(RE)DISCOVERING A MISSIONAL-INCARNATIONAL ETHOS

ABSTRACT

In this article, a few of the elements and dynamics of social movements will be explored. It will be argued that the traditional institutional church is in a critical period in the cycle of movements, where the need for the (re)discovery of our missional-incarnational ethos and the theology of restoration might energise the church to (re)activate the dynamics of movements. The narrative of Jesus and the Samaritan woman in John 4 will be investigated as an example of Jesus’s missional-incarnational ethos and of the relation to a theology of restoration. Finally, some challenges for the church with regard to ecclesiology, spirituality and leadership will be proposed.

INTRODUCTION

Bash (1995) stated that all social change starts with the construction of alternative stories of what is possible, of an alternative reality filled with hope, expectation and possibility, and that it is often in the context of loss, crisis and disorientation that the need for alternative stories is born. Keel (2007:25) said that the story is at the heart of God’s activity in the world. Frost (2006:13) called both the Jewish exiles and the Christian movement ‘story-formed communities’. Recently, a number of South African pastors met with Alan Hirsch in Achterberg, South Africa, where he lectured on his recent book The forgotten ways (2006). To many of us, this facilitated a space in which the need for alternative stories for a missional church was born (cf. also Keifert 2007). This article builds on the insights of Hirsch and others, such as Frost and Hirsch (2003, 2009), Frost (2006), Gibb and Coffey (2001), Keel (2007) and Peterson (2008).

In the context of the church or, rather, the Jesus movement, we have to acknowledge that it is a sociological reality, with the inherent dynamics of any social movement. By exploring the dynamics of social-movements theory, the story of the church and its calling to become a missional movement might be supported, mobilised, activated and energised.

EXPLORING THE DYNAMICS OF SOCIAL MOVEMENTS

From Death to Life

Right from the beginning, the Jesus movement was not a religion, neither was it an institution – it was essentially an organic movement with discernible movement dynamics and an inherent movement ethos. Hirsch (2006:190–191) agreed: ‘The Jesus movements of history can only be understood, as well as sociologically categorized, as grassroots people movements.’ Some argue that the traditional institutional church has lost its movement ethos and has fallen deep into increasing institutionalism, with the result of rapid decline to such an extent that our time could be referred to as the post-Christian era (Keel 2007:138–142; Niemandt 2007:10). Indeed, according to Hirsch (2006:190–191), most major denominational churches do not exhibit an organic movement culture at all (also see Cole 2005:32; Keel 2007:43). It seems as if the church has lost its movement heart and, with it, its most fundamental calling, which is to live the way of Jesus.

According to Campbell (2005), the crisis of the institutional church today is that it has lost the way of Jesus. Frost and Hirsch (2009:6) furthermore called on the church to go back to the Founder and to recalibrate the entire enterprise along Christological lines. Kok (2008:35–38) argued that we are fundamentally in a crisis and disorientation situation (also see Burger 1995:14–15; Niemandt 2007:10–11) but, in this situation, we also find ourselves in God-space, a sacred space in which we might experience the birth and recreation of God’s impossibilities that will shatter every contained view of reality (Brueggemann 1995). One of the greatest challenges to the church of the third millennium will be the (re)discovery of and (re)awakening of the lost dynamics of our missional-incarnational movement ethos (Hirsch 2006:138, 187). By missional-incarnational, we mean to make manifest or comprehensible (Merriam-Webster 2003:ad loc) the nature of the Gospel message as we understand it in a way that transcends social, geographical, cultural and other boundaries, especially to those who are often geographically closest to us but socio-culturally worlds apart. We therefore have to come to understand (among other things) the fundamental dynamics and nature of social movements, for right here lies one of the most significant clues to transforming our world for Jesus (Frost & Hirsch 2003:202). By understanding the nature of social movements, it helps us to think about the kind of situational leadership that is required, about where the strategic focus and investment of the organisation – or rather the movement – should be and about the way in which the culture of the organisation could be shaped in the dynamic direction of a new frontier.

We should essentially ask ourselves the question of where we are, here and now (Keifert 2007:16–17), with specific reference to the cycle of movements. Are we finding ourselves in the foundation period, the...
growth period, the stabilisation period, the breakdown period or the critical period? The life cycle of movements, as developed by management studies, could be structured as follows:

Some argue that we are already in a crisis and disorientation situation (Burger 1995:102–104), moving towards the critical period (Hirsch 2006:195). The good news is that, as in the foundation period, new life possibilities, new founding myths, new identities, new narratives and new dreams might actually be very near.

Wheatley, in Leadership and the new science, argues from the perspective of the chaos theory that chaos and disintegration give birth to new form and meaning and serve as a ‘life process that releases our creative power’ (Wheatley 2006:115) because ‘it destruction created by chaos is necessary for the creation of anything new’ (Wheatley 2006:119; also see Peters 1997:68). The truth of this is not only evident in the symbolic universe of the new science in the world of quantum physics and chaos theory but also fundamental to the theology of the Bible, the words of wisdom put in the mouth of Jesus by the apostle John. In John 12:24, we read the following (Campbell 2005:181):

When the structure of the Greek text is closely investigated, the following dynamics of this metaphor (Van der Watt 2000) become evident:

The káv construction is a conditional clause in the sense that if death takes place, many fruit will be brought forth. It is clear that John wants his readers to know that death will not be the end of life but will do exactly the opposite – it will lead to life. This is also true in John 11:4, where Jesus says that the sickness of Lazarus will not end in death (although he does later die) but will bring the δούκα (or glory of God), which is later revealed as the restoration of Lazarus to life (11:25, 43–44). In the way of Jesus, death will never be the end but the beginning of something new (Jn 5:24). Why? Because the way of Jesus is, in essence, a movement of life, restoration and transformation. It is not static but always full of vibrant vitality and life in abundance (Jn 10:10 [καὶ ἐπορεύεσθαι]). Taken together with the life cycle of movements, we could argue that, when the church is in either the breakdown period or the critical period (as in the radical decline in the developed world), it might be closest to a period of new life (as in the radical growth of Christianity in the developing world). Bell (2005:172) called this the mystery of the church: ‘When she dies in one part of the world, she explodes in another’.

**DYNAMICS OF SOCIAL MOVEMENTS IN THE BODY**

If it is true that the church is in some places on the verge of the critical period, where strong tendencies of decline start to emerge (Barna 2006:29–35), the question would be how renewal movements could be activated on the one hand and, on the other hand, how deep renewal dynamics that might already be emerging could be recognised. Do we really know how to discern the characteristics of a new movement or is it only when a full-blown revival movement has already developed that we realise what has occurred? Could it be that ignorance of movements could cause the church to miss the birth of a spiritual tsunami? Snyder (1997) identified the following characteristics of typical religious movements (also see Hirsch 2006:193): A profound thirst for renewal, renewed focus on the work of the Spirit, institutional-charismatic tension, a counter-cultural concern, non-ordained, unorthodox leadership, ministry to the poor and energy and dynamism.

At first glance, we intuitively know that we have heard this before, for it sounds so familiar – and then we remember. It reminds us of the very way of Jesus, the day that he ‘healed’ or ‘restored’ the marginalised Samaritan woman, who eventually became the first missionary in John’s Gospel (Barrett 1978:204).

**BIBLICAL PERSPECTIVE**

**Missional-incarnational ethos**

A distinction is made between ethos and ethics. The term ‘ethos’ is understood as the values that we live by on the basis (motivation) of how we understand ourselves, our relationship to God and of the world and the values, rules and principles that we infer from that. The term ‘ethics’ is understood as the practical way in which we live out our ethos (Lebensstil, meaning our ‘practical lifestyle’) in a given socio-historical and cultural context (Van der Watt 2004:2–3). This refers to the moral vision and principles, the practices, the choices and the way of living of particular communities. As Christians, the basis or motivation for our being is built on the grounds of a particular understanding of God, of the world and of God’s story for the world. In John, God loves the world (3:16), which is caught up in darkness, sin and blindness (5:24; 9:41; 12:40) and in which people, in essence, become the evil children of the devil (8:44).

It is for this reason that God sends his only Son so that those who believe in him may have eternal life (2:16; 20:30–31) and become part of a new family, the children of God (4:12). In John, soteriology entails re-socialisation and entrance into a new social reality, which serves as the basis for the formulation of the ethics of believers (Kok 2008). A fundamental dialectic relationship therefore exists between ethics and ethos. Conduct is a result of identity and therefore ethos is always a result of ethics, rooted in a particular understanding of the universal godly narrative. Ethos is, in other words, the Lebensstil or conduct of those who share a common identity. The understanding of ethics and ethos is a dynamic social process due to its realisation within a specific socio-historical context.

**BASE OF OUR ETHICS AND ETHOS**

Something of the reality of our world resonates with John’s world-view in the sense that he recognises the reality of brokenness, destruction and disconnection of this world. In John’s theology, the world is ruled by the διάκονος τοῦ κόσμου, the prince of this world (12:31). This prince is the father of all evil, a murderer from the beginning, one who steals and destroys and takes away life in abundance; he has been the father of destruction, lies and murder since the dawn of time (8:44). In this he is not

---

4. Peters (1997:68) argued that some organisms are not made to adapt beyond a certain point and that, beyond that point, death is the only thing that brings new life.
alone, for he has many children, who embody the lifestyle of their father (8:44), who are agents of the same destruction, and, in them, no true love for God or the children of God is to be found (5:42). Most people on earth are fundamentally caught up in a life situation of crisis and disorientation, for they are spiritually dead (5:24), fundamentally lost (3:16), in desperate need of the Life that they have lost or that they never really had. They are not only spiritually dead but also spiritually sick, for they are blind (9:41; 12:40), in desperate need of healing and restoration. The incarnation and mission of the Son are therefore seen against the background of this broken reality (Kok 2008).

John’s view of reality corresponds with our view of reality. We do not have to look far to see the destruction when we look into the eyes of women and children who have been abused by men, to see the victims of genocide, of war, of poverty, of individuals in brokenness, desperately in need of healing and restoration.

John’s Jesus incarnated into this world as God’s agent to restore Life, to bring light, truth, faith, hope, restoration and reconciliation, to heal those who are spiritually blind (9:41; 12:40), to restore Life in abundance (10:10) and to reveal truth (1:18). After his death and his resurrection – the culminating restorative act – he breathed over the disciples and they received the Spirit (20:22), becoming a new creation equipped to be empowered agents and called to embody the same mission as the Son (Kok 2008).

We are fundamentally called to become agents of healing and restoration in the world (Weltoffenheit), to live the way of Jesus (Gottoffenheit – Pannenberg 1976:5–13). In today’s day and age, we need to regain a missional-incarnational ethos with a heart for healing and for the restoration of a world caught up in brokenness and loss of true Life. Looking through a new lens at the well-known story of the way in which Jesus restored the Samaritan woman, we may gain new insight in becoming such agents of healing and restoration.

Samaritans: A story of crisis, disorientation and socio-religious brokenness

According to John, the Pharisees had heard that Jesus was making and baptising more followers than he was (4:1–2). Jesus knew that the Pharisees had heard about him and therefore left the unsafe Judea and went back to Galilee (4:3). On his way there, he had to go through Samaria and entered a town called Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his sonJoseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tired and thirsty from Sychar, which was near the field that Jacob had given his son Joseph (4:5), and Jacob’s well (4:6). Being tire...
Jesus as transformation agent offers the gift of Life (4:7–18)

Through the eyes of the Jews, the Samaritans were in a marginalised socio-religious position, disconnected from the heart of the temple and therefore from the heart and presence of God. According to the Jews, the Samaritans are not part of God’s chosen people, and of God’s saving light and Life. (Ecclesiasticus 50:25 and 26 depicts God as saying: ‘With two nations is my soul vexed, and the third is none; they that sit upon the mountain of Samaria, and the Philistines, and that foolish people that dwell in Sichem.’) They have lost life and are therefore a damned nation. This entails a socio-religious crisis, which results in a disorientation life situation relative to the purity maps of the Jews. In this situation of crisis and disorientation, Jesus, as transformation agent, appears right in the middle between the Jews and the marginalised Samaritans, breaking down the barriers that have separated them for many centuries. It is clear that a certain dynamic interaction takes place: Jesus initiates the interaction with the unclean Samaritan woman (4:7) at the well, asking her for something to drink (Barrett 1978:195). In doing so, Jesus, socio-religiously speaking, moves beyond the status quo of the day (Malina & Rohrbaugh 1992:98–99). The specific character of the social interaction that Jesus initiates has the aim or effect of creating a symbolic bridge to relate to the Samaritan woman meaningfully on a social level. Jesus therefore creates the possibility of open communication between himself and the woman, the possibility of exchanging or sharing ideas.11

The Jews built and maintained the symbolic socio-religious walls of separation and exclusivity, whereas Jesus became a bridge-builder, breaking down the barriers and particularising an incarnational way of relating to those who were marginalised and seen as outcasts, all because of his mission, his calling (Jn 3:16) [which is ultimately also our mission (Jn 20:21 – καθὼς ἀπέσταλκεν με ὁ πατήρ, καγὼ πέμπω ὑμᾶς). In John’s Gospel, faithful faith entails not only acceptance of Jesus as the Son of God but also existentially influencing and determining one’s deeds (Van der Watt 2004:121). Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension. In John, Jesus becomes the new centre. He replaces or is superior to most of the cultic or historic symbols in Israel’s faith. See John 6, where Jesus is said to be the bread of God (Jn 6:35 – where is the source of true life, of living water. For a second time, however, the woman interprets Jesus’s words in a non-spiritual way, showing her lack of understanding. At this stage of the social dynamics of this conversation, one might wonder whether Jesus is going to stand up and leave the woman at this point of disbelief or whether he is going to ‘heal’ her spiritual blindness (Jn 9:40–41; 12:41) by illustrating his divine origin and by performing a ‘sign’ in a transformation interaction. The implicit reader is left in narratological tension.15

Suddenly, the level of the social interaction is transposed to the next level of abstraction – the level of revelation. Jesus utters a soul penetrating, revelatory word in 4:16: ὑπεκάνειν φῶνον τὸν ἄνδρα σου καὶ ἐλθέ ἐνθάδε. This eventually results in one of the earliest confessions in John’s Gospel (4:42). Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension. Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension.

It is at this point in the movement of the narrative that Jesus shares the true spiritual reality with the Samaritan woman and offers her the gift (τὴν διαρκήν) of redeeming life (4:10 – ὅπως ἰδεῖς τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ θεοῦ and one is not able to see the Kingdom of God (3:3 – σαίνεις, ὡς ἴδῃς τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ θεοῦ). This entails a socio-religious crisis, which results in a disorientation life situation relative to the purity maps of the Jews. In this situation of crisis and disorientation, Jesus, as transformation agent, appears right in the middle between the Jews and the marginalised Samaritans, breaking down the barriers that have separated them for many centuries. It is clear that a certain dynamic interaction takes place: Jesus initiates the interaction with the unclean Samaritan woman (4:7) at the well, asking her for something to drink (Barrett 1978:195). In doing so, Jesus, socio-religiously speaking, moves beyond the status quo of the day (Malina & Rohrbaugh 1992:98–99). The specific character of the social interaction that Jesus initiates has the aim or effect of creating a symbolic bridge to relate to the Samaritan woman meaningfully on a social level. Jesus therefore creates the possibility of open communication between himself and the woman, the possibility of exchanging or sharing ideas.11

The Jews built and maintained the symbolic socio-religious walls of separation and exclusivity, whereas Jesus became a bridge-builder, breaking down the barriers and particularising an incarnational way of relating to those who were marginalised and seen as outcasts, all because of his mission, his calling (Jn 3:16) [which is ultimately also our mission (Jn 20:21 – καθὼς ἀπέσταλκεν με ὁ πατήρ, καγὼ πέμπω ὑμᾶς). In John’s Gospel, faithful faith entails not only acceptance of Jesus as the Son of God but also existentially influencing and determining one’s deeds (Van der Watt 2004:121). Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension. In John, Jesus becomes the new centre. He replaces or is superior to most of the cultic or historic symbols in Israel’s faith. See John 6, where Jesus is said to be the bread of God (Jn 6:35 – where is the source of true life, of living water. For a second time, however, the woman interprets Jesus’s words in a non-spiritual way, showing her lack of understanding. At this stage of the social dynamics of this conversation, one might wonder whether Jesus is going to stand up and leave the woman at this point of disbelief or whether he is going to ‘heal’ her spiritual blindness (Jn 9:40–41; 12:41) by illustrating his divine origin and by performing a ‘sign’ in a transformation interaction. The implicit reader is left in narratological tension.15

Suddenly, the level of the social interaction is transposed to the next level of abstraction – the level of revelation. Jesus utters a soul penetrating, revelatory word in 4:16: ὑπεκάνειν φῶνον τὸν ἄνδρα σου καὶ ἐλθέ ἐνθάδε. This eventually results in one of the earliest confessions in John’s Gospel (4:42). It is clear that the Samaritan woman experiences these revelatory words as a sign (4:29, 39) that Jesus is not a mere man but that he is the awaited prophet (Jn 1:21). Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension. In John, Jesus becomes the new centre. He replaces or is superior to most of the cultic or historic symbols in Israel’s faith. See John 6, where Jesus is said to be the bread of God (Jn 6:35 – where is the source of true life, of living water. For a second time, however, the woman interprets Jesus’s words in a non-spiritual way, showing her lack of understanding. At this stage of the social dynamics of this conversation, one might wonder whether Jesus is going to stand up and leave the woman at this point of disbelief or whether he is going to ‘heal’ her spiritual blindness (Jn 9:40–41; 12:41) by illustrating his divine origin and by performing a ‘sign’ in a transformation interaction. The implicit reader is left in narratological tension.15

In John’s Gospel, faithful faith entails not only acceptance of Jesus as the Son of God but also existentially influencing and determining one’s deeds (Van der Watt 2004:121). Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension. In John, Jesus becomes the new centre. He replaces or is superior to most of the cultic or historic symbols in Israel’s faith. See John 6, where Jesus is said to be the bread of God (Jn 6:35 – where is the source of true life, of living water. For a second time, however, the woman interprets Jesus’s words in a non-spiritual way, showing her lack of understanding. At this stage of the social dynamics of this conversation, one might wonder whether Jesus is going to stand up and leave the woman at this point of disbelief or whether he is going to ‘heal’ her spiritual blindness (Jn 9:40–41; 12:41) by illustrating his divine origin and by performing a ‘sign’ in a transformation interaction. The implicit reader is left in narratological tension.15

In Isaiah 55:1–5, it is promised that the thirsty will drink all spiritual thirst (a metaphor for the spirit – Jn 7:37–39), something that only God can do.

Revelation and transformational ethos

Still the woman shows a complete lack of understanding (Barrett 1978:196) – she interprets the words of Jesus in a non-spiritual way, whereas Jesus becomes a transformation agent, appears right in the middle between the Jews and the marginalised Samaritans, breaking down the barriers that have separated them for many centuries. It is clear that a certain dynamic interaction takes place: Jesus initiates the interaction with the unclean Samaritan woman (4:7) at the well, asking her for something to drink (Barrett 1978:195). In doing so, Jesus, socio-religiously speaking, moves beyond the status quo of the day (Malina & Rohrbaugh 1992:98–99). The specific character of the social interaction that Jesus initiates has the aim or effect of creating a symbolic bridge to relate to the Samaritan woman meaningfully on a social level. Jesus therefore creates the possibility of open communication between himself and the woman, the possibility of exchanging or sharing ideas.11

The Jews built and maintained the symbolic socio-religious walls of separation and exclusivity, whereas Jesus became a bridge-builder, breaking down the barriers and particularising an incarnation way of relating to those who were marginalised and seen as outcasts, all because of his mission, his calling (Jn 3:16) [which is ultimately also our mission (Jn 20:21 – καθὼς ἀπέσταλκεν με ὁ πατήρ, καγὼ πέμπω ὑμᾶς). In John’s Gospel, faithful faith entails not only acceptance of Jesus as the Son of God but also existentially influencing and determining one’s deeds (Van der Watt 2004:121). Faith in John therefore implies an implicit ethical dimension. In John, Jesus becomes the new centre. He replaces or is superior to most of the cultic or historic symbols in Israel’s faith. See John 6, where Jesus is said to be the bread of God (Jn 6:35 – where is the source of true life, of living water. For a second time, however, the woman interprets Jesus’s words in a non-spiritual way, showing her lack of understanding. At this stage of the social dynamics of this conversation, one might wonder whether Jesus is going to stand up and leave the woman at this point of disbelief or whether he is going to ‘heal’ her spiritual blindness (Jn 9:40–41; 12:41) by illustrating his divine origin and by performing a ‘sign’ in a transformation interaction. The implicit reader is left in narratological tension.15

In Isaiah 55:1–5, it is promised that the thirsty (a metaphor for the spirit – Jn 7:37–39), something that only God can do.
restore true worship of God, elements that are explicitly referred to in this particular text (MacDonald 1964:362–363). Morris (1975:266) remarks: ‘For the speaks of Jesus as a prophet was thus to move into the area of messianic speculation.’

What Jesus does is to open the woman’s spiritual eyes. Suddenly, she can see something new, something unexpected, to such a degree that she later relates the coming of the Messiah with that which she experienced in her interaction with Jesus (4:25 – 5:29; see also Moelwil, 2011:12; οἱ δὲ λόγοι τοῦ Χριστοῦ ἦσαν άλλον Οὐράνιον, διάνοιγμα ἡμῶν ἀπαντα). Jesus immediately replies – ἐγὼ εἰμί, ὁ λάλων σοι (4:26) – and reveals his true identity to her.

Multiplication/Mission/Invitational Ethos

In the next scene, the narrator introduces the disciples (4:27), almost interrupting the conversation. The woman energetically leaves the scene and goes into the city (4:28), where she gives testimony (4:29 – μάτι αὐτοῦ ἔτεινεν οἱ χριστοῖς; 4:39 – μαρτυροῦσα) of the transformational interaction that she has experienced (4:28, 39), this in a world where women never do such things, especially women with a notorious character. The result of this testimony is that this not only is a sign to the Samaritan woman but also becomes a personal testimony that eventually convinces others of Jesus’s true identity (4:39; Culpepper 1983:91; Morris 1975:283). The Samaritan woman first sees a Jew, then a prophet and, finally, the Messiah (Wengst 2000:172). In this narrative, there are, in other words, progressive degrees of development to the extent that they culminate in a true Johannine confession, becoming a witness to Jesus’s true identity – the first missionary to the Samaritans, spreading the good news of the Christ’s coming to the world. Wengst (2000:184) was therefore correct in postulating that ‘Das Zeugnis der Frau weckt also Glauben, und es entsteht Gemeinde als neue Sozialität’. This is seen in 4:39:

τὴν σὴν λαλιὰν πιστεύομεν ἐπὶ διὰ τὸν λόγον τῆς γυναικὸς μαρτυρούσης

It is clear that the Samaritans come to faith in Jesus (πολλοί ἔπιστευσαν εἰς αὐτόν) on account of (διὰ) the woman’s testimony (τῶν λόγων τῆς γυναικὸς μαρτυρεῖσθαι), qualified as the transformational interaction that she experienced with Jesus, who revealed truth in her life (Culpepper 1993:91; Wengst 2000:184), something that the Ta’beh does (Macdonald 1964).²⁰ The faith of this woman reminds the reader of salvific faith. In John’s Gospel, salvific faith (pisteo and its 98 occurrences) not only entails acceptance of Jesus as the Son of God but also existentially influences and determines one’s deeds (Van der Watt 2004:121).

The woman and the Samaritans (4:42) eventually come to true faith in the source of living water. In John, those who believe in him (4:41 – ἔδωκεν τῇ γυναικί τὸν λόγον τῆς ζωῆς) come into eternal life (5:24), those who hear his word and believe him who sent him (4:9, 25, 37, 42). Later, in their interaction with Jesus, even more Samaritan people experience Jesus’s words and confess that they also believe in him (4:41 – ἀπεκρίθη ἡ γυνὴ ἦν τῆς ζωῆς Ἀμαρίας καὶ λέγει Ἰησοῦς Ζωῆς ἡ Σαμάριτα). Even more people experience Jesus’s words and confess that they also believe in him (4:41 – ἀπεκρίθη ἡ γυνὴ ἦν τῆς ζωῆς Ἀμαρίας καὶ λέγει Ἰησοῦς Ζωῆς ἡ Σαμάριτα).

In his discussion of the dynamics of movements, Hirsch (2006:194) remarked that ‘new missional movements almost always begin on the edges of society and among the common people’. They are nonelitist. And they have the ability to excite and enlist others as leaders and participants. This is in synchronisation with those scholars who argue that the main stimulus for the renewal of Christianity more often than not comes from the bottom and from the edge, from exactly those sectors of the Christian world that are on the margins of society (Hirsch 2006:194). In the narrative of Jesus and the Samaritan woman, it becomes clear that the unclean, marginalised Samaritan woman becomes the first missionary to the unclean, common Samaritans and that the stimulus for this renewal comes from the bottom and from the edge, from the margins of society.²²

From the investigation above, it becomes clear that it is Jesus’s missional-incarnational ethos that culminates not only in the reality of transformation and restoration but also in the activation of the dynamics of a movement. In other words, Jesus’s presence gives birth to a spiritual movement and to lives that are transformed, leading to transformed and restored people who move and invite others to join them. A missional-incarnational spirituality and ethos result in the bringing of the presence of Christ into marginalised places or spaces where such presence is not recognised. This leads to revelation (when people’s spiritual eyes are opened) and restoration, which then leads to a dynamic missional movement when people share the transformational wonder that they experience and invite others to participate. Plainly said: Jesus’s presence reaches out, builds bridges, invites, restores, heals, transforms and moves people to missional action. This is as close to the dynamics of a movement ethos as one can get.

The building of a vibrant community life where real sharing, mutuality, justice, service and solidarity take place is therefore of
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Our leadership thinking has to move from being hierarchical leadership (Frost 2006:186). We should furthermore realise that secular work in every sphere of life – in music, art, business etc. – are called, not only the clergy. All God's children, in sacred, between those who are ordained and called for special duty and those believers who are not. Christology must define all that we do and say. It also means that in order to recover the ethos of authentic Christianity, we need to refocus our attention back to the Root of it all, to recalculate ourselves and our organizations around the person and work of Jesus the Lord. It will mean taking the Gospels seriously as the primary texts that define us. It will mean acting like Jesus in relation to people outside of the faith. (Hirsch 2006:94)

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The challenge of the Church today: Ecclesiology, spirituality, leadership

The challenge of the church today, in its attempt to activate the dynamics of movement, exists at three levels: ecclesiological, spiritual and leadership (Frost & Hirsch 2003; also see Keel 2007:25).

Ecclesiologically, we have to be incarnational instead of attractive. Jesus's incarnation ethos results in the bringing of the presence of God into marginalised places or spaces where such presence is usually believed not to be found. Hirsch (2006:133) called it the relative anonymity of incarnational ways of engaging in mission. Jews fled from the space where Jesus had interaction with the Samaritan woman; Jesus, however, reached out to the unequal, marginalised Samaritan woman in an incarnational way, bringing the reality and presence of living water, the sphere of light into a space that was seen as dark and unclean by the religious establishment of the day. To be incarnational, we have to cross boundaries, break down social-constructionistic symbolic fences and barriers, and build bridges that create the possibility of open communication and interaction. Such a church is missional by intent, where daily life is seen as an expression of the sent-ness by God into this world (Frost 2006:151). We have to plant the seeds of the Kingdom of God in places where life happens and where society is formed (Cole 2005:xxvi). A missional-incarnational ecclesiology more often than not leads to being church in the world, mostly in marginalised, unsafe, untamed spaces.

Spiritually: From dualistic to holistic: Jesus illustrates that his spirituality is not dualistic but holistic – all space is God's space. He illustrates that God's Kavod (presence and weight) is present not only in the so-called holy spaces but also in the so-called unholy spaces, in the midst of the lame (Jn 5:1–9), the blind (Jn 9), the lepers (MK 1:40–45), the sinners and the outcasts (MK 15:1). As the church, we should realise that the whole world is God’s world, that there should be no distinction between secular and sacred, between those who are ordained and called for special duty and those believers who are not. All God's children, in every sphere of life – in music, art, business etc. – are called, not only the clergy. We should furthermore realise that secular work is also sacred (Niemandt 2007:72–73), that we should integrate the sacred and the secular where the everyday is redeemed (Frost 2006:186).

Our leadership thinking has to move from being hierarchical to being egalitarian: In Jewish thought, the map of persons and places corresponded: holy people were found in holy places and unholy people were found in unholy places and kept far from so-called holy places (Neyrey 1991). The Jews had an established hierarchical leadership structure that was inherently embedded in a sectae structure (Burger 1995:28). The Jewish movement, however, was, in essence, an egalitarian communitas structure. Here, we are called to serve another in love, following the way of Jesus (Frost 2006:111–115) (Jn 13:1–17). Jesus recruited the common fishermen of his day, those who, according to Bell (2005:132), did not make the cut, mostly those who were on the margins of society – those whose being he radically restored. Hirsch (2006:167) called this leadership and followship based on common and shared meaning and purpose, where the work is described and not prescribed (Cole 2005:134). It is a flat structure, with authority distributed to each participant in God’s mission (Cole 2005:135).

Let us therefore remind ourselves that the main stimulus for the renewal of Christianity, that the dynamics of movements, more often than not comes from the bottom and from the edge, from exactly those sectors of the Christian world that are on the margins of society (Bell 2005:132; Hirsch 2006:194). Let us (re)discover our missional-incarnational ethos by living the way of Jesus and let us become highly responsive to the deep spiritual thirst in the world (Hirsch 2006:197–198). The way of Jesus fundamentally calls us to become missional-incarnational agents of healing and restoration, bringing light where there is darkness, life where there is death, meaning where all meaning is lost, hope where there is no hope (Campbell 2005:183). In the process, this might illustrate something of God’s impossibilities that will shatter every contained view of reality (Brueggemann 1995:xvii), leaving room for the passion for the possible and the paradigm of wonder, reminding us that all social change starts with the construction of alternative stories of what might indeed become possible – an alternative reality filled with hope, expectation and possibility (Ezk 37:1–14; Is 44:3–4). It is often in the context of loss, brokenness, crisis and discontinuity that the need for alternative narratives is born and in which we can make a radical missional and restorative impact in the world, for the Gospel is, in essence, a message of healing, restoration and reconciliation (Mt 10; Lk 7:22; also see Jn 12:40; Jr 6:10 etc.; also see Kok 2008). Against the background of brokenness, the world, as we know it, is desperately in need of healing, restoration and reconciliation, calling the church to embark on a missional-incarnational journey of healing, restoration and reconciliation.
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