TEENAGE PREGNANCY IN JOS, NORTH-CENTRAL NIGERIA

Mutihir, J.T.

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.

Corresponding author: Dr. Josiah T. Mutihir

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.

jtmutihir01@yahoo.co.uk

ABSTRACT

Background: Teenage pregnancy is an obstetric risk factor. They are more likely to suffer from complications of pregnancy, labour and delivery with increased morbidity and mortality in both infants and mothers.

Objective: To determine the prevalence of teenage births, demographic characteristics and pregnancy outcome in this group of women

Methods: This was a 12 months retrospective descriptive study of the pregnancy outcome of teenagers in the Jos University teaching Hospital, Jos, Nigeria between January and December 2003.

Results: The prevalence of teenage birth was 5% or 21 per 1000 deliveries. The mean age was 18.20 ± 0.84 years, and mean parity was $1.2 \pm$ 0.82. About 81% of the teenagers had completed only primary and secondary education. Nineteen percent (19%) of them were non-literate. Preterm delivery (24.5%) and vaginal trauma (episiotomies 41.3%) were the maternal

morbidity while low birth weight (14.3%) was the common foetal morbidity in the study. The teenagers were similar to the total population in respect of booking status, and perinatal morbidity, but worse of in preterm delivery and low birth weight. There was no much difference, however, in the still birth and caesarean section rates. The maternal and foetal outcomes were generally satisfactory in the study.

Conclusion: Teenage mothers constitute 5% of our antenatal patients and appear to be disadvantaged both socially and economically, as they are yet to attain their educational potentials. The older teenage status in addition to booking for antenatal care in pregnancy resulted in better foetal and maternal outcome.

Key words: Prevalence, Teenage pregnancy, Pregnancy outcome.

INTRODUCTION

The age at first marriage in this country varies from place to place, among different ethnic, religious and cultural settings. Teenage pregnancy, an important outcome of early marriage, constitutes an important educational, medical and social problem¹. Early teenage pregnancy and child bearing has negative demographic, socio-economic and socio-cultural consequences². In the southern part of Nigeria, the mainly Christian Yoruba and Igbo girls tend to marry in the third decade of life³ while in northern Nigeria with mainly Muslim Hausa and Fulani tribes, early marriage before the age of 16 years is common⁴. Teenage mothers are more likely to suffer from severe complications during delivery, which result in higher morbidity and mortality for both the mothers and infants². Pregnancies become high-risk before the age of 18 years, and maternal mortality rate have been found to be slightly higher in pregnancies under the age of 20⁵. Teenage pregnancies have been observed to be associated with increased incidence of anaemia, pregnancy induced hypertension, eclampsia, low birth weight and perinatal mortality, particularly in un-booked or unsupervised pregnancies⁶⁻⁸. In the older teenagers, 17-19 years, their reproductive capacity is good⁹. Some authors have attributed low socio-economic circumstances responsible for the obstetric problems of teenagers rather than maternal age. In addition, the socioeconomic advancement of teenage mothers in the areas of educational attainment and

accessibility to job opportunities may be curtailed^{10,11}. This study reviews and documents the characteristics and obstetric outcome of pregnant teenagers delivered at the Jos University Teaching Hospital, and suggests ways of improving on the pregnancy outcome in this group of women.

MATERIALS AMD METHODS

This was a retrospective study of teenage (women of less than 20 years of age) deliveries in the Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Nigeria over a 12 months period, (January to December 2003). The delivery records of the maternity unit were examined and all cases of teenage pregnancies delivered in the unit, and relevant information like sociodemographic characteristics, foetal and obstetric outcome were extracted and collated. The data was analysed with the Epi Info 2002 statistical software.

RESULTS

During the period of study, there were 2,960 deliveries among which 147 were teenage deliveries, an incidence of 5.0%.

Table 1 showed that the age of the teenagers ranged from 15-19 years with a mean of 18.20 ± 0.84 years. The women were of parity 1-5 with a mean of 1.2 ± 0.82 . About 96% of the teenage pregnancies were of parity of 1 and 2. The women were Christians in 55.8% and Moslems in 44.2%. None of the teenagers had completed tertiary education. Forty-four (22.9%)

of them had completed only primary education while 57.8% had completed secondary education at the time of the study. The teenagers were full-time housewives in 70.1%, while 17.1% were still in various levels of schooling or studies. The booking status of the patients showed that up to 77.5% of them were booked for antenatal care in the Jos University Teaching Hospital antenatal clinic. Only 2 (1.4%) were un-booked for antenatal care.

Table 2 shows the maternal outcome of the teenage pregnancies. Preterm delivery was higher (13.9%) in the teenagers compared to the total population with 9.5%. Episiotomy rate was high (41.3%) in the teenagers compared with the 19.8% in the total population. Spontaneous vaginal delivery was slightly higher (87.8%) and caesarean section rate was lower (9.5%) in the

teenagers compared with 83.9% and 13.9% in the total population respectively. The mean blood loss in the teenagers was 248.00 ± 137.98 ml compared with 250.60 ± 165.32 ml. In the teenagers, 10 (6.8%) had postpartum haemorrhage (blood loos of ≥ 500 ml), while this was 8.2% in the total population.

Table 3 showed that the means of asphyxia status, birth lengths, head circumference and cord lengths in both groups were similar. However, low birth weight was higher (14.3%) in the teenagers compared with 10.9% in the total population in the study. Foetal gender showed that the male infants were 55.5% in the teenagers and 53.4% in the total population. The female infants were also similar in both groups, that is, 44.5% and 46.6% in the teenagers and the total population respectively.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the teenagers

Age in years		
	 0 (1	45

Age in years	
15	2 (1.4)
16	5 (3.4)
17	12 (8.2)
18	70 (47.6)
19	58 (39.4)
Parity	
1	119 (81.0)
2	22 (15.0)
3	4 (2.6)
	1 (0.7)
4 5	1 (0.7)
Religion	1 (0.7)
Christianity	82 (55.8)
Islam	65 (44.2)
Educational level	00 (1.1.2)
Non-literate	18 (12.3)
Completed primary education	44 (29.9)
Completed secondary education	,
Completed tertiary education	0 (0.0)
Occupation	0 (0.0)
Housewife	103 (70.1)
Student	26 (17.7)
Civil servant	5 (3.4)
Tailor	4 (2.7)
Business	4 (2.7)
Others	5 (3.4)
Booking status	<i>5</i> (5.1)
Booked in JUTH	114 (77.5)
Booked elsewhere	31 (21.1)
Un-booked	2 (1.4)

Table 2: Maternal outcome of the teenage and other mothers

Teenagers (%) Total Population (%)

Gestational age at birth in the infants in weeks

Gestational ag	e
----------------	---

Preterm	36 (24.5)	521 (17.6)
Term	109 (74.1)	2,400 (81.1)
Post term	2 (1.4)	39 (1.3)

State of the perineum after vaginal delivery

Т		1 4 4
ν	oringo	l status
	CHILLA	i status

Total	133* (100.0)	2,548# (100.0)
Perineum Intact	73 (54.9)	1,852 (72.7)
Darinaum Intaat	· /	1 950 (70 7)
Episiotomy	55 (41.3)	504 (19.8)
Bruise of perineum	0 (0.0)	20 (0.8)
3 ⁰ Perineal tear	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
2 ⁰ Perineal tear	0 (0.0)	15 (0.6)
1 ⁰ Perineal tear	5 (3.8)	157 (6.2)

(There were *14, and #412 caesarean sections in the teenagers and general population respectively and therefore deducted from the total numbers to get those that had vaginal deliveries)

The mode of delivery in the teenagers and the total population

Total		147 (100.0)		2,960 (100.0)
Breech delivery	1 (0.7)		19 (0.6))
Forceps delivery		0 (0.0)		2 (0.1)
Vacuum delivery		3 (2.0)		45 (1.5)
Caesarean section		14 (9.5)	412 (13	.9)
SVD		129 (87.8)		2,482 (83.9)
Mode of delivery				

Table 3: The foetal outcome in the teenagers and the total population

(n = 147) (n = 2,960) Index of intrapartum asphyxia in the infants Degree of asphyxia Still birth (Apgar 0) 5 (3.4) 99 (3.3) Severe asphyxia (Apgar 1-3) 1 (0.7) 32 (1.1) Moderate asphyxia (Apgar 4-5) 10 (6.8) 137 (4.7) Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7) 32 (21.8) 527 (17.8) No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) 99 (67.3) 2164 (73.1) Mean 7.23 1.77 7.36 1.72 Birth length of the infants in centimetres Range 22.0-60.0 20.0-65.0 Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range 23.0-54.0 12.0-54.0 Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range 30.0-70.0 19.0-85.0 Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes Very low birth weight 4 (2.7) <td< th=""><th></th><th colspan="3">Teenagers (%) Total Population (%)</th></td<>		Teenagers (%) Total Population (%)		
Degree of asphyxia Still birth (Apgar 0) 5 (3.4) 99 (3.3) Severe asphyxia (Apgar 1-3) 1 (0.7) 32 (1.1) Moderate asphyxia (Apgar 4-5) 10 (6.8) 137 (4.7) Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7) 32 (21.8) 527 (17.8) No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) 99 (67.3) 2164 (73.1) Mean 7.23 1.77 7.36 1.72 Birth length of the infants in centimetres Range 22.0-60.0 20.0-65.0 Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range 23.0-54.0 12.0-54.0 Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range 30.0-70.0 19.0-85.0 Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes		(n = 147)	(n = 2,960)	
Degree of asphyxia Still birth (Apgar 0) $5 (3.4)$ $99 (3.3)$ Severe asphyxia (Apgar 1-3) $1 (0.7)$ $32 (1.1)$ Moderate asphyxia (Apgar 4-5) $10 (6.8)$ $137 (4.7)$ Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7) $32 (21.8)$ $527 (17.8)$ No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) $99 (67.3)$ $2164 (73.1)$ Mean $7.23 1.77$ $7.36 1.72$ Birth length of the infants in centimetres Range $22.0-60.0$ $20.0-65.0$ Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range $23.0-54.0$ $12.0-54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0-70.0$ $19.0-85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes				
Severe asphyxia (Apgar 1-3) 1 (0.7) 32 (1.1) Moderate asphyxia (Apgar 4-5) 10 (6.8) 137 (4.7) Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7) 32 (21.8) 527 (17.8) No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) 99 (67.3) 2164 (73.1) Mean 7.23 1.77 7.36 1.72 Birth length of the infants in centimetres Range 22.0-60.0 20.0-65.0 Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range 23.0-54.0 12.0-54.0 Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range 30.0-70.0 19.0-85.0 Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes				
Moderate asphyxia (Apgar 4-5) $10 (6.8)$ $137 (4.7)$ Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7) $32 (21.8)$ $527 (17.8)$ No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) $99 (67.3)$ $2164 (73.1)$ Mean $7.23 1.77$ $7.36 1.72$ Birth length of the infants in centimetres $22.0-60.0$ $20.0-65.0$ Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres $12.0-54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres $20.0-70.0$ $19.0-85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Still birth (Apgar 0)	5 (3.4)	99 (3.3)	
Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7) $32 (21.8)$ $527 (17.8)$ No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) $99 (67.3)$ $2164 (73.1)$ Mean $7.23 1.77$ $7.36 1.72$ Birth length of the infants in centimetres $22.0-60.0$ $20.0-65.0$ Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres $80.0-54.0$ $80.0-54.0$ $80.0-54.0$ Means $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ Means $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ Means $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ $80.0-70.0$ Means $80.0-70.0$	Severe asphyxia (Apgar 1-3)	1 (0.7)	32 (1.1)	
No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10) 99 (67.3) 2164 (73.1) Mean 7.23 1.77 7.36 1.72 Birth length of the infants in centimetres 22.0-60.0 20.0-65.0 Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range $23.0-54.0$ $12.0-54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0-70.0$ $19.0-85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Moderate asphyxia (Apgar 4-5)	10 (6.8)	137 (4.7)	
Mean 7.23 1.77 7.36 1.72 Birth length of the infants in centimetres 22.0-60.0 20.0-65.0 Range 22.0-60.0 47.69 \pm 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range 23.0-54.0 12.0-54.0 Means 33.25 \pm 2.84 34.00 \pm 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range 30.0-70.0 19.0-85.0 Means 52.82 \pm 6.16 52.64 \pm 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Mild asphyxia (Apgar 6-7)	32 (21.8)	527 (17.8)	
Birth length of the infants in centimetres Range $22.0\text{-}60.0$ $20.0\text{-}65.0$ Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range $23.0\text{-}54.0$ $12.0\text{-}54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0\text{-}70.0$ $19.0\text{-}85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	No asphyxia (Apgar 8-10)	99 (67.3)	2164 (73.1)	
Range $22.0\text{-}60.0$ $20.0\text{-}65.0$ Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres 12.0-54.0 Range $23.0\text{-}54.0$ $12.0\text{-}54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres 19.0-85.0 Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Mean	7.23 1.77	7.36 1.72	
Mean 47.06 ± 4.31 47.69 ± 4.26 Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range $23.0\text{-}54.0$ $12.0\text{-}54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0\text{-}70.0$ $19.0\text{-}85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Birth length of the infants in centimetre	2S		
Head circumference of the infants in centimetres Range $23.0\text{-}54.0$ $12.0\text{-}54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0\text{-}70.0$ $19.0\text{-}85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Range	22.0-60.0	20.0-65.0	
Range $23.0\text{-}54.0$ $12.0\text{-}54.0$ Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0\text{-}70.0$ $19.0\text{-}85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Mean	47.06 ± 4.31	47.69 ± 4.26	
Means 33.25 ± 2.84 34.00 ± 2.71 Umbilical cord length in centimetres Range $30.0\text{-}70.0$ $19.0\text{-}85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Head circumference of the infants in ce	entimetres		
Umbilical cord length in centimetres $Range \qquad 30.0\text{-}70.0 \qquad 19.0\text{-}85.0$ $Means \qquad 52.82 \pm 6.16 \qquad 52.64 \pm 6.14$ Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Range	23.0-54.0	12.0-54.0	
Range $30.0\text{-}70.0$ $19.0\text{-}85.0$ Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Means	33.25 ± 2.84	34.00 ± 2.71	
Means 52.82 ± 6.16 52.64 ± 6.14 Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Umbilical cord length in centimetres			
Birth weight of the infants in Kilogrammes	Range	30.0-70.0	19.0-85.0	
	Means	52.82 ± 6.16	52.64 ± 6.14	
Very low birth weight $A(2.7)$ $A_2(1.4)$	Birth weight of the infants in Kilogram	ımes		
40.77 43(1.4)	Very low birth weight	4 (2.7)	43 (1.4)	
Low birth weight 17 (11.6) 280 (9.5)	Low birth weight	17 (11.6)	280 (9.5)	
Normal birth weight 117 (80.3) 2471 (83.5)	Normal birth weight	117 (80.3)	2471 (83.5)	
Macrosomia 8 (5.4) 166 (5.6)	Macrosomia	8 (5.4)	166 (5.6)	

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of teenage pregnancy in this study was 5.0% of the deliveries in the Jos University Teaching Hospital. This was higher than the reported figure from Ile-Ife¹, but much lower than 24.0% reported in Sagamu⁸, and the northern part of Nigeria¹². This relatively low prevalence rate may be attributable to the urban status of the study population.

The mean age of the women was 18.20 ± 0.84 years, and majority (87.0%) of them were aged 18-19 years. This is in contrast to findings in the far north of the country where early marriage and subsequent pregnancy is common. In Nigeria^{13,14}, and especially in Sokoto, early marriage is a common practice resulting in early pregnancy¹².

One hundred and forty-one (96% of the teenage mothers were of parity one and two. In up to 81% of them, the pregnancy was the first one, that is, primipara.

All the teenage mothers were of educational levels of less than secondary school level. None of them had completed tertiary education. Salako in Sagamu also found that teenage mothers were less educated than women of higher age groups in the same population⁸. Educational level and occupation determine the socio-economic status and / or empowerment of a person. The socioeconomic empowerment of the teenage mothers could thus be predicted in the study as inferred by their educational level and occupation. Majority (87.8%) of them were

either housewives or students, without any form of economic empowerment. A similar finding of low socio-economic status in teenage pregnancy was also reported from a study in Nnewi¹⁵, Eastern Nigeria.

Preterm delivery was higher than for the total population in the study (24.5%: 17.6%). This was lower than the reported 35.7% preterm delivery rate in Nnewi¹⁵. Another maternal morbidity that was observed to be high was the episiotomy rate (41.3%). This high episiotomy rate may be because majority (81%) of them were primigravidae, and were amenable to having episiotomy to ameliorate the problem of tight perineum usually encountered in them. This therefore may not be solely because they were teenagers, but parity being a major contributory factor.

The Caesarean section rate in the total population was higher than in the teenagers. The Sokoto study reported a relatively higher caesarean section rate and increased fistulae formation among the teenagers, particularly among those aged 15 years and below¹². This was contrary to the case in our study. The reason for this is probably because the majority of our teenagers were between 18 and 19 years of age. By this age, these women would have developed the full anatomic capacity of their pelvis, thus eliminating cephalopelvic disproportions in these teenagers. Obstetric complications are significantly greater in teenagers 16 years and below⁹. Physiological and anatomical

immaturity in teenagers younger than the age of 17 years, no doubt, is contributory to the obstetric risks¹⁶. On the other hand, the teenagers in our study had antenatal care, and all had supervised labour and delivery in the maternity unit of the teaching hospital. Postpartum haemorrhage (blood loss following delivery of ≥ 500 ml) in the teenagers was lower than that in the total population. This may be explained by the low parity in them compared with higher parity in the older women. There was no maternal mortality in the teenagers in this study. The reason may be due to the fact that all of them were supervised in labour and the number of the cases analysed was small. The Sokoto study reported higher maternal mortality of 1.3% in teenagers¹².

The foetal outcome demonstrated that the immediate neonatal outcome assessed by Apgar scores, the sex ratio, mean birth length, head circumference, and umbilical cord length were all similar in the teenagers and the total population. Many studies¹⁷⁻²² have shown that the obstetric risks of teenage pregnancy include prematurity, low birth weight, operative vaginal deliveries, caesarean section rate, anaemia, pregnancy induced hypertension and puerperal sepsis. This study confirmed that low birth weight in the infants of the teenagers were higher that that of the total population (14.3%: 10.9%). The low birth weight among the infants of the teenagers in the study was lower that the 20% reported in Sokoto¹².

Teenage pregnancy is still encountered in our practice. They appeared to have improved incidences of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality reported in the literature. These probably have been the benefits of antenatal care, supervised labour and delivery. Antenatal care in teenage pregnancy improves maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality rates in teenage pregnancy as well as in older pregnant women. The prevention of childhood marriage and teenage pregnancy may be further reduced compulsory primary and education, social reformation and the utilization of family planning.

The study demonstrates that older teenagers, who make up most of the study group have good obstetric performance. The utilization of health care facilities by teenagers will have beneficial as well as positive effects on the reduction of maternal and foetal morbidity and mortality.

The study opines that pregnant teenagers are not, particularly a high-risk group when they are older teenagers, have good antenatal care, and are supervised during labour and delivery.

REFERENCES

- Ogunniyi SO, Dare FO, Makinde OO, Nganwuchu AM. Obstetric performance of teenage pregnancies. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol 1991; 9(2): 38-39.
- 2. Effiong EI, Banjoko MO. The obstetric performance of Nigerian primigravidae

- aged 16 years and under. Brit J Obstet Gynaecol 1975; 82: 228-233.
- Fedipe NA. The sociology of the Yoruba, Ibadan: University of Ibadan Press, 1970.
- Agbogboroma OC, Emuveyan EE.
 Reproductive Health Problems in
 Nigeria: The role of Adolescent
 Sexuality and Traditional/Cultural
 practices. Nig Qt J Hosp Med 1998;
 8(1): 27-33.
- Hollingsworth D, Kreutner A. Teenage pregnancy. New Eng J Med 1980; 303: 516-518.
- Ngoka WM, Mati JKG. Obstetric aspects of adolescent pregnancy. East Afr Med J 57: 124-130.
- 7. Rehan N, Sani S. Obstetric behaviour of Hausa Women. J Obstet Gynaecol East Centr Afr 1986; 5: 21-25.
- 8. Salako AA, Sofowora R. A study of Teenage pregnancy and its determinants in the health districts of Ikenne Local Government. Nig Med Practi. 41(3.4): 38-44.
- Beazley JM. Special circumstances affecting Labour. In: C. R. Whitfield (ed) Dewhurst's Textbook of obstetrics and Gynaecology for Postgraduates, 4th edition, PG Asian Economy edition Singapore, 1987: 357-372.
- Adolescent Fertility Risk and Consequences. Population Reports, Series H. 1976; 10: 157-163.

- 11. Healthier mothers and children through family Planning. Population Reports, Series J 1984; 27: 659-689.
- 12. Ghatak DP. Teenage Primigravidae. Nig J Surg Sciences 1993; 3: 30-32.
- 13. Harrison K, Rossister CE, Chang H, et al. The influence of maternal age and child bearing on primigravidae aged 15 years and under. Ibid Supplement 1985; 5: 23-24.
- 14. Effiong E, Banjoko MO. The obstetric performance of Nigerian primigravidae aged 16 years and under. Brit J Obstet Gynaecol 1975; 82: 228-233.
- Igwegbe AO, Udigwe GO. Teenage pregnancy: Still an obstetric risk. Trop J Med Research 2000; 4(1): 23-26.
- Fielding JE. Adolescent pregnancy revisited. N Eng J Med 1978; 299: 893.
- 17. Frazer AM, Brockert JW, Ward RH.
 Association of young maternal age with adverse reproductive outcomes. N Engl J Med 1995; 332(17): 1113-1117.
- 18. Boult BE, Cunningham PW. Some aspects of Obstetrics in black teenage pregnancy: a comparative analysis. Med Law 1995; 14(1-2): 93-97.
- Bacci A, Mabhica GM, Machungo F, Bugalho A, Cuttini M. Outcome of teenage pregnancy in Maputo Mozambique. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1993; 40(1): 19-23.
- 20. Sarkar CS, Giri AK, Sarkar B. Outcome of teenage pregnancy and labour: A

- retrospective study. J Indian Med Assoc 1991; 89(7): 197-199.
- 21. Adelson PI, Frommer MS, Pym NA, Rubin GL. Teenage pregnancy and fertility in the New South Wales: An examination of fertility trends, abortions and birth outcomes. Aust J Public Health 1992; 16(3): 23-44.
- 22. Anadalakshmy PN, Buchshee K. Teenage pregnancy and its effect on maternal and child health: A hospital experience. India J Med Sci 1993; 47(1): 8-11.
- 23. Ogunniyi SO, Dare FO, Makinde OO, Nganwuchu AM. Obstetric performance of teenage pregnancies. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol 1991; 9(2): 38-39.
- 24. Effiong EI, Banjoko MO. The obstetric performance of Nigerian primigravidae aged 16 years and under. Brit J Obstet Gynaecol 1975; 82: 228-233.
- Hollingsworth D, Kreutner A. Teenage pregnancy. New Eng J Med 1980; 303: 516-518.
- 26. Ngoka WM, Mati JKG. Obstetric aspects of adolescent pregnancy. East Afr Med J 57: 124-130.
- 27. Rehan N, Sani S. Obstetric behaviour of Hausa Women. J Obstet Gynaecol East Centr Afr 1986; 5: 21-25.
- 28. Salako AA, Sofowora R. A study of
 Teenage pregnancy and its determinants
 in the health districts of Ikenne Local

- Government. Nig Med Practi. 41(3.4): 38-44.
- 29. National Population Commission (NPC)
 [Nigeria] and ORC Macro. 2004.
 Nigeria Demographic and health Survey
 2003. Calverton, Maryland: National
 Population Commission and Macro: 59-60.
- 30. Harrison K, Rossister CE, Chang H, et al. The influence of maternal age and child bearing on primigravidae aged 15 years and under. Ibid Supplement 1985; 5: 23-24.
- 31. Effiong E, Banjoko MO. The obstetric performance of Nigerian primigravidae aged 16 years and under. Brit J Obstet Gynaecol 1975; 82: 228-233.
- 32. Ghatak DP. Teenage Primigravidae. Nig J Surg Sciences 1993; 3: 30-32.
- 33. Igwegbe AO, Udigwe GO. Teenage pregnancy: Still an obstetric risk. Trop J Med Research 2000; 4(1): 23-26.
- 34. Fielding JE. Adolescent pregnancy revisited. N Eng J Med 1978; 299: 893.
- 35. Frazer AM, Brockert JW, Ward RH.
 Association of young maternal age with adverse reproductive outcomes. N Engl J Med 1995; 332(17): 1113-1117.
- 36. Boult BE, Cunningham PW. Some aspects of Obstetrics in black teenage pregnancy: a comparative analysis. Med Law 1995; 14(1-2): 93-97.
- 37. Bacci A, Mabhica GM, Machungo F, Bugalho A, Cuttini M. Outcome of

- teenage pregnancy in Maputo Mozambique. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1993; 40(1): 19-23.
- 38. Sarkar CS, Giri AK, Sarkar B. Outcome of teenage pregnancy and labour: A retrospective study. J Indian Med Assoc 1991; 89(7): 197-199.
- 39. Adelson PI, Frommer MS, Pym NA, Rubin GL. Teenage pregnancy and fertility in the New South Wales: An examination of fertility trends, abortions and birth outcomes. Aust J Public Health 1992; 16(3): 23-44.
- 40. Anadalakshmy PN, Buchshee K.Teenage pregnancy and its effect on maternal and child health: A hospital experience. India J Med Sci 1993; 47(1): 8-11.
- 41. Adolescent Fertility Risk and Consequences. Population Reports, Series H. 1976; 10: 157-163.

46.

- 42. Healthier mothers and children through family Planning. Population Reports, Series J 1984; 27: 659-689.
- 43. Fedipe NA. The sociology of the Yoruba, Ibadan: University of Ibadan Press, 1970.
- 44. Agbogboroma OC, Emuveyan EE. Reproductive Health Problems in Nigeria: The role of Adolescent Sexuality and Traditional/Cultural practices. Nig Qt J Hosp Med 1998; 8(1): 27-33.
- 45. Beazley JM. Special circumstances affecting Labour. In: C. R. Whitfield (ed) Dewhurst's Textbook of obstetrics and Gynaecology for Postgraduates, 4th edition, PG Asian Economy edition Singapore, 1987: 357-372.