is relatively constant with depth (Table 2), an indication of little or no clay movement in the profile, suggesting a high order of stability in the clay distribution. The absence of primary weatherable mineral and a low charge are diagnostic of an oxic horizon (Soil Survey Staff, 1999) while presence of resistant minerals like guartz and zircon in fine silt fraction indicate that the soil has reached advanced stage of weathering. Optical grain count of the coarse silt (0.02 - 0.05mm) fraction of the lbeku soil (table 6) showed the presence of goethite, potassium feldspar, and quartz with trace quantities of biotite, calcite,

hornblende, muscovite, opaques, rutile and zircon. The presence of weatherable minerals in this soil confirms that this soil is less intensively weathered than Amakama soil.

Table 6: Mineralogy for coarse silt fractions (0.02 – 0.05 mm)									
Horizon	Depth	Fraction			Optica	l Grain co	unt		
	(cm)					- %			
	Amakama soil								
Ap1	0-13	Fs	QZ 100	SG tr	MT tr	ZR tr			
Bo1	27-63	Fs	QZ 98	FE 1	OP tr	PR tr	PR tr	TM tr	ZR tr
Bo2	63-99	Fs	QZ 100	SG tr	TM tr	FE tr	SG tr	PR tr	HN tr
Bo4	127-159	Fs	QZ 99	ZR tr	FE tr	OP tr	OP tr		
Bo6	210-240	Fs	QZ 99	OP 1	PR tr	SG tr	PR tr	ZR tr	FE tr
				lbeku	soil			TM tr	
Ap1	0-9	CSi	FE 39	QZ 35	FK 20	PO 5			
Bt	28-60	Csi	FE 63	QZ 30	FK 6	OP 1	PR 1		
2Btg	60-80	Csi	FE 57	QZ 28	FK 10	OP 3			
2Bssg2	101-128	Csi	FK 48	FE 38	QZ 18	PR 1	PR 1		
2Bgss	164-190	Csi	FK 53	FE 33	QZ 12	OP 1	CL 1		

FE = Iron Oxide (Goethite, HN = Hornblende, OP = Opaques, PR = Pyroxene, QZ = Quarttz,

SG = Sphalerite, TM = Tourmaline, ZR = Zircon, BT = Biotite, CL = Chlorite.

#### CONCLUSION

We characterized soils previously mapped as Amakama series and Bende series in terms of their morphological, physical, chemical and mineralogical properties. Morphologically, the soils are very deep (> 190 cm), with moist hue of 2.5YR (Amakama) and 10YR to 5YR (Ibeku). Soil structure varies from weak fine granular to moderate medium sub-angular blocky, a moist friable consistence and a gradual smooth boundary. Particle size distribution showed that sand dominated the mineral soil particles in Amakama soil (63 -76%) while clay was the dominant size fraction in the Ibeku soil (39 - 83%). Water content at both field capacity and permanent wilting point were higher in Ibeku soil than Amakama soil and bulk density varied from 1.31 - 1.68 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Chemically, the soils are acidic, low in organic matter and mineral nutrient contents. The Amakama soil was particularly deficient of exchangeable basic cations with aluminum saturation of over 90%. The soils were characterized by low effective cation exchange capacity. Clay mineralogy showed mixed clay mineralogy dominated by kaolinite in Amakama soil but koalinitic upper horizon and mixed clay mineralogy in the Ibeku soil with detectable quantities of montmorillonite, goethite and gibbsite. Resistant minerals like guartz and zircon were also shown in the sand-silt mineralogy of the soils. Though the soils have supported arable crop production for centuries, the morphological and physical characteristics, chemical composition and mineralogical properties suggest that sustainable crop production on the soils will require very careful management, especially the Amakama soil. Constant inputs of organic and mineral fertilizers will be required to enhance soil fertility and improve crop yield.

#### REFERENCES

Akamigbo, F. O. R. and Asadu, C. L. A., 1983. Influence of parent materials on soils of southeastern Nigeria. East African Forestry Journal, 48: 81 – 91.

- Barshad, I., 1964. Chemistry of Soil Development. In: F. E. Beer (Editor). Chemistry of the soil. Raynold Pub. Corp. New York.
- Bray,R. H. and L. T. Kurtz, 1945. Determination of total and organic forms of phosphorus in Soils. Soil Science, 59: 39 – 45.
- Bremner, J. M., 1996. Nitrogen Total. In: D. L. Sparks (Editor) Pp 1085 – 1122. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3 – Chemical Methods, SSSA Book Series 5, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Faniran, A. and O. Areola 1978. Essentials of Soil Study: with special reference to tropical areas. Heinemann Educational Books Ltd. London.
- Fasina, A.S., J.O. Aruleba, F.O. Omolayo, S.O. Omotosho, O.S. Shittu and Okusami, T. A., 2005. Properties and classification of five soils formed on granitic parent material of Humid southwestern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science, 15: 21 – 29.
- Gee, G. W. and Bauder, J. W., 1986. Particle size analysis. In Arnold Klute (Editor) Pp 383-412. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1 – Physical and Mineralogical Methods, SSSA Book Series 5, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Idoga, S and Azagaku, D.E., 2005. Characterization and classification of soils of Janta Area, Plateau State of Nigeria. Journal of Soil Science, 15: 116 – 122.
- Idoga, S., S.O. Abagyeh and Agber, P.I., 2005. Characteristics and classification of crop production potentials of soils of the Aliade plain, Benue State, Nigeria. Journal of Soil Science, 15: 101 – 110.

#### CHARACTERIZATION OF TWO BENCHMARK SOILS OF CONTRASTING PARENT MATERIAL

- Igwe, C.A., F. O. R. Akamigbo and Mbagwu, J. S. C., 1999. Chemical and mineralogical properties of soils in Southestern Nigeria in relation to aggregate stability. Geoderma, 92: 111 – 123.
- Jungerius, P. D and T. W.M. Levelt, 1964. Clay mineralogy of soils over sedimentary rocks in Eastern Nigeria. Soil Science, 97: 89 –95.
- Kparmwang, T., V.O. Chude and D. Abdullahi 2004. The classification and genesis of benchmark soils of Bauchi State, Nigera. Journal of Soil Science, 14: 30 39.
- Malgwi, W. B., A. G. Ojanuga, V. O. Chude, T. Kparmwang, and B. A. Raji, 2000. Morphological and Physical Properties of some soils of Samaru, Zaria, Nigerian Journal of Soil Research, 1: 58 64.
- Ojanuga, A. G. 1975. Morphological, Physical and Chemical characteristics of soils of Ife and Ondo areas. Nigerian Journal of Soil Science, 9: 225 – 269.
- Olatunji, O. O. M., A. O. Ogunkunle and F.O. Tabi, 2007. Influence of parent material and topography on some soil properties in southwestern Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Soil and Environmental Research, 7: 1 -6.

- Nelson, D. W. and L. E. Sommers 1996. Total Carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter. In: D. L. Sparks (Editor) Pp 961 – 1010. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3 – Chemical Methods, SSSA Book Series 5, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Young, A. 1976. Tropical Soils and Soil survey. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Soil Survey Staff 1999. Soil Taxonomy. A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. USDA Agricultural Handbook No. 436
- Soil Survey Staff 2002. Field book for describing and sampling soils. VCersion2.0 National soil survey centre, National Resources Conservation service, USDA Lincoln Nebraska.
- Thomas, G. W. 1996. Soil pH and Soil Acidity. In: D. L. Sparks (Editor) Pp 475 – 490. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3 – Chemical Methods, SSSA Book Series 5, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Whittig, L. D. and W. R. Allardice, 1986. X Ray diffraction Techniques. In Arnold Klute (Editor) Pp 331 - 362. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1 – Physical and Mineralogical Methods, SSSA Book Series 5, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.