IJAAAR 8 (1): 31-38, 2012 International Journal of Applied Agricultural and Apicultural Research 31 © Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, LAUTECH, Ogbomoso, Nigeria, 2012

Relative Importance of External Quality Attributes of Shell Eggs: A Consumer Preference Approach

Jibir, *M., Garba S. and Ribah, ¹M. I.

Department of Animal Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, PMB 2346, Sokoto, Nigeria. ¹Department of Animal Science, Kebbi State University of Science and Technology, Aliero, Kebbi State. *emjibir@vahoo.com

Abstract

Three Focus Group Discussions (FGD) and questionnaires (n= 120) from two sensory evaluation sessions were used to identify, rank and compare external quality attributes of shell eggs for their relative importance. Data collected were described and analysed inferentially. The identified attributes were also matched to consumer quality expectations. Quality attributes identified in order of importance were size, cleanliness, colour, uniformity and texture with respective scores of 56, 47, 24, 14 and 8. An inferential comparison of the attributes however, showed that size and cleanliness were rated higher (P<0.05) than colour, uniformity and texture, with no significant (P>0.05) sub-class differences. Respondents expected large eggs to have more edible material; clean shells to signify freshness and safety, while dark coloured eggs were expected to be more nutritious and to have stronger shells. It is suggested that these attributes could form a basis for the development of a grading system in Nigeria. Also egg retailers could gain some competitive advantage by paying attention to the attributes identified, especially those of higher relative importance like large size and shell cleanliness.

Keywords: Quality attributes, Quality expectations, Focus group discussion, Sensory evaluation

Introduction

In Nigeria eggs are sold unsorted and ungraded (Chukwuka et al., 2011). The need therefore arises to develop a grading system for the common benefit of producers, consumers and other actors involved in the industry. Since quality is central to grading and classification systems of shell eggs (Gerber, 2011) and is its most important price contributing factor (Chukwuka et al. (2011), it is therefore necessary in developing a grading system for eggs, to explore the idea of egg quality extant in that community. Quality according to Hutchings (2002) is that which directs food selection and it is governed by the

total appearance of the product and the utility expectations derivable from its utilization.

A huge proportion of eggs in Nigeria are sold in their shell while and since the consumer's first impression of any egg purchased is based on their perception of shell quality (Okoli and Udedibie, 2000), understanding consumer attitude to quality attributes will be of great value.

Previous studies on egg quality in Nigeria were mainly related to storage (Okoli and Udedibie, 2000; Okoli and Udedibie,2001; Okeudo *et al.*, 2003), bird nutrition (Anyanwu *et al.*, 2008; Garba *et al.*, 2010) or Strain comparison (Ojedapo *et* al., 2009; Jibir et al., 2010). Only few like Uwagboe et al. (2009) and Mako et al. (2009) relate to consumers and their preferences, even those were limited by firstly being surveys employing descriptive tools. Secondly, they are concerned with predetermined quality attributes, which according to Blijlevens et al. (2009) do not often correspond to consumer's perception, but rather to what the researchers deem as important. It will thus be of great value to know how consumers perceive quality attributes of shell eggs so that they can be classified in order of importance (Hernandez, 2007).

The present study was intended to remove some of the limitations of previous studies in Nigeria by firstly identifying egg quality attributes from the consumer's perspective, comparing their relative merits and determining consumer expectations on these attributes. Secondly the study adopted psycho-physical testing with actual eggs instead of investigating in the abstract. Additionally whole crates (the conventional package in which eggs are marketed in Nigeria) were used as units of reference. The objectives of the research were to: identify external egg quality attributes, compare them for relative merit and determine consumer quality expectations.

Materials and Methods Source of data

Data for the present study was generated from 120 sensory evaluation questionnaires, FGDs and notes from the preliminary session of the sensory evaluation (language development session), where group discussions with respondents were held to determine and agree on attributes and their descriptive terms. The sensory evaluation was a two session preference test in which subjects were asked to comment on their preference for crated eggs. Attributes were identified from the comments section of the sensory evaluation questionnaires and validated by the FGDs and the two language development sessions of the sensory evaluation. Quantitative data was sourced from the questionnaires. The respondents were 60 undergraduate students of agriculture, between the ages of 25- 30 years.

Data extraction

Quality attributes were identified by recording incidences of their occurrence in the respondents' comments. Attributes were tabulated according to their types namely; search, experience and credence. Search attributes are attributes that can be evaluated by the consumer at the point of purchase and prior to consumption. Experience characteristics are those that can only be established after experiencing the product, while credence attributes are those that the consumer may value but cannot discern when purchasing a product or even after normal use. They are thus a matter of credibility and trust. All experience and credence attributes were excluded from the model so that only search attributes, with objective and clearly identifiable properties were used. In this way five attributes namely; size, cleanliness, colour, uniformity and texture were selected based on their frequencies of occurrence.

The frequency with which each of the five selected attributes turn up in the subjects' comments was used to rank them. The attributes were then allocated ordinal scores based on the sequence by which they appear in each subject's comment. The order of mention was assumed to be the relative importance a subject attaches to the attributes. Adopting a modified choice theory, a score of '5' was allocated to an attribute if it is the only one or the first of two or more characteristic mentioned by a subject, '4' was allocated if an attribute was the second to be mentioned, '3' if it was the third, '2' if it was the fourth, and '1' if it was the last or if the attribute was not mentioned at all.

Data analyses

Identified attributes were tabulated and ranked according to their frequency of occurrence in the subjects' comments. Comments on quality expectations were simply matched to their respective attributes. Relative importance of attributes was determined by treating attribute scores as ordinal data and comparing them using the GLM univariate procedure, with significant means separated using Games-Howell test, having obtained a significant Levene statistic. All data were analyzed using SPSS, (2007).

Results and Discussion Attribute identification

Attributes identified bv the frequencies with which they occur, ranks and their respective scores are shown in Table 1. Quality characteristics preferred in order of importance were: Large individual egg size, shell cleanliness, dark coloured shell, uniformity in tray, and shell smoothness, with respective scores of 56, 47, 24, 14 and 8. Quantitatively, however size and cleanliness as a group were rated higher (P<0.05) than colour, uniformity and texture, with no significant within- group differences.

Table 1: Egg quality attributes identified according to their frequency, ranks and quantitative scores.

| Attribute | Preferred Characteristic | Frequency | Rank | Score |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Size | Large | 56 | 1 st | 2.99ª |
| Shell cleanliness | Clean | 47 | 2 nd | 3.20 ^a |
| Shell colour | Dark brown | 24 | 3 rd | 1.74 ^b |
| Uniformity in tray | Uniform | 14 | 4 th | 1.45 ^b |
| Shell texture | Smooth | 8 | 5 th | 1.42 ^b |

ab = Means bearing different superscripts along the score column differ significantly (P<0.05)

All the attributes identified have been reported to be important to various consumer segments all over the world, the differences being only in the preferred characteristic. Studies are, however scanty as to consumer preference for cleanliness. This is probably because dirty and stained eggs are downgraded and hardly allowed into the retail egg market (Jacob, 2000; USDA, 2000; EPF and NZFSA, 2002).

Large egg size was the characteristic most preferred. This is to be expected, as it is natural for consumers to want to maximize utility. It is not unusual in Nigeria to witness arguments between retailers and buyers over egg size, a problem that would have been solved by grading and selling on per weight basis. Presently there are no grading standards for eggs in Nigeria (Chukuwuka et al., (2011) and shell eggs are sold on per crate basis. Even in places where eggs are sold on per weight basis, like the USA (USDA, 2000) and Japan, preference is for large egg size. Jacob et al. (2000) reported that the greatest consumer demand in America is for Large and Extra Large eggs. Hashimoto et al. (2011) reported a similar trend in a survey of 273 households in 23 districts of Japan. About 50.7% of the respondents in their work preferred large sized eggs explaining that the volume and price of the egg are moderate indicating that indeed egg size is important and that it is linked to price

Shell colour characteristic most preferred was dark brown. This agreed with the findings of Uwagboe et al. (2009) in south western Nigeria. As well as the report of Odabasi et al. (2007) that consumers in United Kingdom, Italy, Portugal, Ireland, Southeast Asia, Australia, and New Zealand prefer brown eggs over white eggs. On the other hand White eggs are most in demand among Americans (Jacob et al., 2000; Johnston et 2011), and Japanese consumers al., (Hashimoto, 2011). The preference for brown shelled eggs in New England (Jacob et al., 2000), United Kingdom Australia and New Zealand (Odabasi et al. 2007) indicates a cultural dimension to egg shell colour preference considering the common geo-cultural origin of populations in these regions. Uniformity of eggs in tray was found to be an

important quality characteristic corresponding to the grading system of the USDA, where lack of uniformity in size and colour is considered a major egg defect (USDA, 2000). USDA (2000) also reported that mixed colour or uneven sized eggs are discriminated against and that eggs sorted and packed in one colour ("whites" or "browns") sell better than the colour. Contrarily, mixed however, Johnston (2011) found that varying colour shades in cartoned eggs was equally as acceptable as uniform coloured eggs.

On preference for smooth textured eggs, the present study is in agreement with the findings of Jacob (2000) who reported that an egg shell that is smooth is preferred to rough-shelled eggs, which fracture more easily and have poor appearance. In the USA, eggs with extremely rough or uneven shells are downgraded to B quality (USDA, 2000). Similarly Gerber (2011) reported that rough egg shells are considered as defects

Quality Expectations

Respondents in the study linked the five preferred characteristics to diverse quality expectations (Table 2). This is consistent with the report of Hutchings (2002) that total appearance generates expectations. The association of shell colour with nutrition had earlier been reported by Johnston et al. (2011), where unlike the present study, panellists believed white-shelled eggs to be more nutritious and to have more flavour. Similarly, respondents in а study conducted by Uwagboe (2009) in South Western Nigeria also expected brown coloured eggs to be harder and stronger.

| Attribute | Preferred Characteristic | Expectation |
|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Size | Large | Heavy eggs, more edible material |
| Shell cleanliness | Clean | Hygienic, fresh and Safe |
| Shell colour | Dark brown | Nutritious, Strong shell |
| Uniformity | Uniform | Attractive |
| Shell texture | Smooth | Attractive |

Table 2: Quality expectations according to preferred characteristics

Relating dirty eggs with hygiene and food safety is apparent, as dirt, stains and faecal matter could harbour contaminating pathogens. This is probably why it is regulated (Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2006; Council of the European Union, 2006; EPF and NZFSA (2002). that eggs intended for retail in the shell must be visibly clean, or otherwise broken and pasteurised before it could be allowed into the human food chain.

It is quite unanticipated that the apparently tenuous idea of dark coloured eggs being stronger than light coloured ones may have a scientific basis. In a study by Carter (1975), a multiple regression analysis of strain differences in random sample tests revealed that egg shell colour, had significant effects on the incidence of cracks and the author concluded that selecting for dark shell colour rather than high shell thickness will increase shell strength. This appears to lend credence to the idea that there may be some instinctive scientific truths to seemingly peculiar notions, considering that the subjects in Uwagboe and the present study were simple consumer panels.

Conclusion

Size and cleanliness are the most important search attributes of shell eggs

and were ranked significantly higher than colour, uniformity in tray and texture.

A cultural dimension to brown egg colour preference is suggested by the common geo-cultural origin of populations in the United Kingdom, Australia and New England.

The relationship between brown shell colour and shell strength was as reported by Carter (1975) and complemented by the present study may be indicative of a link between intuitive notions about eggs and scientific truths.

Egg retailers could gain some competitive advantage by paying attention to shell cleanliness and sorting eggs by sizes, colour and texture.

It is recommended that the identified attributes be included in the development of a future grading system for Nigeria.

References

- Anyanwu, G.A., E.B. Etuk, I.C. Okoli and A.B.I. Udedibie, (2008). Performance and egg quality characteristics of layers fed different combinations of cassava root meal and bambara groundnut offals. Asian J. Poult. Sci., 2: 36-41.
- Blijlevens, J., Creusen, M.E.H. and Schoormans, J.P.L. (2009).How

Consumers Perceive Product Appearance: The Identification of Three Product Appearance Attributes. International journal of design. Vol. 3 No. 3 retrieved on19/02/2012 from http://www.ijdesign.org/ojs/inde x.php/IJDesign/article/view/535 /272

- Carter, T.C. (1975). The hen's egg: relationships of seven characteristics of the strain of hen to the incidence of cracks and other shell defects. Brit. Poultry Sci. 16: 289-296.
- Chukwuka, O. K., I.C. Okoli, N.J. Okeudo, A.B.I., Udedibie, I.P., Ogbuewu, N.O. Aladi, O.O.M. Iheshiulor and A.A. Omede (2011). Egg quality defects in poultry management and food safety. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(1):1-16.
- Council of the European Union, 2006. Council Regulation (EC) No 1028/2006 of 19 June 2006 on marketing standards for eggs.
- http://europa.eu.int/eurlex/en/consleg/pdf/2003/en_200 3R2295_do_001.pdf
- EPF and NZFSA (2002). Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand (Inc) Code of Practice (Includes Requirements for Risk Management Programmes). <u>http://www.nzfsa.govt.nz/animal</u> <u>products/publications/consultatio</u> <u>n/egg-cop/egg-cop.pdf</u>
- Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2006. Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code, Standard 2.2.2.

- http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/_srcfi les/Standard_2_2_Egg_Products _v64.pdf
- Garba, S., Jibir, M. and Omojola, A.B. (2010). Egg Quality of Commercial Laying Hens Fed Diets with Increasing Substitution Levels of Metabolizable Energy of Pearl Millet for Corn. In Proc. of the 35th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production. Pp 308-310
- Gerber, N. (2011). Factors affecting egg quality in the commercial laying hen: a review Retrieved on 03/11/2011 from http:// www.eggfarmers.co.nz/uploads/a 369f_factors_affecting_egg_quality. pdf
- Hashimoto, E., Nagano, M., Kobayashi, S. and Koizumi. S. (2011). Consumer behaviour for egg in Japan hp.brs.nihonu.ac.jp/~keiei/resourse/ronbun/r 96-5.pdf
- Hernandez, J. (2007). The Value of Quality in the Food Chain. In: Proceedings of XXth Philippine Society of Animal Nutritionists Convention. Cited on December 16, 2011, from http://www.esnnetwork.com/fileadmin/inhalte/d ocuments/Conference-ESN-Hernandez.pdf
- Hutchings, J. (2002). The perception and sensory assessment of colour. In: MacDougall, D.B. ed, *Colour in food*, *Improving quality*. Woodhead Publishing Ltd. England. Pp 378.
- Jacob, J. P., Miles, R. D. and Mather, F. B. (2000). Egg Quality. University of Florida IFAS Publication No.PS24

retrieved on 24/03/2012 from http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu

- Jibir, M., Birnintsaba, B.A. and Garba, S. (2010). Comparison of Bovan Brown and Bovan Nera strains of the domestic fowl for egg quality. *In* Proc. of the 15th Annual Conference of the Animal Science Assoc. of Nigeria. Pp 64-66
- Johnston, N.P., Jefferies, L.K., Rodriguez, B. and Johnston, D.E. (2011). Acceptance of brown-shelled eggs in a white-shelled egg market. Poult Sci. 90(5):1074-9.
- Kemp, S.E., Hollowood, T. and Hort, J. (2009). Sensory Evaluation A practical handbook Wiley-Blackwell UK 196 p
- Mako, A. A; O. A. Ogunwole; E. O Uwagboe; O. I. Abiola-Olagunju and R. A. Hamzat (2009). Effect of egg shell and yolk colour on sales of egg in Nigeria: A case study of egg producers in Ogun State, Nigeria. Book of Abstracts, International Poultry Scientific Forum held at the World Congress Center, Atlanta, GA. USA. January 26-27.
- Murray, J.M. and C.M. Delahunty (2000). Mapping consumer preference for the sensory and packaging attributes of Cheddar cheese, 11(5): 419-435.
- Odabasi, A. Z., Miles, R. D., Balaban, M.O. and Portier, K. M. (2007). Changes in Brown Egg shell Colour As the Hen Ages Poultry Science 86:356– 363

- Ojedapo, L.O., T.A. Adedeji, S.A. Ameen, T.B. Olayeni and S.R. Amao et al., 2009. Effect of strain and age on egg quality characteristics of two different strains of layer chicken kept in cages in derived savanna zone of Nigeria. Proceedings of 14th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria (ASAN), Sept. 14-17, LAUTECH Ogbomoso, Nigeria, 1-3.
- Okeudo, N.J., C.I. Onwuchekwa and I.C. Okoli, 2003. Effect of oil treatment and length of storage on the internal quality, organoleptic attributes and microbial profile of chicken eggs. Tropical Anim. Prod. Investigations, 6: 63-70.
- Okoli, I.C. and A.B.I. Udedibie, 2000. Effect of oil treatment and storage temperature on egg quality. J. Agric. Rural Develop., 1: 55-60.
- Okoli, I.C. and A.B.I. Udedibie, (2001). Effect of palm kernel oil treatment and method of storage on internal quality, viability and hatchability of fertile chicken eggs. Trop. Agric. (Trinidad), 78: 137-140.
- SPSS (2007). Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. Version 16.0. SPSS Inc.
- USDA (2000). United States Department of Agriculture. Egg grading manual. USDA- Agricultural Marketing Service. Agricultural Handbook Number 75. cited on December 16, 2011, from http:// www.ams.usda.gov/poultry/pdfs /EggGrading%20 manual. Pdf

Uwagboe, E. O., Ogunwole, O. A., Abiola-Olagunju, O. I., Akinsoyinu, A. O. and Hamzat, R .A. (2009).Consumers' preference for egg shell and yolk colour in Nigeria: A case study of Iddo and Akinyele local government area of Oyo State, Nigeria. Cited on December 16, 2011 from<u>http://www.poultryscience.o</u> rg/psa09/abstracts/09PSA179.pdf