

ISSN: 2141- 4297 (PRINT) ISSN: 2360 - 994X (E-VERSION)

Information Impact

VOL 6 (3) Dec 2015

Analysis of Organic Farming Practices amongst Crop Farmers in Delta state, Nigeria

Charity N. Atoma

Department of Agricultural Extension and Management,

Delta State Polytechnic Ozoro, Nigeria

nwacharity1211@gmail.com

and

Johnson O. Atoma Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Delta State Polytechnic, Ozoro

Abstract

The past decade has been characterized by public concern over nutrition, health and food safety issues. Consumers perceive high risk associated with the consumption of conventionally grown produce. Organic farming is beneficial because it is a source of healthy food and healthy living. The United Nations regards organic agriculture as an effective strategy for mitigating climate change and building robust soils. This study assessed use, challenges and strategies for improving organic farming practices. Delta State was selected from the six states that make up south south Nigeria. Multistage sampling technique was used to select one hundred and twenty farmers from the list of registered crop farmers with the Delta State Agricultural Development Programme. Only three organic farming practices are being used – animal manure, tillage, and organic fertilizer. Out of the twenty-one constraints outlined in the study, sixteen were acknowledged as constraints with mean scores of 2.50 and above. Five were considered as no constraints with mean scores below 2.50. Strategies for improving organic farming practices include but not limited to sensitization of consumers on the benefit of organic foods, the creation of a market for organic produce, etc.

Keywords: crop farmers, organic farming, health, organic produce, Nigeria

Introduction

Organic farming is an agricultural technique of naturally producing quality crops, vegetables or animals without harming the environment, the people, the animals as well as other microorganisms that are living around (Orji, 2013). The farming practice is eco-friendly and works in agreement with nature. It does not necessarily imply going back to the traditional methods of farming. In organic farming, best traditional farming practices and techniques in combination with modern knowledge of science and technology are applied. Organic Farming emphasizes the use of renewable natural resources and their recycling (Emsley, 2001). It eliminates the use of synthetic pesticides, growth hormones, antibiotics and gene manipulation in farming. Lampkin (1990), said that organic farming systems rely on crop rotation, crop residues, animal manures, legumes, green manures, off-farm organic waste and aspects of biological pest control to maintain soil productivity and tilt, to supply plant nutrients and to control insects, weeds and other pest According to Smil (2001), some inorganic fertilizers used to increase crop yield are leached or washed away by erosion to nearby rivers causing water pollution which is dangerous to aquatic life and human health. The synthetic fertilizers and other agrochemicals that are used in non-organic farming are manufactured using resources such as fossil fuels which are not renewable and using such resources may cause pollution and contribute to environmental degradation, thus making such agricultural practices unsustainable.

The goal of organic farming practices is a sustainable production of quality food with little or no effect on the environment. This goal cannot be achieved by the conventional farming. There is the need to encourage organic farming which is capable of providing solution to the current agricultural problems and help to achieve optimal production of quality food sustainably (IFOAM, 2005). Environmental degradation, climate change, and dangers associated with the continuous practice of inorganic farming posses a global challenge. As a result of this, there is the need to find out the Organic Farming Practices amongst crop farmers in the study area, constraints to using organic farming practices and strategies for improvement.

Methodology

The study was carried out using social survey design. The study was conducted in Delta state, Nigeria. Delta state is one of the six states that make up South-south Nigeria. The state is divided into three Agricultural zones- Delta North, Delta South and Delta Central. The study population is crop farmers in Delta state. Multistage random sampling technique was used. Delta Central Zone was randomly selected

from the three zones. Three blocks were further sampled from the ten (10) blocks of Agricultural Development Programme. The three blocks selected are Ethiope East, Isoko South and Ughelli North. Three cells were further sampled from each block to give a total of nine (9) cells. Nine crop farmers from each cell were sampled from the list of crop farmers provided by the zonal manager. Therefore a total of Eighty one (81) crop farmers were used. Data for the study was collected using a structured interview on a 4-point Likert type scale and analysis done using frequency, percentage and mean score

Findings and discussion

regularly an ! Crop Rotation 32(26.67) 32(26.67) 18(15) 38(31.67) 2.4 Non-use 2 Croop Manuna 9(7.50) 20(25.00) 28(22.22) 52 (.44.17) 1.0 Non-use	se se se
! Crop Rotation 32(26.67) 32(26.67) 18(15) 38(31.67) 2.4 Non-use 2 Croop Manuar 9(7.50) 20(25.00) 28(22.22) 52 (.44.17) 1.0 Non-use	se se
8	se se
-2 Croop Monumo $0(7.50)$ $20(25.00)$ $20(27.22)$ $52(11.17)$ 1.0 Non use	se se
2 Green Manure $9(7.50)$ $50(25.00)$ $28(25.55)$ $55(44.17)$ 1.9 Non-use	se
6 0 07(00,50) 04(00,00) (1 (50,00) 1 0 N	se
3 Cover Crops $8(6.67)$ $27(22.50)$ $24(20.00)$ 61 (50.83) 1.8 Non-use	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
4 Ammar Manure $52(20.07) - 50(40.07) - 2(1.07) - 50(-25.00) - 2.7$ use	
5 Compositing $13(10.83)$ $38(31.67)$ $13(10.83)$ $56(.46.67)$ 2.0 Non-us	2e
7	
6 Intercropping 23(19.17) 32(26.67) 6(5.00) 59 (49.17) 2.1 Non-use	se
6	
7 BioControl 13(10.83) 26(21.67) 6(5.00) 75 (62.50) 1.8 Non-use	se
1	
8 Farm Scaping 2(1.67) 8(6.67) 0(0) 110(91.67) 1.1 Non-use	se
8	
9 Mulching 14(11.67) 40(33.33) 13(10.83) 53 (44.17) 2.1 Non-use	se
3	
10Sanitation $13(10.83)$ $37(30.83)$ $11(9.17)$ $58(48.33)$ 2.0 Non-use	se
3	
11 Tillage $32(26.67)$ $40(33.33)$ $8(6.67)$ $40(33.33)$ 2.5 use	
12 Fire $11(9.17)$ $32(26.67)$ $11(9.17)$ $66(55.00)$ 1.9 Non-use	se
12 Notweel mosticide $0(0)$ 25(20,17) $9(6,67)$ 77(64,17) 1.6 Non we	~ ~
$15 \text{ Ivatural pesticide} \qquad 0(0) \qquad 55(29.17) \ 8(6.67) \qquad 77(64.17) \qquad 1.6 \text{ Ivon-use}$	se
$14 \text{Organic fartilizer} \qquad 10(15.83) 40(40.83) 26(21.67) 26(21.67) 2.6 \text{use}$	
14 Organic reminizer 17(15.05) 49(40.05) 20(21.07) 20(21.07) 2.0 USC	
32(26.67) 41(34.17) 10(8.33) 50(41.67) 2.4 Non-use	se
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	,0

Table 1 Distribution of Farmers Level of use of Organic Farming Practices

mean score <2.50 = non use, mean score $\geq 2.50 =$ use. Values in parenthesis stand for percentages

Findings from the study as shown in table 1 above reveal that farmers are only engaged in the use of animal manure with a mean score of 2.75, tillage with a mean score of 2.54 and organic fertilizer with a mean score of 2.64.

Constraints to using Organic Farming Practices

Table 2 shows constraints to using organic farming practices by the respondents

Table 2 Distribution showing Constraints to using Organic Farming Practices

S/N	Constraints	Strongly	Agree	Disagree	Strongly	Mean	Decision
		agree			uisagiee		
1	Organic farming is time- consuming	46(38.33)	59(49.17)	15(12.5)	0(0)	3.26	Accepted
2	Transportation of organic materials for use is difficult	41(34.17)	68(56.67)	9(7.50)	2(0.67)	3.31	Accepted
3	Not enough technical know-how	43(35.83)	52(43.33)	25(20.83)	0(0)	3.15	Accepted
4	Lack of effective training by extension agents	27(22.5)	32(26.67)	31(25.83)	30(25)	2.47	Rejected
5	Inadequate information	58(48.33)	54(45.0)	5(4.17)	3(2.50)	3.39	Accepted
6	Consumers are yet to appreciate the difference between the produce of the two farming system	22(18.33)	29(24.17)	35(29.17)	34(28.33)	2.33	Rejected
7	More labour intensive when compared to the use of chemicals/mechanical farming	48(40.00)	52(43.33)	14(11.67)	6(5.00)	3.27	Accepted
8	Unavailability of organic inputs	65(54.17)	42(35)	8(6.67)	5(4.17)	3.39	Accepted
9	No encouragement from government	86(71.67)	26(21.67)	5(4.17)	3(2.5)	3.63	Accepted
10	It is not appreciated, therefore no benefit	13(10.83)	12(10)	48(40)	47(39.17)	1.93	Rejected
11	No access to organic fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides	60(50.00)	24(20)	25(20.83)	11(9.17)	3.11	Accepted

12	Lack of Awareness	50(41.67)	33(27.5)	32(26.67)	5(4.17)	3.07	Accepted
13	Output Marketing	19(15.83)	32(26.67)	32(26.67)	37(30.83)	2.28	Rejected
	Problem						
14	Shortage of Biomass	46(38.33)	35(29.17)	31(25.83)	8(6.67)	2.99	Accepted
15	High Input Cost	21(17.7)	34(23.33)	28(23.33)	37(30.83)	2.33	Rejected
16	Nonavailability of farm	60(50.00)	32(26.67)	18(15)	10(8.33)	3.18	Accepted
	inputs						
17	Lack of appropriate	84(70.00)	25(20.83)	11(9.17)	0	3.61	Accepted
	Agric Policy						
18	Lack of financial support	87(72.5)	28(23.33)	4(3.33)	1(0.83)	3.68	Accepted
19	Low production	36(30.00)	20(16.67)	34(28.33)	30(25)	2.52	Accepted
20	Lack of quality standard	63(52.50)	37(30.83)	15(12.5)	5(4.17)	3.32	Accepted
	for Bio inputs						
21	Political and Social	57(47.5)	30(25)	29(24.17)	4(3.33)	3.17	Accepted
	factors						
mean	score < 2.50 is	rejected;	mean	score \geq	2.50	is	accepted.

Sixteen out of the twenty-one constraints outlined above were accepted with mean scores of 2.50 and above, while five were rejected since their mean scores were below 2.50 and therefore considered not to be constraints to using organic farming practices

Suggestions for the improvement of organic farming practices in the study area.

S/N	STATEMENT	Strongly	Agree	Disagree	Strongly	Mean	Decision
		agree			disagree		
1	Ministry of agriculture	67(55.8)	51(42.5)	2(1.7)	0	3.54	Accepted
	and extension services						
	should be more						
	functional in sourcing						
	and make information						
	on organic farming						
	available to farmers						
2	There should be	58(48.3)	62(51.7)	-		3.48	Accepted

Table 3 distribution showing suggestions for the improvement of organic farming

	campaign and						
	sensitization of						
	farmers and the entire						
	populace on the						
	benefits of organic						
	farming/foods						
3	Adequate	59(49.2)	61(50.8)			3.49	Accepted
	enlightenment						
	program should be						
	mounted on organic						
	farming practices so						
	that farmers can adopt						
4	Research institutes	59(49.2)	60(50)	1(0.8)	_	3.48	Accepted
	should be funded						
	specifically for						
	intensive research on						
	organic farming						
5	Raising awareness on	46(38.33)	73(60.83)	1(0.8)	_	3.38	Accepted
	the severity of						
	problems of						
	conventional farming						
6	Developing necessary	56(46.7	64(53.3)		_	3.47	Accepted
	infrastructure so that						
	information on organic						
	farming practices						
	remains extensive and						
	constant in reaching						
	farmers						
7	Government should	49(40.83)	70(58.33)	1(0.84)	_	3.40	Accepted
	make legislation in						
	order to ensure a						

	regulatory framework						
	to enable all						
	stakeholders to play on						
	a level ground						
8	Development of a	48(40)	70(58.33)	2(1.7)	_	3.38	Accepted
	strong domestic						
	market to protect the						
	interest of producers						
9	Organic standards	58(48.33)	61(50.83)	1(0.84)	_	3.56	Accepted
	should be published						
	for the knowledge of						
	farmers, consumers,						
	and the general						
	populace						

Any mean score < 2.50 is rejected; mean score ≥ 2.50 is accepted. Values in parenthesis stand for percentages

Nine suggestions for improvement as shown in table 3 were upheld with mean score of 3.38 as the least

Conclusion and recommendations

The study revealed high-level awareness of organic farming practices but low-level use. Out of the sixteen constraints outlined in the study, sixteen were acknowledged as constraints since their mean scores were 2.50 and above. Five were considered as no constraints with mean scores below 2.50. Strategies for improving organic farming practices includes but not limited to sensitization of consumers on the benefit of organic foods, the creation of a market for organic produce ,adequate enlightenment programs be mounted on organic farming practices. Adequate campaign and training programs on organic farming practices for farmers are recommended.

References

- Adeoye, G.O. (2005). Organic Agriculture: A review and possible adoption for food security in Nigeria In: F.O. Olasantan, I. O. O. Aiyelaagbe, V. I. O. Olowe, B. B. Philip, and O. A. Babalola (Eds.) Organic agriculture for sustainable food security. Proceedings of the 1st National Conference on Organic Agriculture in Nigeria held at the University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, October 25-28, (221p).
- Emsley J. (2001). Going one better than nature, nature 400; 639-634.
- IFOAM, (2005). *Principles of organic agriculture preamble* 4Pp Retrieved from http://www.ifoam.org/organicfacts/principles/pdfs/IFOAM_FS_principles (pdf)
- Lampkin N.H., (1990). Organic Farming; Agriculture with a future. Ipswich. Farm press book.
- Orji, S.C. (2013). *How to solve Nigeria's Problem of food through organic farming*. Retrieved from http://www.nigeriasinamerica.com/article/ 6000/1/how-to-solve-Nigeria-problem-of-food-through-organic farming/page i html.

Smil, V. (2001). *Enriching the earth: Fritz Haber, cartosch, and the transformation of world food,* Boston: MIT Press.