Cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents in Yilo Krobo municipality in the Eastern Region of Ghana

Akosua A. Owusu-Sarpong¹ and Kwame Agbeshie²

Ghana Med J 2019; 53(4): 273-278 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v53i4.4

¹Lower Manya Krobo Health Directorate, P. O. Box 64, Odumase, Ghana ²Yilo Krobo Health Directorate, P.O. Box 39, Somanya, Ghana

Corresponding author: Akosua Agyeiwaa Owusu-Sarpong **Conflict of interest:** None declared

E-mail: akos owususarpong@yahoo.com

SUMMARY

Background: Adolescent cigarette smoking is of public health importance since many adult smokers had initiated the habit as adolescents. This study aims to determine the prevalence of cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents in Yilo Krobo Municipality, Ghana and factors associated with cigarette smoking.

Methods: A school-based cross-sectional analytic study was conducted in February 2017 in Yilo Krobo Municipality in the Eastern Region of Ghana. A stratified random sampling method was applied to select 700 students. After obtaining informed consent, structured questionnaires were administered to collect information on demographic characteristics, behaviours, family, peer and school factors. Data was entered into SPSS and analysed with level of significant as p-value of less than 0.05. Univariate, bivariate and multivariate analysis were applied to determine prevalence and risk factors of cigarette smoking.

Results: A total of 700 students participated in the study and the prevalence of cigarette smoking was 14.3% (100) and 77.5% (76) were introduced to smoking by their peers. Those who started smoking cigarette at the age of 10 years and below were 32% (32). Factors associated with cigarette smoking were being in JHS 1 (AOR:2.23, p=0.006), close friends smoking cigarette (AOR: 2.26, p=0.001), having drank alcohol before (AOR: 6.0, p<0.001) and having ever used marijuana (AOR: 6.48, p<0.001). However, recognizing marijuana usage to be wrong was protective against cigarette smoking (AOR: 0.58, p=0.006).

Conclusion: Cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents exists in Yilo Krobo Municipality and school-based interventions such as counselling services on substance use should be implemented to address these behaviours.

Funding: No external funding was received to conduct the study **Keyword:** Cigarette-smoking, Adolescents, Prevalence, Factors, Ghana

INTRODUCTION

Adolescent cigarette smoking is of public health importance since many adult smokers had initiated the habit as adolescents. Adolescents are people within the age group of 10 to 19 years and this marks the adolescence which is a developmental stage. A study conducted in USA showed a prevalence of 15.9% of cigarette smoking among 12th graders.1 Also smoking among adolescents has short to medium term health effects in the smokers as well as peers who may be exposed to environmental smoke. Smoking in adolescents may be a marker of other harmful lifestyles such as engagement in illicit drug use, alcohol use, psychiatric illnesses and sexual intercourse.²A study conducted by the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in Kurdistan-Iraq reported the prevalence of tobacco use including cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents as 11.9%.

The study further found that among current smokers boys were significantly more likely than girls (21.0% versus 2.1%) to smoke cigarette.³ Another study conducted among in-school adolescents in Lithuania found the prevalence of cigarette smoking to be 35.8% and 27.1% among males and females respectively.⁴ A study conducted in Khartoum state in Nigeria showed smoking prevalence among adolescents to be 13.6% and students in public school were more likely to be smokers (10.7%) compared with those in private school (2.9%) with age of initiation being as early as 11 years old. ^{5,6}

A comprehensive report of global adolescent smoking patterns³ has shown in general male predominance is high in Africa and Asia, while in the United States and parts of Europe, the gap between the sexes is limited.

The differences may have to do with cultural acceptability of female smoking.

A school-based study among selected Junior secondary schools in Ghana by Wellington et al, reported that of 1,917 respondents, 14% had ever smoked cigarette, 19% currently use a form of tobacco.⁴ Factors found to be positively associated with cigarette smoking among adolescents were exposure to tobacco advertisement, smoking in a parent, smoking in a best friend, emotional problems including depression and recent negative life events.⁷

Studies have shown that those who use alcohol, cigarette or marijuana were found to be 2-6 times as likely to have had more than one sexual partner in the last year indicating a clear association.⁸ Tobacco use among adolescents is therefore of a public health concern since it has other health related problems. Initiation of tobacco use among adolescents can result in tobacco use disorder since the adolescents' brains are still developing, nicotine has effects on the brain's reward system and brain regions involved in emotional and cognitive functions.⁹

However Tobacco control regulations 2016 (L.I 2247) and Part six of Ghana Public Health Act, 2012 (Act 851) among other provisions prohibit sale of cigarette to minors, that is people aged less than 18 years.¹⁰ Studies suggest that the nicotine-related changes to these areas of the brain during adolescence may perpetuate continued tobacco use into adulthood.

These changes also contribute to a higher rate of other substance use disorders among people who use tobacco during adolescence, sometimes referred to as a "gate-way" effect.¹¹ This study aims to determine the prevalence of cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents in Yilo Krobo Municipality and factors associated with cigarette smoking. This will provide database to design interventions to address in-school adolescents cigarette smoking.

METHODS

The study was a school based cross-sectional analytic study carried out in Yilo Krobo Municipality in the Eastern Region of Ghana from 16th February to 20th March 2017. The municipality has a population of 97,466 with adolescent population of 18,519.¹²

The study adhered to the tenets of Helsinki declaration and ethical approval was granted by Ghana Health Service Ethics Review Board (GHS-ERC: 2/11/16). Adolescents aged 10 to 19 years from high schools in the Municipality took part in the study and a total sample size of 700 was used for the study. In order to ensure validity, a stratified random sampling method was employed to select the students from the schools.

A list of all Junior high schools and senior high schools in the Municipality was obtained from Ghana Education Service. The junior high schools were separated from the senior high schools to form different strata. The junior high schools which were 54 in number were further grouped into urban schools and rural schools to form two strata and sampling frame with the listing of the schools was formed for each stratum.

Four junior high schools were randomly selected from rural stratum and three junior high schools from the urban stratum by use of balloting to obtain seven JHS. In the schools, registers containing all the names of JHS students were obtained and Stata was used to generate and randomly select 50 students from each school to make a total of 350 students.

The two Senior high schools in the municipal formed another stratum and 175 students were randomly selected from each SHS school through balloting to get a total of 350 students from the SHS. A total of 700 students were then enrolled into the study. Inclusion criteria were adolescents aged between 10-19 years schooling in Yilo Krobo Municipality, who were in JHS or SHS and in the selected study schools.

Newly designed structured questionnaire was used to collect quantitative data. Twenty paramedical staff with three supervisors were intensively trained for two days on the questionnaire administration and data entry to ensure quality in data collection process. They were then divided into teams comprising of two members to form ten teams.

To ensure validity and reliability, the questionnaire was pre-tested in selected schools in a neighbouring district with similar characteristics to the study site by the teams after which a final one-day training was conducted to correct any problems detected in its administration. Written informed consent was obtained from all participating students above 18 years.

For participants below 18 years, consent was obtained from parents/guardians through writing to explain the study and requested for their endorsement of the consent forms. This was followed by endorsement of an assent form by these under-aged participants. An informed consent or assent form was read out in the appropriate language (English) to them outlining the risks and benefits of being interviewed and giving them the opportunity to decline to be interviewed or to discontinue the interview at any time. Thereafter, the questionnaires were administered to the students in the classroom under the supervision of their teachers and the data collectors. To ensure data quality, the data was edited and mistakes rectified on the field by trained supervisors.

Data Analysis

The data was entered using SPSS version 21 and analysed in SPSS and Stata 13MP. Each question was assigned a code for the purpose of data cleaning, entry and analysis. Completed questions were verified before entry and cleaning in the Statistical Package for Social Services (SPSS) version 24 and then exported and analysed using Stata 13MP.

The variable assessed were categorized and presented using their frequencies and percentages and then shown in tables in the results section. Concerning the analytic aspect, outcome variable was cigarette smoking and exposure variables included socio demographic characteristics, parental factors, peer factors and behavioural factors. In determining the factors associated with cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents, first the Chisquare test of independence was used.

This was used to test the associations between the independent variables such as socio- demographic, personal, peer factors, parental and adolescent risky behaviours factors and the outcome variable, that is cigarette smoking. The Chi square figures are reported with its corresponding p-value (significant at p<0.05).

The logistic regression analysis was used to identify the significant factors associated with cigarette smoking controlling for other variables. The associated adjusted Odds Ratios (AOR) were reported with their 95% Confidence intervals (CI) and p-values (significant at p<0.05).

RESULTS

A total of 700 students participated in the study and the age range of participants were 12 to 19 years. Participants who were 14 years and below formed 32.7% (229), those between 15 years to 17 years were 53% (371) and those who were 18 years and above were 14.3% (100).

Participants from senior high school (SHS) were 50% (350) and those from junior high school (JHS) were also 50% (350). Male respondents were 48% (336) and females were 52% (364). Majority of respondents (71.6%) have their parents married or cohabiting, 11.6% (81) were living with single parents and 12.6% (88) living with divorced parents.

Educational level of fathers were primary to JHS level 51.7% (362), SHS level 27.3% (91) and tertiary level being 7.7% (54). Those without any formal education were 5.9% (41).

Table 1 Responses on cigarette smoking

Variables	n(%)
Have you ever smoked cigarette before? (n=700) Yes	100 (14.3)
No	600 (85.5)
How old were you when you smoked a whole cigarette for the first time $(n=100)$	
I have never smoked a whole cigarette	22 (22.0)
10 years and below	32 (32.0)
11-14 years	23 23.0)
15 years and above	23 (23.0)
Who introduced you to cigarette smoking (n=100)	
No response	2 (0.0)
A friend	76 (77.5)
A relative	5 (5.1)
Self	17 (17.4)
During the past 90 days, on how many days did you are $k_{0} = 100$	
	00 (00 0)
≤9 days	90 (90.0)
10 - 29 days	6 (6.0)
All 90 days	4 (4.0)
Do any of your close friends' smoke $(n=/00)$	1 (0.0)
No response	1 (0.0)
Yes	132 (18.9)
No	463 (66.2)
Don't know	104 (14.9)
Do any of your parents or relatives smoke (n=700)	
No response	5 (0.0)
Yes	113 (16.3)
No	525 (75.5)
Don't know	57 (8.2)

The study showed prevalence of smoking to be 14.3% (100) among study population and 32% (32) of those who smoked initiated smoking when they were less than 10 years. More than seventy-seven percent (76) of those who smoked were introduced to smoking by their friends and 4 % (4) smoked in all 90 days indicating addiction. Interestingly all the 4% (4) were in JHS.

The factors identified to be associated with cigarette smoking from the bivariate analysis of socio-demographic factors were being a male (x^2 :1.69, p=0.015), being in the JHS (x^2 :1.72, p=0.013) and having divorced parents (x^2 :1.81, p=0.036).

Smoking cigarette was independently associated with having friends who smoke (x^{2} :5.62, p<0.001), drinking alcohol (x^{2} :11.21, p<0.001), marijuana use (x^{2} : 15.9, p<0.001), friend drinking alcohol (x^{2} :3.89, p<0.001), parents smoking cigarette (x^{2} :2.15, p=0.002), relative use of alcohol (x^{2} :1.68, p=0.018), involvement in trading activities (x^{2} :1.7, p=0.015) and school absenteeism (x^{2} : 2.24, p<0.001).

	Cigarette use			0	students who were 14 years	and below	$v(x^2: 1.9.)$	p=0.032).
Variable	Yes	No	X ²	Pever had sex (x^2 : 3.52, p<0.001), multiple sexual partners					
	N (%)	N (%)		val	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$	been for	and to have		2.
Age					$(x \cdot 2.02, p=0.019)$, having			c sex (x)	
14 years and below	40 (17.5)	189 (82.5) 1.45	0.09	$\frac{1}{2}$.1/, p=0.005) and bises	xual orie	entation	(x ² : 2.55	',
Above 14 years	60 (12.8)	410 (87.2))		p=0.014).				
Sex									
Male	59 (17.7)	274 (82.3)) 1.69	0.01	Table 4 Sexual behaviours	and cigar	ette smok	ing	
Female	41 (11.3)	322 (88.7)		Variable	Cigarette U	se	X^2	P value
Place of stay						Yes	No		
Yilo Krobo	73 (15.0)	414 (85.0) 1.2	0.44	17	N (%)	N (%)	-	
Outside Yilo Krobo	27 (12.8)	184 (87.2)		Ever had Sex	. ,			
Grade					Yes	50 (25.1)	179 (74.9)	3.52	0.001*
JHS	61 (17.6)	285 (82.4) 1.72	0.01	No 2	40 (8.7)	420 (91.3)		
SHS	39 (11.1)	314 (88.9)		Sex at age	. ,			
Father's Employment status					14 years and below	35 (31 5)	76 (68 5)	1.0	0.032*
Working	88 (14.4)	524 (85.6) 0.76	0.72	Above 14 years	25(195)	103 (80.5)	1.7	0.032
Not working	2 (18.2)	9 (81.8)			Sexual partners	25 (17.5)	105 (00.5)		
Marital status					- Multiple partners	31 (33 3)	62 (66 7)	2.02	0.019*
Married	63 (12.6)	438 (87.4) 0.59	0.02	No multiple partners	$\frac{1000}{20}$	117(80.1)	2.02	0.017
Not married	33 (19.5)	136 (80.5)		Safe sex	2) (1).))	117 (00.1)		
Divorced	19 (21.6)	69 (78.4)	1.81	0.03	6 pure sex	0 (22.0)	104 (7(1)	0.02	0.520
Not Divorced	77 (13.2)	505 (86.8)	0.41	No condom use at last	39 (23.9)	124 (76.1)	0.82	0.538
Single	14 (17.3)	67 (82.7)	1.29	0.4	sex	(27.6)	55 (72.4)	_	
Not single	82 (13.9)	507 (86.1)		Ever been forced to have sex	21 (27.0)	33 (72.4)		
					Ever been forced to have sex	20(24.4)	62 (75.6)	2.17	0.005*
Table 3 Parental and other	factors and	d cigarette	e smoking	g	No 2	20(24.4)	527(970)	2.17	0.003
Variable		Cigarette U	se	Σ		50 (15.0)	337 (87.0)	/	
		Yes	No		Sexual orientation		10 (27 6		0.01.44
		N (%)	N (%)		Both male and female	14 (42.4)	19 (57.6	2.55	0.014*
Close friends smoking status					Either male or female 2	46 (22.4)	159 (77.6)	1	
Close friends smoke		49 (37.1)	83 (62.9)	5	.62 0.001*				
Close friends do not smoke		44 (9.5)	419 (90.5)	Table 5 Logistic regression	analysis	of factors	associate	d
Parents smoking status					with cigarette smoking				
Parents smoke		26 (23.0)	87 (77.0)	2	1variable ^{002*}	COR	AOR	95% CI	P value
Parents do not smoke		64 (12.2)	461 (87.8)					
Alcohol use					Sex	1.69	0.79	0.45, 1.37	0.417
Ever drank alcohol		84 (30.5)	191 (69.5) 1	1.Grade0.001*	1.72	2.23	1.26, 3.39	0.006*
Never Use alcohol		16 (3.8)	408 (96.2)	Find using marijuana wrong	0.5	0.58	0.39, 0.85	0.006*
Parents Drinking status		22 (10.2)							0 (0 0
Parent drink				. I	Ever had sex	3.52	1.53	0.28, 8.82	0.630
Parents don't drink		33 (18.3)	147 (81.7) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex	3.52 1.9	1.53 1.05	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25	0.630
		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners	3.52 1.9 2.02	1.53 1.05 0.83	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06	0.630
Friends drinking status		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714
Friends drinking status Friends drink		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001*
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Balatives drink		49 (29.3) 51 (9.6)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4) 1) 3) 3	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Barents smoke	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Belatives drink		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.2)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents subke Ever drank alcohol	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001*
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Naizhkorz drinking status		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents stucke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbors drink		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents supke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001*
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbours drinking status Neighbours drink		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer-	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 1.7	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drink Neighbours do not drink Marijuana use		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5) 1) 3) 3) 1) 1) 1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents supke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 -	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drinkk Neighbours do not drink Marijuana use Ever used marijuana		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5) 1) 3) 3) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 2.24	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141
Friends drinking status Friends drinking status Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drink Neighbours drink Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2) 1) 3) 3) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 2.24	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drinkk Neighbours do not drink Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvament in trading or grammer		33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2) 1) 3) 3) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents supke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other var	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 1.7 2.24 riables, si	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141
Friends drinking status Friends drink Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbours drinking status Neighbours drinkk Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvement in trading or comm Involved in activities	nercial activit	33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8) ies 60 (17.6)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2) 1) 3) 3) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other van Speiatech with cigarette smo	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 1.7 2.24 riables, si oking we	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as in IHS	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141
Friends drinking status Friends drinking status Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drinkk Neighbours do not drink Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvement in trading or comm Involved in activities Not involved in activities	nercial activit	33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8) ies 60 (17.6) 40 (11.2)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2 281 (82.4 318 (89.9)) 1))))) 3))))))))))))))))	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other van sociated in with cigarette smo (AOP:2.22, m=0.006) sites	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 1.7 2.24 riables, si oking we	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86 gnificant ere being	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as in JHS	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141
Friends drinking status Friends drinking status Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbours drinking status Neighbours drinkk Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvement in trading or comm Involved in activities Not involved in activities	nercial activit	33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8) ies 60 (17.6) 40 (11.2)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2 281 (82.4 318 (88.8)) 1)) 3)) 3)) 1)) 1)) 1)) 1)) 1)) 1)) 1)) 1)	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other van sociated in with cigarette smo (AOR: 2.23, p=0.006), close	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 1.7 2.24 riables, si oking we	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86 gnificant re being smoking	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as in JHS ; cigarett	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141
Friends drinking status Friends drinking status Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives drink Relatives do not drink Neighbours drinking status Neighbours drinkk Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvement in trading or comm Involved in activities Not involved in activities Absenteeism Ever absented	nercial activit	33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8) ies 60 (17.6) 40 (12.) 65 (18.9)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2 281 (82.4 318 (88.8)) 1))) 33)) ())) 11)) () 11)) () 11)) () 11)) () () 11)) () () () () () () () () () () () () (Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other van sociated in with cigarette smo (AOR: 2.23, p=0.006), clos (AOR: 2.26, p=0.001), ha	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 1.7 2.24	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86 gnificant re being smoking nk alcoh	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as in JHS ; cigarett ol befor	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141 - 1 e e
Friends drinking status Friends drinking status Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drinkk Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvement in trading or comm Involved in activities Not involved in activities Absenteeism Ever absented Never absented	nercial activit	33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8) ies 60 (17.6) 40 (11.2) 65 (18.9) 33 (9.4)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2 281 (82.4 318 (88.8 279 (81.1 317 (90 6)) 1))) 33)) ())) 11)) () 11)) () 11)) () () 11)) () () () 22))	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other van sociated in with cigarette smo (AOR: 2.23, p=0.006), clos (AOR: 2.26, p=0.001), ha (AOR: 6.0, p<0.001) and	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 1.7 2.24 riables, si oking we gried aving dra having e	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86 gnificant re being smoking unk alcoh ver used	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as in JHS ; cigarett ol befor marijuan	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141 - 1 e e a
Friends drinking status Friends drinking status Friends do not drink Relative drinking status Relatives do not drink Neighbors drinking status Neighbours drink Marijuana use Ever used marijuana Never used marijuana Involvement in trading or comm Involved in activities Not involved in activities Absenteeism Ever absented Never absented	nercial activit	33 (18.3) 67 (12.9) 49 (29.3) 51 (9.6) 40 (17.6) 60 (11.3) 48 (16.9) 52 (12.5) 29 (65.9) 71 (10.8) ies 60 (17.6) 40 (11.2) 65 (18.9) 33 (9.4)	147 (81.7 451 (87.1 118 (70.7 478 (90.4 187 (82.4 472 (88.7 236 (83.1 363 (87.5 15 (34.1) 584 (89.2 281 (82.4 318 (88.8 279 (81.1 317 (90.6)) 1)) 3)) 3))	Ever had sex Age at having sex Sexual partners Ever been force to have sex Sexual orientation Close friends smoke Parents smoke Ever drank alcohol Relative drink Ever used marijuana Involved in trading or commer- cial activities Absenteeism After adjusting for other van sociated in with cigarette smo (AOR: 2.23, p=0.006), clos (AOR: 2.26, p=0.001), ha (AOR: 6.48, p<0.001). How	3.52 1.9 2.02 2.17 2.55 5.62 2.15 11.21 1.68 15.9 - 2.24 riables, si oking we gried aving dra having e vever, recomposition	1.53 1.05 0.83 0.86 0.99 2.26 1.0 6.0 0.96 6.48 1.12 0.86 gnificant re being smoking unk alcoh ver used ognizing	0.28, 8.82 0.88, 1.25 0.65, 1.06 0.37, 1.88 0.55, 1.80 1.47, 3.77 0.60, 1.70 3.29, 11.37 0.58, 1.76 3.09, 14.79 0.66, 1.90 0.70, 1.05 factors as in JHS ; cigarett ol befor marijuan marijuan	0.630 0.573 0.130 0.714 0.983 0.001* 0.996 0.001* 0.885 0.001* 0.688 0.141 - 1 e e a a

Cigarette smoking was independently associated with

 Table 2 Sociodemographic factors and cigarette smoking

ing (AOR: 0.58, p=0.006).

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of cigarette smoking was 14.3% out of which 32% of respondents started smoking cigarette when they were 10 years old and below. A similar study in USA and Nigeria shows prevalence of smoking cigarette to be 15.9% and 13.6% among adolescents.^{1,6} Though the USA shows a higher prevalence and a lower prevalence in Nigeria, the situation in Ghana is of great concern and needs immediate intervention. The early initiation of smoking as young as 10 years and below reported in this study is worrisome and a study conducted in Nigeria reported similar results.⁵ This behaviour may be as a result of cigarette and other tobacco products being easily accessible to adolescents through many commercial outlets.

The Tobacco Regulation in the country prohibits sale of tobacco products to adolescents, however poor monitoring by relevant agencies normally results in challenges with compliance making tobacco readily accessible to adolescents. Moreover, lack of adequate education of retailers, adolescents and their families on the provisions stated in the Tobacco Regulation can result in tobacco use among adolescents as some adults who smoke sometimes send adolescents to purchase cigarette for them, thereby exposing them to tobacco use.

Early initiation in cigarette smoking leads to future addiction to tobacco and other substances and may lead to health effects such as psychiatric illnesses, chronic bronchitis, lung cancers and coronary heart diseases as reported in other studies.^{2,9} Peers have great influence and majority (77.5%) of adolescents who smoked mentioned that they were introduced to the habit by friends. The influence of peers on adolescent cigarette smoking has been reported in several studies.^{3,12}

The bivariate analysis showed an independent association between cigarette smoking and school absenteeism which will affect the academic performance of the students. This has been confirmed in another study which indicates that youth who smoke cigarette have difficulties such as absenteeism and delinquent behaviours at school.¹⁴ Adolescents who smoke may get addicted to tobacco products which will end up in school absenteeism and poor academic performance. Another independent association found with cigarette smoking is parental divorce and this is explained by lack of parental supervision and support which leads to risky adolescents behaviours such as cigarette smoking and alcohol use.¹⁵ Parental divorce can also result in mental health issues such as depression among adolescents which can result in substance use such as smoking cigarette.

Another independent association to cigarette smoking found in this study is being a male and is in conformity with report of global adolescent smoking patterns³ that showed general male predominance in smoking cigarette being high in Africa and Asia. The differences in smoking between males and females may have to do with cultural acceptability of male smoking in relation to female smoking since some cultures find females smoking cigarette unacceptable.

After adjusting for other variables, being in JHS that is the younger age group is significantly associated with smoking cigarette and this may be due to early experimentation of smoking in younger years which may stop as they grow older. These findings are different from that of a school-based study conducted in Sudan which found higher incidence of smoking in the older aged adolescents compared to the younger ones. ¹⁶ This presents an opportunity that early initiation of tobacco preventive programs targeted at the young adolescents will possibly prevent further abuse in older adolescents.

Close friends smoking cigarette is significantly associated with smoking among the respondents. This finding is consistent with various studies conducted elsewhere that identified significant association between smoking and close friend smoking cigarette.^{4,16} Peers influence is therefore great and constant exposure to friends who smoke will expedite the process of behaviour copying since adolescents have the need to be accepted by their peers. A legislative instrument aimed at regulating the activities of tobacco use in Ghana which include the ban on sale of tobacco to minors has been passed and is found in the Public Health Act.¹⁷

The use of marijuana is also significantly associated with cigarette smoking and this relationship is reported in other studies.¹⁸ Once adolescents abuse one drug, other drugs can also be abused. Furthermore, the study identified that recognizing that using marijuana is wrong, is protective against cigarette smoking which supports the identified association. Programs designed to address one type of substance use in adolescents can help in preventing the use of other substances.

Limitations of the Study

Since this study touches very sensitive and very personal issues, social desirability responding cannot be ruled out, however respondents were assured of confidentiality to assist in addressing this limitation. Also, the design of the study makes it impossible to draw inferences about the direction of relationship between the dependent and independent variables.

CONCLUSION

The study showed a high prevalence of cigarette smoking among in-school adolescents. A majority of those who smoked were introduced to the habits by peers. Associated factors include use of other substances like marijuana and alcohol.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge the support of members of faculty of Public Health, Ghana College of Physicians and Surgeons and the staff of Yilo Krobo Health Directorate for their assistance in conducting this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. NIDA. Monitoring the Future Survey: High School and Youth Trends. (2017, December 14). Retrieved from https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/drugfacts/monitoring-future- survey-high-school-youthtrends on 21st February 2017
- 2. National Family Planning Board. Reproductive Health Survey 2002–2003: Jamaica Young Adults Report, Kingston, Jamaica: National Family Planning Board, 2005.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): Tobacco use among students aged 13–15 years – Kurdistan Region, Iraq, 2005. MMWR Morbidity Mortality Weekly Report. 2006, 55: 556-9.
- Wellington et al, Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS), fact sheet. http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/global/gyts/factsheets/pdf_files/ghana.pdf (accessed 3rd April 2016)
- Bradley Jamson, Adamson S. Muula et al. Cigarette smoking among school going adolescents in Lithuana: Results from the 2005 Global Youth tobacco survey, *BMC Research Notes* 2010;3:30 DOI:10.1186/1756-0500-3-130
- 6. Yousif M. Gadalla, Abo-mali Adil et al. Prevalence of smoking among school adolescents in Khartoum State. *Sudan J Paediatr.* 2012; 12(2): 44–48
- 7. Cheney MK, Oman RF, Vesely SK, Aspy CB, Tolma EL. Prospective associations between negative life events and youth tobacco use. *Am J Health*

Behav.2014;38(6):942-950. doi:10.5993/AJHB.38.6.16.

 Graves Karen L, Leigh Barbara C. The relationship of substance use to sexual activity among young adults in the United States. Perspectives on sexual and reproductive health. *A journal of peer reviewed research, Family Planning Perspectives* 1995; 27:18-22&33,

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1363/2701895

- Smith RF, McDonald CG, Bergstrom HC, Ehlinger DG, Brielmaier JM. Adolescent nicotine induces persisting changes in development of neural connectivity. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2015;55:432-443.
- 10. Ghana Publishing. The Tobacco Control Regulations in Ghana, 2016 (LI, 2247)
- 11. Lydon DM, Wilson SJ, Child A, Geier CF. Adolescent brain maturation and smoking: what we know and where we're headed. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2014;45:323-342.
- Ghana Health Service. Eastern Region ."District Health Information Management Systems 2 (DHIMS 2).": Ghana Health Service, 2017
- Myers MG. Smoking intervention with adolescent substance abusers. Initial recommendations. *Journal* of Substance Abuse Treatment 1999; 16:289–298, PMID: 10349601 M
- Hoffman JH.; Welte JW, Barnes GM. Co-occurrence of alcohol and cigarette use among adolescents. *Addictive Behaviors* 2001; 26:63–78. PMID: 11196293
- 15. Conger RD et al. The family context of adolescent vulnerability and resilience to alcohol use and abuse. *Sociological Studies of Children* 1994;6:55-86.
- Godeau E, Gabhainn SN. Contraceptive Use by 15-Year-Old Students at their Last Sexual Intercourse. Results from 24 Countries. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2008;162(1):66-73.
- 17. Government Assembly Press. Part Six of Ghana's Public Health Act, 2012, Act 851. GPC/A753/350/11/2012
- National Household Survey on Drug Abuse. Characteristics of new marijuana users. The UHSDA Report (SMA 02—3711). Rockville, MD: Substance abuse and mental health services administration 2003